

## The Efficient Market Hypothesis and Its Critics

Burton G. Malkiel

A generation ago, the efficient market hypothesis was widely accepted by academic financial economists; for example, see Eugene Fama's (1970) influential survey article, "Efficient Capital Markets." It was generally believed that securities markets were extremely efficient in reflecting information about individual stocks and about the stock market as a whole. The accepted view was that when information arises, the news spreads very quickly and is incorporated into the prices of securities without delay. Thus, neither technical analysis, which is the study of past stock prices in an attempt to predict future prices, nor even fundamental analysis, which is the analysis of financial information such as company earnings and asset values to help investors select "undervalued" stocks, would enable an investor to achieve returns greater than those that could be obtained by holding a randomly selected portfolio of individual stocks, at least not with comparable risk.

The efficient market hypothesis is associated with the idea of a "random walk," which is a term loosely used in the finance literature to characterize a price series where all subsequent price changes represent random departures from previous prices. The logic of the random walk idea is that if the flow of information is unimpeded and information is immediately reflected in stock prices, then tomorrow's price change will reflect only tomorrow's news and will be independent of the price changes today. But news is by definition unpredictable, and, thus, resulting price changes must be unpredictable and random. As a result, prices fully reflect all known information, and even uninformed investors buying a diversified portfolio at the tableau of prices given by the market will obtain a rate of return as generous as that achieved by the experts.

■ *Burton G. Malkiel is Chemical Bank Chairman's Professor of Economics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey. His e-mail address is <bmalkiel@princeton.edu>.*

The way I put it in my book, *A Random Walk Down Wall Street*, first published in 1973, a blindfolded chimpanzee throwing darts at the *Wall Street Journal* could select a portfolio that would do as well as the experts. Of course, the advice was not literally to throw darts, but instead to throw a towel over the stock pages—that is, to buy a broad-based index fund that bought and held all the stocks in the market and that charged very low expenses.

By the start of the twenty-first century, the intellectual dominance of the efficient market hypothesis had become far less universal. Many financial economists and statisticians began to believe that stock prices are at least partially predictable. A new breed of economists emphasized psychological and behavioral elements of stock-price determination, and they came to believe that future stock prices are somewhat predictable on the basis of past stock price patterns as well as certain “fundamental” valuation metrics. Moreover, many of these economists were even making the far more controversial claim that these predictable patterns enable investors to earn excess risk adjusted rates of return.

This paper examines the attacks on the efficient market hypothesis and the belief that stock prices are partially predictable. While I make no attempt to present a complete survey of the purported regularities or anomalies in the stock market, I will describe the major statistical findings as well as their behavioral underpinnings, where relevant, and also examine the relationship between predictability and efficiency. I will also describe the major arguments of those who believe that markets are often irrational by analyzing the “crash of 1987,” the Internet “bubble” of the *fin de siècle* and other specific irrationalities often mentioned by critics of efficiency. I conclude that our stock markets are far more efficient and far less predictable than some recent academic papers would have us believe. Moreover, the evidence is overwhelming that whatever anomalous behavior of stock prices may exist, it does not create a portfolio trading opportunity that enables investors to earn extraordinary risk adjusted returns.

At the outset, it is important to make clear what I mean by the term “efficiency.” I will use as a definition of efficient financial markets that such markets do not allow investors to earn above-average returns without accepting above-average risks. A well-known story tells of a finance professor and a student who come across a \$100 bill lying on the ground. As the student stops to pick it up, the professor says, “Don’t bother—if it were really a \$100 bill, it wouldn’t be there.” The story well illustrates what financial economists usually mean when they say markets are efficient. Markets can be efficient in this sense even if they sometimes make errors in valuation, as was certainly true during the 1999–early 2000 Internet “bubble.” Markets can be efficient even if many market participants are quite irrational. Markets can be efficient even if stock prices exhibit greater volatility than can apparently be explained by fundamentals such as earnings and dividends. Many of us economists who believe in efficiency do so because we view markets as amazingly successful devices for reflecting new information rapidly and, for the most part, accurately. Above all, we believe that financial markets are efficient because they don’t allow investors to earn above-average risk adjusted returns. In short, we

believe that \$100 bills are not lying around for the taking, either by the professional or the amateur investor.

What I do not argue is that the market pricing is always perfect. After the fact, we know that markets have made egregious mistakes, as I think occurred during the recent Internet “bubble.” Nor do I deny that psychological factors influence securities prices. But I am convinced that Benjamin Graham (1965) was correct in suggesting that while the stock market in the short run may be a voting mechanism, in the long run it is a weighing mechanism. True value will win out in the end. Before the fact, there is no way in which investors can reliably exploit any anomalies or patterns that might exist. I am skeptical that any of the “predictable patterns” that have been documented in the literature were ever sufficiently robust so as to have created profitable investment opportunities, and after they have been discovered and publicized, they will certainly not allow investors to earn excess returns.

## **A Nonrandom Walk Down Wall Street**

In this section, I review some of the patterns of possible predictability suggested by studies of the behavior of past stock prices.

### **Short-Term Momentum, Including Underreaction to New Information**

The original empirical work supporting the notion of randomness in stock prices looked at measures of short-run serial correlations between successive stock price changes. In general, this work supported the view that the stock market has no memory—that is, the way a stock price behaved in the past is not useful in divining how it will behave in the future; for example, see the survey of articles contained in Cootner (1964). More recent work by Lo and MacKinlay (1999) finds that short-run serial correlations are not zero and that the existence of “too many” successive moves in the same direction enable them to reject the hypothesis that stock prices behave as true random walks. There does seem to be some momentum in short-run stock prices. Moreover, Lo, Mamaysky and Wang (2000) also find, through the use of sophisticated nonparametric statistical techniques that can recognize patterns, some of the stock price signals used by “technical analysts,” such as “head and shoulders” formations and “double bottoms,” may actually have some modest predictive power.

Economists and psychologists in the field of behavioral finance find such short-run momentum to be consistent with psychological feedback mechanisms. Individuals see a stock price rising and are drawn into the market in a kind of “bandwagon effect.” For example, Shiller (2000) describes the rise in the U.S. stock market during the late 1990s as the result of psychological contagion leading to irrational exuberance. The behavioralists offered another explanation for patterns of short-run momentum—a tendency for investors to underreact to new information. If the full impact of an important news announcement is only grasped over a period of time, stock prices will exhibit the positive serial correlation found by

investigators. As behavioral finance became more prominent as a branch of the study of financial markets, momentum, as opposed to randomness, seemed reasonable to many investigators.

However, several factors should prevent us from interpreting the empirical results reported above as an indication that markets are inefficient. First, while the stock market may not be a mathematically perfect random walk, it is important to distinguish statistical significance from economic significance. The statistical dependencies giving rise to momentum are extremely small and are not likely to permit investors to realize excess returns. Anyone who pays transactions costs is unlikely to fashion a trading strategy based on the kinds of momentum found in these studies that will beat a buy-and-hold strategy. Indeed, Odean (1999) suggests that momentum investors do not realize excess returns. Quite the opposite—a sample of such investors suggests that such traders did far worse than buy-and-hold investors even during a period where there was clear statistical evidence of positive momentum. This is because of the large transactions costs involved in attempting to exploit whatever momentum exists. Similarly, Lesmond, Schill and Zhou (2001) find that standard “relative strength” strategies are not profitable because of the trading costs involved in their execution.

Second, while behavioral hypotheses about bandwagon effects and underreaction to new information may sound plausible enough, the evidence that such effects occur systematically in the stock market is often rather thin. For example, Eugene Fama (1998) surveys the considerable body of empirical work on “event studies” that seeks to determine if stock prices respond efficiently to information. The “events” include such announcements as earnings surprises, stock splits, dividend actions, mergers, new exchange listings and initial public offerings. Fama finds that apparent underreaction to information is about as common as overreaction, and postevent continuation of abnormal returns is as frequent as postevent reversals. He also shows that many of the return “anomalies” arise only in the context of some very particular model and that the results tend to disappear when exposed to different models for expected “normal” returns, different methods to adjust for risk and when different statistical approaches are used to measure them. For example, a study that gives equal weight to postannouncement returns of many stocks can produce different results from a study that weights the stocks according to their value. Certainly, whatever momentum displayed by stock prices does not appear to offer investors a dependable way to earn abnormal returns.

The key factor is whether any patterns of serial correlation are consistent over time. Momentum strategies, which refer to buying stocks that display positive serial correlation and/or positive relative strength, appeared to produce positive relative returns during some periods of the late 1990s, but highly negative relative returns during 2000. It is far from clear that any stock price patterns are useful for investors in fashioning an investment strategy that will dependably earn excess returns.

Many predictable patterns seem to disappear after they are published in the finance literature. Schwert (2001) points out two possible explanations for such a pattern. One explanation may be that researchers are always sifting through

mountains of financial data. Their normal tendency is to focus on results that challenge perceived wisdom, and every now and again, a combination of a certain sample and a certain technique will produce a statistically significant result that seems to challenge the efficient markets hypothesis. Alternatively, perhaps practitioners learn quickly about any true predictable pattern and exploit it to the extent that it becomes no longer profitable. My own view is that such apparent patterns were never sufficiently large or stable to guarantee consistently superior investment results, and certainly, such patterns will never be useful for investors after they have received considerable publicity. The so-called “January effect,” for example, in which stock prices rose in early January, seems to have disappeared soon after it was discovered.

### **Long-Run Return Reversals**

In the short-run, when stock returns are measured over periods of days or weeks, the usual argument against market efficiency is that some positive serial correlation exists. But many studies have shown evidence of negative serial correlation—that is, return reversals—over longer holding periods. For example, Fama and French (1988) found that 25 to 40 percent of the variation in long holding period returns can be predicted in terms of a negative correlation with past returns. Similarly, Poterba and Summers (1988) found substantial mean reversion in stock market returns at longer horizons.

Some studies have attributed this forecastability to the tendency of stock market prices to “overreact.” DeBondt and Thaler (1985), for example, argue that investors are subject to waves of optimism and pessimism that cause prices to deviate systematically from their fundamental values and later to exhibit mean reversion. They suggest that such overreaction to past events is consistent with the behavioral decision theory of Kahneman and Tversky (1979), where investors are systematically overconfident in their ability to forecast either future stock prices or future corporate earnings. These findings give some support to investment techniques that rest on a “contrarian” strategy, that is, buying the stocks, or groups of stocks, that have been out of favor for long periods of time and avoiding those stocks that have had large run-ups over the last several years.

There is indeed considerable support for long-run negative serial correlation in stock returns. However, the finding of mean reversion is not uniform across studies and is quite a bit weaker in some periods than it is for other periods. Indeed, the strongest empirical results come from periods including the Great Depression—which may be a time with patterns that do not generalize well. Moreover, such return reversals for the market as a whole may be quite consistent with the efficient functioning of the market since they could result, in part, from the volatility of interest rates and the tendency of interest rates to be mean reverting. Since stock returns must rise or fall to be competitive with bond returns, there is a tendency when interest rates go up for prices of both bond and stocks to go down, and as interest rates go down for prices of bonds and stocks to go up. If interest rates revert to the mean over time, this pattern will tend to generate return

reversals, or mean reversion, in a way that is quite consistent with the efficient functioning of markets.

Moreover, it may not be possible to profit from the tendency for individual stocks to exhibit return reversals. Fluck, Malkiel and Quandt (1997) simulated a strategy of buying stocks over a 13-year period during the 1980s and early 1990s that had particularly poor returns over the past three to five years. They found that stocks with very low returns over the past three to five years had higher returns in the next period and that stocks with very high returns over the past three to five years had lower returns in the next period. Thus, they confirmed the very strong *statistical* evidence of return reversals. However, they also found that returns in the next period were similar for both groups, so they could not confirm that a contrarian approach would yield higher-than-average returns. There was a statistically strong pattern of return reversal, but not one that implied an inefficiency in the market that would enable investors to make excess returns.

### **Seasonal and Day-of-the-Week Patterns**

A number of researchers have found that January has been a very unusual month for stock market returns. Returns from an equally weighted stock index have tended to be unusually high during the first two weeks of the year. The return premium has been particularly evident for stocks with relatively small total capitalizations (Keim, 1983). Haugen and Lakonishok (1988) documented the high January returns in a book titled *The Incredible January Effect*. There also appear to be a number of day-of-the-week effects. For example, French (1980) documents significantly higher Monday returns. There appear to be significant differences in average daily returns in countries other than the United States (Hawawini and Keim, 1995). There also appear to be some patterns in returns around the turn of the month (Lakonishok and Smidt, 1988), as well as around holidays (Ariel, 1990).

The general problem with these predictable patterns or anomalies, however, is that they are *not* dependable from period to period. Wall Street traders now joke that the “January effect” is more likely to occur on the previous Thanksgiving. Moreover, these nonrandom effects (even if they were dependable) are very small relative to the transactions costs involved in trying to exploit them. They do not appear to offer arbitrage opportunities that would enable investors to make excess risk adjusted returns.

### **Predictable Patterns Based on Valuation Parameters**

Considerable empirical research has been conducted to determine if future stock returns can be predicted on the basis of initial valuation parameters. It is claimed that valuation ratios, such as the price-earnings multiple or the dividend yield of the stock market as a whole, have considerable predictive power. This section examines the body of work based on time series analyses.

### **Predicting Future Returns from Initial Dividend Yields**

Formal statistical tests of the ability of dividend yields (that is, the ratio of dividend to stock price) to forecast future returns have been conducted by Fama and French (1988) and Campbell and Shiller (1988). Depending on the forecast horizon involved, as much as 40 percent of the variance of future returns for the stock market as a whole can be predicted on the basis of the initial dividend yield of the market index.

An interesting way of presenting the results is shown in the top panel of Exhibit 1. The exhibit was produced by measuring the dividend yield of the broad U.S. stock market Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index each quarter since 1926 and then calculating the market's subsequent ten-year total return through the year 2001. The observations were then divided into deciles depending upon the level of the initial dividend yield. In general, the exhibit shows that investors have earned a higher rate of return from the stock market when they purchased a market basket of equities with an initial dividend yield that was relatively high and relatively low future rates of return when stocks were purchased at low dividend yields.

These findings are not necessarily inconsistent with efficiency. Dividend yields of stocks tend to be high when interest rates are high, and they tend to be low when interest rates are low. Consequently, the ability of initial yields to predict returns may simply reflect the adjustment of the stock market to general economic conditions. Moreover, the use of dividend yields to predict future returns has been ineffective since the mid-1980s. Dividend yields have been at the 3 percent level or below continuously since the mid-1980s, indicating very low forecasted returns. In fact, for all ten-year periods from 1985 through 1992 that ended June 30, 2002, realized annual equity returns from the market index have averaged over 15 percent. One possible explanation is that the dividend behavior of U.S. corporations may have changed over time (Bagwell and Shoven, 1989; Fama and French, 2001). Companies in the twenty-first century may be more likely to institute a share repurchase program rather than increase their dividends. Thus, dividend yield may not be as meaningful as in the past as a useful predictor of future equity returns.


Finally, it is worth noting that this phenomenon does *not* work consistently with individual stocks (Fluck, Malkiel and Quandt, 1997). Investors who simply purchase a portfolio of individual stocks with the highest dividend yields in the market will *not* earn a particularly high rate of return. One popular implementation of such a "high dividend" strategy in the United States is the "Dogs of the Dow Strategy," which involves buying the ten stocks in the Dow Jones Industrial Average with the highest dividend yields. For some past periods, this strategy handily outpaced the overall average, and so several "Dogs of the Dow" mutual funds were brought to market and aggressively sold to individual investors. However, such funds generally underperformed the market averages during the 1995–1999 period.

### **Predicting Market Returns from Initial Price-Earnings Multiples**


The same kind of predictability for the market as a whole, as was demonstrated for dividends, has been shown for price-earnings ratios. The data are shown in the

*Exhibit 1*

**The Future 10-Year Rates of Return When Stocks are Purchased at Alternative Initial Dividend Yields (D/P)**



**The Future 10-Year Rates of Return When Stocks are Purchased at Alternative Initial Price-to-Earnings (P/E) Multiples**



Source: The Leuthold Group

bottom half of Exhibit 1. The exhibit presents a decile analysis similar to that described for dividend yields above. Investors have tended to earn larger long-horizon returns when purchasing the market basket of stocks at relatively low price-earnings multiples. Campbell and Shiller (1998) report that initial P/E ratios



explained as much as 40 percent of the variance of future returns. They conclude that equity returns have been predictable in the past to a considerable extent.

Consider, however, the recent experience of investors who have attempted to undertake investment strategies based either on the level of the price-earnings multiple or the dividend yield to predict future long-horizon returns. Price-earnings multiples for the Standard & Poor's 500 stock index rose into the low 20s on June 30, 1987 (suggesting very low long-horizon returns). Dividend yields fell below 3 percent. Price-earnings multiples rose into the low 20s. The average annual total return from the index over the next 10 years was an extraordinarily generous 16.7 percent. Dividend yields, again, fell to 3 percent in June 1992. Price-earnings multiples rose to the mid-20s. The subsequent return through June 2002 was 11.4 percent. The yield of the index fluctuated between 2 and 3 percent from 1993 through 1995 and earnings multiples remained in the mid-20s, yet long-horizon returns through June 30, 2002, fluctuated between 11 and 12 percent. Even from early December 1996, the date of Campbell and Shiller's presentation to the Federal Reserve suggesting near zero returns for the S&P500, the index provided almost a 7 percent annual return through mid-2002. Such results suggest to me a very cautious assessment of the extent to which stock market returns are predictable on this basis.

### **Other Predictable Time Series Patterns**

Studies have found some amount of predictability of stock returns based on various financial statistics. For example, Fama and Schwert (1977) found that short-term interest rates were related to future stock returns. Campbell (1987) found that term structure of interest rates spreads contained useful information for forecasting stock returns. Keim and Stambaugh (1986) found that risk spreads between high-yield corporate bonds and short rates had some predictive power. Again, even if some predictability exists, it may reflect time varying risk premiums and required rates of return for stock investors rather than an inefficiency. Moreover, it is far from clear that any of these results can be used to generate profitable trading strategies. Whether such historical statistical relations give investors reliable and useful guides to appropriate asset allocation is far from clear.

### **Cross-Sectional Predictable Patterns Based on Firm Characteristics and Valuation Parameters**

A large number of patterns that are claimed to be predictable are based on firm characteristics and different valuation parameters.

#### **The Size Effect**

One of the strongest effects investigators have found is the tendency over long periods of time for smaller-company stocks to generate larger returns than those of

large-company stocks. Since 1926, small-company stocks in the United States have produced annual rates of return over 1 percentage point larger than the returns from large stocks (Keim, 1983). Fama and French (1993) examined data from 1963 to 1990 and divided all stocks into deciles according to their size as measured by total capitalization. Decile one contained the smallest 10 percent of all stocks, while decile ten contained the largest stocks. The results, plotted in Exhibit 2, show a clear tendency for the deciles made up of portfolios of smaller stocks to generate higher average monthly returns than deciles made up of larger stocks.


The crucial issue here is the extent to which the higher returns of small companies represent a predictable pattern that will allow investors to generate excess risk-adjusted returns. According to the capital asset pricing model, the correct measure of risk for a stock is its “beta”—that is, the extent to which the return of the stock varies with the return for the market as a whole. If the beta measure of systematic risk from the capital asset pricing model is accepted as the correct risk measurement statistic, the size effect can be interpreted as indicating an anomaly and a market inefficiency, because using this measure portfolios consisting of smaller stocks have excess risk-adjusted returns. Fama and French (1993) point out, however, that the average relationship between beta and return during the 1963–1990 period was flat—not upward sloping as the capital asset pricing model predicts. Moreover, if stocks are divided up by beta deciles, ten portfolios constructed by size display the same kind of positive relationship shown in Exhibit 2. On the other hand, within size deciles, the relationship between beta and return continues to be flat. Fama and French suggest that size may be a far better proxy for risk than beta, and therefore that their findings should not be interpreted as indicating that markets are inefficient.

The dependability of the size phenomenon is also open to question. From the mid-1980s through the decade of the 1990s, there has been no gain from holding smaller stocks. Indeed, in most world markets, larger capitalization stocks produced larger rates of return. It may be that the growing institutionalization of the market led portfolio managers to prefer larger companies with more liquidity to smaller companies where it would be difficult to liquidate significant blocks of stock. Finally, it is also possible that some studies of the small-firm effect have been affected by survivorship bias. Today’s computerized databases of companies include only small firms that have survived, not the ones that later went bankrupt. Thus, a researcher who examined the ten-year performance of today’s small companies would be measuring the performance of those companies that survived—not the ones that failed.

### **Value Stocks**

Several studies suggest that “value” stocks have higher returns than so-called “growth” stocks. The most common two methods of identifying value stocks have been price-earnings ratios and price-to-book-value ratios.

Stocks with low price-earnings multiples (often called “value” stocks) appear to provide higher rates of return than stocks with high price-to-earnings ratios, as first

*Exhibit 2***Average Monthly Returns for Portfolios Formed on the Basis of Size: 1963–1990**

Source: Fama and French (1992).

shown by Nicholson (1960) and later confirmed by Ball (1978) and Basu (1983). This finding is consistent with the views of behavioralists that investors tend to be overconfident of their ability to project high earnings growth and thus overpay for “growth” stocks (for example, Kahneman and Riepe, 1998). The finding is also consistent with the views of Graham and Dodd (1934), first expounded in their classic book on security analysis and later championed by the legendary U.S. investor Warren Buffett. Similar results have been shown for price/cash flow multiples, where cash flow is defined as earnings plus depreciation and amortization (Hawawini and Keim, 1995).

The ratio of stock price to book value, defined as the value of a firm’s assets minus its liabilities divided by the number of shares outstanding, has also been found to be a useful predictor of future returns. Low price-to-book is considered to be another hallmark of so-called “value” in equity securities and is also consistent with the view of behavioralists that investors tend to overpay for “growth” stocks that subsequently fail to live up to expectations. Fama and French (1993) concluded that size and price-to-book-value together provide considerable explanatory power for future returns, and once they are accounted for, little additional influence can be attributed to P/E multiples. Fama and French (1997) also conclude that the P/BV effect is important in many world stock markets other than the United States.

Such results raise questions about the efficiency of the market if one accepts the capital asset pricing model, as Lakonishok, Shleifer and Vishny (1994) point out. But these findings do not necessarily imply inefficiency. They may simply indicate failure of the capital asset pricing model to capture all the dimensions of

risk. For example, Fama and French (1993) suggest that the price-to-book-value ratio may reflect another risk factor that is priced into the market and not captured by the capital asset pricing model. Companies in some degree of financial distress, for example, are likely to sell at low prices relative to book values. Fama and French (1993) argue that a three-factor asset-pricing model (including price-to-book-value and size as measures of risk) is the appropriate benchmark against which anomalies should be measured.

We also need to keep in mind that the results of published studies—even those done over decades—may still be time-dependent and ask whether the return patterns of academic studies can actually be generated with real money. Exhibit 3 presents average actual returns generated by mutual funds classified by either their “growth” or “value” objectives. “Value” funds are so classified if they buy stocks with price-to-earnings and price-to-book-value multiples that are below the averages for the whole stock market. Over a period running back to the 1930s, it does not appear that investors could actually have realized higher rates of return from mutual funds specializing in “value” stocks. Indeed, the exhibit suggests that the Fama-French (1993) period from the early 1960s through 1990 may have been a unique period in which value stocks rather consistently produced higher rates of return.

Schwert (2001) points out that the investment firm of Dimensional Fund Advisors actually began a mutual fund that selected value stocks quantitatively according to the Fama and French (1993) criteria. The abnormal return of such a portfolio (adjusting for beta, the capital asset pricing model measure of risk) was a negative 0.2 percent per month over the 1993–1998 period. The absence during that period of an excess return to the “value” stocks is consistent with the results from “actively managed” value mutual funds shown in Exhibit 3.


### **The Equity Risk Premium Puzzle**

Another puzzle that is often used to suggest that markets are less than fully rational is the existence of a very large historical equity risk premium that seems inconsistent with the actual riskiness of common stocks as can be measured statistically. For example, using the Ibbotson data on stock returns from 1926 through 2001, common stocks have produced rates of return of approximately 10.5 percent, while high-grade bonds have returned only about 5.5 percent. I believe that this finding is the result of a combination of perceived equity risk being considerably higher during the early years of the period and of average equity returns being much higher than had been forecast by investors.

It is easy to say 50 to 75 years later that common stocks were underpriced during the 1930s and 1940s. But remember that the annual average of almost 6 percent growth in corporate earnings and dividends that has occurred since 1926 was hardly a foregone conclusion during a period of severe depression and world war. Indeed, the U.S. stock market is almost unique in that it is one of the few world markets that remained in continuous operation during the entire period and the measured risk premium results, in part, from survivorship bias. One must be very

Exhibit 3

Reversion to the Mean: Relative Performance of “Value” vs. “Growth” Mutual Funds, 1937–June 2002



Source: Lipper Analytic Services and Bogle Research Institute Valley Forge, Pennsylvania.  
 Note: The exhibit shows the cumulative value of one dollar invested in the average “value” fund divided by the same statistic calculated for the average “growth” fund.

careful to distinguish between expected risk premiums and such premiums measured after the fact. Fama and French (2002) argue that the high average realized returns result in part from large *unexpected* capital gains. Economists such as Shiller have suggested that during the early 2000s, the expected equity risk premium was, if anything, irrationally too low.

**Summarizing the “Anomalies” and Predictable Patterns**

The preceding sections have pointed out many “anomalies” and statistically significant predictable patterns in the stock returns that have been uncovered in the literature. However, these patterns are not robust and dependable in different sample periods, and some of the patterns based on fundamental valuation measures of individual stocks may simply reflect better proxies for measuring risk.

Moreover, many of these patterns, even if they did exist, could self-destruct in the future, as many of them have already done. Indeed, this is the logical reason why one should be cautious not to overemphasize these anomalies and predictable patterns. Suppose, for example, one of the anomalies or predictable patterns appears to be robust. Suppose there is a truly dependable and exploitable January effect, that the stock market—especially stocks of small companies—will generate extraordinary returns during the first five days of January. What will investors do? They will buy on the last day of December and sell on January 5. But then investors find that the market rallied on the last day of December, and so they will need to begin to buy on the next-to-last day of December; and because there is so much “profit taking” on January 5, investors will have to sell on January 4 to take advantage of this effect. Thus, to beat the gun, investors will have to be buying

earlier and earlier in December and selling earlier and earlier in January so that eventually the pattern will self-destruct. Any truly repetitive and exploitable pattern that can be discovered in the stock market and can be arbitrated away will self-destruct. Indeed, the January effect became undependable after it received considerable publicity.

Similarly, suppose there is a general tendency for stock prices to underreact to certain new events, leading to abnormal returns to investors who exploit the lack of full immediate adjustment (DeBondt and Thaler, 1995; Campbell, Lo and MacKinlay, 1977). “Quantitative” investment managers will then develop trading strategies to exploit the pattern. Indeed, the more potentially profitable a discoverable pattern is, the less likely it is to survive.

