

## Confronting the Environmental Kuznets Curve

Susmita Dasgupta, Benoit Laplante,  
Hua Wang and David Wheeler


**T**he environmental Kuznets curve posits an inverted-U relationship between pollution and economic development. Kuznets's name was apparently attached to the curve by Grossman and Krueger (1993), who noted its resemblance to Kuznets's inverted-U relationship between income inequality and development. In the first stage of industrialization, pollution in the environmental Kuznets curve world grows rapidly because people are more interested in jobs and income than clean air and water, communities are too poor to pay for abatement, and environmental regulation is correspondingly weak. The balance shifts as income rises. Leading industrial sectors become cleaner, people value the environment more highly, and regulatory institutions become more effective. Along the curve, pollution levels off in the middle-income range and then falls toward pre-industrial levels in wealthy societies.

The environmental Kuznets curve model has elicited conflicting reactions from researchers and policymakers. Applied econometricians have generally accepted the basic tenets of the model and focused on measuring its parameters. Their regressions, typically fitted to cross-sectional observations across countries or regions, suggest that air and water pollution increase with development until per capita income reaches a range of \$5000 to \$8000. When income rises beyond that level, pollution starts to decline, as shown in the "conventional EKC" line in Figure 1. In developing countries, some policymakers have interpreted such results as conveying a message about priorities: Grow first, then clean up.

Numerous critics have challenged the conventional environmental Kuznets curve, both as a representation of what actually happens in the development process and as a policy prescription. Some pessimistic critics argue that cross-sectional evidence for the environmental Kuznets curve is nothing more than a

■ *Susmita Dasgupta, Benoit Laplante, Hua Wang, and David Wheeler are Economists, Development Research Group, World Bank, Washington, D.C.*

Figure 1

**Environmental Kuznets Curve: Different Scenarios**

snapshot of a dynamic process. Over time, they claim, the curve will rise to a horizontal line at maximum existing pollution levels, as globalization promotes a “race to the bottom” in environmental standards, as shown in Figure 1. Other pessimists hold that, even if certain pollutants are reduced as income increases, industrial society continuously creates new, unregulated and potentially toxic pollutants. In their view, the overall environmental risks from these new pollutants may continue to grow even if some sources of pollution are reduced, as shown by the “new toxics” line in Figure 1. Although both pessimistic schools make plausible claims, neither has bolstered them with much empirical research.

In contrast, recent empirical work has fostered an optimistic critique of the conventional environmental Kuznets curve. The new results suggest that the level of the curve is actually dropping and shifting to the left, as growth generates less pollution in the early stages of industrialization and pollution begins falling at lower income levels, as shown by the “revised EKC” in Figure 1.

The stakes in the environmental Kuznets curve debate are high. Per capita GDP in 1998 (in purchasing power parity dollars) was \$1440 in the nations of sub-Saharan Africa, \$2060 in India, \$2407 in Indonesia, and \$3051 in China (World Bank, 2000). Since these societies are nowhere near the income range associated with maximum pollution on the conventional environmental Kuznets curve, a literal interpretation of the curve would imply substantial increases in pollution during the next few decades. Moreover, empirical research suggests that pollution costs are already quite high in these countries. For example, recent World Bank estimates of mortality and morbidity from urban air pollution in India and China suggest annual losses in the range of 2–3 percent of GDP (Bolt, Hamilton, Pandey and Wheeler, 2001).

The stakes are not trivial for industrial societies, either. Those who believe in the “race to the bottom” model repeatedly advocate trade and investment restric-

tions that will eliminate the putative cost advantage of “pollution havens” in the developing world. If their assessment of the situation is correct, then industrial society faces two unpalatable options: Protect environmental gains by moving back toward autarky, but reducing global income in the process, or accept much higher global pollution under unrestrained globalization. Moreover, industrialized countries surely must consider the daunting possibility that they are not actually making progress against pollution as their incomes rise, but instead are reducing only a few measured and well-known pollutants while facing new and potentially greater environmental concerns.

In this paper, we review the arguments and the evidence on the position, shape and mutability of the environmental Kuznets curve. We ultimately side with the optimists—but with some reservations.

## **Theory and Measurement of the Relationship between Economic Development and Environmental Quality**

Numerous theoretical and empirical papers have considered the broad relationship between economic development and environmental quality. The focus of the theoretical papers has mainly been to derive transition paths for pollution, abatement effort and development under alternative assumptions about social welfare functions, pollution damage, the cost of abatement, and the productivity of capital. This theoretical work has shown that an environmental Kuznets curve can result if a few plausible conditions are satisfied as income increases in a society: specifically, the marginal utility of consumption is constant or falling; the disutility of pollution is rising; the marginal damage of pollution is rising; and the marginal cost of abating pollution is rising. Most theoretical models implicitly assume the existence of public agencies that regulate pollution with full information about the benefits and costs of pollution control. In addition, they assume that the pollution externality is local, not cross-border. In the latter case, there would be little local incentive to internalize the externality.

López (1994) uses a fairly general theoretical model to show that if producers pay the social marginal cost of pollution, then the relationship between emissions and income depends on the properties of technology and preferences. If preferences are homothetic, so that percentage increases in income lead to identical percentage increases in what is consumed, then an increase in output will result in an increase in pollution. But if preferences are nonhomothetic, so that the proportion of household spending on different items changes as income rises, then the response of pollution to growth will depend on the degree of relative risk-aversion and the elasticity of substitution in production between pollution and conventional inputs.

Selden and Song (1995) derive an inverted-U curve for the relationship between optimal pollution and the capital stock, assuming that optimal abatement is zero until a given capital stock is achieved, and that it rises thereafter at an

increasing rate. John and Pecchenino (1994), John, Pecchenio, Schimmelpfennig and Schreft (1995), and McConnell (1997) derive similar inverted-U curves by using overlapping generations models. Recent analytical work by López and Mitra (2000) suggests that corruption may also account for part of the observed relationship between development and environmental quality. Their results show that for any level of per capita income, the pollution level corresponding to corrupt behavior is always above the socially optimal level. Further, they show that the turning point of the environmental Kuznets curve takes place at income and pollution levels above those corresponding to the social optimum.

Numerous empirical studies have tested the environmental Kuznets curve model. The typical approach has been to regress cross-country measures of ambient air and water quality on various specifications of income per capita. For their data on pollution, these studies often rely on information from the Global Environmental Monitoring System (GEMS), an effort sponsored by the United Nations that has gathered pollution data from developed and developing countries. The GEMS database includes information on contamination from commonly regulated air and water pollutants. Stern, Auld, Common and Sanyal (1998) have supplemented the GEMS data with a more detailed accounting of airborne sulfur emissions. Although greenhouse gases have not been included in the GEMS database, carbon dioxide emissions estimates for most developed and developing countries are available from the U.S. Oak Ridge National Laboratories (Marland, Boden and Andres, 2001).

Empirical researchers are far from agreement that the environmental Kuznets curve provides a good fit to the available data, even for conventional pollutants. In one of the most comprehensive reviews of the empirical literature, Stern (1998) argues that the evidence for the inverted-U relationship applies only to a subset of environmental measures; for example, air pollutants such as suspended particulates and sulfur dioxide. Since Grossman and Krueger (1993) find that suspended particulates decline monotonically with income, even Stern's subset is open to contest. In related work, Stern, Auld, Common and Sanyal (1998) find that sulfur emissions increase through the existing income range. Results for water pollution are similarly mixed.

Empirical work in this area is proceeding in a number of directions. First, international organizations such as the United Nations Environment Programme and the World Bank are sponsoring collection of more data on environmental quality in developing countries. As more data is collected, new opportunities will open up for studying the relationship between economic development and environmental quality. In the meantime, it is useful to think about how to compensate for incomplete monitoring information. For example, Selden and Song (1994) develop estimates of air emissions based on national fuel-use data and fuel-specific pollution parameters that are roughly adjusted for conditions in countries at varying income levels.

A second issue is that for many pollutants data is scarce everywhere, not just in developing countries. The GEMS effort has focused on a few "criteria" pollutants, so-designated because legal statutes have required regulators to specify their dam-

aging characteristics. Criteria air pollutants, for example, have generally included ozone, carbon monoxide, suspended particulates, sulfur dioxide, lead and nitrogen oxide. A far broader class of emissions, known as toxic pollutants, includes materials that cause death, disease or birth defects in exposed organisms. Among the hundreds of unregulated toxic pollutants that have been subjected to laboratory analysis, the quantities and exposures necessary to produce damaging effects have been shown to vary widely. Literally thousands of potentially toxic materials remain untested and unregulated.

Data gathering in this area has started, as some countries have mandated public reports of toxic emissions by industrial facilities. For example, the United States has a Toxic Release Inventory; Canada has a National Pollutant Release Inventory; the United Kingdom has a Pollutant Inventory; and Australia has a National Pollutant Inventory. Using sectoral estimates of toxic emissions relative to level of output, developed from U.S. data by Hettige, Martin, Singh and Wheeler (1995), researchers have estimated toxic emissions in eastern Europe (Laplante and Smits, 1998) and Latin America (Hettige and Wheeler, 1996; Dasgupta, Laplante and Meisner, 2001). However, the underlying scarcity of data has as yet made it impossible to do more than speculate about the shape of an environmental Kuznets curve for toxics.

A third empirical issue involves thinking about the curvature of the environmental Kuznets curve. In most cases, the implied relationship between income growth and pollution is sensitive to inclusion of higher-order polynomial terms in per capita income whose significance varies widely.

Fourth, it is useful to compare the results of time series studies where the environmental evidence is available. De Bruyn, van den Bergh and Opschoor (1998) estimate time series models individually for Netherlands, Germany, the United Kingdom and the United States and show that economic growth has had a positive effect on emissions of carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide. They argue that conventional cross-section estimation techniques have generated spurious estimates of the environmental Kuznets curve because they do not adequately capture the dynamic process involved.

Given the data limitations, concerns over appropriate functional forms, and choices between cross-section and time series analysis, structural interpretations of the environmental Kuznets curve have remained largely ad hoc. In view of these uncertainties, few researchers have taken the next step and begun to study the sources of change in the marginal relationship between economic development and pollution.

## **How the Environmental Kuznets Curve Can Become Lower and Flatter**

Research on the environmental Kuznets curve has suggested that its shape is not likely to be fixed. Instead, the relationship between growth in per capita

income and environmental quality will be determined by how many parties react to economic growth and its side effects—including citizens, businesses, policymakers, regulators, nongovernmental organizations, and other market participants. A body of recent research has investigated these connections. The theme that emerges from this research is that it is quite plausible for developing societies to have improvements in environmental quality. It also seems likely that because of growing public concern and research knowledge about environmental quality and regulation, countries may be able to experience an environmental Kuznets curve that is lower and flatter than the conventional measures would suggest. That is, they may be able to develop from low levels of per capita income with little or no degradation in environmental quality, and then at some point to experience improvements in both income and environmental quality.


### **The Primary Role of Environmental Regulation**

In principle, observed changes in pollution as per capita income rises could come from several different sources: shifts in the scale and sectoral composition of output, changes in technology within sectors, or the impact of regulation on pollution abatement (Grossman and Krueger, 1993). The absence of appropriate microdata across countries has precluded a systematic empirical approach to this decomposition. However, the available evidence suggests that regulation is the dominant factor in explaining the decline in pollution as countries grow beyond middle-income status.

For instance, Panayotou (1997) estimates a decomposition equation for a sample of 30 developed and developing countries for the period 1982–1994. He incorporates policy considerations into the income-environment relationship while decomposing it into scale, sectoral composition and pollution intensity (or pollution per unit of output) effects. His main finding, at least for ambient sulfur dioxide levels, is that effective policies and institutions can significantly reduce environmental degradation at low income levels and speed up improvements at higher income levels, thereby lowering the environmental Kuznets curve and reducing the environmental cost of growth. However, the estimated equation is not derived from any formal structural equation. In addition, in the absence of actual measures of environmental regulation, Panayotou uses indices of contract enforcement and bureaucratic efficiency as proxies. De Bruyn (1997) decomposes the growth-environment relationship in a sample of OECD and former socialist economies, using a divisia index methodology. Analyzing changes in sulfur dioxide pollution, he finds a significant role for environmental policy, but not for structural change in the economy. In a cross-country study of water pollution abatement, Mani, Hettige and Wheeler (2000) find that while some of the improvement in water quality with increases in per capita income is attributable to sectoral composition and technology effects, the main factor is stricter environmental regulation.

There appear to be three main reasons that richer countries regulate pollution more strictly. First, pollution damage gets higher priority after society has completed basic investments in health and education. Second, higher-income societies have more plentiful technical personnel and budgets for monitoring and enforce-

Figure 2

**Air Pollution Regulation and Income Per Capita in 31 Countries**

Source: Dasgupta, Mody, Roy and Wheeler (2001).

ment activities. Third, higher income and education empower local communities to enforce higher environmental standards, whatever stance is taken by the national government (Dasgupta and Wheeler, 1997; Pargal and Wheeler, 1996; Dean, 1999). The result of these mutually reinforcing factors, as shown in Figure 2, is a very close relationship between national pollution regulation and income per capita (Dasgupta, Mody, Roy and Wheeler, 2001).

### **Economic Liberalization**

During the past two decades, many countries have liberalized their economies by reducing government subsidies, dismantling price controls, privatizing state enterprises and removing barriers to trade and investment. Easterly (2001) provides strong evidence that measures of financial depth and price distortion have improved significantly for developing countries since 1980. The result has been an adjustment toward economic activities that reflect comparative advantage at undistorted factor and product prices, which in turn can affect the level of pollution in an economy by shifting the sectoral composition.

One result has been growth of labor-intensive assembly activities such as garment production. These activities are seldom pollution-intensive, although there are some notable exceptions such as electronics assembly that employs toxic cleaning solvents and fabric production that generates organic water pollution and toxic pollution from chemical dyes (Hettige, Martin, Singh and Wheeler, 1995). Another likely area of comparative advantage is information services with relatively low skill requirements, such as records maintenance for internationally distributed information-processing services. Such activities are typically not very polluting. More environmentally sensitive areas of comparative advantage include large-scale agriculture and production that exploits local natural resources such as forest



products, basic metals and chemicals (Lee and Roland-Holst, 1997). These industries are often heavy polluters, because they produce large volumes of waste residuals and frequently employ toxic chemicals.

Elimination of government subsidies often has an environmentally beneficial effect in this context. The heaviest polluters often receive subsidies, because they operate in sectors such as steel and petrochemicals where state intervention has been common. Privatization and reduction of subsidies tend to reduce the scale of such activities, while expanding production in the assembly and service sectors that emit fewer pollutants (Dasgupta, Wang and Wheeler, 1997; Lucas, Hettige and Wheeler, 1992; Jha, Markandya and Vossenaar, 1999; Birdsall and Wheeler, 1993). Elimination of energy subsidies increases energy efficiency, shifts industry away from energy-intensive sectors, and reduces demand for pollution-intensive power (Vukina, Beghin and Solakoglu, 1999; World Bank, 1999). However, higher energy prices also induce shifts from capital- and energy-intensive production techniques to labor- and materials-intensive techniques, which are often more pollution-intensive in other ways (Mani, Hettige and Wheeler, 2000).

Economic liberalization also has a common effect, at least in pollution-intensive sectors, of enlarging the market share of larger plants that operate at more efficient scale (Wheeler, 2000; Hettige, Dasgupta and Wheeler, 2000). This change often involves a shift toward publicly held firms at the expense of family firms. The improvement in efficiency means less pollution per unit of production, although larger plants may also concentrate pollution in a certain locality (Lucas, Dasgupta and Wheeler, 2001). In China, state-owned enterprises have much higher costs for reducing air pollution because they are operated less efficiently. Figure 3 displays recent econometric estimates of control costs for sulfur dioxide air pollution in large Chinese factories (Dasgupta, Wang and Wheeler, 1997).<sup>1</sup>


The level of polluting emissions also reflects managers' technology decisions. In the OECD countries, innovations have generated significantly cleaner technologies that are available at incremental cost to producers in developing countries. Even in weakly regulated economies, many firms have adopted these cleaner technologies because they are more profitable. Increased openness to trade also tends to lower the price of cleaner imported technologies, while increasing the competitive pressure to adopt them if they are also more efficient (Reppelin-Hill, 1999; Huq, Martin and Wheeler, 1993; Martin and Wheeler, 1992). Thus, firms in relatively open developing economies adopt cleaner technologies more quickly (Birdsall and Wheeler, 1993; Huq, Martin and Wheeler, 1993).

While liberalization can certainly improve environmental conditions, it is no panacea. The evidence suggests that in a rapidly growing economy, the effect of lower pollution per unit of output as a result of greater efficiency is generally

<sup>1</sup> Xu, Gau, Dockery and Chen (1994) have shown that atmospheric sulfur dioxide concentrations are highly correlated with damage from respiratory disease in China. Sulfur dioxide and other oxides of sulfur combine with oxygen to form sulfates and with water vapor to form aerosols of sulfurous and sulfuric acid. Much of the health damage from sulfur dioxide seems to come from fine particulates in the form of sulfates.



Figure 3

**Sulfur Dioxide Marginal Abatement Costs: Large Chinese Factories**

Source: World Bank (1999).

overwhelmed by the rise in overall pollution as a result of rising output (Beghin, Roland-Holst and van der Mensbrugge, 1997; Dessus and Bussolo, 1998; Lee and Roland-Holst, 1997). Thus, total pollution will grow unless environmental regulation is strengthened (Mani, Hettige and Wheeler, 2000).

### **Pervasive Informal Regulation**

Low-income communities frequently penalize dangerous polluters, even when formal regulation is weak or absent. Abundant evidence from Asia and Latin America shows that neighboring communities can strongly influence factories' environmental performance (Pargal and Wheeler, 1996; Hettige, Huq, Pargal and Wheeler, 1996; Huq and Wheeler, 1992; Hartman, Huq and Wheeler, 1997). Where formal regulators are present, communities use the political process to influence the strictness of enforcement. Where regulators are absent or ineffective, nongovernmental organizations and community groups—including religious institutions, social organizations, citizens' movements, and politicians—pursue informal regulation. Although these pressures vary from region to region, the pattern everywhere is similar: Factories negotiate directly with local actors in response to threats of social, political or physical sanctions if they fail to compensate the community or to reduce emissions.

The response of factories can take many forms. Cribb (1990) cites the case of a cement factory in Jakarta that—without admitting liability for the dust it generates—“compensates local people with an ex gratia payment of Rp. 5000 and a tin of evaporated milk every month.” Agarwal, Chopra and Sharma (1982) describe a situation where, confronted by community complaints, a paper mill in India installed pollution abatement equipment—and to compensate residents for remaining damage, the mill also constructed a Hindu temple. If all else fails, community action can also trigger physical removal of the problem. In Rio de Janeiro, a neighborhood association protest against a polluting tannery led managers to relocate it to the city's outskirts (Stotz, 1991). Mark Clifford (1990) has

reported in the *Far Eastern Economic Review* that community action prevented the opening of a chemical complex in Korea until appropriate pollution control equipment was installed. Indeed, communities sometimes resort to extreme measures. Cribb (1990) has recounted an Indonesian incident “reported from Banjaran near Jakarta in 1980 when local farmers burned a government-owned chemical factory that had been polluting their irrigation channels.”

Such examples are not limited to developing countries, of course. They also play an important role in the work of Coase (1960), who called traditional regulation into question by noting that pollution victims, as well as regulators, can take action if they perceive that the benefits outweigh the costs. Of course, the victims need information about pollution risks to take appropriate action. In most cases, such information can only be gathered by public authorities that have a legal mandate to collect it. We will return to this issue in our discussion of public disclosure as a new regulatory instrument in developing countries.

### **Pressure from Market Agents**

Market agents can also play an important role in creating pressures for environmental protection. Bankers may refuse to extend credit because they are worried about environmental liability; consumers may avoid the products of firms that are known to be heavy polluters.

The evidence suggests that multinational firms are important players in this context. These firms operate under close scrutiny from consumers and environmental organizations in the high-income economies. Investors also appear to play an important role in encouraging clean production. Heavy emissions may signal to investors that a firm’s production techniques are inefficient. Investors also weigh potential financial losses from regulatory penalties and liability settlements. The U.S. and Canadian stock markets react significantly to environmental news, generating gains from good news and losses from bad news in the range of 1–2 percent (Muoghalu, Robison and Glascock, 1990; Lanoie and Laplante, 1994; Klassen and McLaughlin, 1996; Hamilton, 1995; Lanoie, Laplante and Roy, 1998). One recent study found that firms whose bad environmental press has the greatest impact on stock prices subsequently reduce emissions the most (Konar and Cohen, 1997). Similar effects of environmental news on stock prices have been identified in Argentina, Chile, Mexico and the Philippines (Dasgupta, Laplante and Mamingi, 2001). In fact, the market responses in these countries are much larger than those reported for U.S. and Canadian firms: Stock price gains average 20 percent in response to good news and losses range from 4–15 percent in the wake of bad news.

Multinationals have responded to such factors. A recent study of 89 U.S.-based manufacturing and mining multinationals with branches in developing countries found that nearly 60 percent adhere to a stringent internal standard that reflects OECD norms, while the others enforce local standards (Dowell, Hart and Yeung, 2000). Controlling for other factors such as physical assets and capital structure, the study found that firms with uniform internal standards had an average market value \$10.4 billion higher than their counterparts. Indeed, multinational firms operating in low-income economies are often environmentally friendlier than domestically

owned firms. For example, a careful audit of Indonesian factories undertaken in 1995 found that almost 70 percent of domestic plants failed to comply with Indonesian water pollution regulations, while around 80 percent of the multinational plants were fully compliant (Afsah and Vincent, 1997).

### **Better Methods of Environmental Regulation**

Poor countries with weak regulatory institutions can reduce pollution significantly by following a few basic principles. The first is focus. In many areas, relatively few sources are responsible for most of the pollution (Hettige, Martin, Singh and Wheeler, 1995; World Bank, 1999). Therefore, emissions can be significantly reduced by targeting regulatory monitoring and enforcement on those dominant sources.

Notable inroads against pollution have also been made where environmental agencies in developing countries have begun moving away from traditional command-and-control policies toward market-oriented forms of regulation. Pollution charges have proven feasible in developing countries, with successful implementation in China (Wang and Wheeler, 1996), Colombia, Malaysia and Philippines (World Bank, 1999). In Colombia, for example, the recent implementation of water pollution charges in the Rio Negro Basin reduced organic discharges from factories by 52 percent during the program's first year of operation. No participating factory seems to have experienced financial difficulties in the process (World Bank, 1999). A pollution charge program in the Laguna Bay region of Philippines reduced organic pollution by 88 percent during its first two years of operation (World Bank, 1999). Similar conclusions have emerged from studies of regulation and control costs in Malaysia (Jha, Markandya and Vossenaar, 1999; Khalid and Braden, 1993).

### **Better Information**

Until recently, relatively little was known about the economic damage associated with pollution in developing countries. During the past few years, however, economic analyses have repeatedly shown that large cities in developing countries suffer very high costs from pollution, even when damage is evaluated at conservative estimates of local opportunity costs (Dasgupta, Wang and Wheeler, 1997; Von Amsberg, 1997; Calkins, 1994). Such evidence has induced rapid strengthening of pollution control in the large cities of China, Brazil, Mexico and other developing countries.

This improved information combines with pressures from citizens, government, nongovernmental organizations and market agents to create pressures for rapid enactment of stricter environmental regulations. Strong results have also been obtained by programs that provide accessible public information about polluters, pollution damages, local environmental quality and the cost of pollution abatement. Such programs significantly improve the ability of local communities to protect themselves, the ability of national regulators to enforce decent environmental standards, and the ability of market agents to reward clean firms and punish heavy polluters.

International institutions such as the World Bank have begun supporting this idea in collaborative programs with environmental agencies in Indonesia, Philippines, China, India, Thailand, Vietnam, Mexico, Colombia, Brazil and elsewhere.<sup>2</sup> In Indonesia and Philippines, pilot public disclosure programs have reduced emissions from hundreds of large water polluters by 40–50 percent during a two-year period (Afsah and Vincent, 1997; World Bank, 1999). After the success of a pilot public disclosure program in two Chinese cities, the approach is now being extended to an entire province, Jiangsu, with a population of approximately 100 million.

### **Cautionary Notes**

In light of recent research and policy experience, the most plausible long-run forecast is for rising, not falling, environmental quality in both high- and low-income economies. Indeed, it is likely that the environmental Kuznets curve has begun to flatten downward under the combined impact of economic liberalization, improved information, and more stringent and cost-effective approaches to regulating pollution under developing-country conditions. But although we are sanguine about the prospects for combining economic growth and environmental protection, we remain cautious optimists. At least four plausible concerns have been raised.

#### **Will Countries Need to Suffer Lower Environmental Quality in the Short and Medium Run?**

The conventional environmental Kuznets curve implies that vast areas of the world—including much of Asia and Africa—will have to experience rising pollution levels until their per capita incomes rise significantly. However, there is no evidence to support the view that this would be economically advantageous. Several benefit-cost analyses have made a persuasive case for stricter pollution control, even in very low income economies. In China, for example, a recent study has shown that the economic returns to pollution abatement would justify significant tightening of regulation (Dasgupta, Wang and Wheeler, 1997). Studies in Indonesia (Calkins, 1994) and Brazil (Von Amsberg, 1997) have produced similar conclusions.

Countries whose economic policies induce a rapid expansion of income and employment may experience severe environmental damage unless appropriate environmental regulations are enacted and enforced. Economic analysis can be employed to justify environmental regulatory policies that result in a flatter and lower environmental Kuznets curve.

<sup>2</sup> For more information about these programs, see the World Bank's "New Ideas in Pollution Regulation" website at (<http://www.worldbank.org/nipr>).

### **Globalization and the Risk of a Race to the Bottom**

Perhaps the most commonly heard critique of the environmental Kuznets curve is that even if such a relationship existed in the past, it is unlikely to exist in the future because of the pressures that global competition places on environmental regulations. In the “race to the bottom” scenario, relatively high environmental standards in high-income economies impose high costs on polluters. Shareholders then drive firms to relocate to low-income countries, whose people are so eager for jobs and income that their environmental regulations are weak or nonexistent. Rising capital outflows force governments in high-income countries to begin relaxing environmental standards. As the ensuing race to the bottom accelerates, the environmental Kuznets curve flattens and rises toward the highest existing level of pollution.

In the United States, political opponents of the World Trade Organization (WTO) frequently invoke elements of this model. For example, Congressman David Bonior (1999) offered the following critique: “The WTO, as currently structured, threatens to undo internationally everything we have achieved nationally—every environmental protection, every consumer safeguard, every labor victory.” Herman Daly (2000), an economist at the University of Maryland’s School of Public Affairs, has recently provided a forceful statement of the race to the bottom model.

Proponents of this model often recommend high environmental standards that would be uniform around the world. For countries that are unwilling or unable to enforce such standards, tariffs or other restrictions and penalties would be imposed on exports of their pollution-intensive products to neutralize their cost advantage as “pollution havens.” Proponents of free trade naturally view these prescriptions as anathema, arguing that their main impact would be denial of jobs and income to the world’s poorest people.

The race to the bottom model has an air of plausibility. It does appear that polluting activities in high-income economies face higher regulatory costs than their counterparts in developing countries (Jaffe, Peterson, Portney and Stavins, 1995; Mani and Wheeler, 1998). This creates an incentive for at least some highly polluting industries to relocate. But how substantial is this incentive compared to the other location incentives faced by businesses? To what extent have countries actually been reducing their environmental standards to provide such location incentives?

Research in both high- and low-income countries suggests that pollution control does not impose high costs on business firms. Jaffe, Peterson, Portney and Stavins (1995) and others have shown that compliance costs for OECD industries are surprisingly small, despite the use of command-and-control regulations that are economically inefficient. Firms in developing countries frequently have even lower abatement costs, because the labor and materials used for pollution control are less costly than in the OECD economies.

Numerous studies have suggested that, in comparison with other factors considered by businesses, pollution-control costs are not major determinants of

relocation (Eskeland and Harrison, 1997; Albrecht, 1998; Levinson, 1997; Van Beers and van den Bergh, 1997; Tobey, 1990, Janicke, Binder and Monch, 1997). More important factors include distance to market and infrastructure quality and cost (Mody and Wheeler, 1992). In a study of Mexican *maquiladora* plants, Grossman and Krueger (1993) found that pollution abatement costs were not a major determinant of imports from Mexico, while their unskilled labor component was of paramount importance. Most OECD-based multinationals maintain nearly uniform environmental standards in their national and international plants. They do so to realize economies in engineering standards for design, equipment purchases and maintenance; to reduce potential liability from regulatory action; and to guard against reputational damage in local and international markets (Dowell, Hart and Yeung, 2000).

In fairness, the evidence also suggests that pollution havens can emerge in extreme cases (Xing and Kolstad, 1995). During the 1970s, for example, environmental regulation tightened dramatically in the OECD economies with no countervailing change in developing countries. The regulatory cost differential was apparently sufficient to generate a significant surge in production and exports of pollution-intensive products from developing countries. Since then, however, regulatory changes in the developing countries have narrowed the gap and apparently stopped the net migration of polluting industries (Mani and Wheeler, 1998). This pattern of tighter environmental regulations in low-income countries runs counter to the “race to the bottom” scenario.


Indeed, the scenario in which more heavily polluting industries locate in low-income countries and export back to high-income countries appears to be an incorrect description of actual patterns. In recent times, developing country imports from high-income economies have been more pollution-intensive than their exports to those economies (Mani and Wheeler, 1998; Albrecht, 1998).

In short, there are many reasons to be dubious about the race to the bottom model. But perhaps the most powerful challenge to the model is a direct assessment of its simple and robust prediction: After decades of increasing capital mobility and economic liberalization, the race to the bottom should already be underway and pollution should be increasing everywhere. It should be rising in poor countries because they are pollution havens, and in high-income economies because they are relaxing standards to remain cost-competitive. Wheeler (2001) has tested these propositions using data on foreign investment and urban air quality in China, Mexico and Brazil. Together, these three countries received 60 percent of the total foreign direct investment for developing countries in 1998. If the race to the bottom model is correct, then air pollution should be rising in all three countries. Moreover, air quality should be deteriorating in U.S. cities, since U.S. industrial imports from all three countries have been expanding for decades.


As Figures 4 and 5 indicate, the converse is true: Instead of racing toward the bottom, major urban areas in China, Brazil, Mexico and the United States have all experienced significant improvements in air quality, as measured by concentrations

Figure 4


Foreign Investment and Air Pollution in China, Mexico and Brazil



Notes: SPM is suspended particulate matter. FDI is foreign direct investment.



Notes: SPM is suspended particulate matter. FDI is foreign direct investment.




Notes: PM-10 is suspended particulates less than ten microns in diameter. FDI is foreign direct investment.

Source: Wheeler (2001).

of fine particulate matter (PM-10) or suspended particulate matter (SPM). Further research is necessary before any definitive conclusions can be drawn, because similar comparisons are currently unavailable for other pollutants. At present,



Figure 5  
Air Pollution in US Metropolitan Areas, 1988–1997



Source: Wheeler (2001).

however, the available evidence strongly suggests that the pessimism of the race to the bottom model is unwarranted.

### Are Other Pollutants Rising? The Case of Toxic Chemicals

Even if one accepts the evidence that growth in per capita income can be accompanied by reductions in well-known conventional pollutants, there is still a question about whether other less-known pollutants and environmental hazards may be rising with levels of per capita income.

One recent focus has been on emissions of toxic organic chemicals into the air and water. Although some toxic chemicals are monitored in some industrialized countries, they remain largely unregulated almost everywhere. Thornton (2000) argues that conventional regulation has failed to control the proliferation of organic chlorine compounds that are carcinogenic and mutagenic. He recommends banning the whole family of chlorine compounds, which would be economically disruptive, to put it mildly. The international community has begun responding to such thinking for some “persistent” organic pollutants that are among the organochlorines known to be most dangerous, because they accumulate in plant and animal life. In May 2001, 127 countries signed a treaty to ban international production and trade in twelve persistent organic pollutants, including PCBs, dioxins, DDT and other pesticides that have been shown to contribute to birth defects and cancer (“U.N. Treaty on Chemicals,” 2001).

Such concerns raise the possibility that economic development will always be accompanied by environmental risks that are either newly discovered or generated by the use of new materials and technologies. If this proves to be the case, the

recent treaty banning production and sale of persistent organic pollutants may be a harbinger of broader regulatory changes that will affect both developed and developing countries.

This issue provides a useful reminder that our understanding of environmental problems and remedies must develop over time. It seems unlikely that addressing pollution from organochlorines and other toxics will require measures as radical as those suggested by Thornton (2000). However, it will clearly be inappropriate to declare conventional environmental protection a success if it reduces a limited list of conventional pollutants while ignoring an ever-growing list of toxic pollutants that may pose threats to future generations as well as this one.

### **Building Regulatory Capability**

If per capita income and environmental quality are to increase together, developing countries will require effective regulatory capabilities. These capabilities include not only appropriate legal measures for regulation, but also effective monitoring and enforcement of regulatory compliance. Better environmental governance, broadly understood, involves the enactment of liberalizing economic measures that affect pollution through their impact on an economy's sectoral composition and efficiency. It also includes the capability to develop and disseminate information about environmental quality and pollution sources, even if such information may embarrass certain government officials in the short run. Much of the pessimism about the prospects for environmental quality in developing countries is not about whether a win-win outcome is technically possible for the economy and the environment, but whether these societies have the institutional capabilities necessary for achieving such an outcome.