Many of the predictable patterns that have been discovered may simply be the result of data mining. The ease of experimenting with financial databanks of almost every conceivable dimension makes it quite likely that investigators will find some seemingly significant but wholly spurious correlation between financial variables or among financial and nonfinancial data sets. Given enough time and massaging of data series, it is possible to tease almost any pattern out of most data sets. Moreover, the published literature is likely to be biased in favor of reporting such results. Significant effects are likely to be published in professional journals while negative results, or boring confirmations of previous findings, are relegated to the file drawer or discarded. Data-mining problems are unique to nonexperimental sciences, such as economics, which rely on statistical analysis for their insights and cannot test hypotheses by running repeated controlled experiments.

An exchange at a symposium about a decade ago between Robert Shiller, an economist who is sympathetic to the argument that stock prices are partially predictable and skeptical about market efficiency, and Richard Roll, an academic financial economist who also is a portfolio manager, is quite revealing (Roll and Shiller, 1992). After Shiller stressed the importance of inefficiencies in the pricing of stocks, Roll responded as follows:

I have personally tried to invest money, my client’s money and my own, in every single anomaly and predictive device that academics have dreamed up. . . . I have attempted to exploit the so-called year-end anomalies and a whole variety of strategies supposedly documented by academic research. *And I have yet to make a nickel on any of these supposed market inefficiencies . . .* a true market *inefficiency* ought to be an exploitable opportunity. If there’s nothing investors can exploit in a systematic way, time in and time out, then it’s very hard to say that information is not being properly incorporated into stock prices.

### **Seemingly Irrefutable Cases of Inefficiency**

Critics of efficiency argue that there are several instances of recent market history where market prices could not plausibly have been set by rational investors

and that psychological considerations must have played the dominant role. It is alleged, for example, that the stock market lost about one-third of its value from early to mid-October 1987 with essentially no change in the general economic environment. How could market prices be efficient both at the start of October and during the middle of the month? Similarly, it is widely believed that the pricing of Internet stocks in early 2000 could only be explained by the behavior of irrational investors. Do such events make a belief in efficient markets untenable?

### **The Market Crash of October 1987**

Can the October 1987 market crash be explained by rational considerations, or does such a rapid and significant change in market valuations prove the dominance of psychological rather than logical factors in understanding the stock market? Behaviorists would say that the one-third drop in market prices, which occurred early in October 1987, can only be explained by relying on psychological considerations, since the basic elements of the valuation equation did not change rapidly over that period. It is, of course, impossible to rule out the existence of behavioral or psychological influences on stock market pricing. But logical considerations can explain a sharp change in market valuations such as occurred during the first weeks of October 1987.

A number of factors could rationally have changed investors' views about the proper value of the stock market in October 1987. For one thing, yields on long-term Treasury bonds increased from about 9 percent to almost 10.5 percent in the two months prior to mid-October. Moreover, a number of events may rationally have increased risk perceptions during the first two weeks of October. Early in the month, Congress threatened to impose a "merger tax" that would have made merger activity prohibitively expensive and could well have ended the merger boom. The risk that merger activity might be curtailed increased risks throughout the stock market by weakening the discipline over corporate management that potential takeovers provide. Also, in early October 1987, then Secretary of the Treasury James Baker had threatened to encourage a further fall in the exchange value of the dollar, increasing risks for foreign investors and frightening domestic investors as well. While it is impossible to correlate each day's movement in stock prices to specific news events, it is not unreasonable to ascribe the sharp decline in mid-October to the cumulative effect of a number of unfavorable "fundamental" events. As Merton Miller (1991) has written, ". . . on October 19, some weeks of external events, minor in themselves . . . cumulatively signaled a possible change in what had been up to then a very favorable political and economic climate for equities . . . and . . . many investors simultaneously came to believe they were holding too large a share of their wealth in risky equities."

Share prices can be highly sensitive as a result of rational responses to small changes in interest rates and risk perceptions. Suppose stocks are priced as the present value of the expected future stream of dividends. For a long-term holder of stocks, this rational principle of valuation translates to a formula:

$$r = D/P + g,$$

where  $r$  is the rate of return,  $D/P$  is the (expected) dividend yield, and  $g$  is the long-term growth rate. For present purposes, consider  $r$  to be the required rate of return for the market as a whole. Suppose initially that the “riskless” rate of interest on government bonds is 9 percent and that the required additional risk premium for equity investors is 2 percentage points. In this case,  $r$  will be 11 percent ( $0.09 + 0.02 = 0.11$ ). If a typical stock’s expected growth rate,  $g$ , is 7 percent and if the dividend is \$4 per share, we can solve for the appropriate price of the stock index ( $P$ ), obtaining

$$0.11 = \frac{\$4}{P} + 0.07$$

$$P = \$100.$$

Now assume that yields on government bonds rise from 9 to 10.5 percent, with no increase in expected inflation, and that risk perceptions increase so that stock-market investors now demand a premium of 2.5 percentage points instead of the 2 points in the previous example. The appropriate rate of return or discount rate for stocks,  $r$ , rises then from 11 percent to 13 percent ( $0.105 + 0.025$ ), and the price of our stock index falls from \$100 to \$66.67:

$$0.13 = \frac{\$4}{P} + 0.07$$

$$P = \$66.67.$$

The price must fall to raise the dividend yield from 4 to 6 percent so as to raise the total return by the required 2 percentage points. Clearly, no irrationality is required for share prices to suffer quite dramatic declines with the sorts of changes in interest rates and risk perceptions that occurred in October 1987. Of course, even a very small decline in anticipated growth would have magnified these declines in warranted share valuations.

This is not to say that psychological factors were irrelevant in explaining the sharp drop in prices during October 1987—they undoubtedly played a role. But it would be a mistake to dismiss the significant change in the external environment, which can provide an entirely rational explanation for a significant decline in the appropriate values for common stocks.

### **The Internet Bubble of the Late 1990s**

Another stock market event often cited by behavioralists as clear evidence of the irrationality of markets is the Internet “bubble” of the late 1990s. Surely, the



remarkable market values assigned to Internet and related high-tech companies seem inconsistent with rational valuation. I have some sympathy with behavioralists in this instance, and in reviewing Robert Shiller's (2000) *Irrational Exuberance*, I agreed that it was in the high-tech sector of the market that his thesis could be supported. But even here, when we know after the fact that major errors were made, there were certainly no arbitrage opportunities available to rational investors before the "bubble" popped.

Equity valuations rest on uncertain future forecasts. Even if all market participants rationally price common stocks as the present value of all future cash flows expected, it is still possible for excesses to develop. We know now, with the benefit of hindsight, that outlandish and unsupportable claims were being made regarding the growth of the Internet (and the related telecommunications structure needed to support it). We know now that projections for the rates and duration of growth of these "new economy" companies were unsustainable. But remember, sharp-penciled professional investors argued that the valuations of high-tech companies were proper. Many of Wall Street's most respected security analysts, including those independent of investment banking firms, were recommending Internet stocks to the firm's institutional and individual clients as being fairly valued. Professional pension fund and mutual fund managers overweighted their portfolios with high-tech stocks.

While it is now clear in retrospect that such professionals were egregiously wrong, there was certainly no obvious arbitrage opportunity available. One could disagree with the projected growth rates of security analysts. But who could be sure, with the use of the Internet for a time doubling every several months, that the extraordinary growth rates that could justify stock valuations were impossible? After all, even Alan Greenspan was singing the praises of the new economy. Nothing is ever as clear in prospect as it is in retrospect. The extent of the "bubble" was only clear in retrospect.

Not only is it almost impossible to judge with confidence what the proper fundamental value is for any security, but potential arbitrageurs face additional risks. Shleifer (2000) has argued that "noise trader risk"—the risk from traders who are attempting to buy into rising markets and sell into declining markets—limits the extent to which one should expect arbitrage to bring prices quickly back to rational values even in the presence of an apparent bubble. Professional arbitrageurs will be loath to sell short a stock they believe is trading at two times its "fundamental" value when it is always possible that some greater fools may be willing to pay three times the stock's value. Arbitrageurs are quite likely to have short horizons, since even temporary losses may induce their clients to withdraw their money.

While there were no profitable and predictable arbitrage opportunities available during the Internet "bubble," and while stock prices eventually did adjust to levels that more reasonably reflected the likely present value of their cash flows, an argument can be maintained that the asset prices did remain "incorrect" for a period of time. The result was that too much new capital flowed to Internet and

related telecommunications companies. Thus, the stock market may well have temporarily failed in its role as an efficient allocator of equity capital. Fortunately, “bubble” periods are the exception rather than the rule, and acceptance of such occasional mistakes is the necessary price of a flexible market system that usually does a very effective job of allocating capital to its most productive uses.

### **Other Illustrations of Irrational Pricing**

Are there not some illustrations of irrational pricing that can be clearly ascertained as they arise, not simply after a “bubble” has burst? My favorite illustration concerns the spinoff of Palm Pilot from its parent 3Com Corporation during the height of the Internet boom in early 2000. Initially, only 5 percent of the Palm Pilot shares were distributed to the public; the other 95 percent remained on 3Com’s balance sheet. As Palm Pilot began trading, enthusiasm for the shares was so great that the 95 percent of its shares still owned by 3Com had a market value considerably more than the entire market capitalization of 3Com, implying that all the rest of its business had a negative value. Other illustrations involve ticker symbol confusion. Rasches (2001) finds clear evidence of comovement of stocks with similar ticker symbols; for example, the stock of MCI Corporation (ticker symbol MCIC) moves in tandem with an unrelated closed-end bond investment fund Mass Mutual Corporate Investors (ticker symbol MCI). In a charming article entitled “A Rose.com by Any Other Name,” Cooper, Dimitrov and Rau (2001) found positive stock price reactions during 1998 and 1999 on corporate name changes when “dot com” was added to the corporate title. Finally, it has been argued that closed-end funds sell at irrational discounts from their net asset values (for example, Shleifer, 2000).


But none of these illustrations should shake our faith that exploitable arbitrage opportunities should not exist in an efficient market. The apparent arbitrage in the Palm Pilot case (sell Palm Pilot short and buy 3Com) could not be undertaken because not enough Palm stock was outstanding to make borrowing the stock possible to effectuate a short sale. The “anomaly” disappeared once 3Com spun off more of Palm stock. Moreover, the potential profits from name or ticker symbol confusion are extremely small relative to the transactions costs that would be required to exploit them. Finally, the “closed-end fund puzzle” is not really a puzzle today. Discounts have narrowed from historical averages for funds with assets traded in liquid markets, and researchers such as Ross (forthcoming) have suggested that they can largely be explained by fund management fees. Perhaps the more important puzzle today is why so many investors buy high-expense, actively managed mutual funds instead of low-cost index funds.

### **The Performance of Professional Investors**

For me, the most direct and most convincing tests of market efficiency are direct tests of the ability of professional fund managers to outperform the market

## Exhibit 4

## The Records of Surviving Funds Overstates the Success of Active Management



\* Includes those liquidated or merged out of existence.

Source: Lipper Analytic Services, as compiled by The Vanguard Group.

as a whole. Surely, if market prices were determined by irrational investors and systematically deviated from rational estimates of the present value of corporations, and if it were easy to spot predictable patterns in security returns or anomalous security prices, then professional fund managers should be able to beat the market. Direct tests of the actual performance of professionals, who often are compensated with strong incentives to outperform the market, should represent the most compelling evidence of market efficiency.

A remarkably large body of evidence suggests that professional investment managers are not able to outperform index funds that buy and hold the broad stock market portfolio. The first study of mutual fund performance was undertaken by Jensen (1968). He found that active mutual fund managers were unable to add value and, in fact, tended to underperform the market by approximately the amount of their added expenses. I repeated Jensen's study with data from a subsequent period and confirmed the earlier results (Malkiel, 1995). Moreover, I found that the degree of "survivorship bias" in the data was substantial; that is, poorly performing funds tend to be merged into other funds in the mutual fund's family complex, thus burying the records of many of the underperformers. Exhibit 4 updates the study I performed through mid-2002, showing that the return for surviving funds is quite a bit better than the actual return for all funds, including funds liquidated or merged out of existence. Survivorship bias makes the interpretation of long-run mutual fund data sets very difficult. But even using data sets with some degree of survivorship bias, one cannot sustain the argument that professional investors can beat the market.

Exhibit 5 presents the percentage of actively managed mutual funds that have been outperformed by the Standard & Poor's 500 and the Wilshire stock indexes. Throughout the past decade, about three-quarters of actively managed funds have

*Exhibit 5***Percentage of Large Capitalization Equity Funds Outperformed by Index Ending 6/30/2002**

	1 year	3 years	5 years	10 years
S&P 500 vs. Large Cap Equity Funds	63%	56%	70%	79%
Wilshire 5000 vs. Large Cap Equity Funds	72%	64%	69%	74%

*Source:* Lipper Analytic Services.

*Note:* All large capitalization mutual funds in existence are covered with the exception of "sector" funds and funds investing in foreign securities.

*Exhibit 6***Median Total Returns Ending 12/31/2001**

	10 years	15 years	20 years
Large Cap Equity Funds	10.98%	11.95%	13.42%
S&P 500 Index	12.94%	13.74%	15.24%

*Source:* Lipper Analytic Services, Wilshire Associates, Standard & Poor's and The Vanguard Group.


failed to beat the index. Similar results obtain for earlier decades. Exhibit 6 shows that the median large-capitalization professionally managed equity fund has underperformed the S&P 500 index by almost 2 percentage points over the past 10-, 15- and 20-year periods. Exhibit 7 shows similar results in different markets and against different benchmarks.

Managed funds are regularly outperformed by broad index funds, with equivalent risk. Moreover, those funds that produce excess returns in one period are not likely to do so in the next. There is no dependable persistence in performance. During the 1970s, the top 20 mutual funds enjoyed almost double the performance of the index. During the 1980s, those same funds underperformed the index. The best performing funds of the 1980s similarly underperformed during the 1990s. A more dramatic example of the lack of persistence in performance is shown in Exhibit 8. These mutual funds during 1998 and 1999 enjoyed three times the performance of the index. During 2000 and 2001, they did three times worse than the index. Over the long run, the results are even more devastating to active managers. One can count on the fingers of one hand the number of professional portfolio managers who have managed to beat the market by any significant amount. Exhibit 9 shows the distribution of return. Of the original 355 funds, only five of them outperformed the market by 2 percent per year or more.

The record of professionals does not suggest that sufficient predictability exists in the stock market or that there are recognizable and exploitable irrationalities sufficient to produce excess returns.

Exhibit 7

**Percentage of Various Actively Managed Funds Outperformed by Benchmark Index 10 Years to 12/31/01**




Source: Lipper Analytic Services and Micropal.

Exhibit 8

**Getting Burned by Hot Funds**

Fund Name	1998–1999		2000–2001	
	Rank	Average Annual Return	Rank	Average Annual Return
Van Wagoner:Emrg Growth	1	105.52	1106	-43.54
Rydex:OTC Fund;Inv	2	93.43	1103	-36.31
TCW Galileo:AGr Eq;Instl	3	92.78	1098	-34.00
RS Inv:Emerg Growth	4	90.19	1055	-26.17
PBHG:Large Cap 20	5	84.56	1078	-29.03
Janus Olympus Fund	6	77.24	1061	-27.03
Van Kampen Aggr Gro;A	7	76.70	1067	-28.04
Janus Mercury	8	76.31	1057	-26.35
PBHG:Sel Equity	9	76.21	1097	-33.19
WM:Growth;A	10	74.77	1046	-25.82
Berger New Generatr;Inv	11	73.31	1107	-45.96
Janus Enterprise	12	72.28	1101	-35.40
Janus Venture	13	72.22	1091	-30.89
Fidelity Aggr Growth	14	70.56	1105	-38.02
Janus Twenty	15	69.09	1090	-30.83
Amer Cent:New Oppty.	16	67.64	1033	-24.11
Morg Stan Sm Cap Gro;B	17	66.59	1102	-35.96
Van Kampen Emerg Gro;A	18	65.67	1021	-22.70
TCW Galileo:SC Gro;Instl.	19	64.87	1099	-34.77
BlackRock:MdCp Gro;Instl.	20	64.44	1009	-22.18
Average Fund Return		76.72		-31.52
S&P 500 Return		24.75		-10.50

Source: Lipper Analytic Services and Bogle Research Institute, Valley Forge, PA.

*Exhibit 9***The Odds of Success: Returns of Surviving Mutual Funds vs. S&P 500, 1970–2001**

Source: Data from Lipper Analytic Services and The Vanguard Group.

## Conclusion

As long as stock markets exist, the collective judgment of investors will sometimes make mistakes. Undoubtedly, some market participants are demonstrably less than rational. As a result, pricing irregularities and even predictable patterns in stock returns can appear over time and even persist for short periods. Moreover, the market cannot be perfectly efficient, or there would be no incentive for professionals to uncover the information that gets so quickly reflected in market prices, a point stressed by Grossman and Stiglitz (1980). Undoubtedly, with the passage of time and with the increasing sophistication of our databases and empirical techniques, we will document further apparent departures from efficiency and further patterns in the development of stock returns.

But I suspect that the end result will not be an abandonment of the belief of many in the profession that the stock market is remarkably efficient in its utilization of information. Periods such as 1999 where “bubbles” seem to have existed, at least in certain sectors of the market, are fortunately the exception rather than the rule. Moreover, whatever patterns or irrationalities in the pricing of individual stocks that have been discovered in a search of historical experience are unlikely to persist and will not provide investors with a method to obtain extraordinary returns. If any \$100 bills are lying around the stock exchanges of the world, they will not be there for long.

■ *I wish to thank J. Bradford De Long, Timothy Taylor and Michael Waldman for their extremely helpful observations. While they may not agree with all of the conclusions in this paper, they have strengthened my arguments in important ways.*

## References

- Ariel, Robert A.** 1990. "High Stock Returns Before Holidays: Existence and Evidence on Possible Causes." *Journal of Finance*. December, 45:5, pp. 1611–626.
- Bagwell, Laurie Simon and John B. Shoven.** 1989. "Cash Distributions to Shareholders." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. Summer, 3:3, pp. 129–40.
- Ball, Ray.** 1978. "Anomalies in Relationships Between Securities' Yields and Yield-Surrogates." *Journal of Financial Economics*. July, 6, pp. 103–26.
- Basu, Sanjoy.** 1983. "The Relationship Between Earnings' Yield, Market Value and the Returns for NYSE Common Stocks: Further Evidence." *Journal of Financial Economics*. June, 12:1, pp. 129–56.
- Campbell, John Y.** 1987. "Stock Returns and the Term Structure." *Journal of Financial Economics*. June, 18, pp. 373–400.
- Campbell, John Y. and Robert J. Shiller.** 1988. "Stock Prices, Earnings, and Expected Dividends." *Journal of Finance*. 43:3, pp. 661–76.
- Campbell, John Y. and Robert J. Shiller.** 1998. "Valuation Ratios and the Long-Run Stock Market Outlook." *Journal of Portfolio Management*. Winter, 24, pp. 11–26.
- Campbell, John Y., Andrew W. Lo and A. Craig MacKinlay.** 1997. *The Econometrics of Financial Markets*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Cooper, Michael, Orlin Dimitrov and P.R. Rau.** 2001. "A Rose.com by Any Other Name." *Journal of Finance*. June 15, 56, pp. 2371–388.
- Cootner, Paul, ed.** 1964. *The Random Character of Stock Market Prices*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- DeBondt, Werner F. M. and Richard Thaler.** 1985. "Does the Stock Market Overreact?" *Journal of Finance*. July, 40, pp. 793–805.
- DeBondt, Werner F. M. and Richard Thaler.** 1995. "Financial Decision-Making in Markets and Firms: A Behavioral Perspective," in *Handbook in OR & MS, Volume 9*. R. Jarrow et al., eds. New York: Elsevier Science, Chapter 13.
- Fama, Eugene.** 1970. "Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work." *Journal of Finance*. 25:2, pp. 383–417.
- Fama, Eugene.** 1998. "Market Efficiency, Long-Term Returns, and Behavioral Finance." *Journal of Financial Economics*. 49:3, pp. 283–306.
- Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French.** 1988. "Permanent and Temporary Components of Stock Prices." *Journal of Political Economy*. 96:2, pp. 246–73.
- Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French.** 1992. "The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns." *Journal of Finance*. June, 47, pp. 427–65.
- Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French.** 1993. "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds." *Journal of Finance*. 33:1, pp. 3–56.
- Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French.** 1997. "Value vs. Growth: The International Evidence." *Journal of Finance*. December, 53, pp. 1975–999.
- Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French.** 2001. "Disappearing Dividends: Changing Firm Characteristics or Lower Propensity to Pay." *Journal of Financial Economics*. April, 60:1, pp. 3–43.
- Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French.** 2002. "The Equity Premium." *Journal of Finance*. 62:2, pp. 637–59.
- Fama, Eugene and G. William Schwert.** 1977. "Asset Returns and Inflation." *Journal of Financial Economics*. November, 5:2, pp. 55–69.
- Fluck, Zsuzsanna, Burton Malkiel and Richard Quandt.** 1997. "The Predictability of Stock Returns: A Cross-Sectional Simulation." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. 79:2, pp. 176–83.
- French, Kenneth.** 1980. "Stock Returns and the Weekend Effect." *Journal of Financial Economics*. March, 8, pp. 55–69.
- Graham, Benjamin and David L. Dodd.** 1934. *Security Analysis: Principles and Techniques*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Graham, Benjamin and David L. Dodd.** 1965. *The Intelligent Investor*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Grossman, Sanford J. and Joseph E. Stiglitz.** 1980. "On the Impossibility of Informationally Efficient Markets." *American Economic Review*. 70:3, pp. 393–408.
- Haugen, Robert A. and Josef Lakonishok.** 1988. *The Incredible January Effect*. Homewood: Dow Jones-Irwin.
- Hawawini, Gabriel and Donald B. Keim.** 1995. "On the Predictability of Common Stock Returns: Worldwide Evidence," in *Handbooks in Operations Research & Management Science, Volume 9*. R. Jarrow et al., eds. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B. V., pp. 497–544.
- Jensen, Michael.** 1968. "The Performance of Mutual Funds in the Period 1945–64." *Journal of Finance*. May, 23, pp. 389–416.
- Kahneman, Daniel and Mark W. Riepe.** 1998. "Aspects of Investor Psychology." *Journal of Portfolio Management*. Summer, 24:4, pp. 52–65.
- Kahneman, Daniel and Amos Tversky.** 1973. "On the Psychology of Prediction." *Psychological Review*. 80, pp. 237–51.
- Kahneman, Daniel and Amos Tversky.** 1979.

- "Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk." *Econometrica*. 47:2, pp. 263–91.
- Keim, Donald B.** 1983. "Size-Related Anomalies and Stock Return Seasonality: Further Empirical Evidence." *Journal of Financial Economics*. June, 12, pp. 13–32.
- Keim, Donald B. and Robert T. Stambaugh.** 1986. "Predicting Returns in Stock and Bond Markets." *Journal of Financial Economics*. December, 17, pp. 357–90.
- Lakonishok, Josef and S. Smidt.** 1988. "Are Seasonal Anomalies Real? A Ninety-Year Perspective." *Review of Financial Studies*. Winter, 1:4, pp. 403–25.
- Lakonishok, Josef, Andrei Shleifer and Robert Vishny.** 1994. "Contrarian Investment, Extrapolation, and Risk." *Journal of Finance*. December, 49, pp. 1541–578.
- Lesmond, David, Michael Schill and Chunsheng Zhou.** 2001. "The Illusory Nature of Momentum Profits." Unpublished manuscript, Tulane University.
- Lo, Andrew W. and A. Craig MacKinlay.** 1999. *A Non-Random Walk Down Wall Street*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Lo, Andrew W., Harry Mamaysky and Jiang Wang.** 2000. "Foundations of Technical Analysis: Computational Algorithms, Statistical Inference, and Empirical Implementation." *Journal of Finance*. August, 55:4, pp. 1705–765.
- Malkiel, Burton G.** 1973. *A Random Walk Down Wall Street*. New York: W. W. Norton & Co.
- Malkiel, Burton G.** 1995. "Returns from Investing in Equity Mutual Funds 1971 to 1991." *Journal of Finance*. June, 50:2, pp. 549–72.
- Malkiel, Burton G.** 2000. "Review of Robert J. Shiller's *Irrational Exuberance*." *Wall Street Journal*. April 4.
- Miller, Merton.** 1991. *Financial Innovations and Market Volatility*. Cambridge: Blackwell.
- Nicholson, S. F.** 1960. "Price-Earnings Ratios." *Financial Analysts Journal*. July/August, 16, pp. 43–50.
- Odean, Terrance.** 1999. "Do Investors Trade Too Much?" *American Economic Review*. December, 89:5, pp. 1279–298.
- Poterba, James and Lawrence Summers.** 1988. "Mean Reversion in Stock Returns: Evidence and Implications." *Journal of Financial Economics*. 22:1, pp. 27–59.
- Rasches, Michael.** 2001. "Massively Confused Investors Making Conspicuously Ignorant Choices (MCI-MCIC)." *Journal of Finance*. 56:5, pp. 1911–927.
- Roll, Richard and Robert J. Shiller.** 1992. "Comments: Symposium on Volatility in U.S. and Japanese Stock Markets." *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*. 5:1, pp. 25–29.
- Ross, Stephen.** Forthcoming. *Princeton Lectures in Finance 2001*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Samuelson, Paul.** 1965. "Proof that Properly Anticipated Prices Fluctuate Randomly." *Industrial Management Review*. Spring, 6, pp. 41–49.
- Schwert, G. William.** 2001. "Anomalies and Market Efficiency," in *Handbook of the Economics of Finance*. G. Constantinides et al., eds. Amsterdam: North Holland, Chapter 17.
- Shiller, Robert J.** 1981. "Do Stock Prices Move so Much to be Justified by Subsequent Changes in Dividends?" *American Economic Review*. 71:3, pp. 421–36.
- Shiller, Robert J.** 1996. "Price-Earnings Ratios as Forecasters of Returns: The Stock Market Outlook in 1996." Unpublished manuscript, Yale University.
- Shiller, Robert J.** 2000. *Irrational Exuberance*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Shleifer, Andrei.** 2000. *Inefficient Markets: An Introduction to Behavioral Finance*. New York: Oxford University Press.