The evidence on how regulatory capability can be developed is sparse, but the World Bank's indicators of institutional and policy development provide grounds for moderate optimism. It appears that productive public policy is correlated with economic development—but that there is considerable variation in the relationship. Some excellent economic performers have quite poor regulatory capability by international standards. In turn, general policy indicators predict environmental policy performance very well, but some countries with low overall policy ratings have proven capable of focused efforts to protect critical environmental assets. The most pronounced outliers are mostly countries where specific natural resources are important determinants of tourist revenue, such as Maldives, Seychelles, Belize, Ecuador and Bhutan. Apparently, even poorly administered societies can strengthen regulation when environmental damage is clear, costly, and concentrated in a few sites. But these exceptions aside, it seems unlikely that broader environmental regulation will outpace more general institutional reform. A full response to the environmental challenge of globalization will therefore require serious attention to long-run development of public sector administrative and decision-making capacity.

Sustaining effective environmental regulation will also require the design of appropriate financing mechanisms, some of which may depart from theoretically

optimal measures under the conditions that prevail in developing countries. For example, Colombia's successful pollution charge program became politically feasible only after regulators, industrialists, and public sewerage authorities agreed to use part of the revenues to support local regulatory agencies and to invest the rest in local environmental projects. Although traditional public finance theory does not support earmarking revenues in this way, rather than balancing costs and benefits of all spending choices, the program's results have clearly compensated for this conceptual flaw. Local financing may also prove to be critical during future recessions, when Colombia's central government may reduce support for national monitoring and enforcement of regulations. However, accepting political reality does not imply uncritical acceptance of any funding scheme. The designers of Colombia's system have stressed the application of clear benefit-cost criteria to local financing of pollution reduction projects.

### **International Assistance**

We believe that the international community can play a valuable role in lowering and flattening the environmental Kuznets curve by financing appropriate training, policy reforms, information gathering and public environmental education. In our view, a steadily accumulating body of research and program experience suggests two keys to rapid progress on this front. The first is support for programs that provide public, easily accessible information about polluters, pollution damages, local environmental quality and the cost of pollution abatement. The second is support for development of stronger regulatory institutions and cost-effective measures to reduce pollution. Sustained support is critical, because institutional development takes time.

We also believe that trade and aid sanctions are inappropriate and ineffective levers for narrowing the regulatory gap between low- and high-income countries. Such sanctions are unjust because they penalize both poor workers and the many firms in developing countries that have excellent environmental performance despite weak regulation (Huq and Wheeler, 1992; Hartman, Huq and Wheeler, 1997; Afsah and Vincent, 1997; World Bank, 1999). In any case, weak regulatory institutions would prevent governments of low-income countries from delivering on promises of OECD-level regulation, even if they were willing to make them. A similar caveat applies to multilateral institutions such as the World Bank, whose operating rules now mandate accounting for environmental risks in economic reform programs. While it is important to avoid serious pollution damage during rapid liberalization, it is also critical to support carefully targeted pollution control programs whose long-run resource requirements are feasible for the recipient countries.

■ *Particular thanks to David Shaman and Yasmin D'Souza for their support, and to Shakeb Afsah, Hemamala Hettige, Mainul Huq, Muthukumara Mani, Craig Meisner, Kiran*

*Pendey and Sheoli Pargal for valuable contributions to the research and policy initiatives reviewed in this paper. Thanks also to Timothy Taylor, Alan Krueger, Brad De Long and Michael Waldman for valuable comments on an earlier draft.*

## References

- Afsah, S. and J. Vincent.** 1997. "Putting Pressure on Polluters: Indonesia's PROPER Program." Case study for the HIID 1997 Asia Environmental Economics Policy Seminar. Harvard Institute for International Development, March.
- Agarwal, A., R. Chopra, and K. Sharma.** 1982. *The State of India's Environment 1982*. New Delhi, India: Centre for Science and Environment.
- Albrecht, J.** 1998. "Environmental Policy and Inward Investment Position of U.S. Dirty Industries." *Intereconomics*. July/August, pp. 186–94.
- Beghin J., D. Roland-Holst, and D. van der Mensbrugge.** 1997. "Trade and Environment Linkages: Piecemeal Reform and Optimal Intervention." *Canadian Journal of Economics*. 30:2, pp. 442–55.
- Birdsall, N. and D. Wheeler.** 1993. "Trade Policy and Industrial Pollution in Latin America: Where are the Pollution Havens?" *Journal of Environment and Development*. Winter, 2:1, pp. 137–49.
- Bolt, K., K. Hamilton, K. Pandey and D. Wheeler.** 2001. "The Cost of Air Pollution in Developing Countries: New Estimates for Urban Areas." World Bank Development Research Group Working Paper, forthcoming.
- Bonior, D.** 1999. "Defending Democracy in the New Global Economy." Statement to an AFL-CIO conference on workers' rights, trade development, and the WTO. Seattle, Washington, December.
- Calkins, R.** 1994. *Indonesia: Environment and Development*. Washington: World Bank.
- CETESB.** 1986. "Restoring the Serra do Mar." São Paulo: Companhia de Tecnologia de Saneamento Ambiental (CETESB).
- CETESB.** 1990. "Cubatão: A Change of Air." São Paulo: Companhia de Tecnologia de Saneamento Ambiental (CETESB).
- CETESB.** 1994. "Acao da CETESB em Cubatão: Situacao em Junho de 1994." São Paulo: Companhia de Tecnologia de Saneamento Ambiental (CETESB).
- Clifford, M.** 1990. "Kicking up a Stink: South Korean Government Reels from Anti-Pollution Backlash." *Far Eastern Economic Review*. October 18, pp. 72–3.
- Coase, R.** 1960. "The Problem of Social Cost." *Journal of Law and Economics*. October, 3, pp. 1–44.
- Cribb, R.** 1990. "The Politics of Pollution Control in Indonesia." *Asian Survey*. 30, pp. 1123–35.
- Daly, H.** 2000. "Globalization." Presented at the 50th Anniversary Conference of the Aspen Institute. Aspen, Colorado, August.
- Dasgupta, S., B. Laplante and N. Mamingi.** 2001. "Capital Market Responses to Environmental Performance in Developing Countries." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. Forthcoming.
- Dasgupta, S., B. Laplante and C. Meisner.** 2001. "Accounting for Toxicity Risks in Pollution Control: Does It Matter?" *Journal of Environmental Management*. Forthcoming.
- Dasgupta, S., A. Mody, S. Roy and D. Wheeler.** 2001. "Environmental Regulation and Development: A Cross-Country Empirical Analysis." *Oxford Development Studies*. June, 29:2, pp. 173–87.
- Dasgupta, S., H. Wang and D. Wheeler.** 1997. "Surviving Success: Policy Reform and the Future of Industrial Pollution in China." World Bank Policy Research Department Working Paper No. 1856, October.
- Dasgupta, S. and D. Wheeler.** 1997. "Citizen Complaints as Environmental Indicators: Evidence from China." World Bank, Policy Research Department Working Paper No. 1704, January.
- De Bruyn, S. M.** 1997. "Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Structural Change and International Agreements in Reducing Sulphur Emissions." *Environment and Development Economics*. 2:4, pp. 485–503.
- De Bruyn, S. M., J. C. J. M. van den Bergh and J. B. Opschoor.** 1998. "Economic Growth and Emissions: Reconsidering the Empirical Basis of

Environmental Kuznets Curves." *Ecological Economics*. 25:2, pp. 161–75.

**Dean, J. M.** 1999. "Testing the Impact of Trade Liberalization on the Environment: Theory and Evidence," in *Trade, Global Policy and the Environment*. P. Fredriksson, ed. Washington, D.C.: World Bank, pp. 55–63.

**Dessus, S. and M. Bussolo.** 1998. "Is There a Trade-Off Between Trade Liberalization and Pollution Abatement? A Computable General Equilibrium Assessment Applied to Costa Rica." *Journal of Policy Modeling*. 20:1, pp. 11–31.

**Dowell, G., S. Hart and B. Yeung.** 2000. "Do Corporate Global Environmental Standards Create or Destroy Market Value?" *Management Science*. August, 46:8, pp. 1059–74.

**Easterly, W.** 2001. "The Lost Decades: Developing Countries' Stagnation in Spite of Policy Reform 1980–1998." *Journal of Economic Growth*. June, 6:2, pp. 135–57. Available in draft online at (<http://www.worldbank.org/research/growth/padate.htm>).

**Eskeland, G. and A. Harrison.** 1997. "Moving to Greener Pastures? Multinationals and the Pollution-Haven Hypothesis." World Bank Policy Research Department Working Paper No. 1744.

**Grossman, G. and A. Krueger.** 1993. "Environmental Impacts of the North American Free Trade Agreement," in *The U.S.-Mexico Free Trade Agreement*. P. Garber, ed. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 13–56.

**Hamilton, J.** 1995. "Pollution as News: Media and Stock Market Reactions to the Toxic Release Inventory Data." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 28:1, pp. 98–113.

**Hartman, R., M. Huq and D. Wheeler.** 1997. "Why Paper Mills Clean Up: Determinants of Pollution Abatement in Four Asian Countries." World Bank, Policy Research Department Working Paper No. 1710.

**Hettige, H., M. Huq, S. Pargal and D. Wheeler.** 1996. "Determinants of Pollution Abatement in Developing Countries: Evidence from South and Southeast Asia." *World Development*. 24:12, pp. 1891–904.

**Hettige, M. and D. Wheeler.** 1996. "An Environmental Performance Analysis System for Industrial Plants in Mexico." Development Research Group, World Bank, Washington, D.C., mimeo.

**Hettige, H., P. Martín, M. Singh and D. Wheeler.** 1995. "IPPS: The Industrial Pollution Projection System." World Bank, Policy Research Department Working Paper No. 1431.

**Hettige, M., S. Dasgupta and D. Wheeler.** 2000. "What Improves Environmental Compliance? Evidence From Mexican Industry." *Journal*

*of Environmental Economics and Management*. 39:1, pp. 39–66.

**Huq, M., P. Martín and D. Wheeler.** 1993. "Process Change, Economic Policy, and Industrial Pollution: Cross Country Evidence from the Wood Pulp and Steel Industries." Presented at the Annual Meetings, American Economic Association, Anaheim, California, January.

**Huq, M. and D. Wheeler.** 1992. "Pollution Reduction Without Formal Regulation: Evidence from Bangladesh." World Bank Environment Department Working Paper No. 1993-39.

**Jaffe, A., S. Peterson, P. Portney, and R. Stavins.** 1995. "Environmental Regulation and the Competitiveness of U.S. Manufacturing: What Does the Evidence Tell Us?" *Journal of Economic Literature*. 33:1, pp. 132–63.

**Janicke, M., M. Binder and H. Monch.** 1997. "'Dirty Industries': Patterns of Change in Industrial Countries." *Environmental and Resource Economics*. 9:4, pp. 467–91.

**Jha, V., A. Markandya and R. Vossenaar.** 1999. *Reconciling Trade and the Environment: Lessons from Case Studies in Developing Countries*. Northampton, Mass.: Edward Elgar Publishing Co.

**John, A. and R. Pecchenino.** 1994. "An Overlapping Generations Model of Growth and the Environment." *Economic Journal*. November, 104, pp. 1393–410.

**John, A. R. Pecchenino, D. Schimmelpfennig and S. Schreft.** 1995. "Short-Lived Agents and the Long-Lived Environment." *Journal of Public Economics*. 58:1, pp. 127–41.

**Khalid, R. and J. B. Braden.** 1993. "Welfare Effects of Environmental Regulation in an Open Economy: The Case of Malaysian Palm Oil." *Journal of Agricultural Economics*. January, 44, pp. 25–37.

**Klassen, R. D. and C. P. McLaughlin.** 1996. "The Impact of Environmental Management on Firm Performance." *Management Science*. 42:8, pp. 1199–214.

**Konar, S. and M. Cohen.** 1997. "Information as Regulation: The Effect of Community Right to Know Laws on Toxic Emissions." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. January, 32, pp. 109–24.

**Lanoie, P. and B. Laplante.** 1994. "The Market Response to Environmental Incidents in Canada: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis." *Southern Economic Journal*. 60, pp. 657–72.

**Laplante, B. and K. Smits.** 1998. "Estimating Industrial Pollution in Latvia." ECSSD Rural Development and Environment Sector, Working Paper 4, The World Bank, Washington, D.C., mimeo.

**Lanoie, P., B. Laplante and M. Roy.** 1998.

- "Can Capital Markets Create Incentives for Pollution Control?" *Ecological Economics*. July, 26, pp. 31–41.
- Lee, H. and D. Roland-Holst.** 1997. "The Environment and Welfare Implications of Trade and Tax Policy." *Journal of Development Economics*. February, 52, pp. 65–82.
- Levinson, A.** 1997. "Environmental Regulations and Industry Location: International and Domestic Evidence," in *Fair Trade and Harmonization: Prerequisites for Free Trade?* J. N. Bhagwati and R. E. Hudec, eds. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 429–58.
- López, R.** 1994. "The Environment as a Factor of Production: The Effects of Economic Growth and Trade Liberalization." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 27:2, pp. 163–84.
- López, R. and S. Mitra.** 2000. "Corruption, Pollution, and the Kuznets Environment Curve." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 40:2, pp. 137–50.
- Lucas, R., H. Hettige and D. Wheeler.** 1992. "The Toxic Intensity of Industrial Production: Global Patterns, Trends, and Trade Policy." *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings*. May, 82:2, pp. 478–81.
- Lucas, R., S. Dasgupta and D. Wheeler.** 2001. "Plant Size, Industrial Air Pollution and Local Incomes: Evidence from Brazil and Mexico." *Environment and Development Economics*. Forthcoming.
- Mani, M. S. and D. Wheeler.** 1998. "In Search of Pollution Havens? Dirty Industry in the World Economy, 1960–1995." *Journal of Environment and Development*. September, 7:3, pp. 215–47.
- Mani, M., H. Hettige and D. Wheeler.** 2000. "Industrial Pollution in Economic Development: The Environmental Kuznets Curve Revisited." *Journal of Development Economics*. 2:2, pp. 445–76.
- Marland, G., T. Boden and R. Andres.** 2001. "Global, Regional, and National Fossil Fuel CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions." Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (available online at ([http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/meth\\_reg.htm](http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/meth_reg.htm))).
- Martin, P. and D. Wheeler.** 1992. "Prices, Policies, and the International Diffusion of Clean Technology: The Case of Wood Pulp Production," in *International Trade and the Environment*. Patrick Low, ed. Washington, D.C.: World Bank, pp. 197–224.
- McConnell, K. E.** 1997. "Income and the Demand for Environmental Quality." *Environment and Development Economics*. 2:4, pp. 383–99.
- Mody, A. and D. Wheeler.** 1992. "International Investment Location Decisions: The Case of U.S. Firms." *Journal of International Economics*. 3, pp. 57–76.
- Muoghalu, M., D. Robison and J. Glascock.** 1990. "Hazardous Waste Lawsuits, Stockholder Returns, and Deterrence." *Southern Economic Journal*. October, 57, pp. 357–70.
- Panayotou, T.** 1997. "Demystifying the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Turning a Black Box into a Policy Tool." *Environment and Development Economics*. 2:4, pp. 465–84.
- Pargal, S. and D. Wheeler.** 1996. "Informal Regulation of Industrial Pollution in Developing Countries: Evidence From Indonesia." *Journal of Political Economy*. 104:6, pp. 1314–27.
- Reppelin-Hill, V.** 1999. "Trade and Environment: An Empirical Analysis of the Technology Effect in the Steel Industry." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 38:3, pp. 283–301.
- Samet, J., F. Dominici, F. Curriero, I. Coursac and S. Zeger.** 2000. "Fine Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality in 20 U.S. Cities, 1987–1994." *New England Journal of Medicine*. 343:24, pp. 1742–49.
- Selden, T. and D. Song.** 1994. "Environmental Quality and Development: Is There a Kuznets Curve for Air Pollution Emissions?" *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 27:2, pp. 147–62.
- Selden, T. and D. Song.** 1995. "Neoclassical Growth, the J Curve for Abatement, and the Inverted U Curve for Pollution." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*. 29:2, pp. 162–68.
- Stern, D. I.** 1998. "Progress on the Environmental Kuznets Curve?" *Environment and Development Economics*. 3:2, pp. 175–98.
- Stern, D. I., A. Auld, M. S. Common and K. K. Sanyal.** 1998. "Is There an Environmental Kuznets Curve for Sulfur?" Working Papers in Ecological Economics, 9804, Center for Resource and Environmental Studies, Australian National University, Canberra.
- Stotz, E.** 1991. "Luta Pela Saude Ambiental: A AMAP Contra Cortume Carioca, S.A., Uma Experiencia Vitoriosa," V. V. Valla and E. N. Stotz, eds. Participacao Popular, Educacao e Saude, Rio de Janeiro, pp. 133–60.
- Thornton, J.** 2000. *Pandora's Poison: Chlorine, Health, and a New Environmental Strategy*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Tobey, J. A.** 1990. "The Effects of Domestic Environmental Policies on Patterns of World Trade: An Empirical Test." *Kyklos*. 43:2, pp. 191–209.
- "U.N. Treaty On Chemicals Is Approved." 2001. *Washington Post*. May 23, Page A30.



- Van Beers, C. and J. C. J. M. Van den Bergh.** 1997. "An Empirical Multi-Country Analysis of the Impact of Environmental Regulations on Trade Flows." *Kyklos*. 50:1, pp. 29–46.
- Von Amsberg, J.** 1997. "Brazil: Managing Pollution Problems, The Brown Environmental Agenda." World Bank Report #16635-BR, June.
- Vukina, T., J. C. Beghin and E. G. Solakoglu.** 1999. "Transition to Markets and the Environment: Effects of the Change in the Composition of Manufacturing Output." *Environment and Development Economics*. 4:4, pp. 582–98.
- Wang, H. and D. Wheeler.** 1996. "Pricing Industrial Pollution in China: An Econometric Analysis of the Levy System." World Bank, Policy Research Department Working Paper No. 1644.
- Wheeler, D.** 1997. "Information in Pollution Management: The New Model," in "Brazil: Managing Pollution Problems, The Brown Environmental Agenda." World Bank Report.
- Wheeler, D.** 2000. "Racing to the Bottom? Foreign Investment and Air Pollution in Developing Countries." World Bank Development Research Group Working Paper No. 2524.
- Wheeler, D.** 2001. "Racing to the Bottom? Foreign Investment and Air Pollution in Developing Countries." *Journal of Environment and Development*. 10:3, pp. 225–45.
- World Bank.** 1999. *Greening Industry: New Roles for Communities, Markets and Governments*. New York: Oxford/World Bank.
- World Bank.** 2000. *World Development Indicators*. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Xing, Y. and C. Kolstad.** 1995. "Do Lax Environmental Regulations Attract Foreign Investments?" University of California, Santa Barbara, Working Papers in Economics: 06/95, May.
- Xu, X., J. Gao, D. Dockery and Y. Chen.** 1994. "Air Pollution and Daily Mortality in Residential Areas of Beijing, China." *Archives of Environmental Health*. 49:4, pp. 216–22.



**This article has been cited by:**

1. Jeffrey Kouton, Johan Didier Kakou, Yann Muriel Okoua. 2024. Sectoral dynamics and environmental quality in Côte d'Ivoire: assessing the impact of structural transformation. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 12:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. Hicham Ayad, Mohd Shuaib, Md. Emran Hossain, Mohammad Haseeb, Mustafa Kamal, Masood ur Rehman. 2024. Re-examining the Environmental Kuznets Curve in MENA Countries: Is There Any Difference Using Ecological Footprint and CO2 Emissions?. *Environmental Modeling & Assessment* 29:6, 1023-1036. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Xunyong Xiang, Ruier Liu, Wenjie Luo. 2024. Pollution haven or pollution halo? Testing direct and spillover effects of FDI. *China Economic Review* 88, 102254. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Xin Miao, Mingkun Chen, Jiayin Tu, Yuze Tian, Yu Hao. 2024. The environmental consequences of industrial agglomeration: New evidence from city-level data in China. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 71, 630-645. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Hua Shang, Li Jiang, Sachin Kumar Mangla, Xiongfeng Pan, Malin Song. 2024. Examining the role of national governance capacity in building the global low-carbon agricultural supply chains. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 192, 103833. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Juan Infante-Amate, Emiliano Travieso, Eduardo Aguilera. 2024. Unsustainable prosperity? Decoupling wellbeing, economic growth, and greenhouse gas emissions over the past 150 years. *World Development* 184, 106754. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Mohammad Musa, Preethu Rahman, Swapan Kumar Saha, Zhe Chen, Muhammad Abu Sufyan Ali, Yanhua Gao. 2024. Cross-sectional analysis of socioeconomic drivers of PM2.5 pollution in emerging SAARC economies. *Scientific Reports* 14:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
8. Anna Dugan, Alexia Prskawetz, Natacha Raffin. 2024. The environment, life expectancy, and growth in overlapping generations models: A survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 38:5, 1593-1621. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Xinghua Zhao, Zheng Cheng. 2024. The effects of conflicting economic and environmental goals on local governments' responses to citizens' complaints: evidence from China. *Public Administration and Policy* 26. . [[Crossref](#)]
10. Qingliang Fan, Yaqian Wu. 2024. Endogenous Treatment Effect Estimation with a Large and Mixed Set of Instruments and Control Variables. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 106:6, 1655-1674. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Dan Zhang, Ziwei Li, Hao Hu, Jiayuan Wu, Chaohui Wu. Quantitation of the Interplay between Economic Growth and Atmospheric Environment in China's Transition to Green Economy 30, . [[Crossref](#)]
12. Ying Deng, Qianqian Yue, Xin Zhao. 2024. What Does Air Quality Information Disclosure Deliver and to Whom? Evidence from the Ambient Air Quality Standard (2012) Program in China. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 87:11, 2859-2887. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Xingyu Lu, Xiaoyu Wang, Jinwei Xie, Mingxing Zheng, Linchuan Chen, Fengguang Yu. 2024. Examining the evolution trajectory of construction and demolition waste in China: An empirical analysis based on spatial metrology and the environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 478, 143847. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Saeed Moshiri, Arian Daneshmand. 2024. Analyzing the impact of tax-based vs. rent-based funding on the effectiveness of environmental protection policies. *Resources Policy* 98, 105314. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Mehdi Abid, Habib Sekrafi, Zouheyr Gheraia, Hanane Abdelli. 2024. Regulating the unobservable: The impact of the environmental regulation on informal economy and pollution. *Energy & Environment* 35:7, 3463-3482. [[Crossref](#)]

16. Lekha Chakraborty, Amandeep Kaur, Ranjan Kumar Mohanty, Divy Rangan, Sanjana Das. Environmental Federalism in an Emerging Economy 237-250. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Mustafa Kurt. 2024. Yeşil Çevre Politikaları ve Yeşil Vergilerin Karbon Emisyonu Üzerindeki Etkisi: Panel Eşik Regresyon Analizi. *Sosyoekonomi* 32:62, 455-477. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Tianyu Zhang, Qian Jing, Jiaxin Chen, Chenyao Hu. 2024. Does stringent environmental regulation render greener CSR reports?. *Applied Economics Letters* 31:18, 1894-1899. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Alexandra Horobet, Lucian Belascu, Magdalena Radulescu, Daniel Balsalobre-Lorente, Cosmin-Alin Botoroga, Cristina-Carmencita Negreanu. 2024. Exploring the Nexus between Greenhouse Emissions, Environmental Degradation and Green Energy in Europe: A Critique of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Energies* 17:20, 5109. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Sevil Acar, Magnus Lindmark. 2024. Long-Term Elasticity of Environmental Demand: Environmental Protection Expenditures in Sweden, 1972-2020. *Global Environment* 17:3, 433-480. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Hamza Akram, Jinchao Li, Waqas Ahmad Watto. 2024. The impact of urbanization, energy consumption, industrialization on carbon emissions in SAARC countries: a policy recommendations to achieve sustainable development goals. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 13. . [[Crossref](#)]
22. Louis David Junior Annor, Margarita Robaina, Elisabete Vieira. 2024. Climbing the green ladder in Sub-Saharan Africa: dynamics of financial development, green energy, and load capacity factor. *Environment Systems and Decisions* 44:3, 607-623. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Karim Barkat, Mouyad Alsamara, Karim Mimouni. 2024. Beyond economic growth goals: can foreign aid mitigate carbon dioxide emissions in developing countries?. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 471, 143411. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Ali Kinyar, Keith Bothongo. 2024. The impact of renewable energy, eco-innovation, and GDP growth on CO2 emissions: Pathways to the UK's net zero target. *Journal of Environmental Management* 368, 122226. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Zhaolin Wu, Edmund Ntom Udemba, Xuhui Peng, Yunxiang Zhang, Jia Jia. 2024. Green energy and institution: a study of energy transition and sustainable environment development. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* 240. . [[Crossref](#)]
26. Ella Henninger, E. Keith Smith. 2024. Beyond the haze: Decomposing the effect of economic inequality on global air quality from 2000 to 2020. *Ecological Economics* 222, 108210. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Alberto Maman, José G. Dias, Francesca Bassi. 2024. Sustainability-oriented management in the SMEs. A multilevel analysis in the European Union. *Journal of Environmental Management* 365, 121559. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Orhan Akisik. 2024. IFRS, financial development and pollutant emissions: an empirical analysis of developed and developing countries. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* 35:6, 1372-1396. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Ashim Kumar Kar. 2024. Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis for CO 2 emissions in Nordic countries. *International Journal of Environmental Studies* 81:4, 1637-1652. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Sorphasith Xaisongkham, Xia Liu. 2024. Institutional quality, employment, FDI and environmental degradation in developing countries: evidence from the balanced panel GMM estimator. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 19:7, 1920-1939. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Akinlo Taiwo. 2024. Environmental degradation on poverty reduction in Nigeria. *Journal of Social and Economic Development* 26. . [[Crossref](#)]
32. Nayab Akhtar, Abdul Rashid. 2024. Financial development and sustainable development: A review of literature. *Sustainable Development* 1. . [[Crossref](#)]

33. Godwin Ahiase, Nugraha Nugraha, Denny Andriana, Maya Sari. 2024. Digital financial inclusion and environmental sustainability in Africa: the moderating effect of income inequality. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* 27. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
34. James C. Davis, Krishna P. Paudel, Anil Rupasingha. 2024. Role of income and policy in reducing water pollution: Evidence from the Mississippi River Basin Healthy Watersheds Initiative. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 46:2, 627-647. [\[Crossref\]](#)
35. Maxime Menuet, Alexandru Minea, Patrick Villieu, Anastasios Xepapadeas. 2024. Environmental quality along the process of economic growth: a theoretical reappraisal. *Economic Theory* 77:4, 1219-1258. [\[Crossref\]](#)
36. Rhisa Azaliah, Hengky Kurniawan, Djoni Hartono, Putu Angga Widyastaman. 2024. The convergence of energy intensity in developing countries: a spatial econometric analysis with Indonesia's provincial panel data. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 26:6, 14915-14939. [\[Crossref\]](#)
37. Xin Lin, Jinhong Zhang, Lina Yu, Qiming Zhong. 2024. Does macroprudential policy matter for corporate green innovation? The role of financing constraints and public environmental concerns. *Economic Analysis and Policy* 82, 877-892. [\[Crossref\]](#)
38. Yuling Ruan, Anqi Zhang. 2024. The spatial spillover effect of green technology innovation on water pollution—evidence from 283 Chinese cities. *Frontiers in Environmental Economics* 3. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
39. Wei-Wen Lai, Chia-Hsuan Wu, Meng-Chun Liu, Jiann-Chyuan Wang. 2024. Evaluating Taiwan's economic and labour market recovery strategies against COVID -19. *Australian Economic Papers* 14. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
40. Abdul Farooq, Ahsan Anwar, Muhammad Ahad, Ghulam Shabbir, Zulfiqar Ali Imran. 2024. A validity of environmental Kuznets curve under the role of urbanization, financial development index and foreign direct investment in Pakistan. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 40:2, 288-307. [\[Crossref\]](#)
41. Paul Adjei Kwakwa, Solomon Aboagye. 2024. Addressing Africa's carbon dioxide emission: the role of natural resources, control of corruption, voice and accountability and regulatory quality. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* 41. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
42. Muhammad Azam, Zia Ur Rehman, Himayatullah Khan, Ilham Haouas. 2024. Empirical testing of the environmental Kuznets curve: evidence from 182 countries of the world. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 2. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
43. Xing Chen, Hanchen Jiang, Jiaheng Ling. 2024. Addressing Multitasking Problems through Promotion Incentives: An Empirical Study of Local Governments in China. *Public Performance & Management Review* 47:3, 654-680. [\[Crossref\]](#)
44. Panle Jia Barwick, Shanjun Li, Liguang Lin, Eric Yongchen Zou. 2024. From Fog to Smog: The Value of Pollution Information. *American Economic Review* 114:5, 1338-1381. [\[Abstract\]](#) [\[View PDF article\]](#) [\[PDF with links\]](#)
45. Ivan D. Trofimov. 2024. Agriculture-induced environmental Kuznets curve: evidence from panel data. *SN Business & Economics* 4:5. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
46. Shihua Liu, Hemachandra Padhan, Jithin P, Annmary Jose, Dil Rahut. 2024. Do green trade and technology-oriented trade affect economic cycles? Evidence from the Chinese provinces. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 202, 123334. [\[Crossref\]](#)
47. Elif Koçak. 2024. Çevresel Kuznets Eğrisi Hipotezi Geçerli Mi? AB Ülkelerinden Kanıtlar. *Gaziantep University Journal of Social Sciences* 23:2, 654-666. [\[Crossref\]](#)
48. Tunahan Hacıımanoğlu, Oğuzhan Sungur. 2024. How Do Economic Growth, Renewable Energy Consumption, and Political Stability Affect Environmental Sustainability in the United States? Insights from a Modified Ecological Footprint Model. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 90. . [\[Crossref\]](#)

49. Jing Tan, Tianyi Liu, Hao Xu. 2024. The environmental and economic consequences of environmental centralization: Evidence from China's environmental vertical management reform. *China Economic Review* **84**, 102127. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Salvatore Bimonte, Arsenio Stabile. 2024. Protected Areas and the Environmental Kuznets Curve in European countries. *Forest Policy and Economics* **161**, 103186. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Rinku Manocha. 2024. Do FDI Flows Lead to Environmental Degradation in Developing Economies? A Case Study of Select Asian Economies. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective* **28**:2, 237-250. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Puja Bhattacharjee, Mohammad Tarequl Hasan Chowdhury. 2024. Greenhouse gas emissions: is there an environmental Kuznets curve in South Asia?. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **96**. . [[Crossref](#)]
53. Ye Li, Yiyang Chen. 2024. Robotics, environmental regulation, and agricultural carbon emissions: an examination of the environmental Kuznets curve theory and moderating effects. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
54. Kristinn Hermannsson, Rosario Scandurra, Supravat Sarangi, Preeti Chaturvedi, Thallada Bhaskar, Ashok Pandey, Bhavya B Krishna, Steven Gillespie, Jillian Gordon, Ivano Bongiovanni, Ian Watson, Siming You. 2024. Do skills enable the reduction of emissions from household energy use? Findings from a survey of households in rural India. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training* **76**:2, 447-467. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Naib Alakbarov, Murat Gündüz, Mahmut Ünsal Şaşmaz. 2024. Exploring the link between economic growth, energy consumption, and environmental pollution in G20. *Natural Resources Forum* **35**. . [[Crossref](#)]
56. Dong-Hyeon Kim, Yi-Chen Wu, Shu-Chin Lin. 2024. Trade openness and green technology: The extent of trade openness and environmental policy matter. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development* **21**, 1-26. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Sijia Li, Lihua Wu. 2024. Can regional integration promote industrial green transformation? Empirical evidence from Yangtze River Delta Urban Agglomeration. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences* **14**:1, 117-134. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Christian Bjørnskov. 2024. Economic freedom and the greenhouse gas Kuznets curve. *European Journal of Political Economy* **82**, 102530. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Muhammad Shabbar Raza, Yusheng Wang, Abdul Rauf, Noshaba Aziz, Muhammad Ajmal Khan, Abid Hussain. 2024. Unveiling the green horizon: A bibliometric analysis of global foreign direct investment research and its emphasis on climate change. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **446**, 141338. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Anis Omri, Sabri Boubaker. 2024. When do climate change legislation and clean energy policies matter for net-zero emissions?. *Journal of Environmental Management* **354**, 120275. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Pengqiang Liu, Xin Li, Hsu-Ling Chang, Nan Su. 2024. Natural resources Kuznets curve: The role of mineral resources, urbanization, and digitalization in BRICS economies. *Resources Policy* **90**, 104701. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Shujaat Abbas, Najia Saqib, Kamel Si Mohammed, Nidhi Sahore, Umer Shahzad. 2024. Pathways towards carbon neutrality in low carbon cities: The role of green patents, R&D and energy use for carbon emissions. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **200**, 123109. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Sandra Nelly Leyva-Hernández, Antonia Terán-Bustamante. 2024. Country-Level Environmental Performance: Investment, Education, and Research and Development. *Social Sciences* **13**:3, 164. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Jules-Eric Tchachet-Tchouto, Gérard Duthil, Rosy Pascale Meyet Tchouapi, Arsene Mouongue Kelly, Isaac Ketu. 2024. The Environmental Kuznets Curve Under Norden "Green Deal" and Action Plans in Nordic European Countries. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* **48**. . [[Crossref](#)]