**This article has been cited by:**

1. Anam Yasir, Umar Safdar, Yasir Javaid. 2022. Herd behaviour in foreign exchange market. *Journal of Economic Structures* 11:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. Heni Boubaker, Giorgio Canarella, Rangan Gupta, Stephen M. Miller. 2022. A Hybrid ARFIMA Wavelet Artificial Neural Network Model for DJIA Index Forecasting. *Computational Economics* 71. . [[Crossref](#)]
3. Ata Ozkaya. 2022. Detecting multiple-equilibria and chaos in oil prices and global commodity markets. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147- 4478)* 11:6, 350-361. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Aditi N Kamath, Sandeep S. Shenoy, Subrahmanya Kumar N.. 2022. An overview of investor sentiment: Identifying themes, trends, and future direction through bibliometric analysis. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 19:3, 229-242. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Dyliane Mouri Silva de Souza, Orleans Silva Martins. 2022. Brazilian stock market performance and investor sentiment on Twitter. *Revista de Gestão* 45. . [[Crossref](#)]
6. Rajesh Elangovan, Francis Gnanasekar Irudayasamy, Satyanarayana Parayitam. 2022. Month-of-the-Year Effect: Empirical Evidence from Indian Stock Market. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets* 29:3, 449-476. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Joseph P. Romano, Marius A. Tirllea. 2022. Permutation testing for dependence in time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 43:5, 781-807. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Kwangmin Jung, Donggyu Kim, Seunghyeon Yu. 2022. Next generation models for portfolio risk management: An approach using financial big data. *Journal of Risk and Insurance* 89:3, 765-787. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Umara Noreen, Attayah Shafique, Usman Ayub, Syed Kashif Saeed. 2022. Does the Adaptive Market Hypothesis Reconcile the Behavioral Finance and the Efficient Market Hypothesis?. *Risks* 10:9, 168. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Holger Spamann. 2022. Indirect Investor Protection: The Investment Ecosystem and Its Legal Underpinnings. *Journal of Legal Analysis* 14:1, 17-79. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Nasrin Bagheri Mazraeh, Amir Daneshvar, Mahdi Madanchi zaj, Fereydon Rahnamay Roodposhti. 2022. Stock Portfolio Optimization Using a Combined Approach of Multi Objective Grey Wolf Optimizer and Machine Learning Preselection Methods. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* 2022, 1-20. [[Crossref](#)]
12. James Ndirangu Ndegwa. 2022. Do markets react to corporate governance reforms? Evidence from a developing economy. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 4. . [[Crossref](#)]
13. Insu Choi, Woo Chang Kim. 2022. Analyzing Global Financial Market Indices and Predicting Fluctuations of the Korean Market Index Using Information Flow-Based Network Analysis. *Journal of the Korean Institute of Industrial Engineers* 48:4, 340-354. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Mohammed Rashid, Rais Ahmad, Shazeb Tariq. 2022. Financial Revolution: From Traditional Finance to Behavioral and Neuro-finance. *South Asian Journal of Social Science and Humanities* 3:4, 95-108. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Bilal Ahmed Memon, Hongxing Yao, Hafiz Muhammad Naveed. 2022. Examining the efficiency and herding behavior of commodity markets using multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis. Empirical evidence from energy, agriculture, and metal markets. *Resources Policy* 77, 102715. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Andrew Phiri. 2022. Changing efficiency of BRICS currency markets during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Economic Change and Restructuring* 55:3, 1673-1699. [[Crossref](#)]

17. E. F. Guedes, R. P. C. Santos, L. H. R. Figueredo, P. A. da Silva, R. M. T. S. Dias, G. F. Zebende. 2022. Efficiency and Long-Range Correlation in G-20 Stock Indexes: A Sliding Windows Approach. *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **21**:04. . [[Crossref](#)]
18. Jihye Park. 2022. US Immigration Politics, Sanctions Threats and Private Prison Corporations' Stock Market Values. *The British Journal of Criminology* **64**. . [[Crossref](#)]
19. Ardalan Azarnejad, Hamid Khaloozadeh. 2022. Stock return system identification and multiple adaptive forecast algorithm for price trend forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications* **198**, 116685. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Chao Xu, Jinchuan Ke, Zhikai Peng, Wen Fang, Yu Duan. 2022. Asymmetric Fractal Characteristics and Market Efficiency Analysis of Style Stock Indices. *Entropy* **24**:7, 969. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Brice Corgnet, Cary Deck, Mark DeSantis, David Porter. 2022. Forecasting Skills in Experimental Markets: Illusion or Reality?. *Management Science* **68**:7, 5216-5232. [[Crossref](#)]
22. John Ayodele Ajayi. 2022. Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Specialized Literature and Methodology on Nigerian Stock Market. *Financial Law Review* :25 (2), 47-62. [[Crossref](#)]
23. . Event Study Assumptions 17-30. [[Crossref](#)]
24. . Research Design Considerations 101-111. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Nektarios Gavrilakis, Christos Floros. 2022. The impact of heuristic and herding biases on portfolio construction and performance: the case of Greece. *Review of Behavioral Finance* **14**:3, 436-462. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Srikar Velichety, Utkarsh Shrivastava. 2022. Quantifying the impacts of online fake news on the equity value of social media platforms – Evidence from Twitter. *International Journal of Information Management* **64**, 102474. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Doojin Ryu, Heejin Yang, Jinyoung Yu. 2022. Insider trading and information asymmetry: Evidence from the Korea Exchange. *Emerging Markets Review* **51**, 100847. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Michael Heinrich Baumann. 2022. Beating the market? A mathematical puzzle for market efficiency. *Decisions in Economics and Finance* **45**:1, 279-325. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Ishani Chaudhuri, Parthajit Kayal. 2022. Predicting power of ticker search volume in Indian stock market. *IIMB Management Review* **34**:2, 143-152. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Zhengxun Tan, Yao Fu, Hong Cheng, Juan Liu. 2022. Stock prices' long memory in China and the United States. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* **17**:5, 1292-1314. [[Crossref](#)]
31. Mohamed Shaker Ahmed. 2022. Momentum investing: evidence from the US tourism and hospitality. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics* **31**:3, 269-284. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Dimitra Papadovasilaki, Federico Guerrero, Rattaphon Wuthisatian, Bhraman Gulati. 2022. The 1920s technological revolution and the crash of 1929: the role of RCA, DuPont, General Motors, and Union Carbide. *SN Business & Economics* **2**:5. . [[Crossref](#)]
33. Raheel Asif, Michael Frömmel. 2022. Testing Long memory in exchange rates and its implications for the adaptive market hypothesis. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **593**, 126871. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Natalia Diniz-Maganini, Abdul A. Rasheed. 2022. Price efficiency and safe-haven property of Bitcoin in relation to stocks in the pandemic era. *Studies in Economics and Finance* **39**:3, 403-418. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Rajesh Elangovan, Francis Gnanasekar Irudayasamy, Satyanarayana Parayitam. 2022. Testing the market efficiency in Indian stock market: evidence from Bombay Stock Exchange broad market indices. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science* **210**. . [[Crossref](#)]
36. Mariano González-Sánchez. 2022. Factorial asset pricing models using statistical anomalies. *Research in International Business and Finance* **60**, 101595. [[Crossref](#)]

37. Shahul Chettali Hameed. 2022. Stock Market E-Assistance on Platform-as-a-Service (PaaS). *International Journal of Cloud Applications and Computing* **12:2**, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Anna B. Zaremba, Gareth W. Peters. 2022. Statistical Causality for Multivariate Nonlinear Time Series via Gaussian Process Models. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability* **12**. . [[Crossref](#)]
39. Serpil KAHRAMAN, Görkem SARIYER. 2022. Çin Finansal Piyasaların COVID Ateşi: Para Politikası Uygulamaları. *TESAM Akademi Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
40. Ali H. Dhafer, Fauzias Mat Nor, Gamal Alkaws, Abdulaeem Z. Al-Othmani, Nuradli Ridzwan Shah, Huda M. Alshambari, Khairil Faizal Bin Khairi, Yahia Baashar. 2022. Empirical Analysis for Stock Price Prediction Using NARX Model with Exogenous Technical Indicators. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* **2022**, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Mustafa Kevser, Mesut Doğan, Ayşenur Tarakçıoğlu Altınay. 2022. THE IMPACT OF BUY – SELL RECOMMENDATIONS ON BANKS’ STOCK RETURNS. *Baltic Journal of Economic Studies* **8:2**, 1-10. [[Crossref](#)]
42. Popkarn Arwatchanakarn, Hathaichanok Kuendee, Jakravut Srijunngam. The effects of global investment assets on the Thai stock market 1219-1223. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Wonlop Writthym Buachoom. Effect of Fair Value Accounting and Corporate Governance on Stock Prices: Evidence of Information Efficiency in Thailand 209-228. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Kingstone Nyakurukwa, Yudhvir Seetharam. 2022. Can a 280-character message explain stock returns? Evidence from South Africa. *Managerial Finance* **48:4**, 663-686. [[Crossref](#)]
45. David M Ritzwoller, Joseph P Romano. 2022. Uncertainty in the Hot Hand Fallacy: Detecting Streaky Alternatives to Random Bernoulli Sequences. *The Review of Economic Studies* **89:2**, 976-1007. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Yixin Qin, Fengchen Gu, Jionglong Su. A Novel Deep Reinforcement Learning Strategy for Portfolio Management 366-372. [[Crossref](#)]
47. M. Meraz, J. Alvarez-Ramirez, E. Rodriguez. 2022. Multivariate rescaled range analysis. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **589**, 126631. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Han Zhang, Zongxi Li, Haoran Xie, Raymond Y. K. Lau, Gary Cheng, Qing Li, Dian Zhang. 2022. Leveraging statistical information in fine-grained financial sentiment analysis. *World Wide Web* **25:2**, 513-531. [[Crossref](#)]
49. Ugochi Chibuzor Okoroafor, Thomas Leirvik. 2022. Time varying market efficiency in the Brent and WTI crude market. *Finance Research Letters* **45**, 102191. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Marcus Jun Rong Foo, Chi Seng Pun. Stock Movement Prediction with Social Sentiments and Interactional Data: Integrating NLP and Bayesian Frameworks 530-536. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Mario Daniele Amore, Mariano Mastrogiorgio. 2022. Technological Entry, Redeployability, and Firm Value. *Journal of Management Studies* **19**. . [[Crossref](#)]
52. Göksal Selahatdin KELTEN, Asli AYBARS. 2022. THE EFFECT OF INDIVIDUAL FUTURE CONTRACTS ON THE ABNORMAL RETURNS OF UNDERLYING STOCKS: EVIDENCE FROM BORSA ISTANBUL. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi* . [[Crossref](#)]
53. Kesuh Jude Thaddeus, Chi Aloysius Ngong, Ugwuanyi Jacinta Nnecka, Njimukala Moses Nubong, Godwin Imo Ibe, Onyejiaku Chinyere C, Josaphat Uchechukwu Joe Onwumere. 2022. Stock market development and economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa (1990–2020): an ARDL approach. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* **2**. . [[Crossref](#)]
54. Fernando Henrique Taques, Nelson Areal, Leonardo Fernando Cruz Basso. 2022. Benefits on Sales Generated by Innovation. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management* **19:01**. . [[Crossref](#)]

55. Chetan Gondaliya, Ajay Patel, Tirthank Shah. Stock Prediction Using Machine Learning Algorithms with Special Reference to Technical Indicators 319-327. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Romain Bocher. 2022. The Intersubjective Markets Hypothesis. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics* 34:1, 35-50. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Giovanni Angelini, Luca De Angelis, Carl Singleton. 2022. Informational efficiency and behaviour within in-play prediction markets. *International Journal of Forecasting* 38:1, 282-299. [[Crossref](#)]
58. B. Mwenda, D. Pastory. Financial Performance of Firms Before and After Listing on Dar es Salaam Stock Exchange, Tanzania 341-351. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Jonathan Blackledge, Marc Lamphiere. 2022. A Review of the Fractal Market Hypothesis for Trading and Market Price Prediction. *Mathematics* 10:1, 117. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Alejandro Raúl Hernández-Montoya, Carlos Manuel Rodríguez-Martínez, Manuel Enrique Rodríguez-Achach, David Hernández-Enríquez. 2022. Entropy Variations of Multi-Scale Returns of Optimal and Noise Traders Engaged in "Bucket Shop Trading". *Mathematics* 10:2, 215. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Yanlin Yang, Xuemei Hu, Huifeng Jiang. 2022. Group penalized logistic regressions predict up and down trends for stock prices. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 59, 101564. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Fariza Hashim, Nadisah Zakaria, Abdul Rahim Abu Bakar, Kamilah Kamaludin. Portfolio Investment in Malaysia and Saudi Arabia 155-175. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Darya Lapitskaya, Hakan Eratalay, Rajesh Sharma. Predicting Stock Returns: ARMAX versus Machine Learning 453-464. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Nicolas Maloumian. 2022. Unaccounted forms of complexity: A path away from the efficient market hypothesis paradigm. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* 5:1, 100244. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Jeffrey Muldoon, Shelby J. Solomon, Geoffrey T. Stewart, Joshua S. Bendickson. 2022. Social Entrepreneurship as a Mechanism to Correct Institutional Shortcomings. *Journal of Small Business Strategy* 32:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
66. Roger Brown. Explaining the Neoliberal Turn: Structural Theories 33-58. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Zhicheng Hao, Yun-Heh Jessica Chen-Burger. An Investigation into Influences of Tweet Sentiments on Stock Market Movements 87-97. [[Crossref](#)]
68. Armen V. Papazian. Finance: A Value Paradigm and Equations Without Space 17-48. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Matthias Zillner. Commodity Price Risks: Strategies to Increase Supply Chain Resilience 217-232. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Nisha Prakash, Yogesh L. 2021. Market Reaction to Dividend Announcements During Pandemic: An Event Study. *Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective* 20, 097226292110662. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Bui Thanh Khoa, Tran Trong Huynh. 2021. Is It Possible to Earn Abnormal Return in an Inefficient Market? An Approach Based on Machine Learning in Stock Trading. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience* 2021, 1-14. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Arthur Emanuel de Oliveira Carosia, Guilherme Palermo Coelho, Ana Estela Antunes da Silva. 2021. Investment strategies applied to the Brazilian stock market: A methodology based on Sentiment Analysis with deep learning. *Expert Systems with Applications* 184, 115470. [[Crossref](#)]
73. Weiwei Jiang. 2021. Applications of deep learning in stock market prediction: Recent progress. *Expert Systems with Applications* 184, 115537. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Natalia Diniz-Maganini, Eduardo H. Diniz, Abdul A. Rasheed. 2021. Bitcoin's price efficiency and safe haven properties during the COVID-19 pandemic: A comparison. *Research in International Business and Finance* 58, 101472. [[Crossref](#)]

75. Mahjus Ekananda, Tulus Suryanto. 2021. The Influence of Global Financial Liquidity on the Indonesian Economy: Dynamic Analysis with Threshold VAR. *Economies* 9:4, 162. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Stefan Ritschel, Andrey G Cherstvy, Ralf Metzler. 2021. Universality of delay-time averages for financial time series: analytical results, computer simulations, and analysis of historical stock-market prices. *Journal of Physics: Complexity* 2:4, 045003. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Yun Pu, Carl Zulauf. 2021. Where are the fundamental traders? A model application based on the Shanghai Stock Exchange. *Emerging Markets Review* 49, 100775. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Budi Setiawan, Marwa Ben Abdallah, Maria Fekete-Farkas, Robert Jeyakumar Nathan, Zoltan Zeman. 2021. GARCH (1,1) Models and Analysis of Stock Market Turmoil during COVID-19 Outbreak in an Emerging and Developed Economy. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14:12, 576. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Danai Likitratcharoen, Nopadon Kronprasert, Karawan Wiwattanalamporn, Chakrin Pinmanee. 2021. The Accuracy of Risk Measurement Models on Bitcoin Market during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Risks* 9:12, 222. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Rong Xiang, Mengqi Wang, Li Lin, Dongxia Wu. 2021. A Research on the Crisis Spillover Effect of Food Safety Incidents on Competitive Firms: The Influence of Political Connections and Charitable Donations. *Frontiers in Public Health* 9. . [[Crossref](#)]
81. Lumengo Bonga-Bonga, Muteba John Mwamba. 2021. Multivariate models for the prediction of stock returns in an emerging market economy: comparison of parametric and non-parametric models. *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies* 10, 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Wajid Shakeel Ahmed, Muhammad Shoaib Khan, Muhammad Jibrán Sheikh, Inzamam Khan. 2021. Excess volatility pursuit in autoregressive GARCH model based panel data analysis at country level: BRICS context. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 120. . [[Crossref](#)]
83. Maria Elisabete Neves, Mário Abreu Pinto, Carla Manuela de Assunção Fernandes, Elisabete Fátima Simões Vieira. 2021. Value and growth stock returns: international evidence (JES). *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management* 29:5, 698-733. [[Crossref](#)]
84. Dushmanta Kumar Padhi, Neelamadhab Padhy, Akash Kumar Bhoi, Jana Shafi, Muhammad Fazal Ijaz. 2021. A Fusion Framework for Forecasting Financial Market Direction Using Enhanced Ensemble Models and Technical Indicators. *Mathematics* 9:21, 2646. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Pedro Antonio González, José Luis Gallizo. 2021. Impact of COVID-19 on the Stock Market by Industrial Sector in Chile: An Adverse Overreaction. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14:11, 548. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Bogdan DIMA, Ștefana Maria DIMA, Roxana IOAN. 2021. Remarks on the behaviour of financial market efficiency during the COVID-19 pandemic. The case of VIX. *Finance Research Letters* 43, 101967. [[Crossref](#)]
87. K.H. Cao, H.S. Qi, C.H. Tsai, C.K. Woo, J. Zarnikau. 2021. Energy trading efficiency in the US Midcontinent electricity markets. *Applied Energy* 302, 117505. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Josef Bajzik. 2021. Trading volume and stock returns: A meta-analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 78, 101923. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Haytem Troug, Matt Murray. 2021. Crisis determination and financial contagion: an analysis of the Hong Kong and Tokyo stock markets using an MSBVAR approach. *Journal of Economic Studies* 48:8, 1548-1572. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Thendo Sidogi, Rendani Mbuva, Tshilidzi Marwala. Stock Price Prediction Using Sentiment Analysis 46-51. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Winston Pontoh, Novi Swandari Budiarto. 2021. The idiosyncratic risk during the Covid-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 18:4, 57-66. [[Crossref](#)]

92. Dušan Fister, Matjaž Perc, Timotej Jagrič. 2021. Two robust long short-term memory frameworks for trading stocks. *Applied Intelligence* 51:10, 7177-7195. [[Crossref](#)]
93. Dong-Hee Cho, Seung-Hyun Moon, Yong-Hyuk Kim. 2021. Genetic Feature Selection Applied to KOSPI and Cryptocurrency Price Prediction. *Mathematics* 9:20, 2574. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Saman Razavi. 2021. Deep learning, explained: Fundamentals, explainability, and bridgeability to process-based modelling. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 144, 105159. [[Crossref](#)]
95. Sezgi DURGUN. 2021. Urban Localities under Glocal Dynamics: The Case of Yeldeğirmeni. *Anadolu Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 21:3, 709-724. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Lai Cao Mai Phuong. 2021. Food and beverage stocks responding to COVID-19. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 18:3, 359-371. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Rashid Zaman, Stephen Bahadar, Haroon Mahmood. 2021. Corporate irresponsibility and stock price crash risk. *International Review of Finance* 21:3, 786-820. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Shromona Ganguly. 2021. Financialization of the Real Economy: New Empirical Evidence from the Non-financial Firms in India Using Conditional Logistic Model. *Journal of Quantitative Economics* 19:3, 493-523. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Romain Bocher. 2021. Causal Entropic Forces, Narratives and Self-organisation of Capital Markets. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics* 44, 026010792110393. [[Crossref](#)]
100. Lionel Page, Christoph Siemroth. 2021. How Much Information Is Incorporated into Financial Asset Prices? Experimental Evidence. *The Review of Financial Studies* 34:9, 4412-4449. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Prahlad Koratamaddi, Karan Wadhvani, Mridul Gupta, Sriram G. Sanjeevi. 2021. Market sentiment-aware deep reinforcement learning approach for stock portfolio allocation. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal* 24:4, 848-859. [[Crossref](#)]
102. Garima Goel, Eshan Ahluwalia. 2021. Do pricing efficiencies in Indian equity ETF market impact its performance?. *Global Finance Journal* 49, 100654. [[Crossref](#)]
103. I. Lukasevich. 2021. Efficient Market Hypothesis and Fractal Market Hypothesis: evidence from Russian stock exchange. *Management and Business Administration* :2, 62-80. [[Crossref](#)]
104. Ajit Mahata, Anish Rai, Md. Nurujjaman, Om Prakash. 2021. Modeling and analysis of the effect of COVID-19 on the stock price: V and L-shape recovery. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 574, 126008. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Milad Shahvaroughi Farahani, Seyed Hossein Razavi Hajiagha. 2021. Forecasting stock price using integrated artificial neural network and metaheuristic algorithms compared to time series models. *Soft Computing* 25:13, 8483-8513. [[Crossref](#)]
106. Hooi Hooi Lean, Fabio Pizzutilo. 2021. Performances and risk of socially responsible investments across regions during crisis. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 26:3, 3556-3568. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Alexander Teytelboym, Shengwu Li, Scott Duke Kominers, Mohammad Akbarpour, Piotr Dworzak. 2021. Discovering Auctions: Contributions of Paul Milgrom and Robert Wilson\*. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 123:3, 709-750. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Faheem Aslam, Paulo Ferreira, Wahbeeah Mohti. 2021. Investigating efficiency of frontier stock markets using multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 52. . [[Crossref](#)]
109. Mariia Bondarenko, Karel Brůna. 2021. The Impact of FX Exposure on the Firm's Stock Market Return. *European Financial and Accounting Journal* 16:1, 45-70. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Paulo Ferreira, Éder Pereira, Oussama Tilfani, My Youssef El Boukfaoui. How Does COVID-19 Impact the Efficiency of the Chinese Stock Market? 445-461. [[Crossref](#)]

111. Bendik P. Andersen, Petter E. Lange. 2021. Efficiency in the Atlantic salmon futures market. *Journal of Futures Markets* 41:6, 949-984. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Silvijus Abramavičius, Alina Stundžienė, Laura Korsakova, Mantas Venslauskas, Edgaras Stankevičius. 2021. Stock price reaction to the drug development setbacks in the pharmaceutical industry. *DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 29:1, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Kittisak Prachyachuwong, Peerapon Vateekul. 2021. Stock Trend Prediction Using Deep Learning Approach on Technical Indicator and Industrial Specific Information. *Information* 12:6, 250. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Christopher R. Stephens, Harald A. Benink, José Luís Gordillo, Juan Pablo Pardo-Guerra. 2021. A New Measure of Market Inefficiency. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14:6, 263. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Ben Kwame Agyei-Mensah. 2021. The impact of board characteristics on corporate investment decisions: an empirical study. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 21:4, 569-586. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Serkan UNAL. 2021. MAKRO EKONOMİK FAKTÖRLER İLE BORSA İSTANBUL HİSSE SENEDİ ENDEKSLERİ GETİRİLERİ ARASINDAKİ İLİŞKİ. *Pamukkale University Journal of Social Sciences Institute* . [[Crossref](#)]
117. Emanuele Teti, Davide Maroni. 2021. The new great bubble in the technology industry?. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management* 33:5, 520-534. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Wolfgang Fruehwirt, Leonhard Hochfilzer, Leonard Weydemann, Stephen Roberts. 2021. Cumulation, crash, coherency: A cryptocurrency bubble wavelet analysis. *Finance Research Letters* 40, 101668. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Ricardo Massa Roldán, Montserrat Reyna Miranda, Vicente Gómez Salcido. 2021. Neuro-wavelet Model for price prediction in high-frequency data in the Mexican Stock market. *Revista Mexicana de Economía y Finanzas* 17:1, 1-23. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Qinyi Wang. Predicting Chinese Stock Market with Internet Key Word Hotness by Statistical Time Series Regression Analysis 286-291. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Mehmet ÇETİN. 2021. Osmanlı Devleti'nde Kaime ve Döviz Kuru Piyasalarında Rassal Yürüyüş Hipotezi'nin Analizi. *İnsan ve Toplum Bilimleri Araştırmaları Dergisi* 10:1, 819-848. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Ranjan Kumar Dash, Tu N. Nguyen, Korhan Cengiz, Aditi Sharma. 2021. Fine-tuned support vector regression model for stock predictions. *Neural Computing and Applications* 17. . [[Crossref](#)]
123. Benjamin M. Abdel-Karim, Alexander Benlian, Oliver Hinz. 2021. The Predictive Value of Data from Virtual Investment Communities. *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction* 3:1, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Christoph A. Schaltegger, Lukas A. Schmid. 2021. Public perceptions and bond markets during the Great War: the case of a neutral country. *Public Choice* 186:3-4, 537-561. [[Crossref](#)]
125. Pierluigi Vellucci. 2021. A critique of financial neoliberalism: a perspective combining multidisciplinary methods and commodity markets. *SN Business & Economics* 1:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
126. Libo Yin, Huiyi Liao. 2021. Big is brilliant: Understanding the Chinese size effect through profitability shocks. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 74, 101704. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Léo Touzo, Matteo Marsili, Don Zagier. 2021. Information thermodynamics of financial markets: the Glaston–Milgrom model. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* 2021:3, 033407. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Michele Vodret, Iacopo Mastromatteo, Bence Tóth, Michael Benzaquen. 2021. A stationary Kyle setup: microfounding propagator models. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* 2021:3, 033410. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Yanhao (Max) Wei, Anthony Dukes. 2021. Cryptocurrency Adoption with Speculative Price Bubbles. *Marketing Science* 40:2, 241-260. [[Crossref](#)]

130. Perry Sadorsky. 2021. A Random Forests Approach to Predicting Clean Energy Stock Prices. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 14:2, 48. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Eray GEMİCİ. 2021. ADAPTİF PİYASA HİPOTEZİNİN ASYA – PASİFİK ÜLKELERİNDE TEST EDİLMESİ. *Finansal Araştırmalar ve Çalışmalar Dergisi* 129-142. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Saqib Farid, Rubeena Tashfeen, Tahseen Mohsan, Arsal Burhan. 2021. Forecasting stock prices using a data mining method: Evidence from emerging market. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]
133. Oktay ÖZKAN. 2021. Döviz Piyasalarının Davranışlarını Açıklamada Etkin Piyasalar Hipotezi ile Adaptif Piyasalar Hipotezinin Karşılaştırılması: BRICS-T Ülkeleri Üzerine Ampirik Bir Çalışma. *Muhasebe ve Finansman Dergisi* 221-236. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Shaozhen Chen, Hui Zhu, Wenxuan Liang, Liang Yuan, Xianhua Wei. A Stock Index Prediction Method and Trading Strategy Based on the Combination of Lasso-Grid Search-Random Forest 431-448. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Mohammadsaleh Saadatmand, Tuğrul U. Daim. Technology Intelligence Map: Finance Machine Learning 337-356. [[Crossref](#)]
136. Chun-Hao Chen, Yin-Ting Lin, Shih-Ting Hung, Mu-En Wu. Forecasting Stock Trend Based on the Constructed Anomaly-Patterns Based Decision Tree 606-615. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Matteo Burzoni, Frank Riedel, H. Mete Soner. 2021. Viability and Arbitrage Under Knightian Uncertainty. *Econometrica* 89:3, 1207-1234. [[Crossref](#)]
138. Diyan Lestari. Earning Announcement and Stock Return: Evidence from Indonesia 167-181. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Nagaraj Naik, Biju R. Mohan. 2021. Novel Stock Crisis Prediction Technique—A Study on Indian Stock Market. *IEEE Access* 9, 86230-86242. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Suman Saha, Junbin Gao, Richard Gerlach. 2021. Stock Ranking Prediction Using List-Wise Approach and Node Embedding Technique. *IEEE Access* 9, 88981-88996. [[Crossref](#)]
141. TALIEH S. V. FERREIRA, MÁRCIO A. V. MACHADO, POLYANDRA Z. P. SILVA. 2021. ASYMMETRIC IMPACT OF INVESTOR SENTIMENT ON BRAZILIAN STOCK MARKET VOLATILITY. *RAM. Revista de Administração Mackenzie* 22:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
142. Arash Aloosh, Hyung-Eun Choi, Samuel Ouzan. 2021. On the Efficiency of Meme Stocks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 77. . [[Crossref](#)]
143. Constantin Gurdgiev, Adam Fleming. Informational Efficiency and Cybersecurity: Systemic Threats to Blockchain Applications 347-372. [[Crossref](#)]
144. Prakash K. Aithal, U. Dinesh Acharya, M. Geetha, Parthiv Menon. 2021. Building a Calendar of Events Database by Analyzing Financial Spikes. *IEEE Access* 9, 114192-114206. [[Crossref](#)]
145. Erik Solís, Sherald Noboa, Erick Cuenca. Financial Time Series Forecasting Applying Deep Learning Algorithms 46-60. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Chia-Hsuan Kuo, Chiao-Ting Chen, Sin-Jing Lin, Szu-Hao Huang. 2021. Improving Generalization in Reinforcement Learning-Based Trading by Using a Generative Adversarial Market Model. *IEEE Access* 9, 50738-50754. [[Crossref](#)]
147. Bahrawar Said, Shafiq Ur Rehman, Rizwan Ullah, Javed Khan. 2021. Investor overreaction and global financial crisis: A case of Pakistan stock exchange. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 9:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
148. John-Morgan Bezuidenhout, Gary van Vuuren. 2021. Spectral analysis and the death of value investing. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 9:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
149. Yang Xia, Yue Wang. Predicting Stock Price Movement with Multiple Data Sources and Machine Learning Models 90-105. [[Crossref](#)]



150. Harold L. Vogel. Introduction 3-53. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Oktay ÖZKAN, Recep ÇAKAR. 2020. TÜRKİYE'DEKİ İSLAMİ ENDEKSLERİN ZAYIF FORM BİLGİSEL ETKİNLİKLERİ. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi* 805-822. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Rai Imtiaz Hussain, Shahid Bashir, Shahbaz Hussain. 2020. Financial Sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility Under Mediating Effect of Operational Self-Sustainability. *Frontiers in Psychology* 11. . [[Crossref](#)]
153. Min Liu, Wei-Chong Choo, Chien-Chiang Lee. 2020. The Response of the Stock Market to the Announcement of Global Pandemic. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 56:15, 3562-3577. [[Crossref](#)]
154. Atif Rafiq, Noman Javed, Muhammad Adil Raja, Ambreen Hanif, Conor Ryan. Devising Technical Trading Rules for Pakistan Stock Exchange using Genetic Programming 395-401. [[Crossref](#)]
155. Luiz G. A. Alves, Higor Y. D. Sigaki, Matjaž Perc, Haroldo V. Ribeiro. 2020. Collective dynamics of stock market efficiency. *Scientific Reports* 10:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
156. Shuqi Li, Aijing Lin. 2020. Exploring the Relationship among Predictability, Prediction Accuracy and Data Frequency of Financial Time Series. *Entropy* 22:12, 1381. [[Crossref](#)]
157. Parthajit Kayal, Sayanti Mondal. 2020. Speed of Price Adjustment in Indian Stock Market: A Paradox. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets* 27:4, 453-476. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Samuel Olusegun Ojo, Juliana Adeola Adisa, Pius Adewale Owolawi, Chunling T. Du, Maredi Mphahlele. Stock Market Behaviour Prediction using Long Short-Term Memory Network and Gated Recurrent Unit 615-621. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Martin Lausegger. 2020. Stock markets in turmoil: political institutions and the impact of elections. *Economics & Politics* 28. . [[Crossref](#)]
160. Chia-Lin Chang, Jukka Ilomäki, Hannu Laurila, Michael McAleer. 2020. Market timing with moving averages for fossil fuel and renewable energy stocks. *Energy Reports* 6, 1798-1810. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Ying Xu, Cuijuan Yang, Shaoliang Peng, Yusuke Nojima. 2020. A hybrid two-stage financial stock forecasting algorithm based on clustering and ensemble learning. *Applied Intelligence* 50:11, 3852-3867. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Georgina Tsagas, Charlotte Villiers. 2020. Why “Less is More” in Non-Financial Reporting Initiatives: Concrete Steps Towards Supporting Sustainability. *Accounting, Economics, and Law: A Convivium* 10:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
163. Farzan Soleymani, Eric Paquet. 2020. Financial portfolio optimization with online deep reinforcement learning and restricted stacked autoencoder—DeepBreath. *Expert Systems with Applications* 156, 113456. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Christian Fisch, Paul P. Momtaz. 2020. Institutional investors and post-ICO performance: an empirical analysis of investor returns in initial coin offerings (ICOs). *Journal of Corporate Finance* 64, 101679. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Novi Swandari Budiarmo, Abdul Wahab Hasyim, Rusman Soleman, Irfan Zam Zam, Winston Pontoh. 2020. Investor behavior under the Covid-19 pandemic: the case of Indonesia. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 17:3, 308-318. [[Crossref](#)]
166. Farhang Niroomand, Massoud Metghalchi, Massomeh Hajilee. 2020. Efficient market hypothesis: a ruinous implication for Portuguese stock market. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 44:4, 749-763. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Ruzhen Yan, Ding Yue, Xudong Chen, Xu Wu. 2020. Non-linear characterization and trend identification of liquidity in China's new OTC stock market based on multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 139, 110063. [[Crossref](#)]

168. Agustinus Bimo Gumelar, Haryati Setyorini, Derry Pramono Adi, Sengguruh Nilowardono, Latipah, Agung Widodo, Achmad Teguh Wibowo, MY Teguh Sulistyono, Evy Christine. Boosting the Accuracy of Stock Market Prediction using XGBoost and Long Short-Term Memory 609-613. [[Crossref](#)]
169. Raffaele Mattera, Fabrizio Di Sciorio. 2020. Option Pricing Under Multifractional Process and Long-Range Dependence. *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **116**, 2150008. [[Crossref](#)]
170. Clayton Webb. 2020. Re-examining the costs of sanctions and sanctions threats using stock market data. *International Interactions* **46**:5, 749-777. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Yu Qian, Zirao Li, Hua Yuan. 2020. On exploring the impact of users' bullish-bearish tendencies in online community on the stock market. *Information Processing & Management* **57**:5, 102209. [[Crossref](#)]
172. David Chui, Wui Wing Cheng, Sheung Chi Chow, Ya LI. 2020. Eastern Halloween effect: A stochastic dominance approach. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* **68**, 101241. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Anqi Liu, Jing Chen, Steve Y. Yang, Alan G. Hawkes. 2020. The Flow of Information in Trading: An Entropy Approach to Market Regimes. *Entropy* **22**:9, 1064. [[Crossref](#)]
174. N Bharath kumar, N Nagarathna. Remote Monitoring Solution with Predictive Analysis for Health Care Devices 682-689. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Junjie Guo, Bradford Tuckfield. 2020. News-based Machine Learning and Deep Learning Methods for Stock Prediction. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **1642**:1, 012014. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Diego M. Mateos, Steeve Zozor, Felipe Olivares. 2020. Contrasting stochasticity with chaos in a permutation Lempel–Ziv complexity — Shannon entropy plane. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **554**, 124640. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Oktay ÖZKAN. 2020. Zayıf Form Piyasa Etkinliği Kapsamında Türkiye Döviz Piyasası Üzerine Ampirik Bir Çalışma. *Ekonomi, Politika & Finans Araştırmaları Dergisi* 471-484. [[Crossref](#)]
178. Cherry Law, Laura Cornelsen, Jean Adams, Tarra Penney, Harry Rutter, Martin White, Richard Smith. 2020. An analysis of the stock market reaction to the announcements of the UK Soft Drinks Industry Levy. *Economics & Human Biology* **38**, 100834. [[Crossref](#)]
179. Ling Tang, Huiling Lü, Fengmei Yang, Lean Yu, Jingjing Li. 2020. A Novel Integrated Measure for Energy Market Efficiency. *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity* **33**:4, 1108-1125. [[Crossref](#)]
180. J.J. Szczygielski, L.M. Brummer, H.P. Wolmarans. 2020. Underspecification of the Empirical Return-Factor Model and a Factor Analytic Augmentation as a Solution to Factor Omission. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* **44**:2, 133-165. [[Crossref](#)]
181. Xiaohua Song, Jingjing Han, Yuqi Shan, Caiping Zhao, Jinpeng Liu, Yingfang Kou. 2020. Efficiency of tradable green certificate markets in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **264**, 121518. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Umar Farooq, Jaleel Ahmed, Shamshair Khan. 2020. Do the macroeconomic factors influence the firm's investment decisions? A generalized method of moments ( GMM ) approach. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **72**. . [[Crossref](#)]
183. Kevin Primicerio, Damien Challet, Stanislao Gualdi. 2020. Collective rationality and functional wisdom of the crowd in far-from-rational institutional investors. *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination* **84**. . [[Crossref](#)]
184. Juan José García Petit, Antonio Rúa Vieites, Esther Vaquero Lafuente. 2020. Sense and sentiment: a behavioural approach to risk premium modelling. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting / Revista Española de Financiación y Contabilidad* **49**:3, 292-321. [[Crossref](#)]
185. John Fender. 2020. Beyond the efficient markets hypothesis: Towards a new paradigm. *Bulletin of Economic Research* **72**:3, 333-351. [[Crossref](#)]

186. Rana Shahid Imdad Akash, Iqbal Mahmood, Muhammad Mudasar Ghafoor. 2020. Anomalous Behaviour and Volatility in Stock Returns are still Live - Efficient Markets Hypothesis? : Perspective from Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX). *Journal of Accounting and Finance in Emerging Economies* 6:2, 381-389. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Oktay ÖZKAN. 2020. Hisse Senedi Piyasalarının Zayıf Form Piyasa Etkinliğinin Küresel Ölçekte Karşılaştırılması: G-20 Üyeleri Üzerine Ampirik Bir Çalışma. *Celal Bayar Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 327-338. [[Crossref](#)]
188. Zahra Berradi, Mohamed Lazaar, Oussama Mahboub, Hicham Omara. A Comprehensive Review of Artificial Intelligence Techniques in Financial Market 367-371. [[Crossref](#)]
189. F. Olivares, M. Zanin, L. Zunino, D. G. Pérez. 2020. Contrasting chaotic with stochastic dynamics via ordinal transition networks. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* 30:6, 063101. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Nadia Ameli, Paul Drummond, Alexander Bisaro, Michael Grubb, Hugues Chenet. 2020. Climate finance and disclosure for institutional investors: why transparency is not enough. *Climatic Change* 160:4, 565-589. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Chia-Ning Chiu. 2020. Holiday effects on stock prices of the restaurant industry. *Current Issues in Tourism* 23:9, 1109-1121. [[Crossref](#)]
192. Ajit Mahata, Debi Prasad Bal, Md Nurujjaman. 2020. Identification of short-term and long-term time scales in stock markets and effect of structural break. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 545, 123612. [[Crossref](#)]
193. Matthias M. M. Buehlmaier, Kit Pong Wong. 2020. Should investors join the index revolution? Evidence from around the world. *Journal of Asset Management* 21:3, 192-218. [[Crossref](#)]
194. Matthew J. Salganik, Ian Lundberg, Alexander T. Kindel, Caitlin E. Ahearn, Khaled Al-Ghoneim, Abdullah Almaatouq, Drew M. Altschul, Jennie E. Brand, Nicole Bohme Carnegie, Ryan James Compton, Debanjan Datta, Thomas Davidson, Anna Filippova, Connor Gilroy, Brian J. Goode, Eaman Jahani, Ridhi Kashyap, Antje Kirchner, Stephen McKay, Allison C. Morgan, Alex Pentland, Kivan Polimis, Louis Raes, Daniel E. Rigobon, Claudia V. Roberts, Diana M. Stanescu, Yoshihiko Suhara, Adaner Usmani, Erik H. Wang, Muna Adem, Abdulla Alhajri, Bedoor AlShebli, Redwane Amin, Ryan B. Amos, Lisa P. Argyle, Livia Baer-Bositis, Moritz Büchi, Bo-Ryehn Chung, William Eggert, Gregory Faletto, Zhilin Fan, Jeremy Freese, Tejomay Gadgil, Josh Gagné, Yue Gao, Andrew Halpern-Manners, Sonia P. Hashim, Sonia Hausen, Guanhua He, Kimberly Higuera, Bernie Hogan, Ilana M. Horwitz, Lisa M. Hummel, Naman Jain, Kun Jin, David Jurgens, Patrick Kaminski, Areg Karapetyan, E. H. Kim, Ben Leizman, Naijia Liu, Malte Möser, Andrew E. Mack, Mayank Mahajan, Noah Mandell, Helge Marahrens, Diana Mercado-Garcia, Viola Mocz, Katariina Mueller-Gastell, Ahmed Musse, Qiankun Niu, William Nowak, Hamidreza Omidvar, Andrew Or, Karen Ouyang, Katy M. Pinto, Ethan Porter, Kristin E. Porter, Crystal Qian, Tamkinat Rauf, Anahit Sargsyan, Thomas Schaffner, Landon Schnabel, Bryan Schonfeld, Ben Sender, Jonathan D. Tang, Emma Tsurkov, Austin van Loon, Onur Varol, Xiafei Wang, Zhi Wang, Julia Wang, Flora Wang, Samantha Weissman, Kirstie Whitaker, Maria K. Wolters, Wei Lee Woon, James Wu, Catherine Wu, Kengran Yang, Jingwen Yin, Bingyu Zhao, Chenyun Zhu, Jeanne Brooks-Gunn, Barbara E. Engelhardt, Moritz Hardt, Dean Knox, Karen Levy, Arvind Narayanan, Brandon M. Stewart, Duncan J. Watts, Sara McLanahan. 2020. Measuring the predictability of life outcomes with a scientific mass collaboration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117:15, 8398-8403. [[Crossref](#)]
195. Tareisio M. Rocha Filho, Paulo M.M. Rocha. 2020. Evidence of inefficiency of the Brazilian stock market: The IBOVESPA future contracts. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 543, 123200. [[Crossref](#)]

196. Deniz Ersan, Chifumi Nishioka, Ansgar Scherp. 2020. Comparison of machine learning methods for financial time series forecasting at the examples of over 10 years of daily and hourly data of DAX 30 and S&P 500. *Journal of Computational Social Science* 3:1, 103-133. [[Crossref](#)]
197. Xin Wang, Xi Chen, Peng Zhao. 2020. The Relationship Between Bitcoin and Stock Market. *International Journal of Operations Research and Information Systems* 11:2, 22-35. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Jeevananthan Manickavasagam, Visalakshmi S.. 2020. An investigational analysis on forecasting intraday values. *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 27:2, 592-605. [[Crossref](#)]
199. Isam Mouallim, Abdeslam Chraibi. 2020. Tester l'efficience informationnelle du marché boursier marocain. *Revue internationale des sciences de l'organisation* N° 8:1, 111-128. [[Crossref](#)]
200. Cong Feng, Pankaj C. Patel, Kexin Xiang. 2020. The well-trodden path: Complementing market and entrepreneurial orientation with a strategic emphasis to influence IPO survival in the United States. *Journal of Business Research* 110, 370-385. [[Crossref](#)]
201. Kin-Boon Tang, Shao-Jye Wong, Shih-Kuei Lin, Szu-Lang Liao. 2020. Excess volatility and market efficiency in government bond markets: the ASEAN-5 context. *Journal of Asset Management* 21:2, 154-165. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Lodewikus Jacobus Basson, Gary van Vuuren. 2020. Exploring Hedging Strategies Identified by Fractal Dimensions. *Scientific Annals of Economics and Business* 67:1, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
203. Semra Bank, Evrim Erdogan Yazar, Ugur Sivri. 2020. The portfolios with strong brand value: More returns? Lower risk?. *Borsa Istanbul Review* 20:1, 64-79. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Giuseppe Pernagallo, Benedetto Torrisi. 2020. Blindfolded monkeys or financial analysts: Who is worth your money? New evidence on informational inefficiencies in the U.S. stock market. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 539, 122900. [[Crossref](#)]
205. Arash Negahdari Kia, Saman Haratizadeh, Saeed Bagheri Shouraki. 2020. Network-based direction of movement prediction in financial markets. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 88, 103340. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Chi-Wei Su, Xu-Yu Cai, Ran Tao. 2020. Can Stock Investor Sentiment Be Contagious in China?. *Sustainability* 12:4, 1571. [[Crossref](#)]
207. Torsten Trimborn, Philipp Otte, Simon Cramer, Maximilian Beikirch, Emma Pabich, Martin Frank. 2020. SABCEMM: A Simulator for Agent-Based Computational Economic Market Models. *Computational Economics* 55:2, 707-744. [[Crossref](#)]
208. A. E. O. Carosia, G. P. Coelho, A. E. A. Silva. 2020. Analyzing the Brazilian Financial Market through Portuguese Sentiment Analysis in Social Media. *Applied Artificial Intelligence* 34:1, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
209. Jan J. Szczygielski, Leon M. Brümmer, Hendrik P. Wolmarans, Adam Zaremba. 2020. Are macroeconomic factors adequate proxies for systematic influences in stock returns? A South African perspective. *Investment Analysts Journal* 49:1, 34-52. [[Crossref](#)]
210. Dennis Murekachiro, Thabang Mokoteli, Hima Vadapalli. Predicting Emerging and Frontier Stock Markets Using Deep Neural Networks 899-918. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Raymond S. T. Lee. Quantum Finance Theory 65-88. [[Crossref](#)]
212. Jun Zhang, Yuan-Hai Shao, Ling-Wei Huang, Jia-Ying Teng, Yu-Ting Zhao, Zhu-Kai Yang, Xin-Yang Li. 2020. Can the Exchange Rate Be Used to Predict the Shanghai Composite Index?. *IEEE Access* 8, 2188-2199. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Dieter Pesendorfer. Financial (De)Globalization and Financial Market (Dis)Integration 35-128. [[Crossref](#)]
214. Hakan Altin. Efficient Market Hypothesis for Islamic Capital Markets 489-523. [[Crossref](#)]

215. Muhammed Bulutay, Camille Cornand, Adam Zylbersztejn. 2020. Learning to Deal With Repeated Shocks Under Strategic Complementarity: An Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
216. Matthias M. M. Buehlmaier, Keith Kit Pong Wong. 2020. Should Investors Join the Index Revolution? Evidence from Around the World. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
217. Sneh Kalra, Sachin Gupta, Jay Shankar Prasad. Predicting Trends of Stock Market Using SVM: A Big Data Analytics Approach 38-48. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Qian Chen, Wenyu Zhang, Yu Lou. 2020. Forecasting Stock Prices Using a Hybrid Deep Learning Model Integrating Attention Mechanism, Multi-Layer Perceptron, and Bidirectional Long-Short Term Memory Neural Network. *IEEE Access* **8**, 117365-117376. [[Crossref](#)]
219. Binghui Wu. 2020. Investor Behavior and Risk Contagion in an Information-Based Artificial Stock Market. *IEEE Access* **8**, 126725-126732. [[Crossref](#)]
220. Kostadin Mishev, Ana Gjorgjevikj, Irena Vodenska, Lubomir T. Chitkushev, Dimitar Trajanov. 2020. Evaluation of Sentiment Analysis in Finance: From Lexicons to Transformers. *IEEE Access* **8**, 131662-131682. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Allan Dwyer, Tashfeen Hussain. Terrorism and Trading: Differential Equity and Bond Market Responses During Violent Elections 143-162. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Thomas Holtfort. Verhaltens- und evolutionsökonomische Betrachtung von Finanzmarktprozessen 231-248. [[Crossref](#)]
223. Michele Vodret, Iacopo Mastromatteo, Bence Toth, Michael Benzaquen. 2020. A Stationary Kyle Setup: Microfounding Propagator Models. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
224. Alain Herscovici. 2020. PREFERÊNCIA PELA LIQUIDEZ, FINANCEIRIZAÇÃO E EFEITOS DE PROPAGAÇÃO: DA NÃO NEUTRALIDADE DA MOEDA À NÃO NEUTRALIDADE DA FINANÇA. *Revista de Economia Contemporânea* **24:2**. . [[Crossref](#)]
225. David de Villiers, Natalya Apopo, Andrew Phiri. 2020. Unobserved structural shifts and asymmetries in the random walk model for stock returns in African frontier markets. *Cogent Economics & Finance* **8:1**, 1769348. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Duc Hong Vo, Nhan Thien Nguyen. 2020. Real exchange rate in the long run: A multi-resolution analysis. *Cogent Economics & Finance* **8:1**, 1831725. [[Crossref](#)]
227. Rajeev Bhattacharya. 2020. Market Efficiency - A Structural Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
228. S.A. David, C.M.C. Inácio, D.D. Quintino, J.A.T. Machado. 2020. Measuring the Brazilian ethanol and gasoline market efficiency using DFA-Hurst and fractal dimension. *Energy Economics* **85**, 104614. [[Crossref](#)]
229. Jakub Bożydar Wiśniewski. 2019. Wpływ teorii makroekonomicznych na etyczną jakość praktyki finansowej. *Annales. Etyka w Życiu Gospodarczym* **22:4**, 7-20. [[Crossref](#)]
230. Christoph Huber, Jürgen Huber, Laura Hueber. 2019. The effect of experts' and laypeople's forecasts on others' stock market forecasts. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **109**, 105662. [[Crossref](#)]
231. THABANG MOKOALELI-MOKOTELI, SHAUN RAMSUMAR, HIMA VADAPALLI. 2019. THE EFFICIENCY OF ENSEMBLE CLASSIFIERS IN PREDICTING THE JOHANNESBURG STOCK EXCHANGE ALL-SHARE INDEX DIRECTION. *Journal of Financial Management, Markets and Institutions* **07:02**, 1950001. [[Crossref](#)]
232. Oussama Tilfani, My Youssef El Boukfaoui. 2019. Multifractal Analysis of African Stock Markets During the 2007-2008 US Crisis. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* **22:04**, 1950022. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Saker Sabkha, Christian de Peretti, Dorra Hmaied. 2019. On the informational market efficiency of the worldwide sovereign credit default swaps. *Journal of Asset Management* **20:7**, 581-608. [[Crossref](#)]

234. Aminam Talipova, Sergei G. Parsegov, Pavel Tukpetov. 2019. Russian gas exchange: A new indicator of market efficiency and competition or the instrument of monopolist?. *Energy Policy* **135**, 111012. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Sofian Arif Susanto, Anastasia Njo. 2019. First-home buyers and herding behavior in Surabaya, Indonesia. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis* **13**:3, 393-411. [[Crossref](#)]
236. Jinook Jeong, Jee Young Kim, Yoon Jae Ro. 2019. On the efficiency of racetrack betting market: a new test for the favourite-longshot bias. *Applied Economics* **51**:54, 5817-5828. [[Crossref](#)]
237. Steven E. Abraham, Paula B. Voos. New Evidence from the Stock Market on Right-to-work Laws 219-246. [[Crossref](#)]
238. Nir Yehuda, Linda Vincent, Thomas Lys. 2019. The nature and implications of acquisition goodwill. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting & Economics* **26**:6, 709-730. [[Crossref](#)]
239. Aung Kaung Myat, Myint Thu Zar Tun. Predicting Palm Oil Price Direction using Random Forest 1-6. [[Crossref](#)]
240. Eddie C.M. Hui, Ka Kwan Kevin Chan. 2019. Alternative trading strategies to beat “buy-and-hold”. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **534**, 120800. [[Crossref](#)]
241. Linlu Jia, Jinchuan Ke, Jun Wang. 2019. Complexity Synchronization of Energy Volatility Monotonous Persistence Duration Dynamics. *Entropy* **21**:10, 1018. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Bohdan M. Pavlyshenko. Bitcoin Price Predictive Modeling Using Expert Correction 163-167. [[Crossref](#)]
243. Kamaldeen Ibraheem Nageri, Rihanat Idowu Abdulkadir. 2019. Is the Nigerian Stock Market Efficient? Pre and Post 2007-2009 Meltdown Analysis. *Studia Universitatis „Vasile Goldis” Arad – Economics Series* **29**:3, 38-63. [[Crossref](#)]
244. U. Mori, A. Mendiburu, I.M. Miranda, J.A. Lozano. 2019. Early classification of time series using multi-objective optimization techniques. *Information Sciences* **492**, 204-218. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Khoury Rim El. 2019. The CAC 40 Index’s Reaction to Terrorist Attacks: The Case of Charlie Hebdo. *Studies in Business and Economics* **14**:2, 55-72. [[Crossref](#)]
246. I. Ya. Lukasevich. 2019. Investigation of Timing Anomalies in the Russian Stock Market in the Post-Crisis Period. *Economics, taxes & law* **12**:3, 37-47. [[Crossref](#)]
247. Yaseen S. Alhaj-Yaseen, Dana Ladd. 2019. Which sentiments do US investors follow when trading ADRs?. *Journal of Economics and Finance* **43**:3, 506-527. [[Crossref](#)]
248. João Nobre, Rui Ferreira Neves. 2019. Combining Principal Component Analysis, Discrete Wavelet Transform and XGBoost to trade in the financial markets. *Expert Systems with Applications* **125**, 181-194. [[Crossref](#)]
249. Daniel Tischer, Bill Maurer, Adam Leaver. 2019. Finance as ‘bizarre bazaar’: Using documents as a source of ethnographic knowledge. *Organization* **26**:4, 553-577. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Bruno Miranda Henrique, Vinicius Amorim Sobreiro, Herbert Kimura. 2019. Literature review: Machine learning techniques applied to financial market prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications* **124**, 226-251. [[Crossref](#)]
251. Qianwei Ying, Tahir Yousaf, Qurat ul Ain, Yasmeen Akhtar, Muhammad Shahid Rasheed. 2019. Stock Investment and Excess Returns: A Critical Review in the Light of the Efficient Market Hypothesis. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* **12**:2, 97. [[Crossref](#)]
252. Ashok Chanabasangouda Patil, Shailesh Rastogi. 2019. Time-Varying Price–Volume Relationship and Adaptive Market Efficiency: A Survey of the Empirical Literature. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* **12**:2, 105. [[Crossref](#)]