65. Ravita Kharb, Charu Shri, Neha Saini. 2024. Growth-accelerating factors of green finance for green growth: a study using TISM. *Kybernetes* **28**. . [[Crossref](#)]
66. Mehboob Ul Hassan, Arslan Tariq Rana, Muhammad Khan, Samreen Gillani. 2024. Trade agreements and CO2 emissions in Asian countries: accounting for institutional heterogeneity. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **31**:6, 9197-9217. [[Crossref](#)]
67. A. Deka, C. Banga, S. Rukani. 2024. The effects of energy efficiency, renewable energy and tourism development on the environment in Sub-Sahara Africa. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* **21**:4, 3649-3660. [[Crossref](#)]
68. Xu Guo, Muhammad Shahbaz. 2024. The existence of environmental Kuznets curve: Critical look and future implications for environmental management. *Journal of Environmental Management* **351**, 119648. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Maria Laura Ruiu, Gabriele Ruiu, Massimo Ragnedda. 2024. Between “Empowering” and “Blaming” Mechanisms in Developing Political/Economic Responses to Climate Change. *Sociological Inquiry* **94**:1, 263-289. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Salwa Bajja, Hassan Radoine, Ali Celik, Francis Dakyaga, Sadeq Damrah. 2024. Human capital and manufacturing activities under environmentally-driven urbanization in the MENA region. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* **11**. . [[Crossref](#)]
71. Zaheer Abbas, Umer Javeid, Stephen Pratt. 2024. Exploring the energy intensity – per capita income nexus: evidence from middle-income and high-income countries. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* **13**:1, 70-82. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Nesrine Dardouri, Mounir Smida. 2024. Harnessing the Power of EKC and RKC: A Sustainable Development Perspective. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development* **12**:1, e3097. [[Crossref](#)]
73. Maria Laura Ruiu, Massimo Ragnedda. The Environmental Dimension of Poverty 61-84. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Shikuan Zhao, Kashif ur Rehman. Green Finance: Theoretical Foundation 3-17. [[Crossref](#)]
75. Sinan Erdogan, Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie, Festus Fatai Adedoyin, Festus Victor Bekun, Phebe Asantewaa Owusu. 2024. Analyzing transport demand and environmental degradation: the case of G-7 countries. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **26**:1, 711-734. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Jules-Eric Tchachet Tchouto, Loudi Njoya, Tii Nchofoung, Isaac Ketu. 2024. Investigating the effects of environmental tax regulations on industrialization in African countries. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **26**:1, 2153-2182. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Yifei Zhang, Yiwei Wang, Tianyuan Luo, Manjiao Chen, Jiahui Li. 2024. How does the environmental performance assessment promote pollution reduction: An analysis of the first obligatory pollution reduction target plan in China. *Atmospheric Pollution Research* **15**:1, 101962. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Mi Zhou, Weixiao Shao, Kechen Jiang, Li Huang. 2024. How does economic agglomeration affect carbon emissions at the county level in Liaoning China?. *Ecological Indicators* **158**, 111507. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Shufei Huang, Jianping Ge. 2024. Is there heterogeneity in ESG disclosure by mining companies? A comparison of developed and developing countries. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review* **104**, 107348. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Rubiao Shi, Pengfei Gao, Xufeng Su, Xi Zhang, Xiaodong Yang. 2024. Synergizing natural resources and sustainable development: A study of industrial structure, and green innovation in Chinese region. *Resources Policy* **88**, 104451. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Yasir Khan, Taimoor Hassan. 2024. Promoting sustainable development: Evaluating the influence of natural resources, high-tech export and corruption on CO2 emissions in developing economies. *Resources Policy* **88**, 104511. [[Crossref](#)]

82. Joshua Linn, Chang Shen. 2024. The Effect of Income on Vehicle Demand: Evidence from China's New Vehicle Market. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists* 11:1, 41-73. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Zhiyang Shen, Michael Vardanyan, Tomas Baležentis, Jianlin Wang. 2024. Analyzing the Tradeoff Between the Economic and Environmental Performance: The Case of the Chinese Manufacturing Sector. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management* 71, 233-244. [[Crossref](#)]
84. Marco Baudino, Supratim Das Gupta. Exploring the Influence of Urbanization and Growth on Emissions in Developing and Emerging Economies: Evidence from Nonlinear Methods 88, . [[Crossref](#)]
85. Wei Bu, Zhongyi Yan, Shuning Yang. How Does Producer Services Agglomeration Impact the Persistence of Green Innovation? Enterprise-Level Empirical Evidence from China 206, . [[Crossref](#)]
86. Simona Cosma, Stefano Cosma, Daniela Pennetta, Giuseppe Rimo. Does Biodiversity Matter for Firm Value? 77, . [[Crossref](#)]
87. Italo Arbulú, Bartolomé Deyà-Tortella, Javier Rey-Maqueira, Dolores Tirado. The Environmental Kuznets Curve, Water Stress and Tourism: A European Analysis 49, . [[Crossref](#)]
88. Thanh Trần Thị Phương. 2024. Tác động của phát triển tài chính đến dấu chân sinh thái: Bằng chứng thực nghiệm tại các quốc gia đang phát triển và mới nổi. *Tạp chí Kinh tế và Phát triển* 50-59. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Sulemana Mumuni, Thomas Mwimba. 2023. Modeling the impact of green energy consumption and natural resources rents on economic growth in Africa: An analysis of dynamic panel ARDL and the feasible generalized least squares estimators. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 11:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
90. Yohanes Berchman Suhartoko, Monica Gede Dewinta Ekaristi. 2023. The Impact of Economic Growth, Foreign Direct Investment, Population, and Energy Consumption on Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Six ASEAN Countries During the Period 2000-2021. *Society* 11:2, 771-786. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Sebastian Sewerin, Lukas P Fesenfeld, Tobias S Schmidt. 2023. The role of policy design in policy continuation and ratcheting-up of policy ambition. *Policy and Society* 42:4, 478-492. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Change Kuang, Wenhui Yu, Yong Yin, Dongchu Han, Shiyin Li, Jinsong Kuang. 2023. Heterogeneity environmental regulation and provincial haze pollution in China: an empirical study based on threshold model. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 25:12, 14715-14732. [[Crossref](#)]
93. Guoqin Pan, Qun Bao, Rui Huang. 2023. Moving with the wind: Environmental regulation avoidance and the adjustment of firms' location. *China Economic Quarterly International* 3:4, 238-247. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Pankaj Kumar Yadav, Ankit Kumar, Satyanand Jaiswal. 2023. A critical review of technologies for harnessing the power from flowing water using a hydrokinetic turbine to fulfill the energy need. *Energy Reports* 9, 2102-2117. [[Crossref](#)]
95. Jules-Eric Tchatchet Tchouto. 2023. An empirical assessment on the leveraging evidence of economic complexity under environmental kuznets curve hypothesis: A comparative analysis between Nordic and Non-Nordic European countries. *Innovation and Green Development* 2:4, 100074. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie, Maruf Yakubu Ahmed, Phebe Asantewaa Owusu. 2023. Advancing COP26 climate goals: Leveraging energy innovation, governance readiness, and socio-economic factors for enhanced climate resilience and sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 431, 139757. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Oluwaseun Samuel Oduniyi, John M. Riveros, Sherif M. Hassan, Ferhat Çıtak. 2023. Testing the theory of Kuznet curve on environmental pollution during pre- and post-Covid-19 era. *Scientific Reports* 13:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
98. Watheq Thabet Taher, Amen A. Bawazir, Talal A. Sallam, Khaled Alsurimi. 2023. Seroprevalence and factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers: cross-sectional study. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 23:1. . [[Crossref](#)]



99. Liam Clegg, Julio Galindo-Gutierrez. 2023. Green electoral performance and national climate change commitment: The conditional effect of EU membership. *Party Politics* 45. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
100. Zhibo Zhao, Xunpeng Shi, Ye Cao, Mingzhao Hu. 2023. The impact of urban population spatial distribution on CO2 emissions in China from the perspective of individual and interactive effects. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:55, 117096-117109. [\[Crossref\]](#)
101. Afef Saihi, Mohamed Ben-Daya, Rami As'ad. 2023. A hierarchical component model for sustainable performance measurement of maintenance practices: A fourth-order PLS-SEM approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 185, 109699. [\[Crossref\]](#)
102. Chuyi Shen, Zhenjie Yang, Lue Li. 2023. The spatial and temporal evolution characteristics and influencing factors of industrial pollution in North China. *Energy Reports* 9, 267-271. [\[Crossref\]](#)
103. Zhicheng Xu, Yu Zhang. 2023. Revisiting environmental Kuznets curve: The effects of foreign aid on air quality in Africa. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 88, 1464-1474. [\[Crossref\]](#)
104. Hongmin Zhang, Jinghua Zhang. 2023. Pollution Transfer under Intergovernmental Competition: Suppression or Opportunity. *Sustainability* 15:21, 15363. [\[Crossref\]](#)
105. Ahdi Noomen Ajmi, Festus Victor Bekun, Bright Akwasi Gyamfi, Muhammad Saeed Meo. 2023. A bibliometric review analysis into environmental kuznets curve phenomenon: A retrospect and future direction. *Heliyon* 9:11, e21552. [\[Crossref\]](#)
106. Mary Alice Haddad. *Environmental Politics in East Asia* 13, . [\[Crossref\]](#)
107. Hummera Saleem, Muhammad Bilal Khan, Seyed Mohammadreza Mahdavian, Umar Nawaz Kayani. 2023. The role of technological innovation, economic policy uncertainty, and poverty reduction in attaining environmental sustainability agenda: contextual evidence from developing South and East Asian Economies. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 68. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
108. Qiuya Zhao, Guiliang Tian, Xiaodong Jing, Hao Hu. 2023. Impact of economic development and environmental regulations on greywater footprint loads in the Yellow River Basin in China. *Ecological Indicators* 154, 110586. [\[Crossref\]](#)
109. Joakim Persson, Clas Eriksson, Rickard Mobäck. 2023. Does income inequality worsen pollution? The GEMS air pollution data revisited. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 422, 138478. [\[Crossref\]](#)
110. Harald Edquist, Pernilla Bergmark. 2023. How is mobile broadband intensity affecting CO2 emissions? – A macro analysis. *Telecommunications Policy* 196, 102668. [\[Crossref\]](#)
111. Ibrahim Elatroush. Does Financial Development influence Environmental Quality? Evidence from Emerging and Developing Countries 90, . [\[Crossref\]](#)
112. Ibrahim Elatroush. Does Financial Development influence Environmental Quality? Evidence from Emerging and Developing Countries 90, . [\[Crossref\]](#)
113. Natalia Porto, Diego Andrés Pitetti, Matías Ciaschi. 2023. A worldwide tourism-extended Environmental Kuznets Curve. New approaches in a comparative analysis. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 31:9, 2100-2118. [\[Crossref\]](#)
114. Mingyue Wang, Yingming Li, Zitong Wang. 2023. A nonlinear relationship between corporate environmental performance and economic performance of green technology innovation: Moderating effect of government market-based regulations. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 32:6, 3119-3138. [\[Crossref\]](#)
115. Zhuangxiong Yu, Jiajia Cheng, Xunpeng Shi, Yang Yang. 2023. How does the go-with-the-flow export strategy affect corporate environmental performance?—Evidence from Chinese manufacturing firms. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 32:6, 3596-3611. [\[Crossref\]](#)
116. Obadiah Jonathan Gimba, Abdulkareem Alhassan, Huseyin Ozdeser, Wafa Ghardallou, Mehdi Seraj, Ojonugwa Usman. 2023. Towards low carbon and sustainable environment: does income inequality



- mitigate ecological footprints in Sub-Saharan Africa?. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **25**:9, 10425-10445. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Gaolu Zou, Kwong Wing Chau. 2023. Energy consumption, economic growth and environmental sustainability: Evidence from China. *Energy Reports* **9**, 106-116. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Hicham Ayad. 2023. Investigating the fishing grounds load capacity curve in G7 nations: Evaluating the influence of human capital and renewable energy use. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **194**, 115413. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Radosław Ślosarski. 2023. Clean energy in the European Union: Transition or evolution?. *Energy & Environment* **34**:6, 2163-2185. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Necan AYDIN, Dilek GÖZE KAYA. 2023. Literatürdeki STIRPAT, EKC, Çevre Vergisi Konularına Yönelik Çalışmaların Bibliyometrik Ağ Analizi. *Selçuk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi* :51, 334-355. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Rizwan Mushtaq, Ghulam Murtaza, Dorra Yahiaoui, Pereira Vijay, Qurat-ul-Ain Talpur. 2023. Are born global firms environmentally more responsible? Evidence from the East Asia and Pacific region. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* **105**. . [[Crossref](#)]
122. Saeed Ahmad Sabir, Mubeen Abdur Rehman, Muhammad Zahid Javed, Usman Mehmood, Rabia Ishaq. 2023. A causal link between financialization and ecological status: a novel framework for Asian countries?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **30**:36, 85685-85700. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Hai Long, Wen-Wei Li, Caner Otrakçı. 2023. Sustaining environment through natural resource and human development: Revisiting EKC curve in China through BARDL. *Resources Policy* **85**, 103973. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Georges Bresson, Anoop Chaturvedi. 2023. Dynamic space–time panel data models: An eigendecomposition-based bias-corrected least squares procedure. *Spatial Statistics* **56**, 100758. [[Crossref](#)]
125. Artjom Saia. 2023. Digitalization and CO2 emissions: Dynamics under R&D and technology innovation regimes. *Technology in Society* **74**, 102323. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Gal Benshushan. 2023. Rise of Bitcoin, Economic Inequality and the Ecology. *REGION* **10**:3, 83-104. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Xue Fang, Xinyu Shi, Tyson Keen Phillips, Peng Du, Weijun Gao. 2023. The Spatiotemporal Variation Characteristics of Urban Sustainability Based on the SDGs in Yangtze River Delta, China. *Buildings* **13**:8, 1909. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Olatunji Shobande, Simplice Asongu. 2023. The dilemmas of relevance: exploring the role of natural resources and energy consumption in managing climate crisis in Africa. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* **34**:5, 1375-1390. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Muhammad Shahbaz, Avik Sinha, Shabbir Ahmad, Zhilun Jiao, Zhaohua Wang. 2023. Role of foreign direct investment in decomposing of scale and technique effects on China's energy consumption. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **28**:3, 3370-3385. [[Crossref](#)]
130. Dervis Kirikkaleli, Kwaku Addai, Rui Alexandre Castanho. 2023. Energy productivity, financial stability, and environmental degradation in an Eastern European country: Evidence from novel Fourier approaches. *Heliyon* **9**:7, e18073. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Woon-Gwan Jung, Chanjung Lee, Yohan Lee. 2023. The Effects of REDD+ on the Poverty Alleviation through Mediating Effects of Social Capital : A Case Study of Myanmar REDD+ Pilot Project. *Journal of the Korean Society of International Agriculture* **35**:2, 112-119. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Mi Ye, Ben Derudder, Lei Jiang, Freke Caset, Yingcheng Li. 2023. The Effects of Urban Polycentricity on Particulate Matter Emissions From Vehicles: Evidence From 102 Chinese Cities. *Urban Planning* **8**:3. . [[Crossref](#)]

133. Vaishali S. Dhingra. 2023. Financial development, economic growth, globalisation and environmental quality in BRICS economies: evidence from ARDL bounds test approach. *Economic Change and Restructuring* 56:3, 1651-1682. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Deng Xu, Jamal Hussain. 2023. Globalization, institutions, and environmental quality in Middle East and North African countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:26, 68951-68968. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Satheesh Seenivasan, Debabrata Talukdar, Anish Nagpal. 2023. National income and macro-economic correlates of the double burden of malnutrition: an ecological study of adult populations in 188 countries over 42 years. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 7:6, e469-e477. [[Crossref](#)]
136. Adnan Khan, Agyemang Kwasi Sampene, Sajjad Ali. 2023. Towards environmental degradation mitigation: The role of regulatory quality, technological innovation and government effectiveness in the CEMAC countries. *Helikon* 9:6, e17029. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Francesco Bogliacino, César Mantilla, Daniel Niño. 2023. Economic incentives and political inequality in the management of environmental public goods. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 104, 102006. [[Crossref](#)]
138. Jules-Eric Tchatchet Tchouto. 2023. Testing the Economic Growth Path “Green-Resilience” Under Natural Resources Constraint in Asia-Pacific Economies. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management* 25:02. . [[Crossref](#)]
139. Arvind Goswami, Harmanpreet Singh Kapoor, Rajesh Kumar Jangir, Caspar Njoroge Ngigi, Behdin Nowrouzi-Kia, Vijay Kumar Chattu. 2023. Impact of Economic Growth, Trade Openness, Urbanization and Energy Consumption on Carbon Emissions: A Study of India. *Sustainability* 15:11, 9025. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Yumei Wu, Rong Wang, Fayuan Wang. 2023. Exploring the Role of Foreign Direct Investment and Environmental Regulation in Regional Ecological Efficiency in the Context of Sustainable Development. *Sustainability* 15:11, 9104. [[Crossref](#)]
141. Subhanil Banerjee, Souren Koner. Industrial Revolution 4.0 and the Environment 196-208. [[Crossref](#)]
142. Tobias Böhmelt, Vally Koubi, Thomas Bernauer. 2023. Why populism may facilitate non-state actors' access to international environmental institutions. *Environmental Politics* 32:3, 511-531. [[Crossref](#)]
143. Ramanpreet Kaur, Tushinder Preet Kaur. 2023. Modelling Urbanization, Economic Growth, and Ecological Footprint Using Environment Kuznets' Curve in Selected Asian Countries. *International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development* 14:1, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
144. Eric B. Yiadom, Lord Mensah, Godfred A. Bokpin. 2023. Environmental risk and foreign direct investment: the role of financial deepening, access and efficiency. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal* 14:2, 369-395. [[Crossref](#)]
145. Iqbal Hussain, Eatzaz Ahmad, Muhammad Tariq Majeed. 2023. Curvature and turning point of the environmental Kuznets curve in a global economy: the role of governance. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:18, 53007-53019. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Xueqin Lin, Weijia Cui, Dai Wang. 2023. The heterogeneous effects of environmental regulation on industrial carbon emission efficiency in China using a panel quantile regression. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:19, 55255-55277. [[Crossref](#)]
147. Veli Yilanci, Gökçe Candan, Muhammad Ibrahim Shah. 2023. Identifying the roles of energy and economic factors on environmental degradation in MINT economies: a hesitant fuzzy analytic hierarchy process. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:19, 55768-55781. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Zhikang Bao, Weisheng Lu, Ziyu Peng, S. Thomas Ng. 2023. Balancing economic development and construction waste management in emerging economies: A longitudinal case study of Shenzhen, China guided by the environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 396, 136547. [[Crossref](#)]

149. Xuemei Zhang, Haitao Yin, Huimin Yang. 2023. Is the environment a victim of the economic downturn? Evidence from China's manufacturing firms. *Environment and Development Economics* **28**:2, 191-209. [[Crossref](#)]
150. Haider Mahmood, Maham Furqan, Muhammad Shahid Hassan, Soumen Rej. 2023. The Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) Hypothesis in China: A Review. *Sustainability* **15**:7, 6110. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Serkan ERYILMAZ, Yasin Galip GENÇER, Feyyaz ZEREN. 2023. Çevresel Vergiler ve Yenilenebilir Enerjinin Taşımacılık Sektörü Kaynaklı Kirlilik Üzerindeki Etkisi: AB Ülkeleri Örneği. *Abant Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* **23**:1, 1-22. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Watheq Thabet Taher, Amen Bawazir\*, Talal Salam, Khaled Alsurimi. Seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 Among Healthcare Workers in Two Governorates Hospitals, Yemen **28**, . [[Crossref](#)]
153. Yingying Xu, Xiang Li, Peng Yuan, Yinpeng Zhang. 2023. Trade-off between environment and economy: The relationship between carbon and inflation. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* **11**. . [[Crossref](#)]
154. Hêriş Golpîra, Heibatolah Sadeghi, Cosimo Magazzino. The Impact of Economic Growth, Population, and Energy Consumption on Environmental Degradation: Evidence from OECD Countries **187**, . [[Crossref](#)]
155. İhsan GÜZEL, İhsan OLUÇ. 2023. Yeşil Açıklığın Çevresel Bozulma Üzerindeki Etkisinin İncelenmesi. *İzmir İktisat Dergisi* **38**:1, 19-34. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Nilüfer Kaya Kanlı, Bige Küçükefe. 2023. Is the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis valid? A global analysis for carbon dioxide emissions. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **25**:3, 2339-2367. [[Crossref](#)]
157. Michael Franz Schmidlehner. 2023. The green growth narrative, bioeconomy and the foreclosure of nature. *Sustainability Science* **18**:2, 723-736. [[Crossref](#)]
158. David Presberger, Thomas Bernauer. 2023. Economic and political drivers of environmental impact shifting between countries. *Global Environmental Change* **79**, 102637. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Qiyang Ran, Xiaodong Yang, Hongchuan Yan, Yang Xu, Jianhong Cao. 2023. Natural resource consumption and industrial green transformation: Does the digital economy matter?. *Resources Policy* **81**, 103396. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Edmund Ntom Udemba, Selin Yalçıntaş. 2023. Economic and environmental implications of resource rent: A dual analysis of Venezuela's sustainability. *International Social Science Journal* **73**:247, 215-231. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Hiroyuki Taguchi, Takeshi Fujino, Hidekatsu Asada, Jun-Jun Ma. 2023. Water Pollution and Pollution–Control Capacity in Chinese Provinces: Panel Estimations of Provincial Environmental Kuznets Curves. *Sustainability* **15**:5, 3979. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Jun Yan, Wenting Lu, Xiaoyan Xu, Jiamin Lian. 2023. Empirical Study of the Environmental Kuznets Curve in China Based on Provincial Panel Data. *Sustainability* **15**:6, 5225. [[Crossref](#)]
163. Michael Faure. 2023. The Impact of Environmental Regulation on the Investment Climate. *Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal* **26**. . [[Crossref](#)]
164. Aurolipa Das, Narayan Sethi. 2023. Modelling the environmental pollution-institutional quality nexus in low- and middle-income countries: exploring the role of financial development and educational level. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **25**:2, 1492-1518. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Xiaoyue Zhao, Ming Jia, Zhe Zhang. 2023. Promotion vs. pollution: City political status and firm pollution. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **187**, 122209. [[Crossref](#)]

166. John Michael Riveros-Gavilanes, Ana María Reyes-Vargas. 2023. Contaminación por CO<sub>2</sub> y crecimiento económico: ¿Un comportamiento heterogéneo para América Latina?. *Sociedad y Economía* :48, e10612013. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Rabeh Khalfaoui, Aviral Kumar Tiwari, Usman Khalid, Muhammad Shahbaz. 2023. Nexus between carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth in G7 countries: fresh insights via wavelet coherence analysis. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* **66**:1, 31-66. [[Crossref](#)]
168. Mahmud Isnan, Teerayut Horanont, Anon Plangprasopchok. Machine Learning Approach with Environmental Pollution and Geospatial Information for Mapping Poverty in Thailand 159-170. [[Crossref](#)]
169. Tobias Menz, Jan Kühling. Sulfur Dioxide Emissions in OECD Countries 7011-7016. [[Crossref](#)]
170. Viktoria Jansesberger, Gabriele Spilker. Umwelt- und Klimapolitik 345-363. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Bryan Cheang, Tom G. Palmer. Development and Progress 1-34. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Chiara Falco, Raphael Corbi. 2023. Natural disasters and preferences for the environment: Evidence from the impressionable years. *Economics Letters* **222**, 110946. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Di Chang, Yaxian Zhang. 2023. Farmland nutrient pollution and its evolutionary relationship with plantation economic development in China. *Journal of Environmental Management* **325**, 116589. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Zhikang Bao, Weisheng Lu. 2023. Applicability of the environmental Kuznets curve to construction waste management: A panel analysis of 27 European economies. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **188**, 106667. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Daniel Faibil, Richard Asante, Martin Agyemang, Michael Addaney, Charles Baah. 2023. Extended producer responsibility in developing economies: Assessment of promoting factors through retail electronic firms for sustainable e-waste management. *Waste Management & Research: The Journal for a Sustainable Circular Economy* **41**:1, 117-142. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Xing Chen, Jiaheng Ling, Hanchen Jiang. 2023. Addressing Multitasking Problems Through Promotion Incentives. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **26**. . [[Crossref](#)]
177. Jing Tan, Tianyi Liu, Hao Xu. The Environmental and Economic Consequences of Environmental Centralization: Evidence from China's Environmental Vertical Management Reform **18**, . [[Crossref](#)]
178. Saeed Moshiri, Arian Daneshmand. 2023. The Effectiveness of Environmental Policy: Does the Source of Revenue Matter?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **108**. . [[Crossref](#)]
179. Paulo Alves, Jorge Gonçalves, João M. Pinto. 2023. The Pricing of Sustainable Syndicated Loans. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **46**. . [[Crossref](#)]
180. Saeed Moshiri, Arian Daneshmand. Assessing the Impact of Environmental Policy: Does Revenue Source Make a Difference? **108**, . [[Crossref](#)]
181. Robert Huang, Matthew E. Kahn. 2023. Household Carbon Dioxide Emissions Engel Curve Dynamics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **37**. . [[Crossref](#)]
182. hongshan Ai, zhengqing zhou. The Impact of Greenspace on Firm Productivity: Nationwide Estimates for China **83**, . [[Crossref](#)]
183. David Lindequist, Samuel Selent. 2023. Did Shale Gas Green the U.S. Economy?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **59**. . [[Crossref](#)]
184. Jie Xie, Mingying Zhu. 2022. What are the economic concerns on environment? Mapping the research trends and frontiers on air pollution and health. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* **35**:1, 5070-5096. [[Crossref](#)]
185. Dandan Dou, Liying Li. 2022. Does sustainable financial inclusion and energy efficiency ensure green environment? Evidence from B.R.I.C.S. countries. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* **35**:1, 5599-5614. [[Crossref](#)]

186. Tunahan HACIİMAMOĞLU. 2022. MIKTA Ülkelerinde Çevresel Phillips Eğrisi Hipotezi Geçerli Mi?. *ODÜ Sosyal Bilimler Araştırmaları Dergisi (ODÜSOBİAD)* . [[Crossref](#)]
187. Eric B. Yiadom, Lord Mensah, Godfred A. Bokpin. 2022. Environmental Risk and Foreign Direct Investment: the role of Financial Sector Development. *Environmental Challenges* **9**, 100611. [[Crossref](#)]
188. Dan Pan, Wencheng Fan, Fanbin Kong. 2022. Dose environmental information disclosure raise public environmental concern? Generalized propensity score evidence from China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **379**, 134640. [[Crossref](#)]
189. Sabri Boubaker, Anis Omri. 2022. How does renewable energy contribute to the growth versus environment debate?. *Resources Policy* **79**, 103045. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Feng Mao, Joshua D. Miller, Sera L. Young, Stefan Krause, David M. Hannah, Alexandra Brewis, Alex Trowell, Amber L. Pearson, Amber Wutich, Andrea Sullivan, Asher Y. Rosinger, Ashley Hagaman, Cassandra Workman, Chad Staddon, Desire Tshala-Katumbay, Divya Krishnakumar, Ellis Adams, Ernesto C. Sánchez-Rodríguez, Genny Carrillo, Gershim Asiki, Hala Ghattas, Hassan Eini-Zinab, Hugo Melgar-Quiñonez, Farooq Ahmed, Javier Moran-Martinez, Jonathan Maupin, Jorge Escobar-Vargas, Justin Stoler, Jyoti Mathad, Kelly Chapman, Kenneth Maes, Luisa Samayoa-Figueroa, Mahdieh Sheikhi, Mallika Alexander, Marianne V. Santoso, Matthew C. Freeman, Michael J. Boivin, Milton Marin Morales, Mobolanle Balogun, Monet Ghorbani, Nasrin Omidvar, Nathaly Triviño, Nicola Hawley, Patrick Mbullo Owuor, Raymond Tutu, Roseanne C. Schuster, Sabrina Rasheed, Shalean M. Collins, Sonali Srivastava, Stroma Cole, Wendy Jepson, Yihew Tesfaye, Zeina Jamaluddine. 2022. Inequality of household water security follows a Development Kuznets Curve. *Nature Communications* **13**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
191. Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie, Maruf Yakubu Ahmed, Phebe Asantewaa Owusu. 2022. Global adaptation readiness and income mitigate sectoral climate change vulnerabilities. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* **9**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
192. Juan Du, Hongtao Yi. 2022. Target-setting, political incentives, and the tricky trade-off between economic development and environmental protection. *Public Administration* **100**:4, 923-941. [[Crossref](#)]
193. Linyan Fan, Sheng Yao. 2022. Analyst Site Visits and Corporate Environmental Information Disclosure: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **19**:23, 16223. [[Crossref](#)]
194. Chandrika Raghavendra, Mahesh Rampilla, Venkata Ramana Thanikella, Isha Gupta. 2022. Impact of Carbon Tax and Environmental Regulation on Inbound Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions Volume: An Evidence from India. *International Journal of Financial Studies* **10**:4, 106. [[Crossref](#)]
195. Benjamin Ampomah Asiedu. Economic Growth and Environmental Pollution; Testing the EKC Hypothesis in Brazil . [[Crossref](#)]
196. Chao Yan, Huixuan Li, Zhigang Li. 2022. Environmental pollution and economic growth: Evidence of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and GDP in China. *Frontiers in Public Health* **10**. . [[Crossref](#)]
197. Wyatt M. Champion, Mahmooda Khaliq, James R. Mihelcic. 2022. Advancing Knowledge to Reduce Lead Exposure of Children in Data-Poor Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* **9**:11, 879-888. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Joanna Stryjek. 2022. Od dyrektyw w sprawie jakości powietrza do Europejskiego Zielonego Ładu. *Sprawy Międzynarodowe* **74**:3, 111-129. [[Crossref](#)]
199. Muhammad Usman, Sofia Anwar, Muhammad Rizwan Yaseen, Muhammad Sohail Amjad Makhdam, Rakhshanda Kousar, Atif Jahanger. 2022. Unveiling the dynamic relationship between agriculture value addition, energy utilization, tourism and environmental degradation in South Asia. *Journal of Public Affairs* **22**:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
200. Jesús Peiró-Palomino, Ernesto Rodríguez-Crespo, Marta Suárez-Varela. 2022. Do countries with higher institutional quality transition to cleaner trade?. *Ecological Economics* **201**, 107554. [[Crossref](#)]

201. Patrícia Hipólito Leal, António Cardoso Marques. 2022. The evolution of the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis assessment: A literature review under a critical analysis perspective. *Heliyon* 8:11, e11521. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Raghavendra Chandrika, Rampilla Mahesh, Naliniprava Tripathy. 2022. Is India a pollution haven? Evidence from cross-border mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 376, 134355. [[Crossref](#)]
203. P.A. Lindsey, S.H. Anderson, A. Dickman, P. Gandiwa, S. Harper, A.B. Morakinyo, N. Nyambe, M. O'Brien-Onyeka, C. Packer, A.H. Parker, A.S. Robson, Alice Ruhweza, E.A. Sogbohossou, K.W. Steiner, P.N. Tumenta. 2022. Shepherding Sub-Saharan Africa's Wildlife Through Peak Anthropogenic Pressure Toward a Green Anthropocene. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 47:1, 91-121. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Simona-Roxana Ulman, Costica Mihai, Cristina Cautisanu, Ioan-Sebastian Brumă, Oana Coca, Gavril Stefan. 2022. Environmental wellbeing in the context of sustainable development: Evidence from post-communist economies. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 10. . [[Crossref](#)]
205. Yunwei Li, Qiuping Ji, Zijie Wang, Zishan Xiong, Simeng Zhan, Yiping Yang, Yu Hao. 2022. Green energy mismatch, industrial intelligence and economics growth: theory and empirical evidence from China. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 24:10, 11785-11816. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Li Jian, Kong Chuimin, Zhang Jijian, Kong Yusheng, Albert Henry Ntarmah. 2022. The relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation: could West African countries benefit from EKC hypothesis?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 29:48, 73052-73070. [[Crossref](#)]
207. Belinda L. Del Gaudio, Daniele Previtali, Gabriele Sampagnaro, Vincenzo Verdoliva, Samuel Vigne. 2022. Syndicated green lending and lead bank performance. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting* 33:3, 412-427. [[Crossref](#)]
208. Zhe Cheng, Tianyu Zhao, Yixin Zhu, Hanshi Li. 2022. Evaluating the Coupling Coordinated Development between Regional Ecological Protection and High-Quality Development: A Case Study of Guizhou, China. *Land* 11:10, 1775. [[Crossref](#)]
209. Qi Yang, Zhonggen Sun, Hubiao Zhang. 2022. Assessment of Urban Green Development Efficiency Based on Three-Stage DEA: A Case Study from China's Yangtze River Delta. *Sustainability* 14:19, 12076. [[Crossref](#)]
210. Waqas Waqas, Dilawar Khan, Róbert Magda. 2022. The Impact of Forest Wood Product Exports on Environmental Performance in Asia. *Sustainability* 14:20, 13334. [[Crossref](#)]
211. René Augusto Marín-Leyva, América I. Zamora-Torres, Carlos Francisco Ortiz-Paniagua. 2022. Economía, energía y calidad ambiental en APEC, 1990-2018. *Revista Mexicana de Economía y Finanzas* 17:4, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
212. Sevginaz IŞIK. 2022. The Myth of the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Second Generation Panel Approach for MIST Countries. *Alanya Akademik Bakış* 6:3, 3199-3214. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Mohsin Shabir, Abid Rashid Gill, Minhaj Ali. 2022. The impact of transport energy consumption and foreign direct investment on CO2 emissions in ASEAN countries. *Frontiers in Energy Research* 10. . [[Crossref](#)]
214. Yunpeng Sun, Hafiz Waqas Kamran, Asif Razzaq, Faisal Sultan Qadri, Wanich Suksatan. 2022. Dynamic and causality linkages from transportation services and tourism development to economic growth and carbon emissions: New insights from Quantile ARDL approach. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 18:5, 1272-1287. [[Crossref](#)]
215. Cengiz Aytun, Cemil Serhat Akin. 2022. Can education lower the environmental degradation? Bootstrap panel Granger causality analysis for emerging countries. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 24:9, 10666-10694. [[Crossref](#)]



216. Tobias Böhmelt. 2022. Environmental-agreement design and political ideology in democracies. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics* 22:3, 507-525. [[Crossref](#)]
217. Noman Arshed, Kamran Hameed, Asma Saher, Naveed Yazdani. 2022. The cultural differences in the effects of carbon emissions — an EKC analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 29:42, 63605-63621. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Mukut Sikder, Chao Wang, Xiaoxia Yao, Xu Huai, Limin Wu, Frederick KwameYeboah, Jacob Wood, Yuelin Zhao, Xuecheng Dou. 2022. The integrated impact of GDP growth, industrialization, energy use, and urbanization on CO2 emissions in developing countries: Evidence from the panel ARDL approach. *Science of The Total Environment* 837, 155795. [[Crossref](#)]
219. Zhe Yang, Zhenwu Xiong, Wenhao Xue, Yuhong Zhou. 2022. The Impact of Pollution Fee Reform on the Emission of Water Pollutants: Evidence from Manufacturing Enterprises in China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19:17, 10660. [[Crossref](#)]
220. Yifei Zhang, Yiwei Wang, Ye Jiang. 2022. Environmental Regulation, Local Government Competition, and High-Quality Development—Based on Panel Data of 78 Prefecture-Level Cities in the Yellow River Basin of China. *Water* 14:17, 2672. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Tonmoy Chatterjee. Environmental Regulation, International Trade, and Informal Sector 480-505. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Joseph A. Omojolaibi, Solomon P. Nathaniel. 2022. Assessing the potency of environmental regulation in maintaining environmental sustainability in MENA countries: An advanced panel data estimation. *Journal of Public Affairs* 22:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
223. Nyakundi M. Michieka, John Deal, Kyle Lahman. 2022. Air pollution and income inequality: a spatial econometric approach. *The Annals of Regional Science* 69:1, 1-31. [[Crossref](#)]
224. Qianqian Guo, Zhifang Su, Chaoshin Chiao. 2022. Carbon emissions trading policy, carbon finance, and carbon emissions reduction: evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in China. *Economic Change and Restructuring* 55:3, 1445-1480. [[Crossref](#)]
225. Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie, Phebe Asantewaa Owusu. 2022. Global land-use intensity and anthropogenic emissions exhibit symbiotic and explosive behavior. *iScience* 25:8, 104741. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Marion Dupoux, Vincent Martinet. 2022. Could the environment be a normal good for you and an inferior good for me? A theory of context-dependent substitutability and needs. *Resource and Energy Economics* 69, 101316. [[Crossref](#)]
227. Li Chen, Di Wang, Ruyi Shi. 2022. Can China's Carbon Emissions Trading System Achieve the Synergistic Effect of Carbon Reduction and Pollution Control?. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19:15, 8932. [[Crossref](#)]
228. Matthew E. Kahn, Somik Lall. Will the Developing World's Growing Middle Class Support Low-Carbon Policies? 1, . [[Crossref](#)]
229. Suvajit Banerjee. 2022. Emission-decoupling accounting framework of the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) for India with structurally decomposed production and consumption based drivers. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* 33:5, 1305-1325. [[Crossref](#)]
230. Ekundayo Peter Mesagan, Titilope Comfort Adewuyi, Olugbenga Olaoye. 2022. Corporate finance, industrial performance and environment in Africa: Lessons for policy. *Scientific African* 16, e01207. [[Crossref](#)]
231. Diego Sesma-Martín, Miguel Puente-Ajovín. 2022. The Environmental Kuznets Curve at the thermoelectricity-water nexus: Empirical evidence from Spain. *Water Resources and Economics* 39, 100202. [[Crossref](#)]



232. Yingying Yi, Xiaoxiao Yu, Xiaotong Sun. 2022. Will the liberalization of intermediate trade restrain corporate pollution emissions?—Empirical evidence from Chinese micro-enterprises. *Applied Economics* 54:30, 3521-3536. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Yonghong Lu, Rixing Liu. 2022. An Empirical Analysis of Jiangxi Province's Financial Development, Economic Growth, and Environmental Pollution. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing* 2022, 1-10. [[Crossref](#)]
234. Lin Yang. 2022. Economic-Environmental Law Guarantee of the Green and Sustainable Development: Role of Health Expenditure and Innovation. *Frontiers in Public Health* 10. . [[Crossref](#)]
235. Jules-Eric Tchapchet-Tchouto, Noukignon Koné, Loudi Njoya. 2022. Investigating the effects of environmental taxes on economic growth: Evidence from empirical analysis in European countries. *Environmental Economics* 13:1, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
236. Yating Dai, Hui Zhang, Jian Cheng, Xin Jiang, Xiuxiang Ji, Daolin Zhu. 2022. Whether ecological measures have influenced the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC)? An analysis using land footprint in the Weihe River Basin, China. *Ecological Indicators* 139, 108891. [[Crossref](#)]
237. Diego Martínez-Navarro, Ignacio Amate-Fortes, Almudena Guarnido-Rueda. 2022. The Kuznets Curve Hypothesis Checked Out on Up-To-Date Observations in African Countries. *Journal of Asian and African Studies* 57:4, 819-840. [[Crossref](#)]
238. Fenfen Shi, Rijia Ding, Heqing Li, Suli Hao. 2022. Environmental Regulation, Digital Financial Inclusion, and Environmental Pollution: An Empirical Study Based on the Spatial Spillover Effect and Panel Threshold Effect. *Sustainability* 14:11, 6869. [[Crossref](#)]
239. Jilin Tian, Yinong Liu. 2022. Spatial Interaction Effect of Nonpoint Source Pollution and Economic Growth on Agriculture Using Kuznets Curve and Grey Correlation Analysis. *Mobile Information Systems* 2022, 1-10. [[Crossref](#)]
240. Abhishek Dutta, Maniklal Adhikary. 2022. Impact of Time Trend and Heterogeneity on EKC in Asian Countries. *International Journal of Sustainable Economies Management* 11:1, 1-18. [[Crossref](#)]
241. Megha Jain, Simrit Kaur. 2022. Carbon emissions, inequalities and economic freedom: an empirical investigation in selected South Asian economies. *International Journal of Social Economics* 49:6, 882-913. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Moon Gyu Bae, Yi Chen Wang, Na Liu. 2022. Revisiting the Relationship Between the Strength of Environmental Regulation and Foreign Direct Investment. *Frontiers in Psychology* 13. . [[Crossref](#)]
243. Kazeem Bello Ajide, Ekundayo Peter Mesagan. 2022. Heterogeneous analysis of pollution abatement via renewable and non-renewable energy: lessons from investment in G20 nations. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 29:24, 36533-36546. [[Crossref](#)]
244. Olaniyi Evans, Ekundayo Peter Mesagan. 2022. ICT-trade and pollution in Africa: Do governance and regulation matter?. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 44:3, 511-531. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Muhlis Can, Jan Brusselaers, Mehmet Mercan. 2022. The effect of export composition on energy demand: A fresh evidence in the context of economic complexity. *Review of Development Economics* 26:2, 687-703. [[Crossref](#)]
246. Zorzeta Bakaki, Tobias Böhmelt, Hugh Ward. 2022. Carbon Emission Performance and Regime Type: The Role of Inequality. *Global Environmental Politics* 22:2, 156-179. [[Crossref](#)]
247. Xinfei Li, Yueming Li, Chang Xu, Jingyang Duan, Wenqi Zhao, Baodong Cheng, Yuan Tian. 2022. Can Environmental Regulation Reduce Urban Haze Concentration from the Perspective of China's Five Urban Agglomerations?. *Atmosphere* 13:5, 668. [[Crossref](#)]
248. Haiwei Jiang, Yiyao He. 2022. Evaluation of Optimal Policy on Environmental Change through Green Consumption. *Sustainability* 14:9, 4869. [[Crossref](#)]

249. Woon Gwan Jung, Heesung Woo, Yohan Lee. 2022. Why Social Capital Matters for the Success of REDD+ Programs. *Journal of People, Plants, and Environment* 25:2, 227-237. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Quynh Nguyen. 2022. The Green Backlash against Economic Globalization. *International Studies Review* 24:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
251. Muhammad Shahbaz, Avik Sinha, Andreas Kontoleon. 2022. Decomposing scale and technique effects of economic growth on energy consumption: Fresh evidence from developing economies. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 27:2, 1848-1869. [[Crossref](#)]
252. Sanjay Kumar Rout, Mohini Gupta, Malayaranjan Sahoo. 2022. The role of technological innovation and diffusion, energy consumption and financial development in affecting ecological footprint in BRICS: an empirical analysis. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 29:17, 25318-25335. [[Crossref](#)]
253. Kris A.G. Wyckhuys, Yi Zou, Thomas C. Wanger, Wenwu Zhou, Yubak Dhoj Gc, Yanhui Lu. 2022. Agro-ecology science relates to economic development but not global pesticide pollution. *Journal of Environmental Management* 307, 114529. [[Crossref](#)]
254. Muhammad Wasif Zafar, Syed Anees Haider Zaidi, Sadia Mansoor, Avik Sinha, Quande Qin. 2022. ICT and education as determinants of environmental quality: The role of financial development in selected Asian countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 177, 121547. [[Crossref](#)]
255. Eugenia M. Gayo, Ariel A. Muñoz, Antonio Maldonado, Céline Lavergne, Jean Pierre Francois, Delia Rodríguez, Karin Klock-Barría, Paul R. Sheppard, Isabella Aguilera-Betti, Carlos Alonso-Hernández, Marcelo Mena-Carrasco, Anahí Urquiza, Laura Gallardo. 2022. A Cross-Cutting Approach for Relating Anthropocene, Environmental Injustice and Sacrifice Zones. *Earth's Future* 10:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
256. Huanhong Li, Jianhua Xu, Jonathan B. Wiener. 2022. Comparing Environmental Risk Regulations in China and the United States. *Risk Analysis* 42:4, 730-756. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Sha Qiao, Caihong Zhang, Lizeth Cuesta, Rafael Alvarado, Stefania Pinzón, Diana Bravo-Benavides. 2022. Impact of Government Stability and Investment Profile on Forest Area: The Role of Natural Protected Areas. *Sustainability* 14:8, 4395. [[Crossref](#)]
258. Muhammad Azfar Anwar, Qingyu Zhang, Fahad Asmi, Nazim Hussain, Auke Plantinga, Muhammad Wasif Zafar, Avik Sinha. 2022. Global perspectives on environmental kuznets curve: A bibliometric review. *Gondwana Research* 103, 135-145. [[Crossref](#)]
259. Xu Dong, Yali Yang, Qinqin Zhuang, Weili Xie, Xiaomeng Zhao. 2022. Does Environmental Regulation Help Mitigate Factor Misallocation?—Theoretical Simulations Based on a Dynamic General Equilibrium Model and the Perspective of TFP. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19:6, 3642. [[Crossref](#)]
260. Dong-Hyeon Kim, Shu-Chin Lin. 2022. Trade Openness and Environmental Policy Stringency: Quantile Evidence. *Sustainability* 14:6, 3590. [[Crossref](#)]
261. Muhammed Ashiq Villanthenkodath, Mantu Kumar Mahalik. 2022. Technological innovation and environmental quality nexus in India: Does inward remittance matter?. *Journal of Public Affairs* 22:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
262. Philip E. Agbonifo. 2022. Socio-economic implications of poor environmental management: a framework on the Niger Delta questions. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 24:2, 2453-2470. [[Crossref](#)]
263. Matthew E. Kahn, Weizeng Sun, Siqi Zheng. 2022. Clean air as an experience good in urban China. *Ecological Economics* 192, 107254. [[Crossref](#)]
264. Lant Pritchett. 2022. National development delivers: And how! And how?. *Economic Modelling* 107, 105717. [[Crossref](#)]

265. Eric Evans Osei Opoku, Kingsley E. Dogah, Olufemi Adewale Aluko. 2022. The contribution of human development towards environmental sustainability. *Energy Economics* **106**, 105782. [[Crossref](#)]
266. Xinyao Li, Wenhao Xue, Kai Wang, Yunfei Che, Jing Wei. 2022. Environmental regulation and synergistic effects of PM2.5 control in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **337**, 130438. [[Crossref](#)]
267. Ekundayo Peter Mesagan, Chidi Ndubuisi Olunkwa. 2022. Heterogeneous analysis of energy consumption, financial development, and pollution in Africa: The relevance of regulatory quality. *Utilities Policy* **74**, 101328. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Abhinandan Kumar, Pardeep Singh, Pankaj Raizada, Chaudhery Mustansar Hussain. 2022. Impact of COVID-19 on greenhouse gases emissions: A critical review. *Science of The Total Environment* **806**, 150349. [[Crossref](#)]
269. Fei Han, Junming Li. 2022. Spatial Pattern and Spillover of Abatement Effect of Chinese Environmental Protection Tax Law on PM2.5 Pollution. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **19**:3, 1440. [[Crossref](#)]
270. Altanshagai Batmunkh, Agus Dwi Nugroho, Maria Fekete-Farkas, Zoltan Lakner. 2022. Global Challenges and Responses: Agriculture, Economic Globalization, and Environmental Sustainability in Central Asia. *Sustainability* **14**:4, 2455. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Büşra ŞİMŞEK, Halil TUNALI. 2022. REVISITING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CARBON EMISSIONS, MEDIUM AND HIGH-TECH INDUSTRIES AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: U-SHAPED EVIDENCE FROM DEVELOPING EUROPEAN COUNTRIES. *Finansal Araştırmalar ve Çalışmalar Dergisi* 273-284. [[Crossref](#)]
272. Su Jialu, Ma Zhiqiang, Li Mingxing, Fredrick Oteng Agyeman, Zhu Yue. 2022. Efficiency Evaluation and Influencing Factors of Government Financial Expenditure on Environmental Protection: An SBM Super-efficiency Model Based on Undesired Outputs. *Problemy Ekorozwoju* **17**:1, 140-150. [[Crossref](#)]
273. Yingqi Wei, Sasa Ding, Ziko Konwar. 2022. The two faces of FDI in environmental performance: a meta-analysis of empirical evidence in China. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Business Studies* **20**:1, 65-94. [[Crossref](#)]
274. Andreas Antoniadis, Alexander S. Antonarakis. Financial Crises, Environment and Transition 25-43. [[Crossref](#)]
275. Fatma Taşdemir. Development, Trade Openness, and Pollution: Is there any Threshold? 109-120. [[Crossref](#)]
276. Paul J.J. Welfens. A CO2 Tax as a Sensible Climate Policy Instrument 279-287. [[Crossref](#)]
277. Hongtao Hu, Krishna P. Paudel, Ying Tan. 2022. Income, Policy, and Pollution. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **81**:1, 131-153. [[Crossref](#)]
278. Murat Can Genç, Aykut Ekinci, Burçhan Sakarya. 2022. The impact of output volatility on CO2 emissions in Turkey: testing EKC hypothesis with Fourier stationarity test. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **29**:2, 3008-3021. [[Crossref](#)]
279. Sahere Golzari, Hamid Zare Abyaneh, Naghme Mobarghaee Dinan, Majid Delavar, Paul Daniel Wagner. 2022. Modeling the effects of human influences on water quality and quantity in the Zarrineh River Basin, Iran. *Journal of Hydro-environment Research* **40**, 51-63. [[Crossref](#)]
280. Xiang Sun, BoKuan Zhu, Shuai Zhang, Heng Zeng, Kuai Li, Bin Wang, ZhanFeng Dong, ChangChang Zhou. 2022. New indices system for quantifying the nexus between economic-social development, natural resources consumption, and environmental pollution in China during 1978–2018. *Science of The Total Environment* **804**, 150180. [[Crossref](#)]
281. João Leitão, Joaquim Ferreira, Ernesto Santibanez-González. 2022. New insights into decoupling economic growth, technological progress and carbon dioxide emissions: Evidence from 40 countries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **174**, 121250. [[Crossref](#)]

282. Debabrata Talukdar, Satheesh Seenivasan. 2022. Trend in the Double Burden of Malnutrition Among Adult Population in 188 Countries Over 42 Years: Insights and Implications from its Associative Relationship with National Income. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **395**. . [[Crossref](#)]
283. Chiara Falco, Raphael Corbi. 2022. Natural Disasters and Preferences for the Environment: Evidence from the Impressionable Years. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **23**. . [[Crossref](#)]
284. Matthew E. Kahn, Somik Lall. 2022. Will the Developing World's Growing Middle Class Support Low Carbon Policies?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **31**. . [[Crossref](#)]
285. Hans Degryse, Tarik Roukny, Joris Tielens. 2022. Asset Overhang and Technological Change. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **60**. . [[Crossref](#)]
286. Saptorshee Kanto Chakraborty, Antoine Mandel. 2022. EU Regional EKC Clusters. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **13**. . [[Crossref](#)]
287. Chukwuemeka Amaefule, Lawrence Oghenemaro Ebelebe. 2022. Climate Change Scare and FDI Migration. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research* **6**:3, em0191. [[Crossref](#)]
288. Chukwuemeka Amaefule, Ijeoma Emele Kalu, Sylvester Udeorah, Igwe Justice Ibeabuchi, Sunday Oluwafiro Adeola, Lawrence Oghenemaro Ebelebe. 2022. Transposed Second-Generation Environmental Kuznets Curve, Changing Climate Patterns, and Selected Development Indicators. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research* **6**:4, em0199. [[Crossref](#)]
289. Zorzeta Bakaki, Tobias Böhmelt. 2021. Can UN Peacekeeping Promote Environmental Quality?. *International Studies Quarterly* **65**:4, 881-890. [[Crossref](#)]
290. Muhammad Shafiullah, Vassilios G. Papavassiliou, Muhammad Shahbaz. 2021. Is There an Extended Education-Based Environmental Kuznets Curve? An Analysis of U.S. States. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **80**:4, 795-819. [[Crossref](#)]
291. Mehmet Demiral, Emrah Eray Akça, Ipek Tekin. 2021. Predictors of global carbon dioxide emissions: Do stringent environmental policies matter?. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **23**:12, 18337-18361. [[Crossref](#)]
292. Roxana Pincheira, Felipe Zúñiga, Francisco Valencia. 2021. An environmental measurement for a dynamic and endogenous global environmental Kuznets curve in the global context. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**:46, 65573-65594. [[Crossref](#)]
293. Umme Habiba, Cao Xinbang, Rahil Irfan Ahmad. 2021. The influence of stock market and financial institution development on carbon emissions with the importance of renewable energy consumption and foreign direct investment in G20 countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**:47, 67677-67688. [[Crossref](#)]
294. Claire E.W. Yameogo, Joseph A. Omojolaibi, Risikat O.S. Dauda. 2021. Economic globalisation, institutions and environmental quality in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Research in Globalization* **3**, 100035. [[Crossref](#)]
295. Mary Oluwatoyin Agboola, Festus Victor Bekun, Udi Joshua. 2021. Pathway to environmental sustainability: Nexus between economic growth, energy consumption, CO2 emission, oil rent and total natural resources rent in Saudi Arabia. *Resources Policy* **74**, 102380. [[Crossref](#)]
296. Jingneng Huang, Sen Zhang, Yiwen Zou, Jun Tai, Yuqing Shi, Bingbing Fu, Jun Zhao, Guangren Qian. 2021. The heterogeneous time and income effects in Kuznets curves of municipal solid waste generation: comparing developed and developing economies. *Science of The Total Environment* **799**, 149157. [[Crossref](#)]
297. Junbing Huang, Xinghao Li, Yajun Wang, Hongyan Lei. 2021. The effect of energy patents on China's carbon emissions: Evidence from the STIRPAT model. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **173**, 121110. [[Crossref](#)]

298. Muhammed Ashiq Villanthenkodath, Mohini Gupta, Seema Saini, Malayaranjan Sahoo. 2021. Impact of Economic Structure on the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis in India. *Journal of Economic Structures* 10:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
299. Annie Tubadji, Valentina Montalto. 2021. Geographies of Flowers and Geographies of Flower Power. *Sustainability* 13:24, 13712. [[Crossref](#)]
300. Wei Hu, Zhenxing Xiong. 2021. Do stringent environmental regulations help improve the total factor carbon productivity? Empirical evidence from China's industrial sectors. *Applied Economics* 53:55, 6398–6411. [[Crossref](#)]
301. Susmita Dasgupta, Somik Lall, David Wheeler. Urban CO 2 Emissions: A Global Analysis with New Satellite Data 125, . [[Crossref](#)]
302. Yu Hao, Shang Gao, Yunxia Guo, Zhiqiang Gai, Haitao Wu. 2021. Measuring the nexus between economic development and environmental quality based on environmental Kuznets curve: a comparative study between China and Germany for the period of 2000–2017. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 23:11, 16848–16873. [[Crossref](#)]
303. Rui Yang, Xin Miao, Christina W.Y. Wong, Teng Wang, Mengjin Du. 2021. Assessment on the interaction between technology innovation and eco-environmental systems in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 28:44, 63127–63149. [[Crossref](#)]
304. Man Qin, Mingxue Sun, Jun Li. 2021. Impact of environmental regulation policy on ecological efficiency in four major urban agglomerations in eastern China. *Ecological Indicators* 130, 108002. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Chuanglin Fang, Haimeng Liu, Shaojian Wang. 2021. The coupling curve between urbanization and the eco-environment: China's urban agglomeration as a case study. *Ecological Indicators* 130, 108107. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Li He, Xiaoling Zhang, Yaxue Yan. 2021. Heterogeneity of the Environmental Kuznets Curve across Chinese cities: How to dance with 'shackles'?. *Ecological Indicators* 130, 108128. [[Crossref](#)]
307. Haitao Wu, Yan Xue, Yu Hao, Siyu Ren. 2021. How does internet development affect energy-saving and emission reduction? Evidence from China. *Energy Economics* 103, 105577. [[Crossref](#)]
308. Quynh Nguyen, Edmund Malesky. 2021. Fish or steel? New evidence on the environment–economy trade-off in developing Vietnam. *World Development* 147, 105603. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Davor Mance, Katarina Krunić, Diana Mance. 2021. Protecting Species by Promoting Protected Areas and Human Development—A Panel Analysis. *Sustainability* 13:21, 11970. [[Crossref](#)]
310. Wilman-Santiago Ochoa-Moreno, Byron Alejandro Quito, Carlos Andrés Moreno-Hurtado. 2021. Foreign Direct Investment and Environmental Quality: Revisiting the EKC in Latin American Countries. *Sustainability* 13:22, 12651. [[Crossref](#)]
311. Henry Laverde-Rojas, Juan C. Correa. 2021. Economic Complexity, Economic Growth, and CO 2 Emissions: A Panel Data Analysis. *International Economic Journal* 35:4, 411–433. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Yexing Yin, Xinrui Xiong, Sana Ullah, Sidra Sohail. 2021. Examining the asymmetric socioeconomic determinants of CO2 emissions in China: challenges and policy implications. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 28:40, 57115–57125. [[Crossref](#)]
313. Saptorshee Kanto Chakraborty, Massimiliano Mazzanti. 2021. Revisiting the literature on the dynamic Environmental Kuznets Curves using a latent structure approach. *Economia Politica* 38:3, 923–941. [[Crossref](#)]
314. Dongyang Zhang. 2021. Marketization, environmental regulation, and eco-friendly productivity: A Malmquist–Luenberger index for pollution emissions of large Chinese firms. *Journal of Asian Economics* 76, 101342. [[Crossref](#)]

315. Ana R. Leal, Bryan W. Husted, Miguel Alejandro Flores Segovia. 2021. Environmental performance spillovers among Mexican industrial facilities: The case of greenhouse gases. *Journal of Business Research* **135**, 711-720. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Ferda Yerdelen Tatoğlu, Buğra Polat. 2021. Occurrence of turnig points on environmental kuznets curve: Sharp breaks or smooth shifts?. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **317**, 128333. [[Crossref](#)]
317. Matías Piaggio. 2021. The value of public urban green spaces: Measuring the effects of proximity to and size of urban green spaces on housing market values in San José, Costa Rica. *Land Use Policy* **109**, 105656. [[Crossref](#)]
318. Ghassan Dibeh, Ali Fakhri, Walid Marrouch, Ghida Matar. 2021. Who Cares About Environmental Quality in the MENA Region?. *Social Indicators Research* **157:2**, 603-629. [[Crossref](#)]
319. Ming Chen, Hongquan Chen. 2021. Spatiotemporal coupling measurement of industrial wastewater discharge and industrial economy in China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28:34**, 46319-46333. [[Crossref](#)]
320. Salih Cagri Ilkay, Veli Yilanci, Recep Ulucak, Kirsten Jones. 2021. Technology spillovers and sustainable environment: Evidence from time-series analyses with Fourier extension. *Journal of Environmental Management* **294**, 113033. [[Crossref](#)]
321. Tomasz Rokicki, Grzegorz Koszela, Luiza Ochnio, Kamil Wojtczuk, Marcin Ratajczak, Hubert Szczepaniuk, Konrad Michalski, Piotr Bórawski, Aneta Bełdycka-Bórawska. 2021. Diversity and Changes in Energy Consumption by Transport in EU Countries. *Energies* **14:17**, 5414. [[Crossref](#)]
322. Guangqin Li, Qiao He, Dongmei Wang, Bofan Liu. 2021. Environmental non-governmental organizations and air-pollution governance: Empirical evidence from OECD countries. *PLOS ONE* **16:8**, e0255166. [[Crossref](#)]
323. Büşra ŞİMŞEK, Halil TUNALI. 2021. Revisiting the Relationship between Carbon Emission, Medium and High-Tech Industries and Economic Growth: U-shaped Evidence from Developing European Countries. *Finansal Araştırmalar ve Çalışmalar Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
324. Kazeem Bello Ajide, Ridwan Lanre Ibrahim. 2021. Threshold effects of capital investments on carbon emissions in G20 economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28:29**, 39052-39070. [[Crossref](#)]
325. Shemelis Kebede Hundie. 2021. Income inequality, economic growth and carbon dioxide emissions nexus: empirical evidence from Ethiopia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28:32**, 43579-43598. [[Crossref](#)]
326. Mushtaq Ahmad Malik. 2021. Economic growth, energy consumption, and environmental quality nexus in Turkey: Evidence from simultaneous equation models. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28:31**, 41988-41999. [[Crossref](#)]
327. Jiajia Guo, Yong Zhou, Shahid Ali, Umer Shahzad, Lianbiao Cui. 2021. Exploring the role of green innovation and investment in energy for environmental quality: An empirical appraisal from provincial data of China. *Journal of Environmental Management* **292**, 112779. [[Crossref](#)]
328. Qun Bao, Min Shao, Dali Yang. 2021. Environmental regulation, local legislation and pollution control in China. *Environment and Development Economics* **26:4**, 321-339. [[Crossref](#)]
329. Teevrat Garg, Ajay Shenoy. 2021. The Ecological Impact of Place-Based Economic Policies. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **103:4**, 1239-1250. [[Crossref](#)]
330. Quynh Nguyen, Robert A. Huber, Thomas Bernauer. 2021. Environmental Impacts and Public Opinion About International Trade: Experimental Evidence from Six OECD Countries. *Global Environmental Politics* **21:3**, 49-76. [[Crossref](#)]



331. Natalia Porto, Matías Ciaschi. 2021. Reformulating the tourism-extended environmental Kuznets curve: A quantile regression analysis under environmental legal conditions. *Tourism Economics* 27:5, 991-1014. [[Crossref](#)]
332. James Ming Chen, Mira Zovko, Nika Šimurina, Vatroslav Zovko. 2021. Fear in a Handful of Dust: The Epidemiological, Environmental, and Economic Drivers of Death by PM2.5 Pollution. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18:16, 8688. [[Crossref](#)]
333. Michael C. Morris. 2021. The Voiceless Animal Cruelty Index and its relationship to per capita purchasing power parity and inequality. *Kōtuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online* 16:2, 384-395. [[Crossref](#)]
334. Mohammad Mafizur Rahman, Rabindra Nepal, Khosrul Alam. 2021. Impacts of human capital, exports, economic growth and energy consumption on CO2 emissions of a cross-sectionally dependent panel: Evidence from the newly industrialized countries (NICs). *Environmental Science & Policy* 121, 24-36. [[Crossref](#)]
335. Estrella Trincado, Antonio Sánchez-Bayón, José María Vindel. 2021. The European Union Green Deal: Clean Energy Wellbeing Opportunities and the Risk of the Jevons Paradox. *Energies* 14:14, 4148. [[Crossref](#)]
336. Bo Sui, Chun-Ping Chang, Yin Chu. 2021. Political Stability: an Impetus for Spatial Environmental Spillovers. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 79:2, 387-415. [[Crossref](#)]
337. Qi-Cheng Yang, Gen-Fu Feng, Chun-Ping Chang, Quan-Jing Wang. 2021. Environmental protection and performance: A bi-directional assessment. *Science of The Total Environment* 774, 145747. [[Crossref](#)]
338. Kiranmayi Raparathi. 2021. Assessing the Relationship Between Urban Planning Policies, Gender, and Climate Change Mitigation: Regression Model Evaluation of Indian Cities. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development* 147:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
339. EKUNDAYO PETER MESAGAN, KAYODE ABIODUN AKINYEMI, ISMAILA AKANNI YUSUF. 2021. FINANCIAL INTEGRATION AND ENVIRONMENT IN AFRICA: THE ROLE OF OUTPUT GROWTH AND FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT. *International Journal of Big Data Mining for Global Warming* 03:01, 2150002. [[Crossref](#)]
340. Steve Cohn. 2021. The Implications of the Triumph of Neoclassical Economics over Marxist Economics in China. *Review of Radical Political Economics* 53:2, 281-299. [[Crossref](#)]
341. Solomon Prince Nathaniel. 2021. Natural Resources, Urbanisation, Economic Growth and the Ecological Footprint in South Africa: The Moderating Role of Human Capital. *Quaestiones Geographicae* 40:2, 63-76. [[Crossref](#)]
342. Ellie-Anne Jones, Rick Stafford. 2021. Neoliberalism and the Environment: Are We Aware of Appropriate Action to Save the Planet and Do We Think We Are Doing Enough?. *Earth* 2:2, 331-339. [[Crossref](#)]
343. Xinfeng Li, Baodong Cheng, Qiling Hong, Chang Xu. 2021. Can a Win-Win Situation of Economy and Environment Be Achieved in Cities by the Government's Environmental Regulations?. *Sustainability* 13:11, 5829. [[Crossref](#)]
344. James Temitope Dada, Folorunsho Monsur Ajide, Akinwumi Sharimakin. 2021. Shadow economy, institutions and environmental pollution: insights from Africa. *World Journal of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development* 18:2, 153-171. [[Crossref](#)]
345. Wenwen Wang, Xinran Sun, Ming Zhang. 2021. Does the central environmental inspection effectively improve air pollution?-An empirical study of 290 prefecture-level cities in China. *Journal of Environmental Management* 286, 112274. [[Crossref](#)]

346. Mihaela Simionescu, Carmen Beatrice Păuna, Mihaela-Daniela Vornicescu Niculescu. 2021. The Relationship between Economic Growth and Pollution in Some New European Union Member States: A Dynamic Panel ARDL Approach. *Energies* **14**:9, 2363. [[Crossref](#)]
347. Timothy D. Terrell. 2021. Carbon flux and N- and M-shaped environmental Kuznets curves: evidence from international land use change. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* **10**:2, 155-174. [[Crossref](#)]
348. Muhammad Farhan Bashir, Benjiang Ma, Muhammad Adnan Bashir, Bilal, Luqman Shahzad. 2021. Scientific data-driven evaluation of academic publications on environmental Kuznets curve. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**:14, 16982-16999. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Enn Lun Yong. 2021. Understanding the economic impacts of sea-level rise on tourism prosperity: Conceptualization and panel data evidence. *Advances in Climate Change Research* **12**:2, 240-253. [[Crossref](#)]
350. Roxana Pincheira, Felipe Zuniga. 2021. Environmental Kuznets curve bibliographic map: a systematic literature review. *Accounting & Finance* **61**:S1, 1931-1956. [[Crossref](#)]
351. Annie Tubadji, Thomas Colwill, Don Webber. 2021. Voting with your feet or voting for Brexit: The tale of those stuck behind. *Regional Science Policy & Practice* **13**:2, 247-278. [[Crossref](#)]
352. Magdalena Cyrek, Piotr Cyrek. 2021. Does Economic Structure Differentiate the Achievements towards Energy SDG in the EU?. *Energies* **14**:8, 2229. [[Crossref](#)]
353. Annie Young Song. 2021. Linking trade and environment in emerging economies: Korea's ambition for making green free trade agreements. *The Pacific Review* **34**:2, 321-350. [[Crossref](#)]
354. Shahid Ali, Eyup Dogan, Fuzhong Chen, Zeeshan Khan. 2021. International trade and environmental performance in top ten-emitters countries: The role of eco-innovation and renewable energy consumption. *Sustainable Development* **29**:2, 378-387. [[Crossref](#)]
355. Muhammad Iftikhar Ul Husnain, Azad Haider, Muhammad Aamir Khan. 2021. Does the environmental Kuznets curve reliably explain a developmental issue?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**:9, 11469-11485. [[Crossref](#)]
356. Fatima Bibi, Muhammad Jamil. 2021. Testing environment Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis in different regions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**:11, 13581-13594. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Mahmoud Salari, Roxana J. Javid, Hamid Noghanibehambari. 2021. The nexus between CO2 emissions, energy consumption, and economic growth in the U.S. *Economic Analysis and Policy* **69**, 182-194. [[Crossref](#)]
358. Nicolás Blampied. 2021. Economic growth, environmental constraints and convergence. *Ecological Economics* **181**, 106919. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Chor Foon Tang, Salah Abosedra, Navaz Naghavi. 2021. Does the quality of institutions and education strengthen the quality of the environment? Evidence from a global perspective. *Energy* **218**, 119303. [[Crossref](#)]
360. Xiaodong Yang, Jinning Zhang, Siyu Ren, Qiying Ran. 2021. Can the new energy demonstration city policy reduce environmental pollution? Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **287**, 125015. [[Crossref](#)]
361. Gal Hochman, David Zilberman. 2021. Optimal environmental taxation in response to an environmentally-unfriendly political challenger. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **106**, 102407. [[Crossref](#)]
362. Ekundayo Peter Mesagan, Kazeem Bello Ajide, Xuan Vinh Vo. 2021. Dynamic heterogeneous analysis of pollution reduction in SANEM countries: lessons from the energy-investment interaction. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **28**:5, 5417-5429. [[Crossref](#)]