253. Thomas Holtfort. 2019. From standard to evolutionary finance: a literature survey. *Management Review Quarterly* 69:2, 207-232. [[Crossref](#)]
254. Torsten Trimborn. 2019. A macroscopic portfolio model: from rational agents to bounded rationality. *Mathematics and Financial Economics* 13:3, 491-518. [[Crossref](#)]
255. Rafał Rydzewski. 2019. Market-to-Book Ratio and Creative Industries– Example of Polish Video Games Developers. *Economics and Culture* 16:1, 137-147. [[Crossref](#)]
256. Aditya Sharma, Arya Kumar. 2019. A review paper on behavioral finance: study of emerging trends. *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets* 12:2, 137-157. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Semra Bank, Evrim Erdogan Yazar, Ugur Sivri. 2019. Can social media marketing lead to abnormal portfolio returns?. *European Research on Management and Business Economics* 25:2, 54-62. [[Crossref](#)]
258. Jun Zhang, Yu-Fan Teng, Wei Chen. 2019. Support vector regression with modified firefly algorithm for stock price forecasting. *Applied Intelligence* 49:5, 1658-1674. [[Crossref](#)]
259. Christopher Michael Duerringer. 2019. Rhetorical Arbitrage: The Rhetoric of the Sharing Economy. *Communication Theory* 55. . [[Crossref](#)]
260. Adriano S. Koshiyama, Nikan Firoozye, Philip Treleaven. 2019. A derivatives trading recommendation system: The mid-curve calendar spread case. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* 26:2, 83-103. [[Crossref](#)]
261. Ming-Hsuan Lee, Tou-Chin Tsai, Jau-er Chen, Mon-Chi Lio. 2019. CAN INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVE STOCK MARKET EFFICIENCY? A CROSS-COUNTRY STUDY. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 71:2, 113-135. [[Crossref](#)]
262. Piotr Bórawski, Aneta Bełdycka-Bórawska, Elżbieta Jadwiga Szymańska, Krzysztof Józef Jankowski, James W. Dunn. 2019. Price volatility of agricultural land in Poland in the context of the European Union. *Land Use Policy* 82, 486-496. [[Crossref](#)]
263. Lydia Pelcher. 2019. The role of dividend policy in share price volatility. *Journal of Economic and Financial Sciences* 12:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
264. Leonardo Hernán Talero-Sarmiento, Henry Lamos-Díaz, Edwin Alberto Garavito-Hernández. 2019. Evaluación de la hipótesis de eficiencia débil y análisis de causalidad en las centrales de abastos de Colombia. *Apuntes del Cenes* 38:67, 35-69. [[Crossref](#)]
265. Waqar Ghani, Thani Jambulingam, Rajneesh Sharma. 2019. Internet marketing in healthcare: The case of generic drugs and the contagion effect. *International Journal of Healthcare Management* 4, 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]
266. Martin Gelter. Global Securities Litigation and Enforcement 3-3. [[Crossref](#)]
267. Philip W. S. Newall, Katie N. Parker. 2019. Improved Mutual Fund Investment Choice Architecture. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* 20:1, 96-106. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Alain Herscovici. The Relational Economics: An Example of Substantial Hypothesis Refutation 139-164. [[Crossref](#)]
269. Gianluca Moro, Roberto Pasolini, Giacomo Domeniconi, Andrea Pagliarani, Andrea Roli. Prediction and Trading of Dow Jones from Twitter: A Boosting Text Mining Method with Relevant Tweets Identification 26-42. [[Crossref](#)]
270. Gianluca Moro, Roberto Pasolini, Giacomo Domeniconi, Vittorio Ghini. Deep Neural Trading: Comparative Study with Feed Forward, Recurrent and Autoencoder Networks 189-209. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Tuomo Peltonen. The Three Levels of Wisdom 27-68. [[Crossref](#)]
272. Tuomo Peltonen. Case Study 3: Interpretations of the Emergence of the Financial Crisis in the Finnish Print Media 135-161. [[Crossref](#)]
273. Nicolas Edling. Ihr Weg zur finanziellen Selbstbestimmung 101-179. [[Crossref](#)]

274. Nidhin A. Unnithan, E. A. Gopalakrishnan, Vijay Krishna Menon, K. P. Soman. A Data-Driven Model Approach for DayWise Stock Prediction 149-158. [[Crossref](#)]
275. Anthony Newell, Lionel Page. An Interoceptive Walk Down Wall Street 149-165. [[Crossref](#)]
276. Tom Auld, Oliver Linton. 2019. The behaviour of betting and currency markets on the night of the EU referendum. *International Journal of Forecasting* **35**:1, 371-389. [[Crossref](#)]
277. Jędrzej Białkowski, Ehud I. Ronn. 2019. The global equity premium revisited: What human rights imply for assets' purchasing power. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **61**, 175-187. [[Crossref](#)]
278. Suryoday Basak, Saibal Kar, Snehanshu Saha, Luckyson Khaidem, Sudeepa Roy Dey. 2019. Predicting the direction of stock market prices using tree-based classifiers. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* **47**, 552-567. [[Crossref](#)]
279. Li Xingzhou, Ren Hong, Zhong Yujun. Predictive Modeling of Stock Indexes Using Machine Learning and Information Theory 175-179. [[Crossref](#)]
280. Ramona Dumitriu, Razvan Stefanescu. 2019. Changes in the Behavior of Stocks Returns during the Turn-of-the-Quarter. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
281. Neluka Devpura, Paresh Kumar Narayan, Susan Sunila Sharma. 2019. Structural Instability and Predictability. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 101145. [[Crossref](#)]
282. Jinho Lee, Raehyun Kim, Yookyung Koh, Jaewoo Kang. 2019. Global Stock Market Prediction Based on Stock Chart Images Using Deep Q-Network. *IEEE Access* **7**, 167260-167277. [[Crossref](#)]
283. Nikolaj Kirkeby Niebuhr. 2019. Earnings Announcements, Realized Volatility and its Components. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
284. Christian Fisch, Paul P. Momtaz. 2019. Venture Capital and the Performance of Blockchain Technology-Based Firms: Evidence from Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
285. Jan Szczygielski, Lean Brummer, Hendrik Wolmarans. 2019. Underspecification of the Empirical Return-Factor Model and a Factor Analytic Augmentation as a Solution to Factor Omission. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
286. Felipe Dias Paiva, Rodrigo Tomás Nogueira Cardoso, Gustavo Peixoto Hanaoka, Wendel Moreira Duarte. 2019. Decision-making for financial trading: A fusion approach of machine learning and portfolio selection. *Expert Systems with Applications* **115**, 635-655. [[Crossref](#)]
287. Yanhao Wei, Anthony J. Dukes. 2019. Currency Adoption: The Role of Speculative Price Bubbles in Product Diffusion. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
288. Giovanni Angelini, Luca De Angelis, Carl Singleton. 2019. Informational Efficiency and Price Reaction Within In-Play Prediction Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
289. Bin Weng, Lin Lu, Xing Wang, Fadel M. Megahed, Waldyn Martinez. 2018. Predicting short-term stock prices using ensemble methods and online data sources. *Expert Systems with Applications* **112**, 258-273. [[Crossref](#)]
290. Imran Yousaf, Shoaib Ali, Syed Zulfiqar Ali Shah. 2018. Herding behavior in Ramadan and financial crises: the case of the Pakistani stock market. *Financial Innovation* **4**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
291. Chia-Lin Chang, Jukka Ilomäki, Hannu Laurila, Michael McAleer. 2018. Moving Average Market Timing in European Energy Markets: Production Versus Emissions. *Energies* **11**:12, 3281. [[Crossref](#)]
292. Chia-Lin Chang, Jukka Ilomäki, Hannu Laurila, Michael McAleer. 2018. Long Run Returns Predictability and Volatility with Moving Averages. *Risks* **6**:4, 105. [[Crossref](#)]
293. T.G. Saji. 2018. Predicting Market Betas. *Paradigm* **22**:2, 160-174. [[Crossref](#)]



294. Said Musnadi, Faisal, M. Shabri Abd. Majid. 2018. Overreaction and underreaction anomalies in the Indonesian stock market: a sectoral analysis. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems* 34:4, 442-457. [[Crossref](#)]
295. L. Fiévet, D. Sornette. 2018. Decision trees unearth return sign predictability in the S&P 500. *Quantitative Finance* 18:11, 1797-1814. [[Crossref](#)]
296. ABRAHAM SINGER, AMIT RON. 2018. Models of shareholder democracy: A transnational approach. *Global Constitutionalism* 7:3, 422-446. [[Crossref](#)]
297. André Kallåk Anundsen, Erling Røed Larsen. 2018. TESTING FOR MICRO-EFFICIENCY IN THE HOUSING MARKET. *International Economic Review* 59:4, 2133-2162. [[Crossref](#)]
298. Hannes Schwandt. 2018. Wealth Shocks and Health Outcomes: Evidence from Stock Market Fluctuations. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 10:4, 349-377. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
299. Bin Weng, Waldyn Martinez, Yao-Te Tsai, Chen Li, Lin Lu, James R. Barth, Fadel M. Megahed. 2018. Macroeconomic indicators alone can predict the monthly closing price of major U.S. indices: Insights from artificial intelligence, time-series analysis and hybrid models. *Applied Soft Computing* 71, 685-697. [[Crossref](#)]
300. Eddie C. M. Hui, Ka Kwan Kevin Chan. 2018. OPTIMAL TRADING STRATEGY DURING BULL AND BEAR MARKETS FOR HONG KONG-LISTED STOCKS. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management* 22:5, 381-402. [[Crossref](#)]
301. Gizelle D. Willows, Jessica A. Rockey. 2018. Share price reaction to financial and integrated reports. *South African Journal of Accounting Research* 32:2-3, 174-188. [[Crossref](#)]
302. John Guerard, Harry Markowitz. 2018. The existence and persistence of financial anomalies: What have you done for me lately?. *Financial Planning Review* 1:3-4, e1022. [[Crossref](#)]
303. Bruno Miranda Henrique, Vinicius Amorim Sobreiro, Herbert Kimura. 2018. Stock price prediction using support vector regression on daily and up to the minute prices. *The Journal of Finance and Data Science* 4:3, 183-201. [[Crossref](#)]
304. Rohnn Sanderson, Nancy Lumpkin-Sowers. 2018. Buy and Hold in the New Age of Stock Market Volatility: A Story about ETFs. *International Journal of Financial Studies* 6:3, 79. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Teresa Parise, Vijay Shenai. 2018. The Value Effect of Financial Reform on U.K. Banks and Insurance Companies. *International Journal of Financial Studies* 6:3, 81. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Mauro Fracarolli Nunes. 2018. Supply chain contamination: An exploratory approach on the collateral effects of negative corporate events. *European Management Journal* 36:4, 573-587. [[Crossref](#)]
307. Duncan Lindo. 2018. Why derivatives need models: the political economy of derivative valuation models. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 42:4, 987-1008. [[Crossref](#)]
308. Katica Tomic. Product Intervention of Supervisory Authorities in Financial Services 229-255. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Jim Haslam, Jiao Ji, Hanwen Sun. 2018. Towards a well-functioning stock market in context. *Journal of Capital Markets Studies* 2:1, 21-36. [[Crossref](#)]
310. Alfonso A. Rojo Ramírez, Maria J. Martínez Romero. 2018. Required and obtained equity returns in privately held businesses: the impact of family nature—evidence before and after the global economic crisis. *Review of Managerial Science* 12:3, 771-801. [[Crossref](#)]
311. Jukka Ilomäki, Hannu Laurila, Michael McAleer. 2018. Market Timing with Moving Averages. *Sustainability* 10:7, 2125. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Adriano Koshiyama, Nick Firoozye, Philip Treleaven. Mid-Curve Recommendation System: a Stacking Approach Through Neural Networks 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]

313. Mahshid Eltemasi. 2018. The Conceptual Model of Efficient Markets in Information Databases in Iran. *International Journal of Asian Business and Information Management* 9:3, 35-43. [[Crossref](#)]
314. Elda du Toit, John Henry Hall, Rudra Prakash Pradhan. 2018. The day-of-the-week effect: South African stock market indices. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies* 9:2, 197-212. [[Crossref](#)]
315. Fotios M. Siokis. 2018. Credit market Jitters in the course of the financial crisis: A permutation entropy approach in measuring informational efficiency in financial assets. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 499, 266-275. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Pierre Raphaël Bertrand, Jean-Louis Combes, Marie-Eliette Dury, Doha Hadouni. 2018. Overfitting of Hurst estimators for multifractional Brownian motion: A fitting test advocating simple models. *Risk and Decision Analysis* 7:1-2, 31-49. [[Crossref](#)]
317. Charles Hei-Ling Lam, Eddie Chi-Man Hui. 2018. How does investor sentiment predict the future real estate returns of residential property in Hong Kong?. *Habitat International* 75, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
318. Maria-Teresa Bosch-Badia, Joan Montllor-Serrats, Maria-Antonia Tarrazon-Rodon. 2018. Sustainability and Ethics in the Process of Price Determination in Financial Markets: A Conceptual Analysis. *Sustainability* 10:5, 1638. [[Crossref](#)]
319. Hakan Bilir. 2018. An Analysis of January Effect On Different BIST Indexes In Turkish Stock Markets. *Sosyoekonomi* 145-160. [[Crossref](#)]
320. ONDER KAYMAZ, OZGUR KAYMAZ. 2018. Muhasebe ve Manipülasyon Arasındaki Bağlantı: Finansal Düzenlemeler Çerçevesinde Ekonomik Etkinlik Nosyonundan Öğrenme. *Muhasebe ve Vergi Uygulamaları Dergisi* 2018, 548-581. [[Crossref](#)]
321. Eray Gemici, Müslüm Polat. 2018. MIST Borsalarında Rassal Yürüyüş Hipotezi. *Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Dergisi* 13:1, 129-142. [[Crossref](#)]
322. Arkadiy V. Sakhartov. 2018. Stock market undervaluation of resource redeployability. *Strategic Management Journal* 39:4, 1059-1082. [[Crossref](#)]
323. Sergio Bianchi, Augusto Pianese. 2018. Time-varying Hurst-Hölder exponents and the dynamics of (in)efficiency in stock markets. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 109, 64-75. [[Crossref](#)]
324. Eddie C. M. HUI, Ka Kwan Kevin CHAN. 2018. A NEW TIME-DEPENDENT TRADING STRATEGY FOR SECURITIZED REAL ESTATE AND EQUITY INDICES. *International Journal of Strategic Property Management* 24:1, 64-79. [[Crossref](#)]
325. Tomas Vantuch, Ivan Zelinka, Pandian Vasant. 2018. An algorithm for Elliott Waves pattern detection. *Intelligent Decision Technologies* 12:1, 15-24. [[Crossref](#)]
326. John Lehoczky, Mark Schervish. 2018. Overview and History of Statistics for Equity Markets. *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application* 5:1, 265-288. [[Crossref](#)]
327. N. Kundan Kishor, Hardik A. Marfatia. 2018. Forecasting house prices in OECD economies. *Journal of Forecasting* 37:2, 170-190. [[Crossref](#)]
328. Ting Li, Jan van Dalen, Pieter Jan van Rees. 2018. More than just Noise? Examining the Information Content of Stock Microblogs on Financial Markets. *Journal of Information Technology* 33:1, 50-69. [[Crossref](#)]
329. Hongbo Sun, Jing Xu. Improved approaches for financial market forecasting based on stationary time series analysis 334-339. [[Crossref](#)]
330. Wei Rong Ang, Olaf Weber. 2018. The market efficiency of socially responsible investment in Korea. *Journal of Global Responsibility* 9:1, 96-110. [[Crossref](#)]
331. Ceyda Aktan, Eyyup Ensari Sahin, Ilhan Kucukkaplan. Testing the Information Efficiency in Emerging Markets . [[Crossref](#)]

332. Dawid Szutowski. 2018. Market reaction to open innovation announcements. *European Journal of Innovation Management* 21:1, 142-156. [[Crossref](#)]
333. Arvydas Jadevicius, Simon Huston, Andrew Baum, Allan Butler. 2018. Two centuries of farmland prices in England. *Journal of Property Research* 35:1, 72-94. [[Crossref](#)]
334. Harold L. Vogel. Introduction 3-45. [[Crossref](#)]
335. Harold L. Vogel. Random Walks 189-218. [[Crossref](#)]
336. Mutlu Gürsoy. A Framework for Robust Estimation of Beta Using Information Fusion Approach 391-411. [[Crossref](#)]
337. Neil Shenai. Conventions and Financial Crises 29-72. [[Crossref](#)]
338. Sushree Das, Ranjan Kumar Behera, Mukesh kumar, Santanu Kumar Rath. 2018. Real-Time Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Streaming data for Stock Prediction. *Procedia Computer Science* 132, 956-964. [[Crossref](#)]
339. Wenzhao Tian, Boyao Li, Yiling Li. 2018. Toward a Unified Theory for Normal and Crash States in Financial Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
340. Jukka Ilomaki, Hannu Laurila, Michael McAleer. 2018. Simple Market Timing with Moving Averages. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
341. Wally Tzara. 2018. The Evolution of Security Prices Is Governed by a Physicomathematical Law. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
342. Feng Zhou, Zhang Qun, Didier Sornette, Liu Jiang. 2018. Cascading Logistic Regression Onto Gradient Boosted Decision Trees to Predict Stock Market Changes Using Technical Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
343. Ramona Dumitriu, Razvan Stefanescu. 2018. Introducere În Finanțele Comportamentale – Partea Întâi (An Introduction to Behavioral Finance – Part 1). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
344. Jędrzej Paweł Białkowski, Ehud I. Ronn. 2018. The Global Equity Premium Revisited: What Human Rights Imply for Assets' Purchasing Power. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
345. Razvan Stefanescu, Ramona Dumitriu. 2018. Introducere în analiza anomaliilor calendaristice, Partea întâi (An Introduction to the Analysis of the Calendar Anomalies, Part 1). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
346. Adriano Soares Koshiyama, Nick Firoozye, Philip Treleven. 2018. A Derivatives Trading Recommendation System: the Mid-Curve Calendar Spread Case. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
347. Krzysztof Borowski. 2018. The January (December) barometer effect on the example of 88 equities listed on the Warsaw Stock Exchange. *Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersytetu Szczecińskiego Finanse Rynki Finansowe Ubezpieczenia* 94, 5-18. [[Crossref](#)]
348. Lincoln C. Wood. Event Studies in Logistics Research 231-259. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Lionel Page, Christoph Siemroth. 2018. How Much Information is Incorporated in Financial Asset Prices? Experimental Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
350. Shekar Singh, Seema Sharma. Forecasting Stock Price Using Partial Least Squares Regression 587-591. [[Crossref](#)]
351. Lincoln C. Wood, Jason X. Wang. 2018. The Event Study Method in Logistics Research. *International Journal of Applied Logistics* 8:1, 57-79. [[Crossref](#)]
352. Ladislav Kristoufek, Miloslav Vosvrda. 2018. Herding, minority game, market clearing and efficient markets in a simple spin model framework. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 54, 148-155. [[Crossref](#)]
353. Jacques-Olivier Charron. 2017. Inefficient Debate. The EMH, the “Remarkable Error” and a Question of Point of View. *Accounting, Economics, and Law: A Convivium* 7:3. . [[Crossref](#)]

354. Serdar Neslihanoglu, Vasilios Sogiakas, John H. McColl, Duncan Lee. 2017. Nonlinearities in the CAPM: Evidence from Developed and Emerging Markets. *Journal of Forecasting* 36:8, 867-897. [[Crossref](#)]
355. Xin Jin. 2017. Do futures prices help forecast the spot price?. *Journal of Futures Markets* 37:12, 1205-1225. [[Crossref](#)]
356. Rui F. Teixeira, Mara Madaleno, Elisabete S. Vieira. 2017. Oil price effects over individual Portuguese stock returns. *Empirical Economics* 53:3, 891-926. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Tamara Teplova, Evgeniya Mikova, Nikolai Nazarov. 2017. Stop losses momentum strategy: From profit maximization to risk control under White's Bootstrap Reality Check. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 66, 240-258. [[Crossref](#)]
358. Hu Liu, Binheng Song. Stock Trends Forecasting by Multi-layer Stochastic ANN Bagging 322-329. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Nikolaos Triantafyllopoulos. 2017. On the origins of tourist urbanisation in Greece: Land speculation and property market (in)efficiency. *Land Use Policy* 68, 15-27. [[Crossref](#)]
360. John R. Kuhn, Bonnie Morris. 2017. IT internal control weaknesses and the market value of firms. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 30:6, 964-986. [[Crossref](#)]
361. Louie Ren, Peter Ren. 2017. Testing the market efficiency by mean absolute deviation. *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 24:7, 2049-2062. [[Crossref](#)]
362. Yunfei Hou, Feiyan Liu, Jianbo Gao, Changxiu Cheng, Changqing Song. 2017. Characterizing Complexity Changes in Chinese Stock Markets by Permutation Entropy. *Entropy* 19:10, 514. [[Crossref](#)]
363. Chi-San Ho, Paul Damien, Bin Gu, Prabhudev Konana. 2017. The time-varying nature of social media sentiments in modeling stock returns. *Decision Support Systems* 101, 69-81. [[Crossref](#)]
364. Debasish Maitra, Saumya Ranjan Dash. 2017. Sentiment and stock market volatility revisited: A time-frequency domain approach. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* 15, 74-91. [[Crossref](#)]
365. Deepthi Praveenlal Kuttichira, E. A. Gopalakrishnan, Vijay Krishna Menon, K. P. Soman. Stock price prediction using dynamic mode decomposition 55-60. [[Crossref](#)]
366. Rubén Arévalo, Jorge García, Francisco Guijarro, Alfred Peris. 2017. A dynamic trading rule based on filtered flag pattern recognition for stock market price forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications* 81, 177-192. [[Crossref](#)]
367. Bin Weng, Mohamed A. Ahmed, Fadel M. Megahed. 2017. Stock market one-day ahead movement prediction using disparate data sources. *Expert Systems with Applications* 79, 153-163. [[Crossref](#)]
368. Supriya Maheshwari, Raj S. Dhankar. 2017. Profitability of Volume-based Momentum and Contrarian Strategies in the Indian Stock Market. *Global Business Review* 18:4, 974-992. [[Crossref](#)]
369. Sheelapriya Gopal, Murugesan Ramasamy. 2017. Hybrid multiple structural break model for stock price trend prediction. *The Spanish Review of Financial Economics* 15:2, 41-51. [[Crossref](#)]
370. Martin Andersen, Sebastian Bauhoff. 2017. The Share Price Effect of CVS Health's Announcement to Stop Selling Tobacco: A Comparative Case Study Using Synthetic Controls. *Forum for Health Economics and Policy* 20:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
371. Patrick Buckley, Fergal O'Brien. 2017. The effect of malicious manipulations on prediction market accuracy. *Information Systems Frontiers* 19:3, 611-623. [[Crossref](#)]
372. Abdelkarim Erradi, Bhanu Sharma, Athman Bouguettaya. Using Financial Options for Pricing of IaaS Cloud Resources 584-591. [[Crossref](#)]
373. Roberto Liebscher, Thomas Mählmann. 2017. Are Professional Investment Managers Skilled? Evidence from Syndicated Loan Portfolios. *Management Science* 63:6, 1892-1918. [[Crossref](#)]

374. Daniel Haberly, Dariusz Wójcik. 2017. Earth Incorporated: Centralization and Variiegation in the Global Company Network. *Economic Geography* **93**:3, 241-266. [[Crossref](#)]
375. Shu Feng, Na Wang, Edward J. Zychowicz. 2017. Sentiment and the Performance of Technical Indicators. *The Journal of Portfolio Management* **43**:3, 112-125. [[Crossref](#)]
376. Stephen Hall, Timothy J Foxon, Ronan Bolton. 2017. Investing in low-carbon transitions: energy finance as an adaptive market. *Climate Policy* **17**:3, 280-298. [[Crossref](#)]
377. Sugumar Mariappanadar, Alma Kairouz. 2017. Influence of human resource capital information disclosure on investors' share investment intentions. *Personnel Review* **46**:3, 551-571. [[Crossref](#)]
378. Svitlana Galeshchuk. 2017. Technological bias at the exchange rate market. *Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management* **24**:2-3, 80-86. [[Crossref](#)]
379. Abdullah Alsaadi, M. Shahid Ebrahim, Aziz Jaafar. 2017. Corporate Social Responsibility, Shariah-Compliance, and Earnings Quality. *Journal of Financial Services Research* **51**:2, 169-194. [[Crossref](#)]
380. Lijian Chen, Kevin E. Bassler, Joseph L. McCauley, Gemunu H. Gunaratne. 2017. Anomalous scaling of stochastic processes and the Moses effect. *Physical Review E* **95**:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
381. Gabriel Visagie, Alwyn Hoffman. 2017. Comparison of Statistical Arbitrage in Developed and Emerging Markets. *International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance* **8**:2, 67-72. [[Crossref](#)]
382. P. Ferreira, A. Diomsio. 2017. Long Range Dependence in G7 Stock Markets' Return Rates Using Mutual Information and Detrended Cross-Correlation Analysis. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* **41**:1, 55-72. [[Crossref](#)]
383. Stefano Grassi, Nima Nonejad, Paolo Santucci De Magistris. 2017. Forecasting With the Standardized Self-Perturbed Kalman Filter. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **32**:2, 318-341. [[Crossref](#)]
384. Pietro Perotti, David Windisch. 2017. Managerial Discretion in Accruals and Informational Efficiency. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* **44**:3-4, 375-416. [[Crossref](#)]
385. Steven E. Abraham. 2017. The LMRDA. Another labor law that benefits firms?. *International Journal of Law and Management* **59**:1, 2-20. [[Crossref](#)]
386. Emilio Barucci, Claudio Fontana. Multi-Period Models: Empirical Tests 347-395. [[Crossref](#)]
387. Florian Förschler, Simon Alfano. Reading Between the Lines: The Effect of Language Sentiment on Economic Indicators 89-104. [[Crossref](#)]
388. Ted Lindblom, Taylan Mavruk, Stefan Sjögren. Conclusions and Implications 265-282. [[Crossref](#)]
389. Ted Lindblom, Taylan Mavruk, Stefan Sjögren. Market Efficiency and the Standard Asset Pricing Models Used to Test Market Efficiency 61-82. [[Crossref](#)]
390. S. D. Nikolopoulos, I. Santouridis, T. Lazaridis. Financial Text Mining in Twitterland 105-114. [[Crossref](#)]
391. Mantas Vaitonis, Saulius Masteika. Statistical Arbitrage Trading Strategy in Commodity Futures Market with the Use of Nanoseconds Historical Data 303-313. [[Crossref](#)]
392. Efundem Agboraw, Aled Jones. Finance and Natural Resource Constraints 41-91. [[Crossref](#)]
393. Jian Liu, Yubo Chen, Kang Liu, Jun Zhao. Attention-Based Event Relevance Model for Stock Price Movement Prediction 37-49. [[Crossref](#)]
394. Camillo von Müller. Why History Matters to Financial Economists: The Case of Black Monday 1987 69-77. [[Crossref](#)]
395. Oprean Camelia, Tănăsescu Cristina, Bucur Amelia. 2017. A new proposal for efficiency quantification of capital markets in the context of complex non-linear dynamics and chaos. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* **30**:1, 1669-1692. [[Crossref](#)]
396. Omar Masood, Bora Aktan, Beata Gavurová, Bachar Fakhry, Manuela Tvaronavičienė, Raimonda Martinkutė-Kaulienė. 2017. The impact of regime-switching behaviour of price volatility on efficiency