363. Bruno Chiarini, Antonella D'Agostino, Elisabetta Marzano, Andrea Regoli. 2021. Air quality in urban areas: Comparing objective and subjective indicators in European countries. *Ecological Indicators* **121**, 107144. [[Crossref](#)]
364. Monica Singhanian, Neha Saini. 2021. Demystifying pollution haven hypothesis: Role of FDI. *Journal of Business Research* **123**, 516-528. [[Crossref](#)]
365. Chenxi Lu, Sergey Venevsky, Xiaoliang Shi, Lingyu Wang, Jonathon S. Wright, Chao Wu. 2021. Econometrics of the environmental Kuznets curve: Testing advancement to carbon intensity-oriented sustainability for eight economic zones in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **283**, 124561. [[Crossref](#)]
366. Mantu Kumar Mahalik, Hrushikesh Mallick, Hemachandra Padhan. 2021. Do educational levels influence the environmental quality? The role of renewable and non-renewable energy demand in selected BRICS countries with a new policy perspective. *Renewable Energy* **164**, 419-432. [[Crossref](#)]
367. Cosimo Magazzino, Marco Mele, Nicolas Schneider, Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie. 2021. Waste generation, wealth and GHG emissions from the waste sector: Is Denmark on the path towards circular economy?. *Science of The Total Environment* **755**, 142510. [[Crossref](#)]
368. Evan D. Peet. 2021. Early-life environment and human capital: evidence from the Philippines. *Environment and Development Economics* **26**:1, 1-25. [[Crossref](#)]
369. Izhar Hussain Shah, Hung-Suck Park. 2021. Chronological change of resource metabolism and decarbonization patterns in Pakistan: Perspectives from a typical developing country. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* **25**:1, 144-161. [[Crossref](#)]
370. Matthew E. Kahn, Nancy Lozano-Gracia, Maria Edisa Soppelsa. 2021. POLLUTION'S ROLE IN REDUCING URBAN QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE DEVELOPING WORLD. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **35**:1, 330-347. [[Crossref](#)]
371. João Leitão, Joaquim Ferreira. 2021. Dynamic Effects of Material Production and Environmental Sustainability on Economic Vitality Indicators: A Panel VAR Approach. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* **14**:2, 74. [[Crossref](#)]
372. Su-Mei Chen, Jia-Jia Ou, Ling-Yun He. 2021. The Environmental and Health Impacts of Poverty Alleviation in China: From a Consumption-Based Perspective. *Sustainability* **13**:4, 1784. [[Crossref](#)]
373. Abdelmohsen A. Nassani, Muhammad Moinuddin Qazi Abro, Rubeena Batool, Syed Haider Ali Shah, Shabir Hyder, Khalid Zaman. 2021. Go-for-green policies: The role of finance and trade for sustainable development. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **26**:1, 1409-1423. [[Crossref](#)]
374. Mariana Conte Grand. Rankings for Carbon Emissions and Economic Growth Decoupling 61-83. [[Crossref](#)]
375. Youssef Abdul Razzak Doughan. Factors of Production, Economic Growth, and Sustainable Development 427-439. [[Crossref](#)]
376. Maniklal Adhikary, Chandrasekhar Hajra. Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Revisit in the Case of SAARC 133-155. [[Crossref](#)]
377. Indrani Roy Chowdhury, Anusree Paul, Tapaswini Nayak. Ambient Air Pollution and Respiratory Illness: A Study in Opencast Coal Mining Region of Odisha 281-301. [[Crossref](#)]
378. Abdel-Mohsen O. Mohamed, Munjed A. Maraqa, Fares M. Howari, Evan K. Paleologos. Outdoor air pollutants 491-554. [[Crossref](#)]
379. Shikha Singh, Abhinav Yadav. Interconnecting the environment with economic development of a nation 35-60. [[Crossref](#)]
380. Daniela Perrotti, Pramit Verma, K.K. Srivastava, Pardeep Singh. Challenges and opportunities at the crossroads of Environmental Sustainability and Economy research 345-360. [[Crossref](#)]

381. Mohamed K. Mostafa, Gamil Gamal, A. Wafiq. 2021. The impact of COVID 19 on air pollution levels and other environmental indicators - A case study of Egypt. *Journal of Environmental Management* 277, 111496. [[Crossref](#)]
382. Murtala Bello Aliyu, Mohd Hafiz Mohd. Effects of competitor species growth rate on species biodiversity 020030. [[Crossref](#)]
383. Orla Kelly, Ryan P. Thombs, Andrew Jorgenson. 2021. The Unsustainable State: Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Inequality, and Human Well-Being in the United States, 1913 to 2017. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World* 7. . [[Crossref](#)]
384. Timileyin Oni. 2021. Assessment of Domestic Solid Waste Recycling In Ilorin South LGA. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 3. . [[Crossref](#)]
385. Christopher B. Barrett, Ariel Ortiz-Bobea, Trinh Pham. 2021. Structural Transformation, Agriculture, Climate and the Environment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 103. . [[Crossref](#)]
386. Daniel Balsalobre-Lorente, Nuno Carlos Leitão, Festus Victor Bekun. 2021. Fresh Validation of the Low Carbon Development Hypothesis under the EKC Scheme in Portugal, Italy, Greece and Spain. *Energies* 14:1, 250. [[Crossref](#)]
387. Murat PÜTÜN, Mehmet SEDAT UĞUR. 2020. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ECONOMIC GROWTH AND CAPACITY FOR GENERATING CO2 EMISSIONS: TESTING THE VALIDITY OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE FOR TURKEY. *International Journal of Management Economics and Business* 16:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
388. Raahil Madhok, Sumeet Gulati. Ruling the Roost: Avian Species Reclaim Urban Habitat During India's COVID Lockdown 739, . [[Crossref](#)]
389. Mucahit Aydin, Yunus Emre Turan. 2020. The influence of financial openness, trade openness, and energy intensity on ecological footprint: revisiting the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis for BRICS countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:34, 43233-43245. [[Crossref](#)]
390. Yulin Liu, Jun Wang. 2020. Environmental pollution, environmental regulation, and labor income share. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:36, 45161-45174. [[Crossref](#)]
391. Laté A. LAWSON, Roberto MARTINO, Phu NGUYEN-VAN. 2020. Environmental convergence and environmental Kuznets curve: A unified empirical framework. *Ecological Modelling* 437, 109289. [[Crossref](#)]
392. Wanfang Xiong, Yan Han, M. James C. Crabbe, Xiao-Guang Yue. 2020. Fiscal Expenditures on Science and Technology and Environmental Pollution: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17:23, 8761. [[Crossref](#)]
393. Mathilde Salin. 2020. La « courbe de Kuznets environnementale » et le « découplage » : deux concepts du débat sur la croissance verte. *Regards croisés sur l'économie* n° 26:1, 145-152. [[Crossref](#)]
394. Aparna Sajeev, Simrit Kaur. 2020. Environmental sustainability, trade and economic growth in India: implications for public policy. *International Trade, Politics and Development* 4:2, 141-160. [[Crossref](#)]
395. Benjamin Cashore, Iben Nathan. 2020. Can finance and market driven (FMD) interventions make “weak states” stronger? Lessons from the good governance norm complex in Cambodia. *Ecological Economics* 177, 106689. [[Crossref](#)]
396. Kanon Kumar Sen, Md. Thasinul Abedin. 2020. A comparative analysis of environmental quality and Kuznets curve between two newly industrialized economies. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* 32:2, 308-327. [[Crossref](#)]
397. Alexandra-Anca Purcel. 2020. New insights into the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in developing and transition economies: a literature survey. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* 22:4, 585-631. [[Crossref](#)]

398. Hüseyin Şentürk, Tolga Omay, Julide Yildirim, Nezir Köse. 2020. Environmental Kuznets Curve: Non-Linear Panel Regression Analysis. *Environmental Modeling & Assessment* 25:5, 633-651. [[Crossref](#)]
399. Sofien Tiba, Fateh Belaid. 2020. The pollution concern in the era of globalization: Do the contribution of foreign direct investment and trade openness matter?. *Energy Economics* 92, 104966. [[Crossref](#)]
400. Zheng Fang, Bihong Huang, Zhuoxiang Yang. 2020. Trade openness and the environmental Kuznets curve: Evidence from Chinese cities. *The World Economy* 43:10, 2622-2649. [[Crossref](#)]
401. Simona-Roxana Ulman, Costica Mihai, Cristina Cautisanu. 2020. Peculiarities of the Relation between Human and Environmental Wellbeing in Different Stages of National Development. *Sustainability* 12:19, 8106. [[Crossref](#)]
402. Felix Nutakor, Sylvestre Bizumuremyi, Jinke Li, Wei Liu. 2020. Does the Environmental Kuznets Curve for CO2 Emissions Exist for Rwanda? Evidence from Bootstrapped Rolling-Window Granger Causality Test. *Sustainability* 12:20, 8636. [[Crossref](#)]
403. Xia Chen, Chun-Ping Chang. 2020. Fiscal decentralization, environmental regulation, and pollution: a spatial investigation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:25, 31946-31968. [[Crossref](#)]
404. Manel Kamoun, Ines Abdelkafi, Abdelfetah Ghorbel. 2020. Does Renewable Energy Technologies and Poverty Affect the Sustainable Growth in Emerging Countries?. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 11:3, 865-887. [[Crossref](#)]
405. Sofien Tiba, Mohamed Frikha. 2020. EKC and Macroeconomics Aspects of Well-being: a Critical Vision for a Sustainable Future. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 11:3, 1171-1197. [[Crossref](#)]
406. Seref Bozoklu, A. Oguz Demir, Sinan Ataer. 2020. Reassessing the environmental Kuznets curve: a summability approach for emerging market economies. *Eurasian Economic Review* 10:3, 513-531. [[Crossref](#)]
407. Mohamed M. Mostafa. 2020. Catastrophe Theory Predicts International Concern for Global Warming. *Journal of Quantitative Economics* 18:3, 709-731. [[Crossref](#)]
408. Laura Bakkensen, Paul Schuler. 2020. A preference for power: Willingness to pay for energy reliability versus fuel type in Vietnam. *Energy Policy* 144, 111696. [[Crossref](#)]
409. Fnu Alisha, Meri Davlasheridze, Nikolaos Mykoniatis. 2020. Socioeconomic drivers of marine debris in North America. *Marine Environmental Research* 160, 105042. [[Crossref](#)]
410. Enrico Maria Mosconi, Andrea Colantoni, Filippo Gambella, Eva Cudlinová, Luca Salvati, Jesús Rodrigo-Comino. 2020. Revisiting the Environmental Kuznets Curve: The Spatial Interaction between Economy and Territory. *Economies* 8:3, 74. [[Crossref](#)]
411. Davor Mance, Siniša Vilke, Borna Debelić. 2020. Sustainable Governance of Coastal Areas and Tourism Impact on Waste Production: Panel Analysis of Croatian Municipalities. *Sustainability* 12:18, 7243. [[Crossref](#)]
412. Song Jiang, Shuang Qiu, Jie Zhou. 2020. Re-Examination of the Relationship between Agricultural Economic Growth and Non-Point Source Pollution in China: Evidence from the Threshold Model of Financial Development. *Water* 12:9, 2609. [[Crossref](#)]
413. Chong Wang, Peter W. Cardon, Jing Liu, Ghulam Rasool Madni. 2020. Social and economic factors responsible for environmental performance: A global analysis. *PLOS ONE* 15:8, e0237597. [[Crossref](#)]
414. Andrew Brennan, Astghik Mavisakalyan, Yashar Tarverdi. Responses to Climate Change 9-32. [[Crossref](#)]
415. Zhenran Li, Yan Song, Aina Zhou, Jun Liu, Jingru Pang, Ming Zhang. 2020. Study on the pollution emission efficiency of China's provincial regions: The perspective of Environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 263, 121497. [[Crossref](#)]

416. Yifei Zhang, Sheng Li, Tianyuan Luo, Jing Gao. 2020. The effect of emission trading policy on carbon emission reduction: Evidence from an integrated study of pilot regions in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **265**, 121843. [[Crossref](#)]
417. Lei Wang, Xuan Vinh Vo, Muhammad Shahbaz, Aysegul Ak. 2020. Globalization and carbon emissions: Is there any role of agriculture value-added, financial development, and natural resource rent in the aftermath of COP21?. *Journal of Environmental Management* **268**, 110712. [[Crossref](#)]
418. George Halkos, Kleoniki Natalia Petrou. 2020. The relationship between MSW and education: WKC evidence from 25 OECD countries. *Waste Management* **114**, 240-252. [[Crossref](#)]
419. Ajit Singh, William R Avis, Francis D Pope. 2020. Visibility as a proxy for air quality in East Africa. *Environmental Research Letters* **15**:8, 084002. [[Crossref](#)]
420. Lourdes Isabel Patiño, Emilio Padilla, Vicent Alcántara, Josep Lluís Raymond. 2020. The Relationship of Energy and CO2 Emissions with GDP per Capita in Colombia. *Atmosphere* **11**:8, 778. [[Crossref](#)]
421. Caterina Lucarelli, Camilla Mazzoli, Michela Rancan, Sabrina Severini. 2020. Classification of Sustainable Activities: EU Taxonomy and Scientific Literature. *Sustainability* **12**:16, 6460. [[Crossref](#)]
422. Zhenbo Zhang, Taijun Jin, Xiaohua Meng. 2020. From race-to-the-bottom to strategic imitation: how does political competition impact the environmental enforcement of local governments in China?. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **27**:20, 25675-25688. [[Crossref](#)]
423. Nina Eisenmenger, Melanie Pichler, Nora Krenmayr, Dominik Noll, Barbara Plank, Ekaterina Schalmann, Marie-Theres Wandl, Simone Gingrich. 2020. The Sustainable Development Goals prioritize economic growth over sustainable resource use: a critical reflection on the SDGs from a socio-ecological perspective. *Sustainability Science* **15**:4, 1101-1110. [[Crossref](#)]
424. Pasquale Paziienza, Caterina De Lucia. 2020. How does FDI in the “agricultural and fishing” sector affect methane emission? Evidence from the OECD countries. *Economia Politica* **37**:2, 441-462. [[Crossref](#)]
425. Simrat Kaur. 2020. Public preferences for setting up a biomass power plant to combat open-field burning of rice crop residues: A case study of district Sangrur, Punjab, India. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **138**, 105577. [[Crossref](#)]
426. Ranjula Bali Swain, Uma S. Kambhampati, Amin Karimu. 2020. Regulation, governance and the role of the informal sector in influencing environmental quality?. *Ecological Economics* **173**, 106649. [[Crossref](#)]
427. Cindy Cheng, Joan Barceló, Allison Spencer Hartnett, Robert Kubinec, Luca Messerschmidt. 2020. COVID-19 Government Response Event Dataset (CoronaNet v.1.0). *Nature Human Behaviour* **4**:7, 756-768. [[Crossref](#)]
428. Sam Asher, Teevrat Garg, Paul Novosad. 2020. The Ecological Impact of Transportation Infrastructure. *The Economic Journal* **130**:629, 1173-1199. [[Crossref](#)]
429. Paul J. Ferraro, Rhita Simorangkir. 2020. Conditional cash transfers to alleviate poverty also reduced deforestation in Indonesia. *Science Advances* **6**:24. . [[Crossref](#)]
430. Jason Hickel, Giorgos Kallis. 2020. Is Green Growth Possible?. *New Political Economy* **25**:4, 469-486. [[Crossref](#)]
431. Eyup Dogan, Recep Ulucak, Emrah Kocak, Cem Isik. 2020. The use of ecological footprint in estimating the Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis for BRICST by considering cross-section dependence and heterogeneity. *Science of The Total Environment* **723**, 138063. [[Crossref](#)]
432. D Horen Greenford, T Crownshaw, C Lesk, K Stadler, H D Matthews. 2020. Shifting economic activity to services has limited potential to reduce global environmental impacts due to the household consumption of labour. *Environmental Research Letters* **15**:6, 064019. [[Crossref](#)]



433. Anver C. Sadath, Rajesh H. Acharya. 2020. Economic growth and environmental degradation: How to balance the interests of developed and developing countries. *ECONOMICS AND POLICY OF ENERGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT* :2, 25-47. [[Crossref](#)]
434. Dohyung Kim, Sun Go. 2020. Human Capital and Environmental Sustainability. *Sustainability* 12:11, 4736. [[Crossref](#)]
435. Ming Zhang, Xinran Sun, Wenwen Wang. 2020. Study on the effect of environmental regulations and industrial structure on haze pollution in China from the dual perspective of independence and linkage. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 256, 120748. [[Crossref](#)]
436. B Mulyanto, D Ernawati, K Munibah. 2020. Relationship of the land status with forest and land fire disaster: case study in the Central Kalimantan Province. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 504:1, 012014. [[Crossref](#)]
437. Muhammad Shahbaz, Ilham Haouas, Kazi Sohag, Ilhan Ozturk. 2020. The financial development-environmental degradation nexus in the United Arab Emirates: the importance of growth, globalization and structural breaks. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:10, 10685-10699. [[Crossref](#)]
438. Octavio Fernández-Amador, Joseph F. Francois, Doris A. Oberdabernig, Patrick Tomberger. 2020. The methane footprint of nations: Stylized facts from a global panel dataset. *Ecological Economics* 170, 106528. [[Crossref](#)]
439. Muhammad Haseeb, Ilham Haouas, Mohammad Nasih, Leonardus WW. Mihardjo, Kittisak Jermsittiparsert. 2020. Asymmetric impact of textile and clothing manufacturing on carbon-dioxide emissions: Evidence from top Asian economies. *Energy* 196, 117094. [[Crossref](#)]
440. Jorge Rojas-Vallejos, Amy Lastuka. 2020. The income inequality and carbon emissions trade-off revisited. *Energy Policy* 139, 111302. [[Crossref](#)]
441. Min Jiang, Euijune Kim, Youngjin Woo. 2020. The Relationship between Economic Growth and Air Pollution—A Regional Comparison between China and South Korea. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17:8, 2761. [[Crossref](#)]
442. Hilaire Nkengfack, Serge Temkeng Djoudji, Hervé Kaffo Fotio. 2020. Gouvernance, institutions et protection de l'environnement dans les pays de la CEEAC. *Économie rurale* :371, 5-22. [[Crossref](#)]
443. Haroon Rasool, Mushtaq Ahmad Malik, Md. Tarique. 2020. The curvilinear relationship between environmental pollution and economic growth. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management* 14:5, 891-910. [[Crossref](#)]
444. Caner Demir, Raif Cergibozan, Ali Ari. 2020. Environmental dimension of innovation: time series evidence from Turkey. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 22:3, 2497-2516. [[Crossref](#)]
445. Izhar Hussain Shah, Liang Dong, Hung-Suck Park. 2020. Characterization of resource consumption and efficiency trends in Bangladesh, India and Pakistan: Economy-wide biotic and abiotic material flow accounting from 1978 to 2017. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 250, 119554. [[Crossref](#)]
446. Shen Yue, Irfan Ullah Munir, Shabir Hyder, Abdelmohsen A. Nassani, Muhammad Moinuddin Qazi Abro, Khalid Zaman. 2020. Sustainable food production, forest biodiversity and mineral pricing: Interconnected global issues. *Resources Policy* 65, 101583. [[Crossref](#)]
447. Daniele Malerba. 2020. Poverty alleviation and local environmental degradation: An empirical analysis in Colombia. *World Development* 127, 104776. [[Crossref](#)]
448. Aisha Sheikh, Owais Ibni Hassan. 2020. An Empirical Analysis of the Environmental Kuznets Curve for River Water Pollution in Uttar Pradesh. *The Indian Economic Journal* 68:1, 101-117. [[Crossref](#)]
449. Xinghua Zhao, Zongfeng Sun. 2020. The Effect of Satisfaction with Environmental Performance on Subjective Well-Being in China: GDP as a Moderating Factor. *Sustainability* 12:5, 1745. [[Crossref](#)]
450. Saeed Moshiri, Arian Daneshmand. 2020. How effective is government spending on environmental protection in a developing country?. *Journal of Economic Studies* 47:4, 789-803. [[Crossref](#)]

451. . References 91-116. [[Crossref](#)]
452. Yi Liu, Liyuan Yang, Wei Jiang. 2020. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of the relationship between water pollution and economic growth: a case study in Nansi Lake catchment, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:4, 4008-4020. [[Crossref](#)]
453. Oluwabunmi Opeyemi Adejumo. 2020. Environmental quality vs economic growth in a developing economy: complements or conflicts. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:6, 6163-6179. [[Crossref](#)]
454. Yangang Fang, Kai Xu, Xiaoyi Guo, Ying Hong. 2020. Identifying determinants of straw open field burning in northeast China: Toward greening agriculture base in newly industrializing countries. *Journal of Rural Studies* 74, 111-123. [[Crossref](#)]
455. Priscilla Massa-Sánchez, Luis Quintana-Romero, Ronny Correa-Quezada, María de la Cruz del Río-Rama. 2020. Empirical Evidence in Ecuador between Economic Growth and Environmental Deterioration. *Sustainability* 12:3, 853. [[Crossref](#)]
456. Arifur Rahman, S. M. Woahid Murad, Fayyaz Ahmad, Xiaowen Wang. 2020. Evaluating the EKC Hypothesis for the BCIM-EC Member Countries under the Belt and Road Initiative. *Sustainability* 12:4, 1478. [[Crossref](#)]
457. Kavi Bhalla, Dinesh Mohan, Brian O'Neill. 2020. What can we learn from the historic road safety performance of high-income countries?. *International Journal of Injury Control and Safety Promotion* 27:1, 27-34. [[Crossref](#)]
458. Julia Swart, Lisa Brinkmann. Economic Complexity and the Environment: Evidence from Brazil 3-45. [[Crossref](#)]
459. Youssef Abdul Razzak Doughan. Factors of Production, Economic Growth, and Sustainable Development 1-14. [[Crossref](#)]
460. Shasha Zhao. Healthy Cities and Sustainable Innovation 239-246. [[Crossref](#)]
461. Huawen Han, Haiying Huang, Xiangkai Li. Current Policies and Policy Implications for Environmental Pollution 219-245. [[Crossref](#)]
462. Canfei He, Xiyao Mao. Developing Environmental Economic Geography 17-55. [[Crossref](#)]
463. Faik Bilgili, Recep Ulucak, Emrah Koçak, Salih Çağrı İlkay. 2020. Does globalization matter for environmental sustainability? Empirical investigation for Turkey by Markov regime switching models. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 27:1, 1087-1100. [[Crossref](#)]
464. Daniel Balsalobre-Lorente, Muhammad Shahbaz, Aviral K. Tiwari, Jose C. Jabbour. The Applicability of the Inflection Point in the Environmental Correction Process 771-779. [[Crossref](#)]
465. Dorota Wawrzyniak, Wirginia Doryń. 2020. Does the quality of institutions modify the economic growth-carbon dioxide emissions nexus? Evidence from a group of emerging and developing countries. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 33:1, 124-144. [[Crossref](#)]
466. Matthew Ntow-Gyamfi, Godfred A. Bokpin, Anthony Q. Q. Aboagye, Charles Godfred Ackah. 2020. Environmental sustainability and financial development in Africa; does institutional quality play any role?. *Development Studies Research* 7:1, 93-118. [[Crossref](#)]
467. Lyubomira Dimitrova. The economy of Bulgarian Districts and its effect on environmental performance 85-98. [[Crossref](#)]
468. Lev E. Lukianov. 2020. ANALYSIS TOOLS OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL SITUATION IN CHINA. *Bulletin of the Moscow State Regional University (Geographical Environment and Living Systems)* :4, 57-69. [[Crossref](#)]
469. Ryan Abman, Teevrat Garg, Yao Pan, Saurabh Singhal. 2020. Agriculture and Deforestation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 95. . [[Crossref](#)]

470. Mousa Tawfeeq, Alan R. Collins, Adam Nowak. 2020. The dynamic response of coal consumption to energy prices and GDP: An ARDL approach to the U.S.. *AIMS Energy* **8**:6, 1156-1172. [[Crossref](#)]
471. İbrahim ÖZMEN, Mustafa GERÇEKER, Mehmet MUCUK. 2019. BRIC-T ÜLKELERİNDE EKONOMİK BÜYÜME, DIŞA AÇIKLIK, ELEKTRİK TÜKETİMİ VE ÇEVRE İLİŞKİSİNE YÖNELİK AMPİRİK BİR ÇALIŞMA. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi* **37**:4, 675-701. [[Crossref](#)]
472. Tiansen Liu, Yue Zhu, Yi Su. Characterizing the Environmental Kuznets Curve with Identifying its Influencing Factors in the Context of Low-carbon Economy 79-83. [[Crossref](#)]
473. Yakubu Awudu Sare. 2019. Effect of financial sector development on energy consumption in Africa: Is it threshold specific?. *International Journal of Green Energy* **16**:15, 1637-1645. [[Crossref](#)]
474. Yu Tu, Benhong Peng, Guo Wei, Ehsan Elahi, Tongrui Yu. 2019. Regional environmental regulation efficiency: spatiotemporal characteristics and influencing factors. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **26**:36, 37152-37161. [[Crossref](#)]
475. André Barreira da Silva Rocha, Gabriel Meyer Salomão. 2019. Environmental policy regulation and corporate compliance in evolutionary game models with well-mixed and structured populations. *European Journal of Operational Research* **279**:2, 486-501. [[Crossref](#)]
476. Samuel Adams, Alex O. Acheampong. 2019. Reducing carbon emissions: The role of renewable energy and democracy. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **240**, 118245. [[Crossref](#)]
477. Ming Zhang, Xiaoxiao Liu, Yueting Ding, Wenwen Wang. 2019. How does environmental regulation affect haze pollution governance?—An empirical test based on Chinese provincial panel data. *Science of The Total Environment* **695**, 133905. [[Crossref](#)]
478. Uwe Deichmann, Anna Reuter, Sebastian Vollmer, Fan Zhang. 2019. The relationship between energy intensity and economic growth: New evidence from a multi-country multi-sectorial dataset. *World Development* **124**, 104664. [[Crossref](#)]
479. Jaime Alonso-Carrera, Carlos de Miguel, Baltasar Manzano. 2019. Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation When Preferences are Non-homothetic. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **74**:3, 1011-1036. [[Crossref](#)]
480. Ran Xu, Li-Chen Chou, Wan-Hao Zhang. 2019. The effect of CO2 emissions and economic performance on hydrogen-based renewable production in 35 European Countries. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* **44**:56, 29418-29425. [[Crossref](#)]
481. Michael G. Faure, Roy A. Partain. *Environmental Law and Economics* **46**, . [[Crossref](#)]
482. Daniel Kwabena Twerefou, Wisdom Akpalu, Angela Cindy Emefa Mensah. 2019. Trade-induced environmental quality: the role of factor endowment and environmental regulation in Africa. *Climate and Development* **11**:9, 786-798. [[Crossref](#)]
483. Panayiotis Tzeremes. 2019. Does the Environmental Kuznets Curve Exist in the Chinese Regions?. *Global Economic Review* **48**:4, 363-377. [[Crossref](#)]
484. Thai-Ha Le, Youngho Chang, Donghyun Park. 2019. Economic development and environmental sustainability: evidence from Asia. *Empirical Economics* **57**:4, 1129-1156. [[Crossref](#)]
485. Stéphane Bouché, Carlos de Miguel. 2019. Endogenous aspirations, growth and the rise of environmental concerns. *Energy Economics* **84**, 104526. [[Crossref](#)]
486. Bo Pieter Johannes Andrée, Andres Chamorro, Phoebe Spencer, Eric Koomen, Harun Dogo. 2019. Revisiting the relation between economic growth and the environment; a global assessment of deforestation, pollution and carbon emission. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **114**, 109221. [[Crossref](#)]
487. Edward B. Barbier. *Natural Resources and Economic Development* **9**, . [[Crossref](#)]

488. Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie, Vladimir Strezov, Yijiao Jiang, Tim Evans. 2019. Proximate determinants of particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) emission, mortality and life expectancy in Europe, Central Asia, Australia, Canada and the US. *Science of The Total Environment* **683**, 489-497. [[Crossref](#)]
489. Rainald Borck, Michael Pflüger. 2019. Green cities? Urbanization, trade, and the environment. *Journal of Regional Science* **59**:4, 743-766. [[Crossref](#)]
490. C. Magazzino, G. Cerulli. 2019. The determinants of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in MENA countries: a responsiveness scores approach. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology* **26**:6, 522-534. [[Crossref](#)]
491. Octavio Fernández-Amador, Doris A. Oberdabernig, Patrick Tomberger. 2019. Testing for Convergence in Carbon Dioxide Emissions Using a Bayesian Robust Structural Model. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **73**:4, 1265-1286. [[Crossref](#)]
492. Ekundayo P. Mesagan, Wakeel A. Isola, Kazeem B. Ajide. 2019. The capital investment channel of environmental improvement: evidence from BRICS. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **21**:4, 1561-1582. [[Crossref](#)]
493. Luca Coscieme, Paul Sutton, Lars F. Mortensen, Ida Kubiszewski, Robert Costanza, Katherine Trebeck, Federico M. Pulselli, Biagio F. Giannetti, Lorenzo Fioramonti. 2019. Overcoming the Myths of Mainstream Economics to Enable a New Wellbeing Economy. *Sustainability* **11**:16, 4374. [[Crossref](#)]
494. Ralph P. Hall, Robert Ashford, Nicholas A. Ashford, Johan Arango-Quiroga. 2019. Universal Basic Income and Inclusive Capitalism: Consequences for Sustainability. *Sustainability* **11**:16, 4481. [[Crossref](#)]
495. Yi Liu, Zhengxian Zhang, Fengxian Zhang. 2019. Challenges for Water Security and Sustainable Socio-Economic Development: A Case Study of Industrial, Domestic Water Use and Pollution Management in Shandong, China. *Water* **11**:8, 1630. [[Crossref](#)]
496. Halit Levent Orman, Servet Ceylan, Burcu Yılmaz Şahin. 2019. DIŞ TİCARET VE ÇEVRE KİRLİLİĞİ İLİŞKİSİ: PANEL VERİ ANALİZİ. *Elektronik Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* **18**:71, 1483-1496. [[Crossref](#)]
497. Pablo Ponce, Rafael Alvarado, Katerine Ponce, Raquel Alvarado, Danny Granda, Karen Yaguana. 2019. Green returns of labor income and human capital: Empirical evidence of the environmental behavior of households in developing countries. *Ecological Economics* **160**, 105-113. [[Crossref](#)]
498. Hrushikesh Mallick, Hemachandra Padhan, Mantu Kumar Mahalik. 2019. Does skewed pattern of income distribution matter for the environmental quality? Evidence from selected BRICS economies with an application of Quantile-on-Quantile regression (QQR) approach. *Energy Policy* **129**, 120-131. [[Crossref](#)]
499. Stuart J. Barnes. 2019. Understanding plastics pollution: The role of economic development and technological research. *Environmental Pollution* **249**, 812-821. [[Crossref](#)]
500. Qiang Chen, Hongshan Ai, Yabin Zhang, Jiaxin Hou. 2019. Marketization and water resource utilization efficiency in China. *Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems* **22**, 32-43. [[Crossref](#)]
501. Megha Jain, Aishwarya Nagpal. 2019. Relationship Between Environmental Sustainability and Human Development Index: A Case of Selected South Asian Nations. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective* **23**:2, 125-133. [[Crossref](#)]
502. Hoong Chen Teo, Alex Mark Lechner, Grant W. Walton, Faith Ka Shun Chan, Ali Cheshmehzangi, May Tan-Mullins, Hing Kai Chan, Troy Sternberg, Ahimsa Campos-Arceiz. 2019. Environmental Impacts of Infrastructure Development under the Belt and Road Initiative. *Environments* **6**:6, 72. [[Crossref](#)]
503. Weidong Sun, Zhigang Chen, Danyang Wang. 2019. Can Land Marketization Help Reduce Industrial Pollution?. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **16**:12, 2213. [[Crossref](#)]