- of the US sovereign debt market. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 30:1, 1865-1881. [[Crossref](#)]
397. J. E. Woods. 2017. On the political economy of UK pension scheme regulation. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 41:1, 147-180. [[Crossref](#)]
398. Kevin Primicerio. 2017. Wisdom of the Institutional Crowd. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
399. Ke Wu, Spencer Wheatley. 2017. The British Pound on Brexit Night: A Natural Experiment of Market Efficiency and Real-Time Predictability. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
400. Marco Aurilio dos Santos. 2017. Impacto De Fatores Econmico-Institucionais Sobre a Eficiencia Dos Mercados Pela Hipptese De Mercados Adaptativos (Impact of Economic and Institutional Factors on Capital Markets' Efficiency in Adaptive Markets Hypothesis). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
401. Steffen Hundt, Andreas Horsch. 2017. Sponsorship of the FIFA World Cup, Shareholder Wealth, and the Impact of Corruption. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
402. Suresh Kumar S, Joseph James V., Shehnaz S R. 2017. The Dual Index Model That Astutely Augurs Stock Prices Using Sectoral Indices An Empirical Evaluation of Securities That Are Not Constituents of India's Premier Stock Exchange Index Namely BSE-Sensex. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
403. Tom Auld, Oliver B. Linton. 2017. The Behaviour of Betting and Currency Markets on the Night of the EU Referendum. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
404. Massimo Egidi, Giacomo Sillari. 2017. The Psychology of Financial Choices: From Classical and Behavioral Finance to Neurofinance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
405. Jeff Whitworth. 2017. Improving Long-Term Portfolio Risk and Return by Using Appreciated Stocks for Charitable Donations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
406. Brad Jones. 2017. Rethinking Asset Bubbles: Reflections for the Age of Institutional Investing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
407. CHANDRAPPA PAVANKUMAR, TRIVENI P. 2017. A STUDY ON THE RELEVANCE OF TECHNICAL ANALYSIS IN DETECTING TRADING SIGNALS IN INDIAN EQUITY MARKETS. *i-manager's Journal on Management* 12:2, 61. [[Crossref](#)]
408. Rajeev R. Bhattacharya. 2017. Structural Models of Market Efficiency. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
409. Steven Pav. 2017. A Short Sharpe Course. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
410. Peter V. Rajsingh. The Global Financial Crisis and Neo-Liberal Financialization 57-73. [[Crossref](#)]
411. Pankaj Pandey, Einar Snekkenes. 2016. Using Financial Instruments to Transfer the Information Security Risks. *Future Internet* 8:4, 20. [[Crossref](#)]
412. Jennifer N. Arthur, Robert J. Williams, Paul H. Delfabbro. 2016. The conceptual and empirical relationship between gambling, investing, and speculation. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions* 5:4, 580-591. [[Crossref](#)]
413. Muneer Shaik, S. Maheswaran. 2016. Modelling the Paradox in Stock Markets by Variance Ratio Volatility Estimator that Utilises Extreme Values of Asset Prices. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance* 15:3, 333-361. [[Crossref](#)]
414. Sushil Bajaj, Naman Sethi. 2016. An Empirical Analysis of Behaviour of Stock Market Indices. *Paradigm* 20:2, 216-235. [[Crossref](#)]
415. Ming-Wei Hsu, Stefan Lessmann, Ming-Chien Sung, Tiejun Ma, Johnnie E.V. Johnson. 2016. Bridging the divide in financial market forecasting: machine learners vs. financial economists. *Expert Systems with Applications* 61, 215-234. [[Crossref](#)]
416. Iain McMenamin, Michael Breen, Juan Muñoz-Portillo. 2016. Comparative politics and quasi-rational markets. *New Political Economy* 21:6, 587-605. [[Crossref](#)]

417. Jon Carrick. 2016. Bitcoin as a Complement to Emerging Market Currencies. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* **52**:10, 2321-2334. [[Crossref](#)]
418. Yuri Biondi, Simone Righi. 2016. What does the financial market pricing do? A simulation analysis with a view to systemic volatility, exuberance and vagary. *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination* **11**:2, 175-203. [[Crossref](#)]
419. Aldo Levy, Hakim Akeb. 2016. Efficience des marchés et finance comportementale : décorrélation rentabilité-risque des marchés antipodiques. *Recherches en Sciences de Gestion* N° **112**:1, 35-58. [[Crossref](#)]
420. Carlos Serrano-Cinca, Begoña Gutiérrez-Nieto. 2016. The use of profit scoring as an alternative to credit scoring systems in peer-to-peer (P2P) lending. *Decision Support Systems* **89**, 113-122. [[Crossref](#)]
421. Michael A. Noakes, Kanshukan Rajaratnam. 2016. Testing market efficiency on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange using the overlapping serial test. *Annals of Operations Research* **243**:1-2, 273-300. [[Crossref](#)]
422. Dirk Van den Poel, Celine Chesterman, Maxim Koppen, Michel Ballings. Equity price direction prediction for day trading: Ensemble classification using technical analysis indicators with interaction effects 3455-3462. [[Crossref](#)]
423. Greg Filbeck, Sanjay Kumar, Jiangxia Liu, Xin Zhao. 2016. Supply chain finance and financial contagion from disruptions. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* **46**:4, 414-438. [[Crossref](#)]
424. Georgios Spanos, Lefteris Angelis. 2016. The impact of information security events to the stock market: A systematic literature review. *Computers & Security* **58**, 216-229. [[Crossref](#)]
425. Oscar Ugarteche Galarza, Luis David Segovia Villeda. 2016. Triple arbitraje, expectativas y crecimiento económico. *Economía UNAM* **13**:38, 61-95. [[Crossref](#)]
426. Laurens Bijl, Glenn Kringhaug, Peter Molnár, Eirik Sandvik. 2016. Google searches and stock returns. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **45**, 150-156. [[Crossref](#)]
427. Ladislav Kristoufek, Miloslav Vosvrda. 2016. Gold, currencies and market efficiency. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **449**, 27-34. [[Crossref](#)]
428. Zhonghui Hugo Wang. 2016. On the impact of outside blockholders' voting power. *Corporate Governance* **16**:2, 330-346. [[Crossref](#)]
429. Vinod Mishra, Russell Smyth. 2016. Are natural gas spot and futures prices predictable?. *Economic Modelling* **54**, 178-186. [[Crossref](#)]
430. Michela Nardo, Marco Petracco-Giudici, Minás Naltsidis. 2016. WALKING DOWN WALL STREET WITH A TABLET: A SURVEY OF STOCK MARKET PREDICTIONS USING THE WEB. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **30**:2, 356-369. [[Crossref](#)]
431. Jiaqi Jiang, Rongbao Gu. 2016. Using Rényi parameter to improve the predictive power of singular value decomposition entropy on stock market. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **448**, 254-264. [[Crossref](#)]
432. İhsan KULALI. 2016. Etkin Piyasalar Hipotezi ve Davranışsal Finans Çatışması?. *International Journal of Finance & Banking Studies (2147-4486)* **5**:2, 46-57. [[Crossref](#)]
433. Daniel Schatz, Rabih Bashroush. 2016. The impact of repeated data breach events on organisations' market value. *Information & Computer Security* **24**:1, 73-92. [[Crossref](#)]
434. Dinesh Jaisinghani. 2016. An empirical test of calendar anomalies for the Indian securities markets. *South Asian Journal of Global Business Research* **5**:1, 53-84. [[Crossref](#)]
435. Yaojun Wang, Yaoqing Wang. Using social media mining technology to assist in price prediction of stock market 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]

436. MICHELE COSTOLA, MASSIMILIANO CAPORIN. 2016. RATIONAL LEARNING FOR RISK-AVERSE INVESTORS BY CONDITIONING ON BEHAVIORAL CHOICES. *Annals of Financial Economics* 11:01, 1650003. [[Crossref](#)]
437. Mikio Ito, Akihiko Noda, Tatsuma Wada. 2016. The evolution of stock market efficiency in the US: a non-Bayesian time-varying model approach. *Applied Economics* 48:7, 621-635. [[Crossref](#)]
438. Salman Ahmed Shaikh, Muhammad Hakimi Mohd. Shafai, Abdul Ghafar Ismail, Mohd. Adib Ismail. Exploring Efficiency, Co-integration, Causality and Volatility Clustering in Unrestricted and Islamic Portfolios 101-122. [[Crossref](#)]
439. Philip Pilkington. Finance and Investment 221-277. [[Crossref](#)]
440. Donald Rutherford. An Analysis of the Principal Criticisms 141-168. [[Crossref](#)]
441. Les Coleman. Current Paradigm: Neoclassical Investment Theory 15-28. [[Crossref](#)]
442. Mantas Vaitonis, Saulius Masteika. Research in High Frequency Trading and Pairs Selection Algorithm with Baltic Region Stocks 208-217. [[Crossref](#)]
443. Gerald Janous. Individuelle Rationalität als Bedingung für kollektive Rationalität (Effizienz) von Finanzmärkten 7-20. [[Crossref](#)]
444. Gerald Janous. Die Effizienzmarkthypothese als instrumentalistisches Marktmodell 35-56. [[Crossref](#)]
445. Rajesh Kumar. Efficient capital markets and its implications 73-91. [[Crossref](#)]
446. Patrick Buckley. 2016. Harnessing the wisdom of crowds: Decision spaces for prediction markets. *Business Horizons* 59:1, 85-94. [[Crossref](#)]
447. Konstandinos Chourmouziadis, Prodromos D. Chatzoglou. 2016. An intelligent short term stock trading fuzzy system for assisting investors in portfolio management. *Expert Systems with Applications* 43, 298-311. [[Crossref](#)]
448. Meredith Wilf. 2016. Credibility and Distributional Effects of International Banking Regulations: Evidence from US Bank Stock Returns. *International Organization* 70:4, 763-796. [[Crossref](#)]
449. Daniel Haberly, Dariusz Wojcik. 2016. Earth Incorporated: Centralization and Variagation in the Global Company Network. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
450. Peter C. Dawson. 2016. Is Opportunity Cost Synonymous with Cost of Capital and Required Rate of Return?: Untangling the Present Value Discount Rate. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
451. Edoardo Gaffeo, Massimo Molinari. 2016. Taxing Financial Transactions in Fundamentally Heterogeneous Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
452. Allan Dwyer. 2016. Financialization, Equity, and Elite Capture in Pakistan. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
453. Paulina Roszkowska, ukasz K. Langer. 2016. Counterintuitive Investment Opportunities in the WSE. Evidence from the Field of Asset Pricing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
454. Razvan Stefanescu. 2016. Particularitti Ale Evoluuiei Variabilelor Financiare (Some Particularities of the Financial Variables Evolution). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
455. Isaac Quaye, Yinping Mu, Braimah Abudu, Ramous Agyare. 2016. Review of Stock Markets' Reaction to New Events: Evidence from Brexit. *Journal of Financial Risk Management* 05:04, 281-314. [[Crossref](#)]
456. Paolo Vanini. 2016. Asset Management. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
457. Salim Lahmiri. Prediction of International Stock Markets Based on Hybrid Intelligent Systems 110-124. [[Crossref](#)]
458. Teppo Eskelinen. 2016. Financial Risks and Social Justice – Three Perspectives. *Theoria* 63:148, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
459. Richard P. Larrick, Daniel C. Feiler. Expertise in Decision Making 696-721. [[Crossref](#)]



460. Richard Kyle MacKinnon, Carson K. Leung. Stock Price Prediction in Undirected Graphs Using a Structural Support Vector Machine 548-555. [[Crossref](#)]
461. Shyam Sunder. 2015. Risk in Accounting. *Abacus* 51:4, 536-548. [[Crossref](#)]
462. Lucian Pasca. 2015. A Critical Review of the Main Approaches on Financial Market Dynamics Modelling. *Journal of Heterodox Economics* 2:2, 151-167. [[Crossref](#)]
463. Seema Narayan, Russell Smyth. 2015. The financial econometrics of price discovery and predictability. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 42, 380-393. [[Crossref](#)]
464. Alan Greene. 2015. Questioning executive supremacy in an economic state of emergency. *Legal Studies* 35:4, 594-620. [[Crossref](#)]
465. Robin W. Roberts, Dana M. Wallace. 2015. Sustaining diversity in social and environmental accounting research. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* 32, 78-87. [[Crossref](#)]
466. Michel Ballings, Dirk Van den Poel, Nathalie Hespeels, Ruben Gryp. 2015. Evaluating multiple classifiers for stock price direction prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications* 42:20, 7046-7056. [[Crossref](#)]
467. Girija V Attigeri, Manohara Pai M M, Radhika M Pai, Aparna Nayak. Stock market prediction: A big data approach 1-5. [[Crossref](#)]
468. Hooi Hooi Lean, Wei Rong Ang, Russell Smyth. 2015. Performance and performance persistence of socially responsible investment funds in Europe and North America. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 34, 254-266. [[Crossref](#)]
469. Yong Hu, Kang Liu, Xiangzhou Zhang, Lijun Su, E.W.T. Ngai, Mei Liu. 2015. Application of evolutionary computation for rule discovery in stock algorithmic trading: A literature review. *Applied Soft Computing* 36, 534-551. [[Crossref](#)]
470. Thomas Theobald. 2015. Agent-based risk management – a regulatory approach to financial markets. *Journal of Economic Studies* 42:5, 780-820. [[Crossref](#)]
471. Samuel Rönnqvist, Peter Sarlin. 2015. Bank networks from text: interrelations, centrality and determinants. *Quantitative Finance* 15:10, 1619-1635. [[Crossref](#)]
472. Jayendra Gokhale, Carol Horton Tremblay, Victor J. Tremblay. 2015. Misvaluation and Behavioral Bias in Financial Markets. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* 16:4, 344-356. [[Crossref](#)]
473. Zsolt Bitvai, Trevor Cohn. 2015. Day trading profit maximization with multi-task learning and technical analysis. *Machine Learning* 101:1-3, 187-209. [[Crossref](#)]
474. Haibin Xie, Kuikui Fan, Shouyang Wang. 2015. The role of Japanese Candlestick in DVAR model. *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity* 28:5, 1177-1193. [[Crossref](#)]
475. Ahmet Sensoy, Benjamin M. Tabak. 2015. Time-varying long term memory in the European Union stock markets. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 436, 147-158. [[Crossref](#)]
476. Muhammad Asad. Optimized Stock market prediction using ensemble learning 263-268. [[Crossref](#)]
477. . Bibliography 443-445. [[Crossref](#)]
478. Andrew Farlow. Financial indicators and the global financial crash 220-253. [[Crossref](#)]
479. Ankita Mishra, Vinod Mishra, Russell Smyth. 2015. The Random-Walk Hypothesis on the Indian Stock Market. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 51:5, 879-892. [[Crossref](#)]
480. Jae H. Kim, Abul Shamsuddin. 2015. A closer look at return predictability of the US stock market: evidence from new panel variance ratio tests. *Quantitative Finance* 15:9, 1501-1514. [[Crossref](#)]
481. Bachar Fakhry, Christian Richter. 2015. Is the sovereign debt market efficient? Evidence from the US and German sovereign debt markets. *International Economics and Economic Policy* 12:3, 339-357. [[Crossref](#)]

482. Kim Sang Jin, ###, ###. 2015. The Conversion Trend of Jeonsei to Monthly Rent Contracts and Its Major Characteristics: The Case of Three Gangnam Districts' APT Rental Market in Seoul. *Journal of the Economic Geographical Society of Korea* **18**:3, 348-365. [[Crossref](#)]
483. Thomas Stöckl, Jürgen Huber, Michael Kirchler, Florian Lindner. 2015. Hot hand and gambler's fallacy in teams: Evidence from investment experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **117**, 327-339. [[Crossref](#)]
484. Jaroslav Bukovina. 2015. The Impact of Economic Agents Perceptions on Stock Price Volatility. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis* **63**:4, 1229-1234. [[Crossref](#)]
485. . Discounted Cash Flow Models: The Main Input Factors 11-51. [[Crossref](#)]
486. Anger Cavalheiro Everton, Mendes Vieira Kelmara, Seacute rgio Ceretta Paulo, Eduardo Maehler Alisson. 2015. The influence of financial crisis on inefficiency and nonlinearity on Brazilian soybean prices. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* **10**:35, 3554-3561. [[Crossref](#)]
487. Chester Curme, Michele Tumminello, Rosario N. Mantegna, H. Eugene Stanley, Dror Y. Kenett. 2015. Emergence of statistically validated financial intraday lead-lag relationships. *Quantitative Finance* **15**:8, 1375-1386. [[Crossref](#)]
488. Eddie C.M. Hui, Ka Kwan Kevin Chan. 2015. Testing calendar effects on global securitized real estate markets by Shiryayev-Zhou index. *Habitat International* **48**, 38-45. [[Crossref](#)]
489. Lei Zhao, Lin Wang. Price Trend Prediction of Stock Market Using Outlier Data Mining Algorithm 93-98. [[Crossref](#)]
490. Yuri Biondi. 2015. Accounting and the formation of share market prices over time: a mathematical institutional economic analysis through simulation and experiment. *Applied Economics* **47**:34-35, 3651-3672. [[Crossref](#)]
491. Chi Tim Ng, Chun Yip Yau, Ngai Hang Chan. 2015. Likelihood Inferences for High-Dimensional Factor Analysis of Time Series With Applications in Finance. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* **24**:3, 866-884. [[Crossref](#)]
492. Lina M. Cortés, John J. García, David Agudelo. 2015. Effects of Mergers and Acquisitions on Shareholder Wealth: Event Study for Latin American Airlines. *Latin American Business Review* **16**:3, 205-226. [[Crossref](#)]
493. João Paulo Vieito, Armando Freitas da Rocha, Fabio Theoto Rocha. 2015. Brain Activity of the Investor's Stock Market Financial Decision. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* **16**:3, 220-230. [[Crossref](#)]
494. Gabriel Frahm. 2015. A theoretical foundation of portfolio resampling. *Theory and Decision* **79**:1, 107-132. [[Crossref](#)]
495. Marjan Van de Kauter, Diane Breesch, Véronique Hoste. 2015. Fine-grained analysis of explicit and implicit sentiment in financial news articles. *Expert Systems with Applications* **42**:11, 4999-5010. [[Crossref](#)]
496. Andrea Liesen. 2015. Climate Change and Financial Market Efficiency. *Business & Society* **54**:4, 511-539. [[Crossref](#)]
497. Jess Benhabib, Pengfei Wang. 2015. Private information and sunspots in sequential asset markets. *Journal of Economic Theory* **158**, 558-584. [[Crossref](#)]
498. Yoshikatsu Shinozawa, Andrew Vivian. 2015. Determinants of money flows into investment trusts in Japan. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* **37**, 138-161. [[Crossref](#)]
499. Yum K. Kwan, Charles Ka Yui Leung, Jinyue Dong. 2015. Comparing consumption-based asset pricing models: The case of an Asian city. *Journal of Housing Economics* **28**, 18-41. [[Crossref](#)]
500. Suparna Biswas, Santanu Dutta. 2015. Assessing Market Risk of Indian Index Funds. *Global Business Review* **16**:3, 511-523. [[Crossref](#)]

501. Fawzan Abdul Aziz Al Fawzan. 2015. Volatility and efficiency of the world crude oil market. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 31:1, 20-29. [[Crossref](#)]
502. David Howarth, Lucia Quaglia. 2015. The political economy of the euro area's sovereign debt crisis: introduction to the special issue of the Review of International Political Economy. *Review of International Political Economy* 22:3, 457-484. [[Crossref](#)]
503. Arianna Ziliotto, Massimiliano Serati. 2015. The semi-strong efficiency debate: In search of a new testing framework. *Research in International Business and Finance* 34, 412-438. [[Crossref](#)]
504. Hooi Hooi Lean, Russell Smyth. 2015. Testing for weak-form efficiency of crude palm oil spot and future markets: new evidence from a GARCH unit root test with multiple structural breaks. *Applied Economics* 47:16, 1710-1721. [[Crossref](#)]
505. Ginny Ju-Ann Yang, Chingnun Lee, Chen-Hsun Lee. 2015. Random Walk in the MIST. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business* 16:2, 92-104. [[Crossref](#)]
506. Ata Ozkaya. 2015. A model of active trading by using the properties of chaos. *Digital Signal Processing* 39, 15-21. [[Crossref](#)]
507. Kathy Fogel, Rwan El-Khatib, Nancy Chun Feng, Ciara Torres-Spelliscy. 2015. Compliance costs and disclosure requirement mandates: Some evidence. *Research in Accounting Regulation* 27:1, 83-87. [[Crossref](#)]
508. Jan Kleinnijenhuis, Friederike Schultz, Sonja Utz, Dirk Oegema. 2015. The Mediating Role of the News in the BP Oil Spill Crisis 2010. *Communication Research* 42:3, 408-428. [[Crossref](#)]
509. James A. Turner. 2015. Casting Doubt on the Predictability of Stock Returns in Real Time: Bayesian Model Averaging using Realistic Priors\*. *Review of Finance* 19:2, 785-821. [[Crossref](#)]
510. Gil Cohen, Elinor Cabiri. 2015. Can technical oscillators outperform the buy and hold strategy?. *Applied Economics* 8, 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]
511. Steven E. Abraham, Lisa A. Schur, Paula B. Voos. Changing Union Representation Election Voting Regimes: What Can We Learn? 1-28. [[Crossref](#)]
512. Taufiq Choudhry, Ranadeva Jayasekera. 2015. Level of efficiency in the UK equity market: empirical study of the effects of the global financial crisis. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 44:2, 213-242. [[Crossref](#)]
513. Peter C. Dawson. 2015. The capital asset pricing model in economic perspective. *Applied Economics* 47:6, 569-598. [[Crossref](#)]
514. Elena Asparouhova, Peter Bossaerts, Jon Eguia, William Zame. 2015. Asset Pricing and Asymmetric Reasoning. *Journal of Political Economy* 123:1, 66-122. [[Crossref](#)]
515. Phillip Y. Lipsky. 2015. Explaining Institutional Change: Policy Areas, Outside Options, and the Bretton Woods Institutions. *American Journal of Political Science* 59:2, 341-356. [[Crossref](#)]
516. Dionysia Dionysiou. 2015. CHOOSING AMONG ALTERNATIVE LONG-RUN EVENT-STUDY TECHNIQUES. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 29:1, 158-198. [[Crossref](#)]
517. Wilhelm Berghorn. 2015. Trend momentum. *Quantitative Finance* 15:2, 261-284. [[Crossref](#)]
518. Hamid Baghestani, Mohammad Arzaghi, Ilker Kaya. 2015. On the accuracy of Blue Chip forecasts of interest rates and country risk premiums. *Applied Economics* 47:2, 113-122. [[Crossref](#)]
519. Nicholas J. Mangee. 2015. A Kuhnian perspective on asset pricing theory. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 22:1, 28-45. [[Crossref](#)]
520. Fernando Gómez-Bezares, Luis Ferruz, Maria Vargas. Can We Use the CAPM as an Investment Strategy?: An Intuitive CAPM and Efficiency Test 751-789. [[Crossref](#)]
521. Ting-Feng Tan, Qing-Guo Wang, Tian-He Phang, Xian Li, Jiangshuai Huang, Dan Zhang. Temporal Association Rule Mining 247-257. [[Crossref](#)]

522. Hooi Hooi Lean, Vinod Mishra, Russell Smyth. The Relevance of Heteroskedasticity and Structural Breaks when Testing for a Random Walk with High-Frequency Financial Data 59-74. [[Crossref](#)]
523. Antonio Ruiz-Porras, Brenda Ruiz-Robles. 2015. La hipótesis de eficiencia y la modelación de series bursátiles mexicanas: un análisis multivariado. *Economía Informa* **390**, 28-57. [[Crossref](#)]
524. Lorna Katusiime, Abul Shamsuddin, Frank W. Agbola. 2015. Foreign exchange market efficiency and profitability of trading rules: Evidence from a developing country. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **35**, 315-332. [[Crossref](#)]
525. Ahmet Sensoy, Guler Aras, Erk Hacihasanoglu. 2015. Predictability dynamics of Islamic and conventional equity markets. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* **31**, 222-248. [[Crossref](#)]
526. Sahil Zubair, Krzysztof J. Cios. Extracting News Sentiment and Establishing Its Relationship with the S&P 500 Index 969-975. [[Crossref](#)]
527. Lina M. Cortes, John J. Garcia, David Agudelo. 2015. Effects of Mergers and Acquisitions on Shareholder Wealth: Event Study for Latin American Airlines. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
528. Tongli Zhang. 2015. Fear, Greed and Efficient Market- Evidence from News Sentiment Analytics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
529. Arvydas Jadevicius, Simon Huston, Andrew Baum. 2015. Two Centuries of Farmland Prices in England. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
530. Michele Costola, Massimiliano Caporin. 2015. Rational Learning for Risk-Averse Investors by Conditioning on Behavioral Choices. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
531. Konstantin Storms, Julia Kapraun, Markus Rudolf. 2015. Can Retail Investor Attention Enhance Market Efficiency? Insights from Search Engine Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
532. Shyam Sunder. 2015. Risk in Accounting. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
533. Oscar Ugarteche. 2015. Triple Arbitraje, Expectativas Y Crecimiento Económico (Triple Arbitrage, Expectations and Economic Growth). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
534. Dan Richards, Heng Yuan, Marcelo Bianconi. 2015. Equity Prices and Cartel Activity. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
535. Allan Dwyer, Anees Muhammad. 2015. Electoral Violence and Securities Market Responses During the 2013 Pakistan General Election: Political Risk Metrics for the Rest of Us. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
536. Jinpeng Ma, Max Tang, Yuming Wang. 2015. Value of Hedge and Expected Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
537. S. Hun Seog. 2015. Finance Ritual. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
538. Michal Markun, Anna Marszal (Mospan). 2015. Stationarity and Persistence of the Term Premia in the Polish Money Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
539. Kiranjit Sett, Debabrata Mukhopadhyay. The Role of Market Sentiment in Stock Price Movements 24-43. [[Crossref](#)]
540. Lukas Macijauskas. Finansų rinkų dalyvių iracionalumu paremta taktinė turto alokacija **69**, . [[Crossref](#)]
541. Charles V. Bilello, Michael A. Gayed. 2015. Lumber: Worth It's Weight in Gold: Offense and Defense in Active Portfolio Management. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
542. Catherine Bruneau. 2014. Comprendre la formation des prix des actifs financiers : ce que nous devons aux lauréats du prix Nobel 2013, Eugene Fama, Lars Peter Hansen et Robert Shiller. *Revue d'économie politique* **Vol. 124**:5, 681-714. [[Crossref](#)]
543. Tibebe Abebe Assefa, Omar A. Esqueda, Emilios C. Galarotis. 2014. Overreaction evidence from large-cap stocks. *Review of Accounting and Finance* **13**:4, 310-325. [[Crossref](#)]