504. Zhang Fanyong, Du Yueping. 2019. Evaluating the efficiency of sub regional environmental regulations in China. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **267**:3, 032095. [[Crossref](#)]
505. Haoran Yang, Hao Zheng, Hongguang Liu, Qun Wu. 2019. NonLinear Effects of Environmental Regulation on Eco-Efficiency under the Constraint of Land Use Carbon Emissions: Evidence Based on a Bootstrapping Approach and Panel Threshold Model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **16**:10, 1679. [[Crossref](#)]
506. Rajan Parajuli, Omkar Joshi, Tek Maraseni. 2019. Incorporating Forests, Agriculture, and Energy Consumption in the Framework of the Environmental Kuznets Curve: A Dynamic Panel Data Approach. *Sustainability* **11**:9, 2688. [[Crossref](#)]
507. M. Ershad Hussain, Mahfuzul Haque. 2019. Is there any link between economic growth and earth's environment? Evidence from 127 countries for the period 2007–2015. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* **8**:2, 193–208. [[Crossref](#)]
508. George E. Halkos, Christina Bampatsou. 2019. Economic growth and environmental degradation: a conditional nonparametric frontier analysis. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* **21**:2, 325–347. [[Crossref](#)]
509. Yanan Wang, Yihui Zuo, Wei Li, Yanqing Kang, Wei Chen, Minjuan Zhao, Haibin Chen. 2019. Does environmental regulation affect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions? Analysis based on threshold effect model. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* **21**:3, 565–577. [[Crossref](#)]
510. Kunlun Wang, Hongchun Yin, Yiwen Chen. 2019. The effect of environmental regulation on air quality: A study of new ambient air quality standards in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **215**, 268–279. [[Crossref](#)]
511. Qingshan Chen, Shah Muhammad Kamran, Hongzhong Fan. 2019. Real estate investment and energy efficiency: Evidence from China's policy experiment. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **217**, 440–447. [[Crossref](#)]
512. Celil AYDIN, Burak DARICI, Şeyma ŞAHİN KUTLU. 2019. Ekonomik Büyüme Çevre Kirliliğini Azaltır mı?. *Anemon Muş Alparslan Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* **7**:2, 191–196. [[Crossref](#)]
513. Andrew A. Alola. 2019. Carbon emissions and the trilemma of trade policy, migration policy and health care in the US. *Carbon Management* **10**:2, 209–218. [[Crossref](#)]
514. Ian Coxhead. 2019. Environmentalism with Chinese Characteristics—A Review of Matthew E. Kahn and Siqi Zheng's Blue Skies over Beijing: Economic Growth and the Environment in China. *Journal of Economic Literature* **57**:1, 161–179. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
515. Manel Kamoun, Ines Abdelkafi, Abdelfetah Ghorbel. 2019. The Impact of Renewable Energy on Sustainable Growth: Evidence from a Panel of OECD Countries. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* **10**:1, 221–237. [[Crossref](#)]
516. Mayula Chaikumbung, Hristos Doucouliagos, Helen Scarborough. 2019. Institutions, Culture, and Wetland Values. *Ecological Economics* **157**, 195–204. [[Crossref](#)]
517. Chun-Ping Chang, Minyi Dong, Bo Sui, Yin Chu. 2019. Driving forces of global carbon emissions: From time- and spatial-dynamic perspectives. *Economic Modelling* **77**, 70–80. [[Crossref](#)]
518. Xudong Chen, Bihong Huang, Chin-Te Lin. 2019. Environmental awareness and environmental Kuznets curve. *Economic Modelling* **77**, 2–11. [[Crossref](#)]
519. Andrew A. Alola. 2019. The trilemma of trade, monetary and immigration policies in the United States: Accounting for environmental sustainability. *Science of The Total Environment* **658**, 260–267. [[Crossref](#)]
520. Frank Pothen, Heinz Welsch. 2019. Economic development and material use. Evidence from international panel data. *World Development* **115**, 107–119. [[Crossref](#)]



521. Ali Fakih, Walid Marrouch. 2019. Environmental Kuznets Curve, a Mirage? A Non-parametric Analysis for MENA Countries. *International Advances in Economic Research* **25**:1, 113-119. [[Crossref](#)]
522. Dong-Hyeon Kim, Yu-Bo Suen, Shu-Chin Lin. 2019. Carbon dioxide emissions and trade: Evidence from disaggregate trade data. *Energy Economics* **78**, 13-28. [[Crossref](#)]
523. Helmut Wagner. 2019. On the (Non-)sustainability of China's Development Strategies 1. *The Chinese Economy* **52**:1, 1-23. [[Crossref](#)]
524. Cesar Augusto Ruiz-Agudelo, German Sánchez Pérez, Jorge Enrique Sáenz, Luz Aydée Higuera Cárdenas. 2019. Biodiversity and growth in Colombia, 1995–2015: an approach from the environmental kuznets hypothesis. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* **8**:1, 17-31. [[Crossref](#)]
525. Vladimir Udalov. The Impact of Natural Disasters on Individuals' Choice Between Economic Growth and Environmental Protection: Empirical Evidence from the World Values Survey 15-30. [[Crossref](#)]
526. Shasha Zhao. Healthy Cities and Sustainable Innovation 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
527. Raymond J. MacDermott, A. Basuchoudhary, J. Bang. Trade, Trade Agreements, and the Environment 107-112. [[Crossref](#)]
528. Burcu Özcan, İlhan Öztürk. A Historical Perspective on Environmental Kuznets Curve 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
529. Avik Sinha, Muhammad Shahbaz, Daniel Balsalobre. Data Selection and Environmental Kuznets Curve Models - Environmental Kuznets Curve Models, Data Choice, Data Sources, Missing Data, Balanced and Unbalanced Panels 65-83. [[Crossref](#)]
530. Ahsan Kibria, Sherzod B. Akhundjanov, Reza Oladi. 2019. Fossil fuel share in the energy mix and economic growth. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **59**, 253-264. [[Crossref](#)]
531. Zhaohai Bai, Jun Zhao, Zhibiao Wei, Xinpeng Jin, Lin Ma. 2019. Socio-economic drivers of pig production and their effects on achieving sustainable development goals in China. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences* **16**:1, 141-155. [[Crossref](#)]
532. Wei Jin, ZhongXiang Zhang. 2019. Capital Accumulation, Green Paradox, and Stranded Assets: An Endogenous Growth Perspective. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **102**. . [[Crossref](#)]
533. Tonmoy Chatterjee. Environmental Regulation, International Trade, and Informal Sector 80-106. [[Crossref](#)]
534. Sabrina Auci, Giovanni Trovato. 2018. The environmental Kuznets curve within European countries and sectors: greenhouse emission, production function and technology. *Economia Politica* **35**:3, 895-915. [[Crossref](#)]
535. Kinga Krauze, Renata Włodarczyk-Marciniak. 2018. Defining the risk to water and natural capital in cities with risk component analysis tool (DAPSET): Case study Łódź. *Journal of Environmental Management* **227**, 62-72. [[Crossref](#)]
536. Tobias Böhmelt, Farzad Vaziri, Hugh Ward. 2018. Does green taxation drive countries towards the carbon efficiency frontier?. *Journal of Public Policy* **38**:4, 481-509. [[Crossref](#)]
537. Min Gyo Koo, Seo Young Kim. 2018. East Asian Way of Linking the Environment to Trade in Free Trade Agreements. *The Journal of Environment & Development* **27**:4, 382-414. [[Crossref](#)]
538. Yahua Wang, Tingting Wan, Cecilia Tortajada. 2018. Water Demand Framework and Water Development: The Case of China. *Water* **10**:12, 1860. [[Crossref](#)]
539. Jonas Gamso. 2018. Environmental policy impacts of trade with China and the moderating effect of governance. *Environmental Policy and Governance* **28**:6, 395-405. [[Crossref](#)]
540. Xiyao Mao, Canfei He. 2018. A trade-related pollution trap for economies in transition? Evidence from China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **200**, 781-790. [[Crossref](#)]



541. Aaqib Ahmad Bhat, Prajna Paramita Mishra. 2018. The Kyoto Protocol and CO 2 emission: is India still hibernating?. *Indian Growth and Development Review* 11:2, 152-168. [[Crossref](#)]
542. Sudeshna Ghosh. 2018. Globalization and Environment: An Asian Experience. *Journal of International Commerce, Economics and Policy* 09:03, 1850010. [[Crossref](#)]
543. Ningli Wang, Huiming Zhu, Yawei Guo, Cheng Peng. 2018. The heterogeneous effect of democracy, political globalization, and urbanization on PM2.5 concentrations in G20 countries: Evidence from panel quantile regression. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 194, 54-68. [[Crossref](#)]
544. Zorzeta Bakaki, Thomas Bernauer. 2018. Do economic conditions affect public support for environmental policy?. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 195, 66-78. [[Crossref](#)]
545. Yoomi Kim, Katsuya Tanaka, Chazhong Ge. 2018. Estimating the provincial environmental Kuznets curve in China: a geographically weighted regression approach. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* 32:7, 2147-2163. [[Crossref](#)]
546. Jung Yun Choi, Doo Bong Han. 2018. The Links between Environmental Innovation and Environmental Performance: Evidence for High- and Middle-Income Countries. *Sustainability* 10:7, 2157. [[Crossref](#)]
547. Alexandre Hebil Ramos, Mara Madaleno, Celeste Amorim Varum. An Analysis of the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) Hypothesis in Portugal: Sector Data and Innovation Effects 1-6. [[Crossref](#)]
548. O. Ikporukpo Chris. 2018. Urbanization and the environment: The debate and evidence from two new cities in Nigeria. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning* 11:5, 61-79. [[Crossref](#)]
549. Balint Horvath, Edmund Mallingu, Csaba Fogarassy. 2018. Designing Business Solutions for Plastic Waste Management to Enhance Circular Transitions in Kenya. *Sustainability* 10:5, 1664. [[Crossref](#)]
550. Abdalla Sirag, Bolaji Tunde Matemilola, Siong Hook Law, A. N Bany-Arifin. 2018. Does environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis exist? Evidence from dynamic panel threshold. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* 7:2, 145-165. [[Crossref](#)]
551. Victor Moutinho, Celeste Varum, Jorge Mota. 2018. The environment–growth dilemma: new evidence using a panel cointegration approach. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* 7:2, 166-183. [[Crossref](#)]
552. Jacint Balaguer, Manuel Cantavella. 2018. The role of education in the Environmental Kuznets Curve. Evidence from Australian data. *Energy Economics* 70, 289-296. [[Crossref](#)]
553. Yee Van Fan, Petar Sabevar Varbanov, Jiří Jaromír Klemeš, Andreja Nemet. 2018. Process efficiency optimisation and integration for cleaner production. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 174, 177-183. [[Crossref](#)]
554. Christian Bjørnskov. 2018. Do Liberalising Reforms Harm the Environment? Evidence from the Post-Communist Transition. *Economic Affairs* 38:1, 22-37. [[Crossref](#)]
555. Patrick Wijaya Tjoek, Pei-Ing Wu. 2018. Exploring the environmental Kuznets curve for CO2 and SO2 for Southeast Asia in the 21st century context. *Environmental Economics* 9:1, 7-21. [[Crossref](#)]
556. Manuel A. Zambrano-Monserrate, Christopher Carvajal-Lara, Roberto Urgiles-Sanchez. 2018. Is there an inverted U -shaped curve? Empirical analysis of the Environmental Kuznets Curve in Singapore. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics* 25:1-2, 145-162. [[Crossref](#)]
557. Vinicius A. Vale, Fernando S. Perobelli, Ariaster B. Chimeli. 2018. International trade, pollution, and economic structure: evidence on CO 2 emissions for the North and the South. *Economic Systems Research* 30:1, 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
558. Mumin Atalay Cetin. 2018. Investigating the environmental Kuznets Curve and the role of green energy: Emerging and developed markets. *International Journal of Green Energy* 15:1, 37-44. [[Crossref](#)]
559. Ceyda Erden Özsoy. Kuznets's Inverted U Hypothesis: The Relationship Between Economic Development and Ecological Footprint 242-251. [[Crossref](#)]

560. Laura Policardo. Myopia of Governments and Optimality of Irreversible Pollution Accumulation 331-368. [[Crossref](#)]
561. Elif Nuroglu, Robert M. Kunst. Kuznets and Environmental Kuznets Curves for Developing Countries 175-188. [[Crossref](#)]
562. George Halkos, Argyro Zisiadou. 2018. Relating environmental performance with socioeconomic and cultural factors. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* **20**:1, 69-88. [[Crossref](#)]
563. David I. Stern. The Environmental Kuznets Curve # . [[Crossref](#)]
564. David C. Broadstock, Alan Collins, Lester C. Hunt, Konstantinos Vergos. 2018. Voluntary disclosure, greenhouse gas emissions and business performance: Assessing the first decade of reporting. *The British Accounting Review* **50**:1, 48-59. [[Crossref](#)]
565. Paul B. Stretesky, Ruth E. McKie, Michael J. Lynch, Michael A. Long, Kimberly L. Barrett. 2018. Where have all the falcons gone? Saker falcon (*falco cherrug*) exports in a global economy. *Global Ecology and Conservation* **13**, e00372. [[Crossref](#)]
566. Dengke Chen, Shiyi Chen, Hao Jin. 2018. Industrial agglomeration and CO2 emissions: Evidence from 187 Chinese prefecture-level cities over 2005–2013. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **172**, 993-1003. [[Crossref](#)]
567. Abid Rashid Gill, Kuperan K. Viswanathan, Sallahuddin Hassan. 2018. The Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) and the environmental problem of the day. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **81**, 1636-1642. [[Crossref](#)]
568. Amran Md. Rasli, Muhammad Imran Qureshi, Aliyu Isah-Chikaji, Khalid Zaman, Mehboob Ahmad. 2018. New toxics, race to the bottom and revised environmental Kuznets curve: The case of local and global pollutants. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **81**, 3120-3130. [[Crossref](#)]
569. C.-Y. Cynthia Lin Lawell, Krishna P. Paudel, Mahesh Pandit. 2018. One shape does not fit all: A nonparametric instrumental variable approach to estimating the income-pollution relationship at the global Level. *Water Resources and Economics* **21**, 3-16. [[Crossref](#)]
570. Yingrong Wen, Gerrit Schoups, Nick van de Giesen. 2018. Global impacts of the meat trade on in-stream organic river pollution: the importance of spatially distributed hydrological conditions. *Environmental Research Letters* **13**:1, 014013. [[Crossref](#)]
571. Ralph De Haas, Alexander A. Popov. 2018. Financial Development and Industrial Pollution. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **102**. . [[Crossref](#)]
572. Ralph De Haas, Alexander A. Popov. 2018. Financial Development and Industrial Pollution. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **102**. . [[Crossref](#)]
573. Hatice Özkoç, Aynur Yıldırım, Emir Kudubeş. 2017. ÇEVRESEL KUZNETS EĞRİSİNİN GEÇERLİLİĞİNİN DÜŞÜK VE ÜST ORTA GELİRLİ ÜLKELER İÇİN SINANMASI: 1964-2009 DÖNEMİ - TESTING ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE VALIDITY FOR THE LOWER AND UPPER MIDDLE INCOME COUNTRIES: 1964-2009 PERIOD. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi* 327-340. [[Crossref](#)]
574. Paolo Buonanno, Leopoldo Fergusson, Juan F. Vargas. 2017. The Crime Kuznets Curve. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* **33**:4, 753-782. [[Crossref](#)]
575. Suxia Liu, Yingming Zhu, Kuanqi Du. 2017. The impact of industrial agglomeration on industrial pollutant emission: evidence from China under New Normal. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* **19**:9, 2327-2334. [[Crossref](#)]
576. Sabuj Kumar Mandal, Devleena Chakravarty. 2017. Role of energy in estimating turning point of Environmental Kuznets Curve: an econometric analysis of the existing studies. *Journal of Social and Economic Development* **19**:2, 387-401. [[Crossref](#)]

577. George Marbuah, Franklin Amuakwa-Mensah. 2017. Spatial analysis of emissions in Sweden. *Energy Economics* **68**, 383-394. [[Crossref](#)]
578. Sumin Park, Yong-Gil Lee. 2017. An Analysis of Decision Factors on the Price of South Korea's Certified Emission Reductions in Use of Vector Error Correction Model. *Sustainability* **9**:10, 1768. [[Crossref](#)]
579. Genovaitė Liobikienė, Mindaugas Butkus. 2017. Environmental Kuznets Curve of greenhouse gas emissions including technological progress and substitution effects. *Energy* **135**, 237-248. [[Crossref](#)]
580. Tobias Böhmelt. 2017. Employing the shared socioeconomic pathways to predict CO 2 emissions. *Environmental Science & Policy* **75**, 56-64. [[Crossref](#)]
581. A. Rajeev, Rupesh K. Pati, Sidhartha S. Padhi, Kannan Govindan. 2017. Evolution of sustainability in supply chain management: A literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **162**, 299-314. [[Crossref](#)]
582. Song Ding, Yao-Guo Dang, Xue-Mei Li, Jun-Jie Wang, Kai Zhao. 2017. Forecasting Chinese CO2 emissions from fuel combustion using a novel grey multivariable model. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **162**, 1527-1538. [[Crossref](#)]
583. Duc Khuong Nguyen, Benoît Sévi, Bo Sjö, Gazi Salah Uddin. 2017. The role of trade openness and investment in examining the energy-growth-pollution nexus: empirical evidence for China and India. *Applied Economics* **49**:40, 4083-4098. [[Crossref](#)]
584. Meng-jieu Chen. 2017. Environmental governance: disentangling the relationship between economic growth and rule of law on environmental policy stringency. *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences* **10**:2, 253-275. [[Crossref](#)]
585. Frédéric Docquier, Joël Machado. 2017. Income Disparities, Population and Migration Flows Over the Twenty First Century. *Italian Economic Journal* **3**:2, 125-149. [[Crossref](#)]
586. Eckehard Rosenbaum. 2017. Green Growth—Magic Bullet or Damp Squib?. *Sustainability* **9**:7, 1092. [[Crossref](#)]
587. Chiara Amini, Silvia Dal Bianco. 2017. Corporate social responsibility and Latin American firm performance. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* **17**:3, 403-445. [[Crossref](#)]
588. Bianca Oehl, Lena Maria Schaffer, Thomas Bernauer. 2017. How to measure public demand for policies when there is no appropriate survey data?. *Journal of Public Policy* **37**:2, 173-204. [[Crossref](#)]
589. Solomon Aboagye. 2017. Economic Expansion and Environmental Sustainability Nexus in Ghana. *African Development Review* **29**:2, 155-168. [[Crossref](#)]
590. Steven J. Lade, L. Jamila Haider, Gustav Engström, Maja Schlüter. 2017. Resilience offers escape from trapped thinking on poverty alleviation. *Science Advances* **3**:5. . [[Crossref](#)]
591. Octavio Fernández-Amador, Joseph F. Francois, Doris A. Oberdabernig, Patrick Tomberger. 2017. Carbon Dioxide Emissions and Economic Growth: An Assessment Based on Production and Consumption Emission Inventories. *Ecological Economics* **135**, 269-279. [[Crossref](#)]
592. Malcolm Fairbrother. 2017. Environmental attitudes and the politics of distrust. *Sociology Compass* **11**:5. . [[Crossref](#)]
593. Sujata Uggupta, Praseon Singh. 2017. Impacts of Coal mining: a Review of Methods and Parameters Used in India. *Current World Environment* **12**:1, 142-156. [[Crossref](#)]
594. Iddisah Sulemana, Harvey S. James, James S. Rikoon. 2017. Environmental Kuznets Curves for air pollution in African and developed countries: exploring turning point incomes and the role of democracy. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* **6**:2, 134-152. [[Crossref](#)]
595. Chad M. Baum, Christian Gross. 2017. Sustainability policy as if people mattered: developing a framework for environmentally significant behavioral change. *Journal of Bioeconomics* **19**:1, 53-95. [[Crossref](#)]

596. David I. Stern. 2017. The environmental Kuznets curve after 25 years. *Journal of Bioeconomics* **19**:1, 7-28. [[Crossref](#)]
597. Mare Sarr, Tim Swanson. 2017. Will Technological Change Save the World? The Rebound Effect in International Transfers of Technology. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **66**:3, 577-604. [[Crossref](#)]
598. Najid Ahmad, Liangsheng Du. 2017. Effects of energy production and CO 2 emissions on economic growth in Iran: ARDL approach. *Energy* **123**, 521-537. [[Crossref](#)]
599. Martin Neve, Bertrand Hamaide. 2017. Environmental Kuznets Curve with Adjusted Net Savings as a Trade-Off Between Environment and Development. *Australian Economic Papers* **56**:1, 39-58. [[Crossref](#)]
600. Barry Naughton. 2017. Is China Socialist?. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **31**:1, 3-24. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
601. Siqi Zheng, Matthew E. Kahn. 2017. A New Era of Pollution Progress in Urban China?. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **31**:1, 71-92. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
602. Jaroslav Kreuz, Aleš Lisa, Petr Šauer. 2017. Environmental Kuznets Curve: Application for the Czech Republic for the Time Period 1975-2012. *Politická ekonomie* **65**:1, 119-130. [[Crossref](#)]
603. Jinjin Zhao. 2017. The cubic water Kuznets curve: patterns of urban water consumption and water policy effects. *Water Policy* **19**:1, 28-45. [[Crossref](#)]
604. Mohamed M. Mostafa. 2017. Concern For Global Warming In Six Islamic Nations: A Multilevel Bayesian Analysis. *Sustainable Development* **25**:1, 63-76. [[Crossref](#)]
605. Stephan Ortmann. Introduction: The Environmental Challenges in Vietnam 1-34. [[Crossref](#)]
606. Elif Nuroglu, Robert M. Kunst. Kuznets and Environmental Kuznets Curves for Developing Countries 1-14. [[Crossref](#)]
607. Patrick Habiyaremye, Dan Ayebale, Seperia B. Wanyama. Job-Rotation, Utilization of Workshops, and Performance of SMEs: An Empirical Study from the Gasabo District in Rwanda 187-204. [[Crossref](#)]
608. Imad A. Moosa. Economic Growth as a Cause of Environmental Degradation: The Australian Experience 227-250. [[Crossref](#)]
609. Salvatore Bimonte, Arsenio Stabile. 2017. Land consumption and income in Italy: a case of inverted EKC. *Ecological Economics* **131**, 36-43. [[Crossref](#)]
610. Avik Sinha, Joysankar Bhattacharya. 2017. Estimation of environmental Kuznets curve for SO 2 emission: A case of Indian cities. *Ecological Indicators* **72**, 881-894. [[Crossref](#)]
611. Samia Nasreen, Sofia Anwar, Ilhan Ozturk. 2017. Financial stability, energy consumption and environmental quality: Evidence from South Asian economies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **67**, 1105-1122. [[Crossref](#)]
612. John Joshua. Introduction 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]
613. John Joshua. Economic Growth and Sustainable Economic Development 11-43. [[Crossref](#)]
614. Bidisha Lahiri. 2017. Dissimilar Relations Between Income and Environmental Quality for Open Economies in a Growth Model. *Eastern Economic Journal* **43**:1, 104-127. [[Crossref](#)]
615. Mina Balioune-Lutz. 2017. Trade and Environmental Quality in African Countries: Do Institutions Matter?. *Eastern Economic Journal* **43**:1, 155-172. [[Crossref](#)]
616. Jianmin Liu, Xia Chen, Runchu Wei. 2017. Socioeconomic Drivers of Environmental Pollution in China: A Spatial Econometric Analysis. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* **2017**, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
617. Wei Jin. 2017. Optimal Growth with Resource Exhaustibility and Pollution Externality. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **102**. . [[Crossref](#)]

618. Lily Y.W. Hsueh. 2017. What Explains Participation and Effort in Voluntary Climate Action by Businesses?: Evidence from the Carbon Disclosure Project. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **64**. . [[Crossref](#)]
619. Frrddric Docquier, Joel Machado. 2017. Income Disparities, Population and Migration Flows Over the 21st Century. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **114**. . [[Crossref](#)]
620. Clinton Levitt, Morten Saaby Pedersen, Anders Sorensen. 2017. The Impact of China's Trade Liberalisation on the Greenhouse Gas Emissions of WTO Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **97**. . [[Crossref](#)]
621. Gail Cohen, João Tovar Jalles, Prakash Loungani, Ricardo Marto. 2017. Emissions and Growth: Trends and Cycles in a Globalized World. *IMF Working Papers* **17**:191, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
622. Christopher A. Hartwell. 2016. The institutional basis of efficiency in resource-rich countries. *Economic Systems* **40**:4, 519-538. [[Crossref](#)]
623. Georgia Mavrommati, Kostas Bithas, Mark E. Borsuk, Richard B. Howarth. 2016. Integration of ecological-biological thresholds in conservation decision making. *Conservation Biology* **30**:6, 1173-1181. [[Crossref](#)]
624. Ernest Nti Acheampong, Mark Swilling, Kevin Urama. 2016. Developing a framework for supporting the implementation of integrated water resource management (IWRM) with a decoupling strategy. *Water Policy* **18**:6, 1317-1333. [[Crossref](#)]
625. Barry W. Brook, Linus Blomqvist. 2016. Innovations and limits in methods of forecasting global environmental change. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **17**:7, 565-575. [[Crossref](#)]
626. Yogi Sugiawan, Shunsuke Managi. 2016. The environmental Kuznets curve in Indonesia: Exploring the potential of renewable energy. *Energy Policy* **98**, 187-198. [[Crossref](#)]
627. Andrew Bell, Wei Zhang. 2016. Payments discourage coordination in ecosystem services provision: evidence from behavioral experiments in Southeast Asia. *Environmental Research Letters* **11**:11, 114024. [[Crossref](#)]
628. Yinger Zheng, Haixia Zheng, Xinyue Ye. 2016. Using Machine Learning in Environmental Tax Reform Assessment for Sustainable Development: A Case Study of Hubei Province, China. *Sustainability* **8**:11, 1124. [[Crossref](#)]
629. Mahesh Pandit, Krishna P. Paudel. 2016. Water pollution and income relationships: A seemingly unrelated partially linear analysis. *Water Resources Research* **52**:10, 7668-7689. [[Crossref](#)]
630. Boqiang Lin, Oluwasola E. Omoju, Ngozi M. Nwakeze, Jennifer U. Okonkwo, Ebenezer T. Megbowon. 2016. Is the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis a sound basis for environmental policy in Africa?. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **133**, 712-724. [[Crossref](#)]
631. Malcolm Fairbrother. 2016. Externalities: why environmental sociology should bring them in. *Environmental Sociology* **2**:4, 375-384. [[Crossref](#)]
632. John McCollough, Miao He, Arzu Tay Bayramoglu. 2016. Pollution Havens and Their Relationship to the Environmental Kuznets Curve: The Case of the us Tyre Industry. *Economic Affairs* **36**:3, 258-272. [[Crossref](#)]
633. Mohamed M. Mostafa. 2016. Post-materialism, Religiosity, Political Orientation, Locus of Control and Concern for Global Warming: A Multilevel Analysis Across 40 Nations. *Social Indicators Research* **128**:3, 1273-1298. [[Crossref](#)]
634. Michaël Aklin. 2016. Re-exploring the Trade and Environment Nexus Through the Diffusion of Pollution. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **64**:4, 663-682. [[Crossref](#)]
635. Xiaosheng Li, Xia Yan, Qingxian An, Ke Chen, Zhen Shen. 2016. The coordination between China's economic growth and environmental emission from the Environmental Kuznets Curve viewpoint. *Natural Hazards* **83**:1, 233-252. [[Crossref](#)]

636. Daniel Balsalobre Lorente, Agustín Álvarez-Herranz. 2016. Economic growth and energy regulation in the environmental Kuznets curve. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **23**:16, 16478-16494. [[Crossref](#)]
637. Muhammad Shahbaz, Sakiru Adebola Solarin, Ilhan Ozturk. 2016. Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis and the role of globalization in selected African countries. *Ecological Indicators* **67**, 623-636. [[Crossref](#)]
638. Jin Guo, Yingzhi Xu, Zhengning Pu. 2016. Urbanization and Its Effects on Industrial Pollutant Emissions: An Empirical Study of a Chinese Case with the Spatial Panel Model. *Sustainability* **8**:8, 812. [[Crossref](#)]
639. Risto Herrala, Rajeev K. Goel. 2016. Sharing the emission reduction burden in an uneven world. *Energy Policy* **94**, 29-39. [[Crossref](#)]
640. Xian-Liang Tian, Qi-Guang Guo, Chao Han, Najid Ahmad. 2016. Different extent of environmental information disclosure across chinese cities: Contributing factors and correlation with local pollution. *Global Environmental Change* **39**, 244-257. [[Crossref](#)]
641. Fei Li, Shengkui Cheng, Huilu Yu, Dewei Yang. 2016. Waste from livestock and poultry breeding and its potential assessment of biogas energy in rural China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **126**, 451-460. [[Crossref](#)]
642. María del P. Pablo-Romero, Josué De Jesús. 2016. Economic growth and energy consumption: The Energy-Environmental Kuznets Curve for Latin America and the Caribbean. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **60**, 1343-1350. [[Crossref](#)]
643. Seck Tan. 2016. Framework for valuing the utilization of the environment. *International Journal of Social Economics* **43**:6, 619-642. [[Crossref](#)]
644. Aslan Alper, Gozbasi Onur. 2016. Environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis for sub-elements of the carbon emissions in China. *Natural Hazards* **82**:2, 1327-1340. [[Crossref](#)]
645. Klas Rönnbäck, Staffan Granér. 2016. Historicizing the environmental Kuznets Curve: water pollution in Göta Älv, Sweden, 1895-2000. *Water History* **8**:2, 95-114. [[Crossref](#)]
646. Sandeep Mohapatra, Wiktor Adamowicz, Peter Boxall. 2016. Dynamic technique and scale effects of economic growth on the environment. *Energy Economics* **57**, 256-264. [[Crossref](#)]
647. Jeffery S. McMullen, Benjamin J. Warnick. 2016. Should We Require Every New Venture to Be a Hybrid Organization?. *Journal of Management Studies* **53**:4, 630-662. [[Crossref](#)]
648. Kavi Bhalla, Dinesh Mohan. Understanding the Road Safety Performance of OECD Countries 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
649. Octavio Fernández-Amador, Joseph F. Francois, Patrick Tomberger. 2016. Carbon dioxide emissions and international trade at the turn of the millennium. *Ecological Economics* **125**, 14-26. [[Crossref](#)]
650. Tobias S. Schmidt, Joern Huenteler. 2016. Anticipating industry localization effects of clean technology deployment policies in developing countries. *Global Environmental Change* **38**, 8-20. [[Crossref](#)]
651. Zhang Yu. How to improve the environmental quality of China from the perspective of fiscal policy? 213-215. [[Crossref](#)]
652. Piero Esposito, Fabrizio Patriarca, Luigi Perini, Luca Salvati. 2016. Land degradation, economic growth and structural change: evidences from Italy. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* **18**:2, 431-448. [[Crossref](#)]
653. Dakshina G. De Silva, Timothy P. Hubbard, Anita R. Schiller. 2016. Entry and Exit Patterns of "Toxic" Firms. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **98**:3, 881-909. [[Crossref](#)]
654. Anton Nahman, Brian K. Mahumani, Willem J. de Lange. 2016. Beyond GDP: Towards a Green Economy Index. *Development Southern Africa* **33**:2, 215-233. [[Crossref](#)]



655. Bruce G. Carruthers, Naomi R. Lamoreaux. 2016. Regulatory Races: The Effects of Jurisdictional Competition on Regulatory Standards. *Journal of Economic Literature* 54:1, 52-97. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
656. Gayathri Devi Mekala, Brian Davidson. 2016. A review of literature on the factors affecting wastewater treatment and recycling across a broad spectrum of economic stages of development. *Water Policy* 18:1, 217-234. [[Crossref](#)]
657. Shujing Yue, Yang Yang, Yaoyu Hu. 2016. Does Foreign Direct Investment Affect Green Growth? Evidence from China's Experience. *Sustainability* 8:2, 158. [[Crossref](#)]
658. Thomas Sommerer, Sijeong Lim. 2016. The environmental state as a model for the world? An analysis of policy repertoires in 37 countries. *Environmental Politics* 25:1, 92-115. [[Crossref](#)]
659. Katsuhisa Uchiyama. Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis 11-29. [[Crossref](#)]
660. Jacint Balaguer, Manuel Cantavella. 2016. Estimating the environmental Kuznets curve for Spain by considering fuel oil prices (1874–2011). *Ecological Indicators* 60, 853-859. [[Crossref](#)]
661. Leonid G. Melnyk, Oleksandr V. Kubatko, Oleksandra V. Kubatko. 2016. Were Ukrainian regions too different to start interregional confrontation: economic, social and ecological convergence aspects?. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 29:1, 573-582. [[Crossref](#)]
662. Wei Jin, ZhongXiang Zhang. 2016. China's Pursuit of Environmentally Sustainable Development: Harnessing the New Engine of Technological Innovation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 110. . [[Crossref](#)]
663. Wei Jin, ZhongXiang Zhang. 2016. China's Pursuit of Environmentally Sustainable Development: Harnessing the New Engine of Technological Innovation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 110. . [[Crossref](#)]
664. Andreas Schaefer. 2016. Survival to Adulthood and the Growth Drag of Pollution. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 102. . [[Crossref](#)]
665. Goher-Ur-Rehman Mir, Servaas Storm. 2016. Carbon Emissions and Economic Growth: Production-Based versus Consumption-Based Evidence on Decoupling. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 35. . [[Crossref](#)]
666. Gregor Semieniuk. 2016. Fossil Energy in Economic Growth: A Study of the Energy Direction of Technical Change, 1950-2012. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 113. . [[Crossref](#)]
667. Ha Thai Le, Youngho Chang. 2016. Governance, Vulnerability to Climate Change, and Green Growth: International Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]
668. Tonmoy Chatterjee, Soumyananda Dinda. Climate Change, Human Health and Some Economic Issues 26-41. [[Crossref](#)]
669. Tonmoy Chatterjee, Soumyananda Dinda. Climate Change, Human Health and Some Economic Issues 1971-1986. [[Crossref](#)]
670. Giovanni Zurlini, Irene Petrosillo, Andrés Bozsik, Jon Cloud, Roberta Aretano, Noa Kekuewa Lincoln. 2015. Sustainable landscape development and value rigidity: the Pirsig's monkey trap. *Landscape Online* 40. [[Crossref](#)]
671. Amarendra Pratap Singh, K. Narayanan. 2015. Impact of economic growth and population on agrochemical use: evidence from post-liberalization India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 17:6, 1509-1525. [[Crossref](#)]
672. Adnen Ben Nasr, Rangan Gupta, João Ricardo Sato. 2015. Is there an Environmental Kuznets Curve for South Africa? A co-summability approach using a century of data. *Energy Economics* 52, 136-141. [[Crossref](#)]
673. Italo Arbulú, Javier Lozano, Javier Rey-Maqueira. 2015. Tourism and solid waste generation in Europe: A panel data assessment of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Waste Management* 46, 628-636. [[Crossref](#)]
674. Zhongwu Lu, Heming Wang, Qiang Yue. 2015. Decoupling Analysis of the Environmental Mountain —with Case Studies from China. *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 19:6, 1082-1090. [[Crossref](#)]

675. Yu Hao, Zong-Yong Zhang, Hua Liao, Yi-Ming Wei. 2015. China's farewell to coal: A forecast of coal consumption through 2020. *Energy Policy* **86**, 444-455. [[Crossref](#)]
676. Thomas Bernauer, Quynh Nguyen. 2015. Free Trade and/or Environmental Protection?. *Global Environmental Politics* **15**:4, 105-129. [[Crossref](#)]
677. . Bibliographie 219-226. [[Crossref](#)]
678. Linna Chen, Shiyi Chen. 2015. The Estimation of Environmental Kuznets Curve in China: Nonparametric Panel Approach. *Computational Economics* **46**:3, 405-420. [[Crossref](#)]
679. Rohan Terrence Jayasuriya. 2015. Natural resource scarcity - classical to contemporary views. *Journal of Natural Resources Policy Research* **7**:4, 221-245. [[Crossref](#)]
680. Euston Quah. 2015. Pursuing Economic Growth in Asia: The Environmental Challenge. *The World Economy* **38**:10, 1487-1504. [[Crossref](#)]
681. Ashleigh Keene, Steven C. Deller. 2015. Evidence of the Environmental Kuznets' Curve among US Counties and the Impact of Social Capital. *International Regional Science Review* **38**:4, 358-387. [[Crossref](#)]
682. Natina Yaduma, Mika Kortelainen, Ada Wossink. 2015. The environmental Kuznets curve at different levels of economic development: a counterfactual quantile regression analysis for CO 2 emissions. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* **4**:3, 278-303. [[Crossref](#)]
683. Matthew E. Kahn. 2015. A Review of The Age of Sustainable Development by Jeffrey Sachs. *Journal of Economic Literature* **53**:3, 654-666. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
684. Lijun Wang, Dequn Zhou, Yongyu Wang, Donglan Zha. 2015. An empirical study of the environmental Kuznets curve for environmental quality in Gansu province. *Ecological Indicators* **56**, 96-105. [[Crossref](#)]
685. Nii Amon Neequaye, Reza Oladi. 2015. Environment, growth, and FDI revisited. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **39**, 47-56. [[Crossref](#)]
686. Xiaobing Zhao, Ding Du. 2015. Forecasting carbon dioxide emissions. *Journal of Environmental Management* **160**, 39-44. [[Crossref](#)]
687. Lorena M. D'Agostino. 2015. How MNEs respond to environmental regulation: integrating the Porter hypothesis and the pollution haven hypothesis. *Economia Politica* **32**:2, 245-269. [[Crossref](#)]
688. Anthony L. D'Agostino, Johannes Urpelainen, Alice Xu. 2015. Socio-economic determinants of charcoal expenditures in Tanzania: Evidence from panel data. *Energy Economics* **49**, 472-481. [[Crossref](#)]
689. Pablo Rodrigo, Pablo Muñoz, Alexander Wright. 2015. Transitions dynamics in context: key factors and alternative paths in the sustainable development of nations. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **94**, 221-234. [[Crossref](#)]
690. Lei Wen, Ye Cao. 2015. Factor decomposition analysis of sub-national CO2 emissions in China: A dynamic panel approach. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy* **7**:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
691. Adrienne M. Ohler. 2015. Factors Affecting the Rise of Renewable Energy in the U.S.: Concern over Environmental Quality or Rising Unemployment?. *The Energy Journal* **36**:2, 97-116. [[Crossref](#)]
692. Michael Greenstone, B. Kelsey Jack. 2015. Envirodevonomics: A Research Agenda for an Emerging Field. *Journal of Economic Literature* **53**:1, 5-42. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
693. Jian Wu, Weiqian Zhang, Jiakuan Xu, Yue Che. 2015. A quantitative analysis of municipal solid waste disposal charges in China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **187**:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
694. Arthur A. van Benthem. 2015. Energy Leapfrogging. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists* **2**:1, 93-132. [[Crossref](#)]
695. Mary Alice Haddad. 2015. Increasing Environmental Performance in a Context of Low Governmental Enforcement: Evidence From China. *The Journal of Environment & Development* **24**:1, 3-25. [[Crossref](#)]

696. Ednilson Sebastião de Ávila, Eliezer Martins Diniz. 2015. Evidências sobre curva ambiental de Kuznets e convergência das emissões. *Estudos Econômicos (São Paulo)* 45:1, 97-126. [[Crossref](#)]
697. Jean-Thomas Bernard, Michael Gavin, Lynda Khalaf, Marcel Voia. 2015. Environmental Kuznets Curve: Tipping Points, Uncertainty and Weak Identification. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 60:2, 285-315. [[Crossref](#)]
698. Douglas K. Bardsley. 2015. Limits to adaptation or a second modernity? Responses to climate change risk in the context of failing socio-ecosystems. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 17:1, 41-55. [[Crossref](#)]
699. Jianhua Yin, Mingzheng Zheng, Jian Chen. 2015. The effects of environmental regulation and technical progress on CO2 Kuznets curve: An evidence from China. *Energy Policy* 77, 97-108. [[Crossref](#)]
700. Herath Vidyaratne. 2015. Balancing Economic Growth and Ecological Sustainability in Sri Lanka. *South Asia Research* 35:1, 119-133. [[Crossref](#)]
701. C.M. Hall, B. Amelung, S. Cohen, E. Eijgelaar, S. Gössling, J. Higham, R. Leemans, P. Peeters, Y. Ram, D. Scott. 2015. On climate change skepticism and denial in tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 23:1, 4-25. [[Crossref](#)]
702. Martin W. Doyle, Jesko Von Windheim. 2015. Environmental Management Strategy: Four Forces Analysis. *Environmental Management* 55:1, 6-18. [[Crossref](#)]
703. Tommaso Luzzati. Kuznets Curves 144-149. [[Crossref](#)]
704. Lifeng Wu, Sifeng Liu, Dinglin Liu, Zhigeng Fang, Haiyan Xu. 2015. Modelling and forecasting CO2 emissions in the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa) countries using a novel multi-variable grey model. *Energy* 79, 489-495. [[Crossref](#)]
705. David I. Stern. 2015. The Environmental Kuznets Curve after 25 Years. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 14. . [[Crossref](#)]
706. Ahmad Assadzadeh, Abdolreza Ghorban-Sabbagh, Alireza Jalili-Marand, Akram Akbari. 2015. Searching Kuznets Environmental Curve in Selected Islamic Country: A GMM Approach. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management* 3:1, 132-135. [[Crossref](#)]
707. Carlos A. Flores, Alfonso Flores-Lagunes, Dimitrios Kapetanakis. 2014. Lessons From Quantile Panel Estimation of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Econometric Reviews* 33:8, 815-853. [[Crossref](#)]
708. Giovanni Bella, Carla Massidda, Paolo Mattana. 2014. The relationship among CO2 emissions, electricity power consumption and GDP in OECD countries. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 36:6, 970-985. [[Crossref](#)]
709. Graeme S. Cumming, Andreas Buerkert, Ellen M. Hoffmann, Eva Schlecht, Stephan von Cramon-Taubadel, Teja Tscharntke. 2014. Implications of agricultural transitions and urbanization for ecosystem services. *Nature* 515:7525, 50-57. [[Crossref](#)]
710. Lukas H Meyer, Pranay Sanklecha. 2014. How legitimate expectations matter in climate justice. *Politics, Philosophy & Economics* 13:4, 369-393. [[Crossref](#)]
711. Christopher B. Barrett, Mark A. Constanas. 2014. Toward a theory of resilience for international development applications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111:40, 14625-14630. [[Crossref](#)]
712. Christopher Marcoux, Johannes Urpelainen. 2014. Profitable Participation: Technology Innovation as an Influence on the Ratification of Regulatory Treaties. *British Journal of Political Science* 44:4, 903-936. [[Crossref](#)]
713. Ole Martin Læg Reid. 2014. Political and Economic Effects on Greenhouse Gas Emissions – a Quantitative Study. *World Political Science* 10:2, 313-341. [[Crossref](#)]
714. . Renewable Resources 278-301. [[Crossref](#)]

715. Shiguo Ma, Lei Shi. 2014. The Micro-foundations of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences* 7:3, 471-482. [[Crossref](#)]
716. Jie Liu, Yunpeng Li, Rehan Sadiq, Yong Deng. 2014. Quantifying influence of weather indices on PM  $_{2.5}$  based on relation map. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* 28:6, 1323-1331. [[Crossref](#)]
717. Xinye Zheng, Yihua Yu, Jing Wang, Huihui Deng. 2014. Identifying the determinants and spatial nexus of provincial carbon intensity in China: a dynamic spatial panel approach. *Regional Environmental Change* 14:4, 1651-1661. [[Crossref](#)]
718. Magnus Lindmark, Sevil Acar. 2014. The environmental Kuznets curve and the Pasteur effect: environmental costs in Sweden 1850–2000. *European Review of Economic History* 18:3, 306-323. [[Crossref](#)]
719. Sung Eun Kim, Johannes Urpelainen. 2014. Technology Competition and International Co-operation: Friends or Foes?. *British Journal of Political Science* 44:3, 545-574. [[Crossref](#)]
720. Helen Kopnina. 2014. Consumption, waste and (un)sustainable development: reflections on the Dutch holiday of Queen's day. *Environment Systems and Decisions* 34:2, 312-322. [[Crossref](#)]
721. Saahil Sundeep Waslekar. 2014. World Environmental Kuznets Curve and the Global Future. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 133, 310-319. [[Crossref](#)]
722. Eriko Miyama, Shunsuke Managi. 2014. Global environmental emissions estimate: application of multiple imputation. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* 16:2, 115-135. [[Crossref](#)]
723. Eri Saikawa, Johannes Urpelainen. 2014. Environmental standards as a strategy of international technology transfer. *Environmental Science & Policy* 38, 192-206. [[Crossref](#)]
724. CHING-YAO IRENE LAI, C.C. YANG. 2014. SCALE EFFECT VERSUS INDUCED POLICY RESPONSE IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE: THE CASE OF U.S. WATER POLLUTION. *Contemporary Economic Policy* 32:2, 435-450. [[Crossref](#)]
725. Leonardo Baccini, Johannes Urpelainen. 2014. Before Ratification: Understanding the Timing of International Treaty Effects on Domestic Policies. *International Studies Quarterly* 58:1, 29-43. [[Crossref](#)]
726. Qian Li, Jinping Song, Enru Wang, Hao Hu, Jianhui Zhang, Yeyao Wang. 2014. Economic growth and pollutant emissions in China: a spatial econometric analysis. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* 28:2, 429-442. [[Crossref](#)]
727. Helen Kopnina. 2014. Christmas tale of (un)sustainability: Reflecting on consumption and environmental awareness on the streets of Amsterdam. *Sustainable Cities and Society* 10, 65-71. [[Crossref](#)]
728. Kirill Borissov, Thierry Bréchet, Stéphane Lambrecht. Environmental Policy in a Dynamic Model with Heterogeneous Agents and Voting 37-60. [[Crossref](#)]
729. Klaus Dieter John, Michael Apel, Benjamin Häupl, Katharina Weiß. Wirtschaftswachstum und Nachhaltigkeit aus systemdynamischer Perspektive 139-175. [[Crossref](#)]
730. Debesh Chakraborty, Kakali Mukhopadhyay. Introduction 1-21. [[Crossref](#)]
731. Thomas Bernauer, Quynh Nguyen. 2014. Concern for the Environment and Individual Attitudes Towards International Trade in Developing Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 14. . [[Crossref](#)]
732. Christopher B. Barrett, Mark A Constas. 2014. Toward a Theory of Resilience for International Development Applications. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 4. . [[Crossref](#)]
733. Masayuki SATO, Hiroshi SAO, Masafumi MORISUGI, Eiji OHNO. 2014. Study of Adequate Benefit Index of Water Supply and Sewerage Systems for Developing Countries. *Journal of Japan Society of Civil Engineers, Ser. G (Environmental Research)* 70:6, II\_319-II\_330. [[Crossref](#)]

734. José Carlos Orihuela. 2013. Context matters: the significance of non-economic conditions for income-pollution relationships in Chile and Peru. *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences* 3:4, 391-403. [[Crossref](#)]
735. Marcel Kohler. 2013. CO2 emissions, energy consumption, income and foreign trade: A South African perspective. *Energy Policy* 63, 1042-1050. [[Crossref](#)]
736. Yoon Loong (Andrew) Wong, Lynne Lewis. 2013. The disappearing Environmental Kuznets Curve: A study of water quality in the Lower Mekong Basin (LMB). *Journal of Environmental Management* 131, 415-425. [[Crossref](#)]
737. Sulan Chen. 2013. Environmental cooperation in the South China Sea: Factors, actors and mechanisms. *Ocean & Coastal Management* 85, 131-140. [[Crossref](#)]
738. WenShwo Fang, Stephen M. Miller. 2013. The effect of ESCO s on carbon dioxide emissions. *Applied Economics* 45:34, 4796-4804. [[Crossref](#)]
739. Andrew Cheon, Johannes Urpelainen. 2013. How do Competing Interest Groups Influence Environmental Policy? The Case of Renewable Electricity in Industrialized Democracies, 1989–2007. *Political Studies* 61:4, 874-897. [[Crossref](#)]
740. Lan Xu, Xu Chun Wei. 2013. Empirical Research on China's Environmental and Economic Development. *Advanced Materials Research* 869-870, 766-772. [[Crossref](#)]
741. Thomas Bernauer, Tobias Böhmelt. 2013. Are Economically “Kinder, Gentler Societies” also Greener?. *Environmental Science & Technology* 47:21, 11993-12001. [[Crossref](#)]
742. Mohamed M. Mostafa. 2013. Wealth, Post-materialism and Consumers' Pro-environmental Intentions: A Multilevel Analysis across 25 Nations. *Sustainable Development* 21:6, 385-399. [[Crossref](#)]
743. George E. Halkos, Nickolaos G. Tzeremes. 2013. Carbon dioxide emissions and governance: A nonparametric analysis for the G-20. *Energy Economics* 40, 110-118. [[Crossref](#)]
744. Dimitra Kaika, Efthimios Zervas. 2013. The Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) theory—Part A: Concept, causes and the CO2 emissions case. *Energy Policy* 62, 1392-1402. [[Crossref](#)]
745. Timothy G. Gutowski, Julian M. Allwood, Christoph Herrmann, Sahil Sahni. 2013. A Global Assessment of Manufacturing: Economic Development, Energy Use, Carbon Emissions, and the Potential for Energy Efficiency and Materials Recycling. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 38:1, 81-106. [[Crossref](#)]
746. Ole Martin Lægread. 2013. Politiske og økonomiske effekter på utslipp av drivhusgasser – en kvantitativ studie. *Norsk statsvitenskapelig tidsskrift* 29:3, 228-257. [[Crossref](#)]
747. Marc Jeuland, David Fuente, Semra Özdemir, Maura Allaire, Dale Whittington. Water and Sanitation: Economic Losses from Poor Water and Sanitation – Past, Present, and Future 303-364. [[Crossref](#)]
748. Michaël Aklin, Patrick Bayer, S.P. Harish, Johannes Urpelainen. 2013. Understanding environmental policy preferences: New evidence from Brazil. *Ecological Economics* 94, 28-36. [[Crossref](#)]
749. Charisma Shont'e Acey, Thomas H. Culhane. 2013. Green jobs, livelihoods and the post-carbon economy in African cities. *Local Environment* 18:9, 1046-1065. [[Crossref](#)]
750. Heechan Kang. 2013. Effect of Awareness on the Change of Polluting Emission - Analysis of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis-. *Environmental and Resource Economics Review* 22:3, 437-457. [[Crossref](#)]
751. Joshua Graff Zivin,, Matthew Neidell. 2013. Environment, Health, and Human Capital. *Journal of Economic Literature* 51:3, 689-730. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
752. Siqi Zheng,, Matthew E. Kahn. 2013. Understanding China's Urban Pollution Dynamics. *Journal of Economic Literature* 51:3, 731-772. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]



753. Chao Wang, Jiang Liu, Li Huang, Wei Li. 2013. Exploring the Relationship between Industrial Economic Growth and Environmental Pollution - An Empirical Analysis Based on Cointegration and Granger Causality Test. *Applied Mechanics and Materials* **423-426**, 1377-1382. [[Crossref](#)]
754. Travis Roach. 2013. A dynamic state-level analysis of carbon dioxide emissions in the United States. *Energy Policy* **59**, 931-937. [[Crossref](#)]
755. Swati Sinha Babu, Soumyendra Kishore Datta. 2013. The relevance of environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) in a framework of broad-based environmental degradation and modified measure of growth – a pooled data analysis. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology* **20:4**, 309-316. [[Crossref](#)]
756. Clas Eriksson, Joakim Persson. 2013. Democracy, income and pollution. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* **15:3**, 291-308. [[Crossref](#)]
757. Mehdi Abbas. 2013. Libre-échange et changements climatiques : “soutien mutuel” ou divergence ?. *Mondes en développement* n° **162:2**, 33-48. [[Crossref](#)]
758. Hussain A Bekhet, Tahira Yasmin. 2013. Exploring EKC, trends of growth patterns and air pollutants concentration level in Malaysia: A Nemerow Index Approach. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **16**, 012015. [[Crossref](#)]
759. Frank Boons. Ecological Modernization and Industrial Ecology 388-402. [[Crossref](#)]
760. Thomas Bernauer. 2013. Climate Change Politics. *Annual Review of Political Science* **16:1**, 421-448. [[Crossref](#)]
761. S. Scricciu, A. Rezai, R. Mechler. 2013. On the economic foundations of green growth discourses: the case of climate change mitigation and macroeconomic dynamics in economic modeling. *WIREs Energy and Environment* **2:3**, 251-268. [[Crossref](#)]
762. Andreas Buehn, Mohammad Reza Farzanegan. 2013. Hold your breath: A new index of air pollution. *Energy Economics* **37**, 104-113. [[Crossref](#)]
763. Jennifer Alix-Garcia, Craig McIntosh, Katharine R. E. Sims, Jarrod R. Welch. 2013. The Ecological Footprint of Poverty Alleviation: Evidence from Mexico's Oportunidades Program. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **95:2**, 417-435. [[Crossref](#)]
764. Christopher B. Barrett, Erwin H. Bulte, Paul Ferraro, Sven Wunder. Economic instruments for nature conservation 59-73. [[Crossref](#)]
765. Kuan-Min Wang. 2013. The relationship between carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: quantile panel-type analysis. *Quality & Quantity* **47:3**, 1337-1366. [[Crossref](#)]
766. Danny T. Wang, Flora F. Gu, David K. Tse, Chi Kin (Bennett) Yim. 2013. When does FDI matter? The roles of local institutions and ethnic origins of FDI. *International Business Review* **22:2**, 450-465. [[Crossref](#)]
767. Linda Kleemann, Awudu Abdulai. 2013. THE IMPACT OF TRADE AND ECONOMIC GROWTH ON THE ENVIRONMENT: REVISITING THE CROSS-COUNTRY EVIDENCE. *Journal of International Development* **25:2**, 180-205. [[Crossref](#)]
768. Rosa Duarte, Vicente Pinilla, Ana Serrano. 2013. Is there an environmental Kuznets curve for water use? A panel smooth transition regression approach. *Economic Modelling* **31**, 518-527. [[Crossref](#)]
769. Jia-qiang Mao, Jia-jia Wang, Kun Jing. Effect Analysis of FDI on the Construction Industry Competitiveness 521-529. [[Crossref](#)]
770. Thomas Bassetti, Nikos Benos, Stelios Karagiannis. 2013. CO2 Emissions and Income Dynamics: What Does the Global Evidence Tell Us?. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **54:1**, 101-125. [[Crossref](#)]
771. Valeria Andreoni. 2013. Can Economic Growth be Sustainable? The Case of EU27. *Journal of Global Policy and Governance* **1:2**, 185-195. [[Crossref](#)]



772. Hala Abou-Ali, Yasmine M. Abdelfattah. 2013. Integrated paradigm for sustainable development: A panel data study. *Economic Modelling* **30**, 334-342. [[Crossref](#)]
773. Helen Kopnina. 2013. An Exploratory Case Study of Dutch Children's Attitudes Toward Consumption: Implications for Environmental Education. *The Journal of Environmental Education* **44**:2, 128-144. [[Crossref](#)]
774. Krishna P. Paudel, Biswo N. Poudel. 2013. Functional Form of Water Pollutants-Income Relationship under the Environmental Kuznets Curve Framework. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **95**:2, 261-267. [[Crossref](#)]
775. Caroline Y. Jo, Lynn White. 2013. Polluted Air or Policy Advance in H ong K ong- G uangdong?. *Asian Politics & Policy* **5**:1, 77-106. [[Crossref](#)]
776. Mariana Conte Grand, Vanesa Valeria D'Elia. 2013. Using the Box-Cox Transformation to Approximate the Shape of the Relationship between CO2 Emissions and GDP: A Note. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **35**. . [[Crossref](#)]
777. Michael Greenstone, B. Kelsey Jack. 2013. Enviroleconomics: A Research Agenda for a Young Field. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **102**. . [[Crossref](#)]
778. jonathan Skaza. 2013. The Relationship between Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation: Exploring Models and Questioning the Existence of an Environmental Kuznets Curve. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **53**. . [[Crossref](#)]
779. Folorusno Sunday Ayadi. An Empirical Investigation of Environmental Kuznets Curve in Nigeria 302-310. [[Crossref](#)]
780. Alexi Thompson. 2013. Accounting for Population in an EKC for Water Pollution. *Journal of Environmental Protection* **04**:07, 147-150. [[Crossref](#)]
781. Christopher Marcoux, Johannes Urpelainen. 2012. Capacity, not constraints: A theory of North-South regulatory cooperation. *The Review of International Organizations* **7**:4, 399-424. [[Crossref](#)]
782. Wen Shwo Fang, Stephen M. Miller, Chih-Chuan Yeh. 2012. The effect of ESCOs on energy use. *Energy Policy* **51**, 558-568. [[Crossref](#)]
783. Roger Fouquet. 2012. The demand for environmental quality in driving transitions to low-polluting energy sources. *Energy Policy* **50**, 138-149. [[Crossref](#)]
784. Andrea Sarzynski. 2012. Bigger Is Not Always Better: A Comparative Analysis of Cities and their Air Pollution Impact. *Urban Studies* **49**:14, 3121-3138. [[Crossref](#)]
785. Qingsong Wang, Xueliang Yuan, Yanhua Lai, Chunyuan Ma, Wei Ren. 2012. Research on interactive coupling mechanism and regularity between urbanization and atmospheric environment: a case study in Shandong Province, China. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* **26**:7, 887-898. [[Crossref](#)]
786. Christopher Marcoux, Johannes Urpelainen. 2012. Dynamics of Spatial Interdependence: The Contingent Effect of International Trade on Voluntary Environmental Standards. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy* **30**:5, 871-890. [[Crossref](#)]
787. Richard Kozul-Wright, Piergiuseppe Fortunato. 2012. International Trade and Carbon Emissions. *The European Journal of Development Research* **24**:4, 509-529. [[Crossref](#)]
788. Rebecca M. Bratspies. Assuming away the problem? The vexing relationship between international trade and environmental protection 227-253. [[Crossref](#)]
789. Nada Denona Bogović, Saša Čegar. 2012. EDUCATION PRINCIPLES IN A MODEL OF STRONG SUSTAINABILITY. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century* **44**:1, 10-19. [[Crossref](#)]
790. Luisito Bertinelli, Eric Strobl, Benteng Zou. 2012. Sustainable economic development and the environment: Theory and evidence. *Energy Economics* **34**:4, 1105-1114. [[Crossref](#)]

791. Matías Piaggio, Emilio Padilla. 2012. CO2 emissions and economic activity: Heterogeneity across countries and non-stationary series. *Energy Policy* 46, 370-381. [[Crossref](#)]
792. Elizabeth A. Stanton. 2012. Modeling pessimism: Does climate Stabilization require a failure of development?. *Environmental Development* 3, 65-76. [[Crossref](#)]
793. Limin Du, Chu Wei, Shenghua Cai. 2012. Economic development and carbon dioxide emissions in China: Provincial panel data analysis. *China Economic Review* 23:2, 371-384. [[Crossref](#)]
794. Mohamed M. Mostafa. 2012. Does globalisation affect consumers' pro-environmental intentions? A multilevel analysis across 25 countries. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology* 19:3, 229-237. [[Crossref](#)]
795. Désiré Avom, Gislain Stéphane Gandjon Fankem. 2012. Le développement durable constitue-t-il un élément d'attractivité territoriale ? Application aux pays de l'Afrique Centrale. *Marché et organisations* N° 16:2, 77-102. [[Crossref](#)]
796. Jie He, Hua Wang. 2012. Economic structure, development policy and environmental quality: An empirical analysis of environmental Kuznets curves with Chinese municipal data. *Ecological Economics* 76, 49-59. [[Crossref](#)]
797. Gabriele Spilker. 2012. Helpful Organizations: Membership in Inter-Governmental Organizations and Environmental Quality in Developing Countries. *British Journal of Political Science* 42:2, 345-370. [[Crossref](#)]
798. Markus Kitzmueller,, Jay Shimshack. 2012. Economic Perspectives on Corporate Social Responsibility. *Journal of Economic Literature* 50:1, 51-84. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
799. George E. Halkos, Nickolaos G. Tzeremes. 2012. Measuring German regions' environmental efficiency: a directional distance function approach. *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences* 5:1, 7-16. [[Crossref](#)]
800. Hossein Mirshojaeian Hosseini, Shinji Kaneko. 2012. Causality between pillars of sustainable development: Global stylized facts or regional phenomena?. *Ecological Indicators* 14:1, 197-201. [[Crossref](#)]
801. C. Palmer, S. Di Falco. 2012. Biodiversity, poverty, and development. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 28:1, 48-68. [[Crossref](#)]
802. Elizabeth A. Stanton. 2012. The Tragedy of Maldistribution: Climate, Sustainability, and Equity. *Sustainability* 4:3, 394-411. [[Crossref](#)]
803. Christoph Schmitz, Anne Biewald, Hermann Lotze-Campen, Alexander Popp, Jan Philipp Dietrich, Benjamin Bodirsky, Michael Krause, Isabelle Weindl. 2012. Trading more food: Implications for land use, greenhouse gas emissions, and the food system. *Global Environmental Change* 22:1, 189-209. [[Crossref](#)]
804. Peter Preisendörfer, Andreas Diekmann. Umweltprobleme 1198-1217. [[Crossref](#)]
805. Matthew A. Shapiro. Long-Run Protection: Determining Key Features of Growth and Sustainability in Northeast Asia 259-278. [[Crossref](#)]
806. Olaf Weber, Marco Mansfeld, Eric Schirrmann. The Financial Performance of RI Funds After 2000 75-91. [[Crossref](#)]
807. Timothy J. Tyrrell, Robert J. Johnston. The Role of Tourism in Sustainable Communities 565-582. [[Crossref](#)]
808. Risa Kumazawa, Michael S. Callaghan. 2012. The effect of the Kyoto Protocol on carbon dioxide emissions. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 36:1, 201-210. [[Crossref](#)]
809. Mariana I. Zilio. 2012. Curva de Kuznets ambiental: la validez de sus fundamentos en países en desarrollo. *Cuadernos de Economía* 35:97, 43-54. [[Crossref](#)]
810. Rinku Roy Chowdhury, Emilio F. Moran. 2012. Turning the curve: A critical review of Kuznets approaches. *Applied Geography* 32:1, 3-11. [[Crossref](#)]

811. Rachel S. Franklin, Matthias Ruth. 2012. Growing up and cleaning up: The environmental Kuznets curve redux. *Applied Geography* 32:1, 29-39. [[Crossref](#)]
812. Robert Y. Shum. 2012. Effects of economic recession and local weather on climate change attitudes. *Climate Policy* 12:1, 38-49. [[Crossref](#)]
813. Roberta de Santis. 2012. Trade, FDI, Growth and Biodiversity: An Empirical Analysis for the Main OECD Countries. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 80. . [[Crossref](#)]
814. Travis Roach. 2012. A State-Level Analysis of Carbon Dioxide Emissions in the United States. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 14. . [[Crossref](#)]
815. Wen-Shwo Fang, Stephen M. Miller, Chih-Chuan Yeh. 2012. The Effect of ESCOs on Energy Use. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 545. . [[Crossref](#)]
816. Wen-Shwo Fang, Stephen M. Miller. 2012. The Effect of ESCOs on Carbon Dioxide Emissions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 58. . [[Crossref](#)]
817. Mina Balamoune-Lutz. 2012. Trade and Environmental Quality in African Countries: Do Institutions Matter?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 12. . [[Crossref](#)]
818. Mohamed M. Mostafa. Modeling the Ecological Footprint of Nations via Evolutionary Computation and Machine Learning Models 2081-2100. [[Crossref](#)]
819. Jean-Michel Larivière, Jie He. 2012. L'impact de la taille des firmes industrielles sur la courbe de Kuznets environnementale : le cas des émissions de SO<sub>2</sub> en Chine. *L'Actualité économique* 88:1, 5-36. [[Crossref](#)]
820. Mariana Zilio, Marina Recalde. 2011. GDP and environment pressure: The role of energy in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Energy Policy* 39:12, 7941-7949. [[Crossref](#)]
821. Ghuncha Firdaus, Ateeque Ahmad. 2011. Impact analysis of urbanization on rural livelihood – an empirical study of an urban centre of Delhi, India. *International Journal of Urban Sciences* 15:3, 147-160. [[Crossref](#)]
822. Laurent Baechler. 2011. Les stratégies de développement durable à la lumière de la crise de 2008. *L'Europe en Formation* n° 361:3, 73-86. [[Crossref](#)]
823. Christopher Marcoux, Johannes Urpelainen. 2011. Special Interests, Regulatory Quality, and the Pesticides Overload. *Review of Policy Research* 28:6, 585-612. [[Crossref](#)]
824. George E. Halkos, Nickolaos G. Tzeremes. 2011. Growth and environmental pollution: empirical evidence from China. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies* 4:3, 144-157. [[Crossref](#)]
825. Roger Fouquet. 2011. Long run trends in energy-related external costs. *Ecological Economics* 70:12, 2380-2389. [[Crossref](#)]
826. Soonae Park, Youngmi Lee. 2011. Regional model of EKC for air pollution: Evidence from the Republic of Korea. *Energy Policy* 39:10, 5840-5849. [[Crossref](#)]
827. 2011. People, Projects, and Programs. *Sustainability: The Journal of Record* 4:5, 216-221. [[Crossref](#)]
828. Ing-Marie Gren, Monica Campos. 2011. Development and non-indigenous species at the global scale. *Regional Environmental Change* 11:3, 593-601. [[Crossref](#)]
829. Tobias Menz, Jan Kühling. 2011. Population aging and environmental quality in OECD countries: evidence from sulfur dioxide emissions data. *Population and Environment* 33:1, 55-79. [[Crossref](#)]
830. Victor Brajer, Robert W. Mead, Feng Xiao. 2011. Searching for an Environmental Kuznets Curve in China's air pollution. *China Economic Review* 22:3, 383-397. [[Crossref](#)]
831. Junjie Zhang, Can Wang. 2011. Co-benefits and additionality of the clean development mechanism: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 62:2, 140-154. [[Crossref](#)]
832. Jing You. 2011. China's energy consumption and sustainable development: Comparative evidence from GDP and genuine savings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 15:6, 2984-2989. [[Crossref](#)]

833. Hale W. Thurston. 2011. Sustainability and Environmental Economics: Some Critical Foci. *Sustainability: The Journal of Record* 4:4, 188-192. [[Crossref](#)]
834. Marta Aloi, Frederic Tournemaine. 2011. Growth effects of environmental policy when pollution affects health. *Economic Modelling* 28:4, 1683-1695. [[Crossref](#)]
835. Ashley Murray, Gayathri Devi Mekala, Xia Chen. 2011. Evolving policies and the roles of public and private stakeholders in wastewater and faecal-sludge management in India, China and Ghana. *Water International* 36:4, 491-504. [[Crossref](#)]
836. Berna Kirkculak, Bin Qiu, Wei Yin. 2011. The impact of FDI on air quality: evidence from China. *Journal of Chinese Economic and Foreign Trade Studies* 4:2, 81-98. [[Crossref](#)]
837. . Notes 245-304. [[Crossref](#)]
838. Stephane Hallegatte, Valentin Przyluski, Adrien Vogt-Schilb. 2011. Building world narratives for climate change impact, adaptation and vulnerability analyses. *Nature Climate Change* 1:3, 151-155. [[Crossref](#)]
839. Weihua Du. Interrelations between environmental pollution, economic growth and population distribution in gradient economic zones in China 6064-6067. [[Crossref](#)]
840. Shonil A. Bhagwat, Nigel Dudley, Stuart R. Harrop. 2011. Religious following in biodiversity hotspots: challenges and opportunities for conservation and development. *Conservation Letters* 4:3, 234-240. [[Crossref](#)]
841. ###. 2011. A Political Economic Analysis of Environmental Policy, Redistributive Policy, and Economic Growth. *KDI Journal of Economic Policy* 33:2, 145-175. [[Crossref](#)]
842. Li Wang. 2011. A nonparametric analysis on the environmental Kuznets curve. *Environmetrics* 22:3, 420-430. [[Crossref](#)]
843. Sjak Smulders, Lucas Bretschger, Hannes Egli. 2011. Economic Growth and the Diffusion of Clean Technologies: Explaining Environmental Kuznets Curves. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 49:1, 79-99. [[Crossref](#)]
844. Zhu Liu, Yong Geng, Bing Xue. Notice of Retraction: The Relationship between Pollution Emission and the Economy: An Eco-Efficiency Analysis in Industrial Waste Water Discharge in China 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
845. Katrina Mullan, Andreas Kontoleon, Tim Swanson, Shiqiu Zhang. 2011. When should households be compensated for land-use restrictions? A decision-making framework for Chinese forest policy. *Land Use Policy* 28:2, 402-412. [[Crossref](#)]
846. Gustavo Inácio de Moraes, Maurício Aguiar Serra. 2011. O modelo IS-LM-EE para economias abertas e distinções dos efeitos para as economias nacionais. *Economia e Sociedade* 20:1, 53-78. [[Crossref](#)]
847. Yu-wai Li, Bo Miao, Graeme Lang. 2011. The Local Environmental State in China: A Study of County-Level Cities in Suzhou. *The China Quarterly* 205, 115-132. [[Crossref](#)]
848. R. MacDermott, A. Basuchoudhary, J. Bang. Trade, Trade Agreements and the Environment 394-399. [[Crossref](#)]
849. Hui Zhang, Yi Yu, Hao Hu. 2011. Economy Growth and Agricultural Non-point Source Pollution: An Empirical Analysis: Based on Provincial Paneldata (1990-2007). *Energy Procedia* 5, 545-549. [[Crossref](#)]
850. Shubhayu Saha, Subhrendu K. Pattanayak, Erin O. Sills, Ashok K. Singha. 2011. Under-mining health: Environmental justice and mining in India. *Health & Place* 17:1, 140-148. [[Crossref](#)]
851. Daniel B. Müller, Tao Wang, Benjamin Duval. 2011. Patterns of Iron Use in Societal Evolution. *Environmental Science & Technology* 45:1, 182-188. [[Crossref](#)]
852. David Popp. 2011. International Technology Transfer, Climate Change, and the Clean Development Mechanism. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 5:1, 131-152. [[Crossref](#)]