544. Tienyu Hwang, Simon Gao, Heather Owen. 2014. Markowitz efficiency and size effect: evidence from the UK stock market. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 43:4, 721-750. [[Crossref](#)]
545. Viktor Manahov, Robert Hudson. 2014. A note on the relationship between market efficiency and adaptability – New evidence from artificial stock markets. *Expert Systems with Applications* 41:16, 7436-7454. [[Crossref](#)]
546. Vincent Bertrand. 2014. Carbon and energy prices under uncertainty: A theoretical analysis of fuel switching with heterogenous power plants. *Resource and Energy Economics* 38, 198-220. [[Crossref](#)]
547. Yong Hu, Kang Liu, Bin Feng, Lijun Su, Xiangzhou Zhang, Weiqi Chen, Yuran Zeng. Concept Drift Mining of Fundamental Variables in China Stock Market 62-68. [[Crossref](#)]
548. Benjamin Tobias Peylo. 2014. Rational socially responsible investment. *Corporate Governance* 14:5, 699-713. [[Crossref](#)]
549. John P. Lehoczky, Mark J. Schervish. Statistical Arbitrage . [[Crossref](#)]
550. Eddie C.M. Hui, Ka Kwan Kevin Chan. 2014. Can we still beat “buy-and-hold” for individual stocks?. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 410, 513-534. [[Crossref](#)]
551. Pankaj Pandey, Einar Arthur Snekkenes. Applicability of Prediction Markets in Information Security Risk Management 296-300. [[Crossref](#)]
552. Chaker Aloui, Duc Khuong Nguyen. 2014. On the detection of extreme movements and persistent behaviour in Mediterranean stock markets: a wavelet-based approach. *Applied Economics* 46:22, 2611-2622. [[Crossref](#)]
553. Marcelo Perlin, Alfonso Dufour, Chris Brooks. 2014. The determinants of a cross market arbitrage opportunity: theory and evidence for the European bond market. *Annals of Finance* 10:3, 457-480. [[Crossref](#)]
554. Jaejoon Lee. 2014. A Study of Characteristics of Expectation in Inflation Dynamics. *KDI Journal of Economic Policy* 36:3, 95-120. [[Crossref](#)]
555. Yoon Tae Sung, Scott Tainsky. 2014. The National Football League Wagering Market. *Journal of Sports Economics* 15:4, 365-384. [[Crossref](#)]
556. Ali Saeedi, Seyed Reza Miraskari, Mehrdad Sadr Ara. 2014. The Investigation of the Efficient Market Hypothesis: Evidence from an Emerging Market. *Taylor's Business Review (TBR)* 4:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
557. Raúl Gómez Martínez, Camilo Prado Román. 2014. Sentimiento del inversor, selecciones nacionales de fútbol y su influencia sobre sus índices nacionales. *Revista Europea de Dirección y Economía de la Empresa* 23:3, 99-114. [[Crossref](#)]
558. Martin Längkvist, Lars Karlsson, Amy Loutfi. 2014. A review of unsupervised feature learning and deep learning for time-series modeling. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 42, 11-24. [[Crossref](#)]
559. M. Fernández-Martínez, M.A. Sánchez-Granero, J.E. Trinidad Segovia, I.M. Román-Sánchez. 2014. An accurate algorithm to calculate the Hurst exponent of self-similar processes. *Physics Letters A* 378:32-33, 2355-2362. [[Crossref](#)]
560. Chien-Chiang Lee, Ching-Chuan Tsong, Cheng-Feng Lee. 2014. TESTING FOR THE EFFICIENT MARKET HYPOTHESIS IN STOCK PRICES: INTERNATIONAL EVIDENCE FROM NONLINEAR HETEROGENEOUS PANELS. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 18:4, 943-958. [[Crossref](#)]
561. Stephanie-Carolin Grosche. 2014. What Does Granger Causality Prove? A Critical Examination of the Interpretation of Granger Causality Results on Price Effects of Index Trading in Agricultural Commodity Markets. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 65:2, 279-302. [[Crossref](#)]
562. Germán Horacio Cardona Vélez. 2014. Análisis del impacto de los cambios del control corporativo sobre el valor de las empresas en América Latina. *Cuadernos de Economía* 33:62, 163-197. [[Crossref](#)]

563. B. Bogdanova, I. Ivanov. 2014. Adaptive and relative efficiency of stock markets from Southeastern Europe: a wavelet approach. *Applied Financial Economics* 24:10, 705-722. [[Crossref](#)]
564. Juliano Ribeiro de Almeida, William Eid. 2014. Access to finance, working capital management and company value: Evidences from Brazilian companies listed on BM&FBOVESPA. *Journal of Business Research* 67:5, 924-934. [[Crossref](#)]
565. Dimitrios Koutmos, Wei Song. 2014. Speculative dynamics and price behavior in the Shanghai Stock Exchange. *Research in International Business and Finance* 31, 74-86. [[Crossref](#)]
566. Ibrahim Khan Muhammad, Suleman Khan Muhammad, Khan Aima. 2014. Calendar Anomalies, reality or an illusion? KSE-Pakistan. *Journal of Economics and International Finance* 6:4, 80-84. [[Crossref](#)]
567. David Chambers, Rui Esteves. 2014. The first global emerging markets investor: Foreign & Colonial Investment Trust 1880–1913. *Explorations in Economic History* 52, 1-21. [[Crossref](#)]
568. Paulo Ferreira, Andreia Dionísio. 2014. Revisiting serial dependence in the stock markets of the G7 countries, Portugal, Spain and Greece. *Applied Financial Economics* 24:5, 319-331. [[Crossref](#)]
569. Osvaldo Candido Silva Filho, Flavio Augusto Ziegelmann. 2014. Assessing some stylized facts about financial market indexes: a Markov copula approach. *Journal of Economic Studies* 41:2, 253-271. [[Crossref](#)]
570. Janusz Brzeszczyński, Graham McIntosh. 2014. Performance of Portfolios Composed of British SRI Stocks. *Journal of Business Ethics* 120:3, 335-362. [[Crossref](#)]
571. Ladislav Kristoufek, Miloslav Vosvrda. 2014. Commodity futures and market efficiency. *Energy Economics* 42, 50-57. [[Crossref](#)]
572. Jae H. Kim. 2014. Predictive regression: An improved augmented regression method. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 26, 13-25. [[Crossref](#)]
573. Samuel Ronnqvist, Peter Sarlin. From text to bank interrelation maps 48-54. [[Crossref](#)]
574. Gagan Deep Sharma, Sanjiv Mittal, Prachi Khurana. 2014. Month of the Year Anomalies in Stock Markets: Evidence from India. *The International Journal of Applied Economics and Finance* 8:3, 82-97. [[Crossref](#)]
575. Eddie Hui, Philip Yam, John Wright, Kevin Chan. 2014. Shall we buy and hold? Evidence from Asian real estate markets. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance* 32:2, 168-186. [[Crossref](#)]
576. Valeriy Zakamulin. 2014. Predictable Dynamics in the Small Stock Premium. *Economics Research International* 2014, 1-12. [[Crossref](#)]
577. Piia Aatola, Kimmo Ollikka, Markku Ollikainen. 2014. Informational efficiency of the EU ETS market – a study of price predictability and profitable trading. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy* 3:1, 92-123. [[Crossref](#)]
578. Tushar Rao, Saket Srivastava. Twitter Sentiment Analysis: How to Hedge Your Bets in the Stock Markets 227-247. [[Crossref](#)]
579. Magdalena Mikołajek-Gocejna. Information Asymmetry and the Problem of Informational Efficiency on Capital Markets 147-175. [[Crossref](#)]
580. Peter Sarlin. Macroprudential Oversight 15-49. [[Crossref](#)]
581. Reinhard Grimm, Markus Schuller, Raimund Wilhelmer. Die Sicht des Investors 275-328. [[Crossref](#)]
582. Neil B. Niman. The Coming “Perfect Storm” in Higher Education 7-26. [[Crossref](#)]
583. Chester Curme, Michele Tumminello, Rosario N. Mantegna, H. Eugene Stanley, Dror Y. Kenett. 2014. Emergence of Statistically Validated Financial Intraday Lead-Lag Relationships. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

584. Hongying Sun. 2014. Time Series Decomposition: An Additional Methodology. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
585. Harbir Lamba. 2014. Implausible Equilibrium Solutions in Economics and Finance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
586. Roberto Liescher, Thomas MMhlmann. 2014. Are Professional Investment Managers Skilled? Evidence from Syndicated Loan Portfolios. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
587. Srinidhi Kanuri, Robert W. McLeod. 2014. Performance of Alternative Mutual Funds: The Average Investors Hedge Fund. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
588. Zura Kakushadze. 2014. Factor Models for Alpha Streams. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
589. Luis Ferruz, Guillermo Badia. 2014. Propuesta de un CAPM Sectorial para optimizar la toma de decisiones en gestiin de carteras (A Sector CAPM to Optimize Decision Making in Portfolio Management). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
590. Yum K Kwan, Charles Ka Yui Leung, Jinyue Dong. 2014. Comparing Consumption-Based Asset Pricing Models: The Case of an Asian City. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
591. Jekaterina Kartaova, Deimant Venclauskien. Valuation Of Fundamental Analysis Reliability In Stock Pricing: Theoretical Approach . [[Crossref](#)]
592. Alfonso A. Rojo-Ramírez. 2014. Privately Held Company Valuation and Cost of Capital. *Journal of Business Valuation and Economic Loss Analysis* **9**:1, 1-21. [[Crossref](#)]
593. Ronald Henry Mynhardt, Alexey Plastun, Inna Makarenko. 2014. Behavior of financial markets efficiency during the financial market crisis: 2007 – 2009. *Corporate Ownership and Control* **11**:2, 473-487. [[Crossref](#)]
594. Androniki Katarachia, Anastasios Konstantinidis. 2014. Financial Education and Decision Making Processes. *Procedia Economics and Finance* **9**, 142-152. [[Crossref](#)]
595. Jiri Kukacka, Jozef Barunik. 2013. Behavioural breaks in the heterogeneous agent model: The impact of herding, overconfidence, and market sentiment. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **392**:23, 5920-5938. [[Crossref](#)]
596. Ladislav Kristoufek. 2013. Fractal Markets Hypothesis and the Global Financial Crisis: Wavelet Power Evidence. *Scientific Reports* **3**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
597. Guillaume Vuilleme. 2013. Sur le statut épistémologique de l'hypothèse d'efficiencia des marchés. *Revue de philosophie économique* **Vol. 14**:2, 93-118. [[Crossref](#)]
598. Panagiotis Papaioannou, Lucia Russo, George Papaioannou, Constantinos I. Siettos. 2013. Can social microblogging be used to forecast intraday exchange rates?. *NETNOMICS: Economic Research and Electronic Networking* **14**:1-2, 47-68. [[Crossref](#)]
599. Dezhu Ye, Shasha Liu, Dongmin Kong. 2013. Do efforts on energy saving enhance firm values? Evidence from China's stock market. *Energy Economics* **40**, 360-369. [[Crossref](#)]
600. Hsiao-Chen Liang, Woan-Yuh Jang. 2013. Information asymmetry and monitoring in equity private placements. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* **53**:4, 460-475. [[Crossref](#)]
601. Orion Penner, Raj K. Pan, Alexander M. Petersen, Kimmo Kaski, Santo Fortunato. 2013. On the Predictability of Future Impact in Science. *Scientific Reports* **3**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
602. . Diversification, Portfolios of Risky Assets, and the Efficient Frontier 59-100. [[Crossref](#)]
603. . References 259-271. [[Crossref](#)]
604. Jean-Francois Carpentier, Besik Samkharadze. 2013. The Asymmetric Commodity Inventory Effect on the Optimal Hedge Ratio. *Journal of Futures Markets* **33**:9, 868-888. [[Crossref](#)]
605. David M. Smith, Christophe Faugère, Ying Wang. Head and Shoulders above the Rest? The Performance of Institutional Portfolio Managers Who Use Technical Analysis 167-189. [[Crossref](#)]

606. Jürgen Huber, Michael Kirchler. 2013. Corporate campaign contributions and abnormal stock returns after presidential elections. *Public Choice* **156**:1-2, 285-307. [[Crossref](#)]
607. Debasish Majumder. 2013. Towards an efficient stock market: Empirical evidence from the Indian market. *Journal of Policy Modeling* **35**:4, 572-587. [[Crossref](#)]
608. Egidijus Bikas, Daiva Jurevičienė, Petras Dubinskas, Lina Novickytė. 2013. Behavioural Finance: The Emergence and Development Trends. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **82**, 870-876. [[Crossref](#)]
609. Claude B. Erb, Campbell R. Harvey. 2013. The Golden Dilemma. *Financial Analysts Journal* **69**:4, 10-42. [[Crossref](#)]
610. Taisei Kaizoji. 2013. Modelling of Stock Returns and Trading Volume. *IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review* **2**:2, 147-155. [[Crossref](#)]
611. Barry A. Goss, S. Gulay Avsar. 2013. Simultaneity, Forecasting and Profits in London Copper Futures. *Australian Economic Papers* **52**:2, 79-96. [[Crossref](#)]
612. ###, ##. 2013. Behavioral Finance: A Survey of the Literature and Recent Development. *Seoul Journal of Business* **19**:1, 3-42. [[Crossref](#)]
613. Valeriy Zakamulin. 2013. Forecasting the size premium over different time horizons. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **37**:3, 1061-1072. [[Crossref](#)]
614. Sarunas Raudys. 2013. Portfolio of Automated Trading Systems: Complexity and Learning Set Size Issues. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems* **24**:3, 448-459. [[Crossref](#)]
615. Jan Kleinnijenhuis, Friederike Schultz, Dirk Oegema, Wouter van Atteveldt. 2013. Financial news and market panics in the age of high-frequency sentiment trading algorithms. *Journalism* **14**:2, 271-291. [[Crossref](#)]
616. Antonio M. L. Canelas, Rui F. M. F. Neves, Nuno C. G. Horta. Introduction 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
617. Giuseppina Albano, Michele La Rocca, Cira Perna. Testing the Weak Form Market Efficiency: Empirical Evidence from the Italian Stock Exchange 227-236. [[Crossref](#)]
618. Michael Siering, Jan Muntermann. The Role of Misbehavior in Efficient Financial Markets: Implications for Financial Decision Support 42-58. [[Crossref](#)]
619. Stefanie Hiss. Responsible Investing as Social Innovation 229-237. [[Crossref](#)]
620. M. Shahid Ebrahim, Ike Mathur. 2013. On the efficiency of the UPREIT organizational form: Implications for the subprime crisis and CDO's. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **85**, 286-305. [[Crossref](#)]
621. Ladislav Kristoufek, Miloslav Vosvrda. 2013. Measuring capital market efficiency: Global and local correlations structure. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **392**:1, 184-193. [[Crossref](#)]
622. Frédéric Abergel, Mauro Politi. 2013. Optimizing a basket against the efficient market hypothesis. *Quantitative Finance* **13**:1, 13-23. [[Crossref](#)]
623. Mehdi Beyhaghi, James P. Hawley. 2013. Modern portfolio theory and risk management: assumptions and unintended consequences. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment* **3**:1, 17-37. [[Crossref](#)]
624. Jeff Pasternack, Dan Roth. Latent credibility analysis 1009-1020. [[Crossref](#)]
625. Larry Bensimhon, Yuri Biondi. 2013. Financial Bubbles, Common Knowledge and Alternative Accounting Regimes: An Experimental Analysis of Artificial Spot Security Markets. *The Japanese Accounting Review* **3**:2013, 21-59. [[Crossref](#)]
626. Yuri Biondi. 2013. Accounting and the Formation of Share Market Prices Over Time: A Mathematical Institutional Economic Analysis Through Simulation and Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
627. Jae H. Kim. 2013. A Closer Look at Return Predictability of the US Stock Market: Evidence from a Panel Variance Ratio Test. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]



628. Matthew John Holian, Marc D. Joffe. 2013. Assessing Municipal Bond Default Probabilities. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
629. Daniel Traian Pele, Miruna Marinescu Mazurencu, Peter Nijkamp. 2013. Herding Behaviour, Bubbles and Log Periodic Power Laws in Illiquid Stock Markets: A Case Study on the Bucharest Stock Exchange. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
630. Tomas Buus. 2013. Mean Reversion, Partial Adjustment, and Expected Earnings. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
631. Joseph Grundfest. 2013. Damages and Reliance under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
632. Armando Freitas da Rocha, Joo Paulo Vieito, Fbio T. Rocha. 2013. Brain Activity Follow Up of Stock Market Financial Variables. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
633. Yuri Biondi, Simone Righi. 2013. What Does the Financial Market Pricing Do? A Simulation Analysis with a View to Systemic Volatility, Exuberance and Vagary. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
634. Ushna Akber, Nabeel Muhammad. 2013. Is Pakistan Stock Market Moving Towards Weak-Form Efficiency? Evidence from the Karachi Stock Exchange and the Random Walk Nature of Free-Float of Shares of KSE 30 Index. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
635. Dirk Ehnts, Miguel Carrion Alvarez. 2013. The Theory of Reflexivity A Non-Stochastic Randomness Theory for Business Schools Only?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
636. Philip Clarke Pilkington. 2013. A Stock-Flow Approach to a General Theory of Pricing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
637. John Garcia, Francesc Trillas. 2013. European Energy Industry Shocks, Corporate Control and Firms' Value. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
638. Jennifer Bender, Remy Briand, Dimitris Melas, Raman Aylur Subramanian. 2013. Foundations of Factor Investing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
639. Rainer Böhme, Jens Grossklags. Trading Agent Kills Market Information 68-81. [[Crossref](#)]
640. Peter C. Dawson. 2013. An Economic Analysis of the Competitive Risk-Return Paradigm. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
641. Phillip Ray Simmons. 2012. Using a Differential Evolutionary Algorithm to Test the Efficient Market Hypothesis. *Computational Economics* **40**:4, 377-385. [[Crossref](#)]
642. Chongcheul Cheong, Young-Jae Kim, Seong-Min Yoon. 2012. Can We Predict Exchange Rate Movements at Short Horizons?. *Journal of Forecasting* **31**:7, 565-579. [[Crossref](#)]
643. Mindy L. Mallory, Scott H. Irwin, Dermot J. Hayes. 2012. How market efficiency and the theory of storage link corn and ethanol markets. *Energy Economics* **34**:6, 2157-2166. [[Crossref](#)]
644. Zvonko Kostanjcar, Branko Jeren, Zeljan Juretic. 2012. Impact of uncertainty in expected return estimation on stock price volatility. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **391**:22, 5563-5571. [[Crossref](#)]
645. Sarunas Raudys, Aistis Raudys, Zidrina Pabarskaite. Multi-agent system based portfolio management in prior-to-crisis and crisis period 279-284. [[Crossref](#)]
646. Rayenda Khresna Brahmana, Chee-Wooi Hooy, Zamri Ahmad. 2012. Psychological factors on irrational financial decision making. *Humanomics* **28**:4, 236-257. [[Crossref](#)]
647. Daniel Traian Pele, Miruna Mazurencu-Marinescu. 2012. Modelling Stock Market Crashes: The Case of Bucharest Stock Exchange. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **58**, 533-542. [[Crossref](#)]
648. JEAN-BERNARD CHATELAIN, KIRSTEN RALF. 2012. THE FAILURE OF FINANCIAL MACROECONOMICS AND WHAT TO DO ABOUT IT. *The Manchester School* **80**, 21-53. [[Crossref](#)]

649. QAISER MUNIR, KOK SOOK CHING, FUMITAKA FUROUKA, KASIM MANSUR. 2012. THE EFFICIENT MARKET HYPOTHESIS REVISITED: EVIDENCE FROM THE FIVE SMALL OPEN ASEAN STOCK MARKETS. *The Singapore Economic Review* 57:03, 1250021. [[Crossref](#)]
650. José Eduardo Gómez-González, Andrés F. García-Suaza. 2012. A Simple Test of Momentum in Foreign Exchange Markets. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 48:5, 66-77. [[Crossref](#)]
651. Michael Basil. 2012. A history of farmers' markets in Canada. *Journal of Historical Research in Marketing* 4:3, 387-407. [[Crossref](#)]
652. Abdunasser Hatemi-J. 2012. Asymmetric causality tests with an application. *Empirical Economics* 43:1, 447-456. [[Crossref](#)]
653. Hugh L. Christensen, James Murphy, Simon J. Godsill. 2012. Forecasting High-Frequency Futures Returns Using Online Langevin Dynamics. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing* 6:4, 366-380. [[Crossref](#)]
654. Rayenda Khresna Brahmana, Chee-Wooi Hooy, Zamri Ahmad. 2012. Weather, investor irrationality and day-of-the-week anomaly: case of Indonesia. *Journal of Bioeconomics* 14:2, 129-146. [[Crossref](#)]
655. Alberto F. De Souza, Fabio Daros Freitas, André Gustavo Coelho de Almeida. 2012. Fast learning and predicting of stock returns with virtual generalized random access memory weightless neural networks. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* 24:8, 921-933. [[Crossref](#)]
656. Shu-Heng Chen, Sai-Ping Li. 2012. Econophysics: Bridges over a turbulent current. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 23, 1-10. [[Crossref](#)]
657. Mario Domingues Simões, T. Diana L. van Aduard de Macedo-Soares, Marcelo Cabus Klotzle, Antonio Carlos Figueiredo Pinto. 2012. Assessment of market efficiency in Argentina, Brazil and Chile: an event study of mergers and acquisitions. *BAR - Brazilian Administration Review* 9:2, 229-245. [[Crossref](#)]
658. Russ Ray. 2012. Managing Financial Risk Via Prediction Markets. *The Journal of Investing* 21:2, 76-80. [[Crossref](#)]
659. Russ Ray. 2012. Managing Financial Risk Via Prediction Markets. *The Journal of Investing* 120504002246006. [[Crossref](#)]
660. Ladislav Křišťoufek, Miloslav Vošvrda. 2012. Capital Markets Efficiency: Fractal Dimension, Hurst Exponent and Entropy. *Politická ekonomie* 60:2, 208-221. [[Crossref](#)]
661. Sarunas Raudys, Aistis Raudys. Three decision making levels in portfolio management 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
662. M. J. Sánchez-Granero, M. Fernández-Martínez, J. E. Trinidad-Segovia. 2012. Introducing fractal dimension algorithms to calculate the Hurst exponent of financial time series. *The European Physical Journal B* 85:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
663. Christian Schulze, Bernd Skiera, Thorsten Wiesel. 2012. Linking Customer and Financial Metrics to Shareholder Value: The Leverage Effect in Customer-Based Valuation. *Journal of Marketing* 76:2, 17-32. [[Crossref](#)]
664. Jürgen Beyer. Begrenzte Rationalität: Ökonomische und soziologische „Lösungen" des Problems der Managementkontrolle 241-261. [[Crossref](#)]
665. Johannes-Jörg Riegler, Tobias Basse, Stefan Große. Krisenfrühaufklärung durch Frühwarnindikatoren 323-343. [[Crossref](#)]
666. Carolyn Kousky, Roger M. Cooke. The Value of Information in a Risk Management Approach to Climate Change 19-43. [[Crossref](#)]
667. Nuriddin Ikromov, Abdullah Yavas. 2012. Cash Flow Volatility, Prices and Price Volatility: An Experimental Study. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 44:1-2, 203-229. [[Crossref](#)]

668. Ariane Szafarz. 2012. Financial crises in efficient markets: How fundamentalists fuel volatility. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 36:1, 105-111. [[Crossref](#)]
669. Andrei Shynkevich. 2012. Performance of technical analysis in growth and small cap segments of the US equity market. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 36:1, 193-208. [[Crossref](#)]
670. Fernando Gómez-Bezares, Luis Ferruz, María Vargas. 2012. Can we beat the market with beta? An intuitive test of the CAPM. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting / Revista Española de Financiación y Contabilidad* 41:155, 333-352. [[Crossref](#)]
671. Walid Mensi. 2012. Ranking efficiency for twenty-six emerging stock markets and financial crisis: Evidence from the shannon entropy approach. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management* 7:1, 53-63. [[Crossref](#)]
672. Samuel Vazquez, Simone Farinelli. 2012. Gauge Invariance, Geometry and Arbitrage. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
673. Andreas Fritz, Christoph Weber. 2012. Informational Efficiency in Futures Markets for Crude Oil. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
674. Rui Esteves, David Chambers. 2012. The First Global Emerging Markets Investor: Foreign & Colonial Investment Trust 1880-1913. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
675. V. L. Raju Chinthalapati. 2012. High Frequency Statistical Arbitrage Via the Optimal Thermal Causal Path. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
676. Ali Saeedi, Seyed Reza Miraskari, Mehrdad Sadr Ara. 2012. The Investigation of TSE Weak-Form Efficiency: Evidence from an Emerging Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
677. Claude B. Erb, Campbell R. Harvey. 2012. The Golden Dilemma. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
678. Valeri Zakamouline. 2012. Predictable Dynamics in the Small Stock Premium. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
679. Gabriel Frahm. 2012. Capital Allocation Under Risk and Uncertainty. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
680. Jean-Bernard Chatelain, Kirsten Ralf. 2012. The Failure of Financial Macroeconomics and What to Do About it. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
681. Jae H. Kim. 2012. Predictive Regression: An Improved Augmented Regression Method. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
682. Na Wang, Edward J. Zychowicz. 2012. Sentiment and Technical Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
683. Tavares P. V., Da Silva F M. 2012. Análise da Eficiência do Mercado Futuro de Boi Gordo no Brasil: Testes de Estacionariedade, de Cointegração e Modelos VEC e TVEC (Analysis of the Efficiency of the Live Cattle Futures Market in Brazil: Testing Stationarity, and Models of Cointegration VEC and TVEC). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
684. Pietro Perotti, David Windisch. 2012. Managerial Discretion in Accruals and Informational Efficiency. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
685. Marc D. Joffe. 2012. Drivers of Municipal Bond Defaults during the Great Depression. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
686. Christoph Hukelmann, Cesario Mateus, Irina Bezhentseva Mateus. 2012. How Good are Equity Valuation Models in Predicting Stock Prices?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
687. John Garcia, Luis H. Gutierrez, Francesc Trillas. 2012. Reforma Regulatoria Energética En Europa: Impacto De Los Cambios De Control Corporativo Sobre El Valor De Las Empresas (Regulatory

- Reform in European Energy Industry: Impact of Changes in Corporate Control on Firm Value). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
688. Everton Anger Cavalheiro, Kelmara Mendes Vieira, Paulo Sérgio Ceretta. 2012. Efficiency in emerging markets: Applying the automatic variance ratio test. *Corporate Ownership and Control* 9:2, 300-309. [[Crossref](#)]
689. Robert W. Kolb. Ethical Implications of Finance 21-43. [[Crossref](#)]
690. Jae H. Kim, Abul Shamsuddin, Kian-Ping Lim. 2011. Stock return predictability and the adaptive markets hypothesis: Evidence from century-long U.S. data. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 18:5, 868-879. [[Crossref](#)]
691. Sarunas Raudys, Aistis Raudys. High frequency trading portfolio optimisation: Integration of financial and human factors 696-701. [[Crossref](#)]
692. . Références bibliographiques 331-340. [[Crossref](#)]
693. Eria Hisali. 2011. Regime switching behavior of the nominal exchange rate in Uganda. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies* 2:2, 165-179. [[Crossref](#)]
694. LUMENGO BONGA-BONGA, MUTEBA MWAMBA. 2011. THE PREDICTABILITY OF STOCK MARKET RETURNS IN SOUTH AFRICA: PARAMETRIC VS. NON-PARAMETRIC METHODS. *South African Journal of Economics* 79:3, 301-311. [[Crossref](#)]
695. Eugen I. Scarlat, Cezar Scarlat. "Hands On - Hands Off": Centralized vs. Decentralized Management of Economic Systems by Nonlinear Time Series Analysis 1-5. [[Crossref](#)]
696. Dome Lohpetch, David Corne. Multiobjective algorithms for financial trading: Multiobjective out-trades single-objective 192-199. [[Crossref](#)]
697. Paul Alagidede. 2011. Return behaviour in Africa's emerging equity markets. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 51:2, 133-140. [[Crossref](#)]
698. Calvin Blackwell, Robert Pickford. 2011. The wisdom of the few or the wisdom of the many? An indirect test of the marginal trader hypothesis. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 35:2, 164-180. [[Crossref](#)]
699. Jenni L Bettman, Wen Sern Kelvin Ng, Stephen J Sault. 2011. The economic significance of trading based on the size effect in Australia. *Australian Journal of Management* 36:1, 59-73. [[Crossref](#)]
700. Sangmi Chai, Minkyun Kim, H. Raghav Rao. 2011. Firms' information security investment decisions: Stock market evidence of investors' behavior. *Decision Support Systems* 50:4, 651-661. [[Crossref](#)]
701. Khamis H. Al-Yahyaee, Toan M. Pham, Terry S. Walter. 2011. The information content of cash dividend announcements in a unique environment. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 35:3, 606-612. [[Crossref](#)]
702. Johan Bollen, Huina Mao, Xiaojun Zeng. 2011. Twitter mood predicts the stock market. *Journal of Computational Science* 2:1, 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
703. Stephen J. Brown. 2011. The efficient markets hypothesis: The demise of the demon of chance?. *Accounting & Finance* 51:1, 79-95. [[Crossref](#)]
704. Kian-Ping Lim, Robert Brooks. 2011. THE EVOLUTION OF STOCK MARKET EFFICIENCY OVER TIME: A SURVEY OF THE EMPIRICAL LITERATURE. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 25:1, 69-108. [[Crossref](#)]
705. John Campbell, Dubravka Ceece-Kecmanovic. 2011. Communicative practices in an online financial forum during abnormal stock market behavior. *Information & Management* 48:1, 37-52. [[Crossref](#)]
706. Amir Amel-Zadeh. 2011. The Return of the Size Anomaly: Evidence from the German Stock Market. *European Financial Management* 17:1, 145-182. [[Crossref](#)]