853. Michaël Aklin. 2011. Trade, Development & the Environment: The Diffusion of Pollution. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 8. . [[Crossref](#)]
854. Chris Marcoux, Johannes Urpelainen. 2011. Profitable Participation: Technology Innovation as an Influence on the Ratification of Regulatory Treaties. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 42. . [[Crossref](#)]
855. Yi Jiang, Tun Lin, Juzhong Zhuang. 2011. Environmental Kuznets Curves in the People's Republic of China: Turning Points and Regional Differences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 55. . [[Crossref](#)]
856. Jean-Thomas Bernard, Michael Gavin, Lynda Khalaf, Marcel C. Voia. 2011. The Environmental Kuznets Curve: Tipping Points, Uncertainty and Weak Identification. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 18. . [[Crossref](#)]
857. Mohamed M. Mostafa. Modeling the Ecological Footprint of Nations via Evolutionary Computation and Machine Learning Models 18-37. [[Crossref](#)]
858. Steven P. Cassou, Arantza Gorostiaga, María José Gutiérrez, Stephen F. Hamilton. 2010. Second-best tax policy and natural resource management in growing economies. *International Tax and Public Finance* 17:6, 607-626. [[Crossref](#)]
859. Johannes Urpelainen. 2010. Regulation under Economic Globalization1. *International Studies Quarterly* 54:4, 1099-1121. [[Crossref](#)]
860. Todd L. Matthews. 2010. THE ENDURING CONFLICT OF "JOBS VERSUS THE ENVIRONMENT": LOCAL POLLUTION HAVENS AS AN INTEGRATIVE EMPIRICAL MEASURE OF ECONOMY VERSUS ENVIRONMENT. *Sociological Spectrum* 31:1, 59-85. [[Crossref](#)]
861. John Quiggin. 2010. Agriculture and global climate stabilization: a public good analysis. *Agricultural Economics* 41:s1, 121-132. [[Crossref](#)]
862. Alexandra Leitão. 2010. Corruption and the environmental Kuznets Curve: Empirical evidence for sulfur. *Ecological Economics* 69:11, 2191-2201. [[Crossref](#)]
863. Giovanni Immordino, Marco Pagano. 2010. LEGAL STANDARDS, ENFORCEMENT, AND CORRUPTION. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 8:5, 1104-1132. [[Crossref](#)]
864. Dietrich Earnhart, Lubomir Lizal. 2010. Effect of Corporate Economic Performance on Firm-Level Environmental Performance in a Transition Economy. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 46:3, 303-329. [[Crossref](#)]
865. Mohamed M. Mostafa. 2010. A Bayesian approach to analyzing the ecological footprint of 140 nations. *Ecological Indicators* 10:4, 808-817. [[Crossref](#)]
866. Surender Kumar, Shunsuke Managi. 2010. Environment and productivities in developed and developing countries: The case of carbon dioxide and sulfur dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Management* 91:7, 1580-1592. [[Crossref](#)]
867. Folunso Sunday Ayadi. 2010. An Empirical Investigation of Environmental Kuznets Curve in Nigeria. *International Journal of Green Computing* 1:2, 31-39. [[Crossref](#)]
868. Ioan Ciomasu, Naela Costica. Impacts of Air Pollution on the Ecosystem and Human Health 447-492. [[Crossref](#)]
869. HIROYUKI TAGUCHI, HARUTAKA MUROFUSHI. 2010. Evidence on the interjurisdictional competition for polluted industries within China. *Environment and Development Economics* 15:3, 363-378. [[Crossref](#)]
870. Kyla Tienhaara. 2010. A tale of two crises: what the global financial crisis means for the global environmental crisis. *Environmental Policy and Governance* 20:3, 197-208. [[Crossref](#)]
871. Tetsuya Tsurumi, Shunsuke Managi. 2010. Decomposition of the environmental Kuznets curve: scale, technique, and composition effects. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* 11:1-4, 19-36. [[Crossref](#)]

872. Aaron Kearsley, Mary Riddel. 2010. A further inquiry into the Pollution Haven Hypothesis and the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *Ecological Economics* 69:4, 905-919. [[Crossref](#)]
873. Olaf Weber, Roland W. Scholz, Georg Michalik. 2010. Incorporating sustainability criteria into credit risk management. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 19:1, 39-50. [[Crossref](#)]
874. Yannick Le Pen, Benoît Sévi. 2010. On the non-convergence of energy intensities: Evidence from a pair-wise econometric approach. *Ecological Economics* 69:3, 641-650. [[Crossref](#)]
875. Artur Tamazian, B. Bhaskara Rao. 2010. Do economic, financial and institutional developments matter for environmental degradation? Evidence from transitional economies. *Energy Economics* 32:1, 137-145. [[Crossref](#)]
876. Siqi Zheng, Matthew E. Kahn, Hongyu Liu. 2010. Towards a system of open cities in China: Home prices, FDI flows and air quality in 35 major cities. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 40:1, 1-10. [[Crossref](#)]
877. Richard T. Carson. 2010. The Environmental Kuznets Curve: Seeking Empirical Regularity and Theoretical Structure. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 4:1, 3-23. [[Crossref](#)]
878. Zhong wu Li, Qi Zhang, Yong Fang, Xiao cong Yang, Qing shui Yuan. 2010. Examining social-economic factors in spatial and temporal change of water quality in red soil hilly region of South China: a case study in Hunan Province. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 42:1/2/3, 184. [[Crossref](#)]
879. Junjie Zhang, Can Wang. 2010. Co-Benefits and Additionality of the Clean Development Mechanism: An Empirical Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 91. . [[Crossref](#)]
880. Jennifer Alix-Garcia, Craig McIntosh, Jarrod R. Welch, Katharine R. E. Sims. 2010. The Ecological Footprint of Poverty Alleviation: Evidence from Mexico's Oportunidades Program. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 14. . [[Crossref](#)]
881. Bin Dong, Benno Torgler. 2010. The Consequences of Corruption: Evidences from China. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 89. . [[Crossref](#)]
882. Olaf Weber, Marco Mansfeld, Eric Schirrmann. 2010. The Financial Performance of SRI Funds Between 2002 and 2009. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 21. . [[Crossref](#)]
883. Thomas Bernauer, Lena M. Schaffer. 2010. Climate Change Governance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 15. . [[Crossref](#)]
884. Olaf Weber. 2010. Sustainability and Environmental Risk Management in Canadian Banks and Financial Service Institutions – A Global Comparative Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 22. . [[Crossref](#)]
885. David C. Popp. 2010. International Technology Transfer for Climate Policy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 16. . [[Crossref](#)]
886. JOHANNA ETNER, MEGLENA JELEVA, PIERRE-ANDRE JOUVET. 2009. PESSIMISM OR OPTIMISM: A JUSTIFICATION TO VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS TOWARD ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY\*. *Australian Economic Papers* 48:4, 308-319. [[Crossref](#)]
887. Peter M. Madsen. 2009. Does Corporate Investment Drive a “Race to the Bottom” in Environmental Protection? A Reexamination of the Effect of Environmental Regulation on Investment. *Academy of Management Journal* 52:6, 1297-1318. [[Crossref](#)]
888. Z. O. Ojekunle, Z. Lin, T. Xin, G. Harrer, A. O. Martins, H. Bangura. 2009. Global Climate Change: The Empirical Study of Sensitivity Model in China's Sustainable Development. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects* 31:19, 1777-1789. [[Crossref](#)]
889. Tanya O'Garra. 2009. Bequest Values for Marine Resources: How Important for Indigenous Communities in Less-Developed Economies?. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 44:2, 179-202. [[Crossref](#)]



890. Jeffrey D. Sachs, Jonathan E. M. Baillie, William J. Sutherland, Paul R. Armsworth, Neville Ash, John Beddington, Tim M. Blackburn, Ben Collen, Barry Gardiner, Kevin J. Gaston, H. Charles J. Godfray, Rhys E. Green, Paul H. Harvey, Brett House, Sandra Knapp, Noëlle F. Kumpel, David W. Macdonald, Georgina M. Mace, James Mallet, Adam Matthews, Robert M. May, Owen Petchey, Andy Purvis, Dilys Roe, Kamran Safi, Kerry Turner, Matt Walpole, Robert Watson, Kate E. Jones. 2009. Biodiversity Conservation and the Millennium Development Goals. *Science* **325**:5947, 1502-1503. [[Crossref](#)]
891. Robert Y. Shum. 2009. Can attitudes predict outcomes? Public opinion, democratic institutions and environmental policy. *Environmental Policy and Governance* **19**:5, 281-295. [[Crossref](#)]
892. Yoshiaki Tsuzuki. 2009. Comparison of pollutant discharge per capita (PDC) and its relationships with economic development: An indicator for ambient water quality improvement as well as the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) sanitation indicator. *Ecological Indicators* **9**:5, 971-981. [[Crossref](#)]
893. Robert Lempert, Jürgen Scheffran, Detlef F. Sprinz. 2009. Methods for Long-Term Environmental Policy Challenges. *Global Environmental Politics* **9**:3, 106-133. [[Crossref](#)]
894. Fabien Prieur. 2009. The environmental Kuznets curve in a world of irreversibility. *Economic Theory* **40**:1, 57-90. [[Crossref](#)]
895. Julia K. Steinberger, Damien Friot, Olivier Joliet, Suren Erkman. 2009. A spatially explicit life cycle inventory of the global textile chain. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* **14**:5, 443-455. [[Crossref](#)]
896. Mohamed M. Mostafa, Rajan Natarajan. 2009. A neuro-computational intelligence analysis of the ecological footprint of nations. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **53**:9, 3516-3531. [[Crossref](#)]
897. Serkan Gürlük. 2009. Economic growth, industrial pollution and human development in the Mediterranean Region. *Ecological Economics* **68**:8-9, 2327-2335. [[Crossref](#)]
898. Frank Boons, Marcus Wagner. 2009. Assessing the relationship between economic and ecological performance: Distinguishing system levels and the role of innovation. *Ecological Economics* **68**:7, 1908-1914. [[Crossref](#)]
899. Massimiliano Mazzanti, Anna Montini, Roberto Zoboli. 2009. Municipal waste generation and the EKC hypothesis new evidence exploiting province-based panel data. *Applied Economics Letters* **16**:7, 719-725. [[Crossref](#)]
900. Derek Vollmer. 2009. Urban waterfront rehabilitation: can it contribute to environmental improvements in the developing world?. *Environmental Research Letters* **4**:2, 024003. [[Crossref](#)]
901. Sandra O. Archibald, Zbigniew Bochniarz, Masahiko Gemma, Tanja Srebotnjak. 2009. Transition and sustainability: empirical analysis of environmental Kuznets curve for water pollution in 25 countries in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States. *Environmental Policy and Governance* **19**:2, 73-98. [[Crossref](#)]
902. Elif Akbostancı, Serap Türüt-Aşık, G. İpek Tunç. 2009. The relationship between income and environment in Turkey: Is there an environmental Kuznets curve?. *Energy Policy* **37**:3, 861-867. [[Crossref](#)]
903. Geetilaxmi Mohapatra, A.K. Giri. 2009. Economic development and environmental quality: an econometric study in India. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal* **20**:2, 175-191. [[Crossref](#)]
904. Krishna P. Paudel, Mark J. Schafer. 2009. The Environmental Kuznets Curve Under a New Framework: The Role of Social Capital in Water Pollution. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **42**:2, 265-278. [[Crossref](#)]
905. Jorge Rivera, Jennifer Oetzel, Peter deLeon, Mark Starik. 2009. Business responses to environmental and social protection policies: toward a framework for analysis. *Policy Sciences* **42**:1, 3-32. [[Crossref](#)]

906. Jill L. Caviglia-Harris, Dustin Chambers, James R. Kahn. 2009. Taking the “U” out of Kuznets. *Ecological Economics* 68:4, 1149-1159. [[Crossref](#)]
907. Frans Berkhout, David Angel, Anna J. Wiczorek. 2009. Asian development pathways and sustainable socio-technical regimes. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 76:2, 218-228. [[Crossref](#)]
908. Jen Baggs. 2009. International Trade in Hazardous Waste. *Review of International Economics* 17:1, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
909. Gang Liu, Terje Skjerpen, Kjetil Telle. 2009. Unit roots, polynomial transformations and the environmental Kuznets curve. *Applied Economics Letters* 16:3, 285-288. [[Crossref](#)]
910. Sangwon Suh. Developing the Sectoral Environmental Database for Input-Output Analysis: Comprehensive Environmental Data Archive of the U.S 689-712. [[Crossref](#)]
911. Brent Swallow, Ruth Meinzen-Dick. Payment for Environmental Services: Interactions with Property Rights and Collective Action 243-265. [[Crossref](#)]
912. Yannick Le Pen, Benoît Sévi. 2009. On the Non-Convergence of Energy Intensities: Evidence from a Pair-Wise Econometric Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 3. . [[Crossref](#)]
913. Nektarios Aslanidis. 2009. Environmental Kuznets Curves for Carbon Emissions: A Critical Survey. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 57. . [[Crossref](#)]
914. René W. Aubourg, David H. Good, Kerry Krutilla. 2008. Debt, democratization, and development in Latin America: How policy can affect global warming. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 27:1, 7-19. [[Crossref](#)]
915. John P. Hoehn, Kwami Adanu. 2008. Do growth, investment, and trade encourage water use or water conservation?. *Letters in Spatial and Resource Sciences* 1:2-3, 127-146. [[Crossref](#)]
916. JEFFREY BEGUN, THEO S. EICHER. 2008. In search of an environmental Kuznets curve in sulphur dioxide concentrations: a Bayesian model averaging approach. *Environment and Development Economics* 13:06, 795. [[Crossref](#)]
917. LUCAS BRETSCHEGER, KAREN PITTEL. 2008. From time zero to infinity: transitional and long-run dynamics in capital-resource economies. *Environment and Development Economics* 13:06, 673. [[Crossref](#)]
918. Gilles Grolleau, Jérémy Lamri, Naoufel Mzoughi. 2008. Déterminants de la diffusion internationale de la norme ISO 14001. *Économie & prévision* n° 185:4, 123-138. [[Crossref](#)]
919. Bríd Gleeson Hanna. 2008. An empirical study of income growth and manufacturing industry pollution in New England, 1980-1990. *Ecological Economics* 67:1, 75-82. [[Crossref](#)]
920. JON P. REZEK, KEVIN ROGERS. 2008. Decomposing the CO2-income tradeoff: an output distance function approach. *Environment and Development Economics* 13:04. . [[Crossref](#)]
921. Noh-Sun Kwark, ###. 2008. Determinants of Income Distribution#Effects of Free Trade Agreement and Kuznets Curve. *KUKJE KYUNGJE YONGU* 14:2, 77-100. [[Crossref](#)]
922. Victor Brajer, Robert W. Mead, Feng Xiao. 2008. Health benefits of tunneling through the Chinese environmental Kuznets curve (EKC). *Ecological Economics* 66:4, 674-686. [[Crossref](#)]
923. Luisito Bertinelli, Eric Strobl, Benteng Zou. 2008. Economic development and environmental quality: A reassessment in light of nature's self-regeneration capacity. *Ecological Economics* 66:2-3, 371-378. [[Crossref](#)]
924. Maximilian Auffhammer, Richard T. Carson. 2008. Forecasting the path of China's CO2 emissions using province-level information. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 55:3, 229-247. [[Crossref](#)]
925. Derek K. Kellenberg, Ahmed Mushfiq Mobarak. 2008. Does rising income increase or decrease damage risk from natural disasters?. *Journal of Urban Economics* 63:3, 788-802. [[Crossref](#)]

926. Sherry Bartz, David L. Kelly. 2008. Economic growth and the environment: Theory and facts. *Resource and Energy Economics* 30:2, 115-149. [[Crossref](#)]
927. Stephen Morse. 2008. On the use of headline indices to link environmental quality and income at the level of the nation state. *Applied Geography* 28:2, 77-95. [[Crossref](#)]
928. Marco Bagliani, Giangiaco Bravo, Silvana Dalmazzone. 2008. A consumption-based approach to environmental Kuznets curves using the ecological footprint indicator. *Ecological Economics* 65:3, 650-661. [[Crossref](#)]
929. Olaf Weber, Marcus Fenchel, Roland W. Scholz. 2008. Empirical analysis of the integration of environmental risks into the credit risk management process of European banks. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 17:3, 149-159. [[Crossref](#)]
930. Susmita Dasgupta, Kirk Hamilton, Stefano Pagiola, David Wheeler. 2008. Environmental Economics at the World Bank. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy* 2:1, 4-25. [[Crossref](#)]
931. Remigijus Ciegis, Dalia Streimikiene, Edmundas Kazimieras Zavadskas. 2008. The use of the environmental Kuznets curve: environmental and economic implications. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 33:2/3, 313. [[Crossref](#)]
932. Jayesh D'Souza. 2008. Economic Development and Environmental Sustainability: A Study of Peru's Camisea Natural Gas Project. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 16. . [[Crossref](#)]
933. Geraint Johnes. 2008. Trade in the Greenhouse: Efficient Policy in a Global Model. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 91. . [[Crossref](#)]
934. Luis Guillermo Pérez Puerta, Juan Carlos Muñoz Mora, Catalina Granda Carvajal. 2008. The Environmental Kuznets Curve for Water Quality: An Analysis of Its Appropriateness Using Unit Root and Cointegration Tests. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 268. . [[Crossref](#)]
935. Shingo OHKUMA, Hideo NODA. 2008. The Environmental Kuznets Curve and Convergence of Sulfur Emissions in OECD Countries : Analysis Based on the Green Solow Model. *Studies in Regional Science* 38:1, 177-190. [[Crossref](#)]
936. Bernard Sinclair-Desgagné. 2007. Le développement durable. *Gestion* Vol. 32:4, 46-53. [[Crossref](#)]
937. Alan Day Haight. 2007. Diagram for a small planet: The Production and Ecosystem Possibilities Curve. *Ecological Economics* 64:1, 224-232. [[Crossref](#)]
938. Alain Karsenty, Romain Pirard. 2007. Changement climatique : faut-il récompenser la « déforestation évitée » ?. *Natures Sciences Sociétés* 15:4, 357-369. [[Crossref](#)]
939. Shih-Ying Wu, Po-Young Chu, Tzu-Yar Liu. 2007. DETERMINANTS OF A FIRM'S ISO 14001 CERTIFICATION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF TAIWAN. *Pacific Economic Review* 12:4, 467-487. [[Crossref](#)]
940. MARZIO GALEOTTI. 2007. ECONOMIC GROWTH AND THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT: TAKING STOCK. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 9:4, 427-454. [[Crossref](#)]
941. Dongyong Zhang, Uma Kambhampati, Stephen Morse. 2007. Economic growth and the environment in Transitional China—an old topic with new perspectives. *Journal of International Development* 19:6, 765-779. [[Crossref](#)]
942. Cornelia Ohl, Kinga Krauze, Clemens Grünbühel. 2007. Towards an understanding of long-term ecosystem dynamics by merging socio-economic and environmental research. *Ecological Economics* 63:2-3, 383-391. [[Crossref](#)]
943. Mary E. Davis. 2007. US Environmental Politics: A Study of State Toxic Metal Water Quality Standards. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning* 9:2, 143-163. [[Crossref](#)]
944. Eliezer Martins Diniz. 2007. Lessons from the Kyoto Protocol. *Ambiente & Sociedade* 10:1, 27-38. [[Crossref](#)]

945. Xiaozhi Liu, Gerhard K. Heilig, Junmiao Chen, Mikko Heino. 2007. Interactions between economic growth and environmental quality in Shenzhen, China's first special economic zone. *Ecological Economics* 62:3-4, 559-570. [[Crossref](#)]
946. Matthew A. Cole. 2007. Corruption, income and the environment: An empirical analysis. *Ecological Economics* 62:3-4, 637-647. [[Crossref](#)]
947. David I. Stern. 2007. The Effect of NAFTA on Energy and Environmental Efficiency in Mexico. *Policy Studies Journal* 35:2, 291-322. [[Crossref](#)]
948. Roberto Ezcurra. 2007. Is there cross-country convergence in carbon dioxide emissions?. *Energy Policy* 35:2, 1363-1372. [[Crossref](#)]
949. Hannes Egli, Thomas M. Steger. 2007. A Dynamic Model of the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Turning Point and Public Policy. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 36:1, 15-34. [[Crossref](#)]
950. David M. Bishai, Yung-Ting Kung. *Macroeconomics* 169-191. [[Crossref](#)]
951. Thomas M. Steger, Hannes Egli. A Dynamic Model of the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Turning Point and Public Policy 17-34. [[Crossref](#)]
952. Kanwalroop Kathy Dhanda, Ronald Paul Hill. Consumption and Environmental Degradation: A Long-Term View 297-317. [[Crossref](#)]
953. Marek Radzikowski, Krzysztof I. Rybinski. 2007. Achieving Sustainable Growth: Will New Europe Fly or Crawl in the 21st Century Global Knowledge Economy?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 60. . [[Crossref](#)]
954. Yael Meroz, Andrea Morone, Piergiuseppe Morone. 2007. Eliciting Environmental Preferences of Ghanaians: An Experimental Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 7. . [[Crossref](#)]
955. Massimiliano Mazzanti, Roberto Zoboli. 2007. Environmental Efficiency, Emission Trends and Labour Productivity: Trade-Off or Joint Dynamics? Empirical Evidence Using NAMEA Panel Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 80. . [[Crossref](#)]
956. Jin-Li Hu, Shih-Chuan Wang, Fang-Yu Yeh. 2006. Total-factor water efficiency of regions in China. *Resources Policy* 31:4, 217-230. [[Crossref](#)]
957. Sabrina Auci, Leonardo Becchetti. 2006. The instability of the adjusted and unadjusted environmental Kuznets curves. *Ecological Economics* 60:1, 282-298. [[Crossref](#)]
958. Yufu Zhang, Satoshi Tachibana, Shin Nagata. 2006. Impact of socio-economic factors on the changes in forest areas in China. *Forest Policy and Economics* 9:1, 63-76. [[Crossref](#)]
959. Anil Markandya, Alexander Golub, Suzette Pedroso-Galinato. 2006. Empirical Analysis of National Income and SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in Selected European Countries. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 35:3, 221-257. [[Crossref](#)]
960. Susmita Dasgupta, Kirk Hamilton, Kiran D. Pandey, David Wheeler. 2006. Environment During growth: Accounting for governance and vulnerability. *World Development* 34:9, 1597-1611. [[Crossref](#)]
961. Théophile Azomahou, François Laisney, Phu Nguyen Van. 2006. Economic development and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A nonparametric panel approach. *Journal of Public Economics* 90:6-7, 1347-1363. [[Crossref](#)]
962. Ann L. Owen, Julio Videras. 2006. Civic cooperation, pro-environment attitudes, and behavioral intentions. *Ecological Economics* 58:4, 814-829. [[Crossref](#)]
963. Shunsuke Managi. 2006. Are there increasing returns to pollution abatement? Empirical analytics of the Environmental Kuznets Curve in pesticides. *Ecological Economics* 58:3, 617-636. [[Crossref](#)]
964. J. Barkley Rosser, Marina V. Rosser. 2006. Institutional Evolution of Environmental Management under Global Economic Growth. *Journal of Economic Issues* 40:2, 421-429. [[Crossref](#)]
965. David I. Stern. 2006. Reversal of the trend in global anthropogenic sulfur emissions. *Global Environmental Change* 16:2, 207-220. [[Crossref](#)]

966. Nathaniel T. Aden, Jonathan E. Sinton. 2006. Environmental implications of energy policy in china. *Environmental Politics* 15:2, 248-270. [[Crossref](#)]
967. David Maddison. 2006. Environmental Kuznets curves: A spatial econometric approach. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 51:2, 218-230. [[Crossref](#)]
968. Peter Gordon. 2006. Sustainability planning: first, do no harm. *Property Management* 24:2, 132-143. [[Crossref](#)]
969. David Bishai, Asma Quresh, Prashant James, Abdul Ghaffar. 2006. National road casualties and economic development. *Health Economics* 15:1, 65-81. [[Crossref](#)]
970. F.G. Hilton. 2006. Poverty and pollution abatement: Evidence from lead phase-out. *Ecological Economics* 56:1, 125-131. [[Crossref](#)]
971. Marzio Galeotti, Matteo Manera, Alessandro Lanza. 2006. On the Robustness of Robustness Checks of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 49. . [[Crossref](#)]
972. Luisito Bertinelli, Eric Strobl, Benteng Zou. 2006. Polluting Technologies and Sustainable Economic Development. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 74. . [[Crossref](#)]
973. Luisito Bertinelli, Eric Strobl, Benteng Zou. 2006. Sustainable Economic Development and the Environment: Theory and Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 88. . [[Crossref](#)]
974. Matthew E. Kahn. 2006. Environmentalists Offer a Big Push for New Green Products: Evidence from Hybrid Vehicle Registrations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 115. . [[Crossref](#)]
975. Valeria Costantini, Chiara Martini. 2006. A Modified Environmental Kuznets Curve for Sustainable Development Assessment Using Panel Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 80. . [[Crossref](#)]
976. Massimiliano Mazzanti, Anna Montini, Roberto Zoboli. 2006. Municipal Waste Production, Economic Drivers, and 'New' Waste Policies: EKC Evidence from Italian Regional and Provincial Panel Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 80. . [[Crossref](#)]
977. Jessie P. H. Poon, Irene Casas, Canfei He. 2006. The Impact of Energy, Transport, and Trade on Air Pollution in China. *Eurasian Geography and Economics* 47:5, 568-584. [[Crossref](#)]
978. Sangwon Suh. 2005. Developing a sectoral environmental database for input-output analysis: the comprehensive environmental data archive of the US. *Economic Systems Research* 17:4, 449-469. [[Crossref](#)]
979. Massimiliano Mazzanti, Roberto Zoboli. 2005. Delinking and environmental Kuznets curves for waste indicators in Europe. *Environmental Sciences* 2:4, 409-425. [[Crossref](#)]
980. Edward B. Barbier. Natural Resources and Economic Development 80, . [[Crossref](#)]
981. Richard Hartman, O-Sung Kwon. 2005. Sustainable growth and the environmental Kuznets curve. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 29:10, 1701-1736. [[Crossref](#)]
982. Luisito Bertinelli, Eric Strobl. 2005. The Environmental Kuznets Curve semi-parametrically revisited. *Economics Letters* 88:3, 350-357. [[Crossref](#)]
983. MARY E. DAVIS. 2005. ENVIRONMENTAL POLITICS IN THE US: A STUDY OF STATE SULFUR DIOXIDE STANDARDS. *Journal of Environmental Assessment Policy and Management* 07:03, 331-354. [[Crossref](#)]
984. Manu Sharma, Bryan G. Norton. 2005. A policy decision tool for integrated environmental assessment. *Environmental Science & Policy* 8:4, 356-366. [[Crossref](#)]
985. Susmita Dasgupta, Uwe Deichmann, Craig Meisner, David Wheeler. 2005. Where is the Poverty-Environment Nexus? Evidence from Cambodia, Lao PDR, and Vietnam. *World Development* 33:4, 617-638. [[Crossref](#)]

986. ANTON NAHMAN, GEOFF ANTROBUS. 2005. THE ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE: A LITERATURE SURVEY. *The South African Journal of Economics* 73:1, 105-120. [[Crossref](#)]
987. David I. Stern. 2005. Beyond the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Diffusion of Sulfur-Emissions-Abating Technology. *The Journal of Environment & Development* 14:1, 101-124. [[Crossref](#)]
988. W. Pepper, A. Sankovski, J. Leggett. 2005. Probabilistic Modeling of Sulfur and Nitrogen Pollution Controls and Their Relations With Income. *The Journal of Environment & Development* 14:1, 197-219. [[Crossref](#)]
989. Michael Leifman, Mark Heil. 2005. Guest Editors' Note. *The Journal of Environment & Development* 14:1, 7-26. [[Crossref](#)]
990. Neil Edwards, Hubert Greppin, Alain Haurie, Laurent Viguiier. Linking Climate and Economic Dynamics 1-34. [[Crossref](#)]
991. William F. Hyde. Limitations of Sustainable Forest Management: An Economics Perspective 193-210. [[Crossref](#)]
992. Douglas Southgate, Boris Bravo-Ureta, Morris Whitaker. Economic Progress in the Countryside, Forests, and Public Policy 253-265. [[Crossref](#)]
993. Anastasios Xepapadeas. Chapter 23 Economic growth and the environment 1219-1271. [[Crossref](#)]
994. David I. Stern. 2005. Global sulfur emissions from 1850 to 2000. *Chemosphere* 58:2, 163-175. [[Crossref](#)]
995. Surender Kumar, Madhu Khanna. 2005. Measurement of Environmental Efficiency and Productivity: A Cross Country Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 58. . [[Crossref](#)]
996. Leonardo Becchetti, Sabrina Auci. 2005. The Stability of the Adjusted and Unadjusted Environmental Kuznets Curve. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 28. . [[Crossref](#)]
997. Théophile Azomahou, Francois Laisney, Phu Nguyen Van. 2005. Economic Development and Co2 Emissions: A Nonparametric Panel Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 49. . [[Crossref](#)]
998. Jorge E. Rivera, Jennifer Oetzel, Peter De Leon, Mark Starik. 2005. Business Responses to Environmental and Social Protection Policies: Towards a Framework for Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 79. . [[Crossref](#)]
999. Edward B. Barbier. 2004. Explaining Agricultural Land Expansion and Deforestation in Developing Countries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 86:5, 1347-1353. [[Crossref](#)]
1000. R. Quentin Grafton, Stephen Knowles. 2004. Social Capital and National Environmental Performance: A Cross-Sectional Analysis. *The Journal of Environment & Development* 13:4, 336-370. [[Crossref](#)]
1001. Nilanjana Roy, G. Cornelis van Kooten. 2004. Another look at the income elasticity of non-point source air pollutants: a semiparametric approach. *Economics Letters* 85:1, 17-22. [[Crossref](#)]
1002. Soumyananda Dinda. 2004. Environmental Kuznets Curve Hypothesis: A Survey. *Ecological Economics* 49:4, 431-455. [[Crossref](#)]
1003. David I Stern. 2004. The Rise and Fall of the Environmental Kuznets Curve. *World Development* 32:8, 1419-1439. [[Crossref](#)]
1004. Gilles Grolleau, Tarik Lakhali, Naoufel Mzoughi. 2004. Does Ethical Activism Lead to Firm Relocation?1. *Kyklos* 57:3, 387-402. [[Crossref](#)]
1005. Matthew E Kahn. 2004. Domestic pollution havens: evidence from cancer deaths in border counties. *Journal of Urban Economics* 56:1, 51-69. [[Crossref](#)]
1006. Klaus E Meyer. 2004. Perspectives on multinational enterprises in emerging economies. *Journal of International Business Studies* 35:4, 259-276. [[Crossref](#)]



1007. John Mutti, Harry Grubert. 2004. Empirical asymmetries in foreign direct investment and taxation. *Journal of International Economics* **62**:2, 337-358. [[Crossref](#)]
1008. Brian R. Copeland, M. Scott Taylor. 2004. Trade, Growth, and the Environment. *Journal of Economic Literature* **42**:1, 7-71. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1009. David I. Stern. Economic Growth and Energy 35-51. [[Crossref](#)]
1010. David I. Stern. Environmental Kuznets Curve 517-525. [[Crossref](#)]
1011. Marzio Galeotti, Claudia Kemfert. 2004. Interactions Between Climate and Trade Policies: A Survey. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **15**. . [[Crossref](#)]
1012. Shanti Gamper-Rabindran, Shreyasi Jha. 2004. Environmental Impact of India's Trade Liberalization. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **16**. . [[Crossref](#)]
1013. David I. Stern. 2004. Diffusion of Emissions Abating Technology. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **6**. . [[Crossref](#)]
1014. Ann L. Owen, Julio Videras. 2004. Civic Cooperation, Pro-Environment Attitudes, and Individual Behavior. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **35**. . [[Crossref](#)]
1015. Luisito Bertinelli, Eric Strobl. 2004. The Environmental Kuznets Curve Semi-Parametrically Revisited. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **33**. . [[Crossref](#)]
1016. Roger Perman, David I. Stern. 2003. Evidence from panel unit root and cointegration tests that the Environmental Kuznets Curve does not exist. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* **47**:3, 325-347. [[Crossref](#)]
1017. Matthew E. Kahn. 2003. The geography of US pollution intensive trade: evidence from 1958 to 1994. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **33**:4, 383-400. [[Crossref](#)]
1018. Matthew E. Kahn. 2003. Domestic Pollution Havens: Evidence from Cancer Deaths in Border Counties. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **108**. . [[Crossref](#)]
1019. Marzio Galeotti. 2003. Economic Development and Environmental Protection. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **59**. . [[Crossref](#)]