707. Muhammed Shahid Ebrahim, Ike Mathur. 2011. On the Efficiency of the Upreit Organizational Form: Implications for the Subprime Crisis and CDO's. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
708. Andrew Mauboussin, Samuel Arbesman. 2011. Differentiating Skill and Luck in Financial Markets with Streaks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
709. Timm O. Sprenger, Isabell M. Welppe. 2011. News or Noise? The Stock Market Reaction to Different Types of Company-Specific News Events. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
710. Erik Snowberg, Justin Wolfers, Eric Zitzewitz. 2011. How Prediction Markets Can Save Event Studies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
711. Russ Ray. 2011. Managing Financial Risk via Prediction Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
712. Samithamby Senthilnathan. 2011. Theoretical Role of the Most Recent Prior Period's Equity Price in Value Relevance Studies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
713. James P. Hawley, Mehdi Beyhaghi. 2011. Modern Portfolio Theory and Risk Management: Assumptions and Unintended Consequences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
714. Robert B. Scott. 2011. Annualising Volatility with Serial Correlation: Correcting Sharpe and Information Ratios. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
715. Guo Kai, John Conlon, Robert A. Van Ness. 2011. An Analysis of Market Efficiency in Response to Short Sale Information. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
716. Valeri Zakamouline. 2011. Forecasting the Size Premium Over Different Horizons. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
717. Jonathan Heck. 2011. Dynamic Investor Base, Momentum, and the Fog of Expectations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
718. Larry Bensimhon, Yuri Biondi. 2011. Financial Bubbles, Common Knowledge and Alternative Accounting Regimes: An Experimental Analysis of Artificial Spot Security Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
719. Jinpeng Ma, Qionglng Li. 2011. Bubbles, Crashes and Efficiency with Double Auction Mechanisms. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
720. M Pesaran. Predictability of Asset Returns and the Efficient Market Hypothesis 281-311. [[Crossref](#)]
721. David Weisbach. Instrument Choice Is Instrument Design 113-158. [[Crossref](#)]
722. M. R. Wickens. 2010. What's Wrong with Modern Macroeconomics? Why its Critics have Missed the Point. *CESifo Economic Studies* 56:4, 536-553. [[Crossref](#)]
723. Gerrit H. Van Bruggen, Martin Spann, Gary L. Lilien, Bernd Skiera. 2010. Prediction Markets as institutional forecasting support systems. *Decision Support Systems* 49:4, 404-416. [[Crossref](#)]
724. Lukas Menkhoff. 2010. The use of technical analysis by fund managers: International evidence. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 34:11, 2573-2586. [[Crossref](#)]
725. Aljinovic Zdravka, Ercegovac Roberto, Marasovic Branka. Country risk premium development &#8212; Case study Croatia 142-147. [[Crossref](#)]
726. Alberto Ferreira De Souza, Fabio Daros Freitas, Andre Gustavo Coelho de Almeida. High performance prediction of stock returns with VG-RAM weightless neural networks 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
727. SATOSHI SUZUKI, YOSHITO HIRATA, KAZUYUKI AIHARA. 2010. DEFINITION OF DISTANCE FOR MARKED POINT PROCESS DATA AND ITS APPLICATION TO RECURRENCE PLOT-BASED ANALYSIS OF EXCHANGE TICK DATA OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 20:11, 3699-3708. [[Crossref](#)]
728. Akbar Zaheer, Exequiel Hernandez, Sanjay Banerjee. 2010. Prior Alliances with Targets and Acquisition Performance in Knowledge-Intensive Industries. *Organization Science* 21:5, 1072-1091. [[Crossref](#)]

729. Morris Altman. Prospect Theory and Behavioral Finance 191-209. [[Crossref](#)]
730. Lukas Macijauskas. 2010. Sezioniškumo Lietuvos akcijų rinkoje tyrimas. *Verslas: teorija ir praktika* 11:3, 279-285. [[Crossref](#)]
731. Michael Kirchler. 2010. Partial knowledge is a dangerous thing – On the value of asymmetric fundamental information in asset markets. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 31:4, 643-658. [[Crossref](#)]
732. Weili Xia, Xiaguo Zhong, Xiaoming Gao, Jijiao Jiang. Empirical Study on the Book-to-Market Effect in China Stock Markets 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
733. Roseli da Silva, Rodrigo Takeuchi. 2010. Mercados futuro e à vista de açúcar: uma análise empírica de eficiência versus arbitragem. *Revista de Economia e Sociologia Rural* 48:2, 307-330. [[Crossref](#)]
734. Charles N. Noussair, Owen Powell. 2010. Peaks and valleys. *Journal of Economic Studies* 37:2, 152-180. [[Crossref](#)]
735. Kian-Ping Lim, Robert D. Brooks. 2010. WHY DO EMERGING STOCK MARKETS EXPERIENCE MORE PERSISTENT PRICE DEVIATIONS FROM A RANDOM WALK OVER TIME? A COUNTRY-LEVEL ANALYSIS. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 14:S1, 3-41. [[Crossref](#)]
736. Houda Ben Mhenni Haj Youssef, Lassad El Moubarki, Olfa Benouda Sioud. 2010. Can diversification degree amplify momentum and contrarian anomalies?. *Review of Accounting and Finance* 9:1, 50-64. [[Crossref](#)]
737. Mazin A.M. Al Janabi, Abdunnasser Hatemi-J, Manuchehr Irandoust. 2010. An empirical investigation of the informational efficiency of the GCC equity markets: Evidence from bootstrap simulation. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 19:1, 47-54. [[Crossref](#)]
738. Korkut Ertürk, Gökcer Özgür. A Minsky Moment, or Not? 209-223. [[Crossref](#)]
739. Stephen J. Brown. 2010. The Efficient Markets Hypothesis: The Demise of the Demon of Chance?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
740. Jae H. Kim, Kian-Ping Lim, Abul Shamsuddin. 2010. Stock Return Predictability and the Adaptive Markets Hypothesis: Evidence from Century Long U.S. Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
741. Stephen F. Diamond, Jennifer W. Kuan. 2010. Can Institutional Economics Inform the Efficient Market Hypothesis?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
742. Marcelo Perlin, Alfonso Dufour, Chris Brooks. 2010. The Determinants of a Cross Market Arbitrage Opportunity: Theory and Evidence for the European Bond Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
743. Shumi M. Akhtar, Robert W. Faff, Barry R. Oliver, Avanidhar Subrahmanyam. 2010. The Power of Bad: The Negativity Bias in Consumer Sentiment Announcements on Stock Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
744. Frederic Abergel, Mauro Politi. 2010. Optimizing a Basket against the Efficient Market Hypothesis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
745. Stephen F. Diamond, Jennifer W. Kuan. 2010. Institutional Heterogeneity Among Stock Exchanges: Implications for Market Efficiency. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
746. Ariane Szafarz. 2010. Financial Crises in Efficient Markets: How Fundamentalists Fuel Volatility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
747. Benjamin F. Cummings. 2010. The Effect of Mutual Fund Fees on Performance: A Review of the Literature for Practitioners. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
748. Wei Wu, Glenn Shafer. 2010. Testing Lead-Lag Effects under Game-Theoretic Efficient Market Hypotheses. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

749. Robert J. Kauffman, Trent J. Spaulding, Charles A. Wood. 2009. Are online auction markets efficient? An empirical study of market liquidity and abnormal returns. *Decision Support Systems* **48**:1, 3-13. [[Crossref](#)]
750. Edward F McQuarrie. 2009. The Myth of 1926: How Much Do We Know About Long-Term Returns on U.S. Stocks?. *The Journal of Investing* **18**:4, 96-106. [[Crossref](#)]
751. Greg Kuserk. Speculation and Hedging 43-55. [[Crossref](#)]
752. Lev Muchnik, Armin Bunde, Shlomo Havlin. 2009. Long term memory in extreme returns of financial time series. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **388**:19, 4145-4150. [[Crossref](#)]
753. Derek Lehmborg, W. Glenn Rowe, Roderick E. White, John R. Phillips. 2009. The GE Paradox: Competitive Advantage Through Fungible Non-Firm-Specific Investment. *Journal of Management* **35**:5, 1129-1153. [[Crossref](#)]
754. Abdunnasser Hatemi-J, Bryan Morgan. 2009. An empirical analysis of the informational efficiency of Australian equity markets. *Journal of Economic Studies* **36**:5, 437-445. [[Crossref](#)]
755. André Steffens Moraes, Ricardo Chaves Lima, André de Souza Melo. 2009. Análise da eficiência do mercado futuro brasileiro de boi gordo usando co-integração. *Revista de Economia e Sociologia Rural* **47**:3, 601-614. [[Crossref](#)]
756. Antoine Bouveret, Gabriele Di Filippo. 2009. Les marchés financiers sont-ils efficients ?. *Revue de l'OFCE n° 110*:3, 95-140. [[Crossref](#)]
757. Dan J. O'Donnell, Dirk G. Baur. 2009. Momentum in the Irish stock market. *Applied Economics Letters* **16**:11, 1133-1138. [[Crossref](#)]
758. Pierre J. Richard, Timothy M. Devinney, George S. Yip, Gerry Johnson. 2009. Measuring Organizational Performance: Towards Methodological Best Practice. *Journal of Management* **35**:3, 718-804. [[Crossref](#)]
759. Fabio D. Freitas, Alberto F. De Souza, Ailson R. de Almeida. 2009. Prediction-based portfolio optimization model using neural networks. *Neurocomputing* **72**:10-12, 2155-2170. [[Crossref](#)]
760. 2009. The Stock Market's Little Shop of Horrors: And You Thought the Aftermath of 1929 Was Grim. *The Journal of Investing* **18**:2, 6-12. [[Crossref](#)]
761. Armin Shmilovici, Yoav Kahiri, Irad Ben-Gal, Shmuel Hauser. 2009. Measuring the Efficiency of the Intraday Forex Market with a Universal Data Compression Algorithm. *Computational Economics* **33**:2, 131-154. [[Crossref](#)]
762. Marilyn Clark-Murphy, Paul Gerrans, Craig Speelman. 2009. Return Chasing as a Driver in Individual Retirement Savings Investment Choices: Evidence from Australia. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* **30**:1, 4-19. [[Crossref](#)]
763. Milan Lovric, Uzay Kaymak, Jaap Spronk. Overconfident investors in the LLS agent-based artificial financial market 58-65. [[Crossref](#)]
764. Philip Roscoe, Carole Howorth. 2009. Identification through technical analysis: A study of charting and UK non-professional investors. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* **34**:2, 206-221. [[Crossref](#)]
765. Cheol-Ho Park, Scott H. Irwin. 2009. A reality check on technical trading rule profits in the U.S. futures markets. *Journal of Futures Markets* **51**, n/a-n/a. [[Crossref](#)]
766. S.R. Vishwanath. Market Efficiency: Theory, Tests and Applications 497-515. [[Crossref](#)]
767. Punit Arora, Milena T. Petrova. 2009. Corporate Social Performance, Stakeholder Coalitions, Corporate Governance and Performance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
768. Samithamby Senthilnathan. 2009. The Information Perspective and Usefulness of Accounting Information. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

769. Luis Coelho, Richard J. Taffler. 2009. Gambling on the Stock Market: The Case of Bankrupt Companies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
770. David A. Weisbach. 2009. Instrument Choice is Instrument Design. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
771. Samithamby Senthilnathan. 2009. The Efficient Market, Random Walk, and the Ohlson (1995) Model. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
772. Y. I. T. I Mtulia. 2009. On the Efficient Market Equilibrium and the Pricing of Equities: A Fundamental Approach to Real Time Pricing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
773. Christoph Weber. 2009. Efficiency Versus Robustness of Markets – Why Improving Market Efficiency Should Not Be the Only Objective of Market Regulation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
774. Steven E Abraham, Barry A Friedman, Raihan H Khan, Richard J Skolnik. 2008. Is Publication of the Reputation Quotient (RQ) Sufficient to Move Stock Prices?. *Corporate Reputation Review* 11:4, 308-319. [[Crossref](#)]
775. Edward F McQuarrie. 2008. Fundamentally Indexed or Fundamentally Misconceived: Locating the Source of RAFI Outperformance. *The Journal of Investing* 17:4, 29-37. [[Crossref](#)]
776. Philipp M. Schlumpf, Markus M. Schmid, Heinz Zimmermann. 2008. The First- and Second-Hand Effect of Analysts' Stock Recommendations: Evidence from the Swiss Stock Market. *European Financial Management* 14:5, 962-988. [[Crossref](#)]
777. Erling Røed Larsen, Steffen Weum. 2008. Testing the efficiency of the Norwegian housing market. *Journal of Urban Economics* 64:2, 510-517. [[Crossref](#)]
778. Gili Yen, Cheng-few Lee. 2008. Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH): Past, Present and Future. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* 11:02, 305-329. [[Crossref](#)]
779. STEVEN E. ABRAHAM, PAULA B. VOOS. 2008. California's Health Insurance Act of 2003: View of the Market. *Industrial Relations* 47:2, 209-228. [[Crossref](#)]
780. André Orléan. 2008. La notion de valeur fondamentale est-elle indispensable à la théorie financière ?. *Regards croisés sur l'économie* n° 3:1, 120-128. [[Crossref](#)]
781. Christophe Boucher. 2008. Mésalignements, rentabilités et volatilité sur le marché des actions. *Vie & sciences de l'entreprise* N° 178:1, 22-32. [[Crossref](#)]
782. Mario Benassi, Tommaso Di Noia, Alessandro Marino. A Matchmaking Architecture to Support Innovation by Fostering Supply and Demand of Venture Capital 61-70. [[Crossref](#)]
783. Jürgen Huber, Michael Kirchler, Matthias Sutter. 2008. Is more information always better?. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 65:1, 86-104. [[Crossref](#)]
784. Sabyasachi Mitra, Vinod Singhal. 2008. Supply chain integration and shareholder value: Evidence from consortium based industry exchanges. *Journal of Operations Management* 26:1, 96-114. [[Crossref](#)]
785. Stuart M. Locke, Kartick Gupta. 2008. The performance of entrepreneurial companies post-listing on the New Zealand Stock Exchange. *Venture Capital* 10:1, 87-110. [[Crossref](#)]
786. Hendri Adriaens, Bas Donkers, Bertrand Melenberg. 2008. Ambiguity, no Arbitrage, and the Limits to Rational Expectations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
787. Federico Longobardi. 2008. Information Duties in Providing Investment Services to Retail Customers. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
788. Thomas S. Joiner, Judith A. Laux. 2008. Energy Star: A Competitive Advantage?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
789. Charles N. Noussair, Owen Powell. 2008. Peaks and Valleys: Experimental Asset Markets with Non-Monotonic Fundamentals. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]



790. Matjaz Steinbacher. 2008. Evolutionary Portfolios Through the Small World Network. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
791. John D. Martin. 2008. Shareholder Value Maximization: Is There a Role for Corporate Social Responsibility?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
792. Kian-Ping Lim, Jae H. Kim. 2008. Trade Openness and the Weak-Form Efficiency of Emerging Stock Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
793. Erik Snowberg, Justin Wolfers, Eric Zitzewitz. 2008. How Prediction Markets Can Save Event Studies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
794. Saqib Sharif. 2008. An Investigation into the Role of Short-Selling and Its Impact on the Weekend Effect Evidence from Australian Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
795. Pierre J. Richard, Timothy M. Devinney, George S. Yip, Gerry Johnson. 2008. Measuring Organizational Performance as a Dependent Variable: Towards Methodological Best Practice. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
796. Amir Amel-Zadeh. 2008. The Return of the Size Anomaly: Evidence from the German Stock Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
797. MORAG I. TORRANCE. 2007. The Power of Governance in Financial Relationships: Governing Tensions in Exotic Infrastructure Territory. *Growth and Change* **38**:4, 671-695. [[Crossref](#)]
798. Sascha H. Mölls, Michael Strauß. 2007. Bewertungsrelevanz der Rechnungslegung. *Journal of Business Economics* **77**:9, 955-996. [[Crossref](#)]
799. Caroline Fohlin. 2007. Does Civil Law Tradition and Universal Banking Crowd out Securities Markets? Pre-World War I Germany as Counter-Example. *Enterprise & Society* **8**:3, 602-641. [[Crossref](#)]
800. EDGAR J. WILSON, HAZEM A. MARASHDEH. 2007. Are Co-integrated Stock Prices Consistent with the Efficient Market Hypothesis?. *Economic Record* **83**:s1, S87-S93. [[Crossref](#)]
801. Caroline Fohlin. 2007. Does Civil Law Tradition and Universal Banking Crowd out Securities Markets? Pre-World War I Germany as Counter-Example. *Enterprise and Society* **8**:3, 602-641. [[Crossref](#)]
802. Nathan M. Jensen. 2007. International institutions and market expectations: Stock price responses to the WTO ruling on the 2002 U.S. steel tariffs. *The Review of International Organizations* **2**:3, 261-280. [[Crossref](#)]
803. Jaehun Chung, Yongmiao Hong. 2007. Model-free evaluation of directional predictability in foreign exchange markets. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **22**:5, 855-889. [[Crossref](#)]
804. Jürgen Huber. 2007. 'J'-shaped returns to timing advantage in access to information – Experimental evidence and a tentative explanation. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **31**:8, 2536-2572. [[Crossref](#)]
805. R. Cross, M. Grinfeld, H. Lamba, T. Seaman. 2007. Stylized facts from a threshold-based heterogeneous agent model. *The European Physical Journal B* **57**:2, 213-218. [[Crossref](#)]
806. Thorsten Hens, Peter Wöhrmann. 2007. Strategic asset allocation and market timing: a reinforcement learning approach. *Computational Economics* **29**:3-4, 369-381. [[Crossref](#)]
807. Hooi Hooi Lean, Russell Smyth. 2007. Do Asian Stock Markets Follow a Random Walk? Evidence from LM Unit Root Tests with One and Two Structural Breaks. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* **10**:01, 15-31. [[Crossref](#)]
808. Hafiz A.A.B. Hoque, Jae H. Kim, Chong Soo Pyun. 2007. A comparison of variance ratio tests of random walk: A case of Asian emerging stock markets. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **16**:4, 488-502. [[Crossref](#)]

809. Erik Theissen. 2007. An analysis of private investors' stock market return forecasts. *Applied Financial Economics* 17:1, 35-43. [[Crossref](#)]
810. B. Tóth, E. Scalas, J. Huber, M. Kirchler. 2007. The value of information in a multi-agent market model. *The European Physical Journal B* 55:1, 115-120. [[Crossref](#)]
811. Min Deng. 2007. Death of the Efficient Market Hypothesis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
812. Kian-Ping Lim, Robert Darren Brooks. 2007. Cross-Country Determinants of Weak-Form Stock Market Efficiency: A Preliminary Exploratory Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
813. Christopher R. Stephens, H.A. Benink, Jose Luis Gordillo, Juan Pablo Pardo-Guerra. 2007. A New Measure of Market Inefficiency. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
814. Taylor Gray. 2007. Institutional Investor Corporate Engagement Theory: An Empirical Review of the Calpers Focus List Program. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
815. Yasmin H. Abdel Razek, Said T. Ebeid. 2007. Market Timing: Testing Market Timing Ability in the Egyptian Stock Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
816. Jan Hájek. 2007. Czech Capital Market Weak-Form Efficiency, Selected Issues. *Prague Economic Papers* 16:4, 303-318. [[Crossref](#)]
817. R Cross, M Grinfeld, H Lamba. 2006. A mean-field model of investor behaviour. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 55, 55-62. [[Crossref](#)]
818. Bernhard Eckwert, Andreas Szcztkowski. 2006. Rationally mispriced assets in equilibrium. *Spanish Economic Review* 8:4, 285-299. [[Crossref](#)]
819. 2006. Letters to the Editor. *California Management Review* 49:1, 149-174. [[Crossref](#)]
820. Stephen Bell, John Quiggin. 2006. Asset Price Instability and Policy Responses: The Legacy of Liberalization. *Journal of Economic Issues* 40:3, 629-649. [[Crossref](#)]
821. Kenneth L. Fisher, Meir Statman. 2006. MARKET TIMING IN REGRESSIONS AND REALITY. *Journal of Financial Research* 29:3, 293-304. [[Crossref](#)]
822. Mark Haug, Mark Hirschey. 2006. The January Effect. *Financial Analysts Journal* 62:5, 78-88. [[Crossref](#)]
823. PATRICK BOLTON, JOSE SCHEINKMAN, WEI XIONG. 2006. Executive Compensation and Short-Termist Behaviour in Speculative Markets. *Review of Economic Studies* 73:3, 577-610. [[Crossref](#)]
824. R. Stephen Elliott, Michael J. Highfield, Mark Schaub. 2006. Contagion or Competition: Going Concern Audit Opinions for Real Estate Firms. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 32:4, 435-448. [[Crossref](#)]
825. Katherine I. Gleason, Mark Klock. 2006. Intangible capital in the pharmaceutical and chemical industry. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 46:2, 300-314. [[Crossref](#)]
826. MARK G. HAYES. 2006. Value and probability. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 28:3, 527-538. [[Crossref](#)]
827. Philipp M. Schlumpf, Markus M. Schmid, Heinz Zimmermann. 2006. The First- and Second-Hand Effect of Analysts' Stock Recommendations - Evidence from the Swiss Stock Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
828. Nathan M. Jensen. 2006. International Institutions and Market Expectations: Stock Price Responses to the WTO Ruling on the 2002 U.S. Steel Tariffs. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
829. Thorsten Hens, Peter Woehrmann. 2006. Strategic Asset Allocation and Market Timing: A Reinforcement Learning Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
830. Robert Yan, John Nuttall, Charles X. Ling. 2006. Application of Machine Learning to Short-Term Equity Return Prediction. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

831. John Nuttall. 2006. Asset Allocation Approach to Understanding Stock Market Dynamics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
832. Kian-Ping Lim, Robert Darren Brooks. 2006. The Evolving and Relative Efficiencies of Stock Markets: Empirical Evidence from Rolling Bicorrelation Test Statistics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
833. PAOLO M. PANTEGHINI. 2005. Asymmetric Taxation under Incremental and Sequential Investment. *Journal of Public Economic Theory* 7:5, 761-779. [[Crossref](#)]
834. Douglas H. Wightman, Lucas G. Jurkovic, Yolande E. Chan. 2005. Technology to facilitate ethical action: a proposed design. *AI & SOCIETY* 19:3, 250-264. [[Crossref](#)]
835. Ernst Glatzer, Martin Scheicher. 2005. What moves the tail? The determinants of the option-implied probability density function of the DAX index. *Journal of Futures Markets* 25:6, 515-536. [[Crossref](#)]
836. Paresh Kumar Narayan, Russell Smyth \*. 2005. Are OECD stock prices characterized by a random walk? Evidence from sequential trend break and panel data models. *Applied Financial Economics* 15:8, 547-556. [[Crossref](#)]
837. John Sabelhaus. 2005. Alternative Methods for Projecting Equity Returns: Implications for Evaluating Social Security Reform Proposals. *Risk Management & Insurance Review* 8:1, 43-63. [[Crossref](#)]
838. Burton G. Malkiel. 2005. Reflections on the Efficient Market Hypothesis: 30 Years Later. *The Financial Review* 40:1, 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]
839. Jürgen Huber, Michael Kirchler, Matthias Sutter. On the Benefit of Additional Information in Markets with Heterogeneously Informed Agents — an Experimental Study 41-52. [[Crossref](#)]
840. Lukas Junker. References 367-445. [[Crossref](#)]
841. Yousuf Azim Siddiqi. 2005. The Impact of Liquidity on Market Efficiency: The Case of Saudi Stock Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
842. Juan Carlos Hatchondo. 2005. Asymmetric Information and the Lack of International Portfolio Diversification. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
843. Botond Döme. 2005. Efficiency and Return Predictability. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
844. Mark Haug, Mark Hirschey. 2005. The January Effect. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
845. Juergen Huber. 2005. When Better Forecasting Abilities can be Harmful - Results from an Experimental Financial Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
846. Bernhard Eckwert, Burkhard Drees. 2005. Asset Mispricing Due to Cognitive Dissonance. *IMF Working Papers* 05:9, 1. [[Crossref](#)]
847. Wesley S. Chan, Richard Frankel, S.P. Kothari. 2004. Testing behavioral finance theories using trends and consistency in financial performance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 38, 3-50. [[Crossref](#)]
848. ROBERT E. LOONEY. 2004. DARPA's Policy Analysis Market for Intelligence: Outside the Box or Off the Wall?. *International Journal of Intelligence and CounterIntelligence* 17:3, 405-419. [[Crossref](#)]
849. 2004. Book Reviews. *Journal of Economic Literature* 42:3, 838-890. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
850. Stephen F. LeRoy. 2004. Rational Exuberance. *Journal of Economic Literature* 42:3, 783-804. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
851. Juergen Huber, Michael Kirchler. 2004. The Value of Information in Markets with Heterogeneously Informed Traders - A Simulation and an Experimental Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
852. Louis Lowenstein. 2004. Searching for Rational Investors In a Perfect Storm. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

853. Helina Laakkonen. 2004. The Impact of Macroeconomic News on Exchange Rate Volatility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
854. Syed Ali Abbas Naqvi. 2004. Does Kse-100 Index Follows a Random Walk: An Empirical Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
855. Marian Berneburg. 2004. Are European Equity Style Indexes Mean Reverting? Testing the Validity of the Efficient Market Hypothesis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
856. Patrick Bisciari, Alain C. J. Durré, Alain Nyssens. 2003. Stock Market Valuation in the United States. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
857. Patrick Bolton, Jos A. Scheinkman, Wei Xiong. 2003. Executive Compensation and Short-termist Behavior in Speculative Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
858. Joep Sonnemans. 2003. Price Clustering and Natural Resistance Points in the Dutch Stock Market: A Natural Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
859. Samuel B. Bulmash. 2003. The Interaction Between the Stock Market and Business Sector Investments and the Economy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
860. Erik Theissen. 2003. An Analysis of Private Investors' Stock Market Return Forecasts. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
861. Olivier Brandoy, Philippe Mathieu. A Broad-Spectrum Computational Approach for Market Efficiency 47-61. [[Crossref](#)]
862. Juergen Huber, Michael Kirchler, Matthias Sutter. Does the Level of Information Matter for Traders? On the Usefulness of Information in Experimental Asset Markets 251-256. [[Crossref](#)]
863. Christine Nolder, James E. Hunton. Do audit and non-audit business students implicitly associate a company's relative stock market performance with perceptions of corporate ethical behavior? 103-128. [[Crossref](#)]
864. Salim Lahmiri. Prediction of International Stock Markets Based on Hybrid Intelligent Systems 1651-1667. [[Crossref](#)]