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We investigate the effect of lane kilometers of roads on vehicle-kilometers traveled (VKT) in US cities. VKT increases proportionately to roadway lane kilometers for interstate highways and probably slightly less rapidly for other types of roads. The sources for this extra VKT are increases in driving by current residents, increases in commercial traffic, and migration. Increasing lane kilometers for one type of road diverts little traffic from other types of road. We find no evidence that the provision of public transportation affects VKT. We conclude that increased provision of roads or public transit is unlikely to relieve congestion. (JEL R41, R48)

We investigate the effect of lane kilometers of roads on vehicle-kilometers traveled (VKT) for different types of roads in the United States. For interstate highways in metropolitan areas we find that VKT increases one for one with interstate highways, confirming the “fundamental law of highway congestion” suggested by Anthony Downs (1962, 1992). We also uncover suggestive evidence that this law may extend beyond interstate highways to a broad class of major urban roads, a “fundamental law of road congestion.” These results suggest that increased provision of interstate highways and major urban roads is unlikely to relieve congestion of these roads.

Our investigation is of interest for three reasons. First, in 2001 an average American household spent 161 person-minutes per day in a passenger vehicle. These minutes allowed 134 person-kilometer of auto travel at an average speed of 44 km/h. Multiplying by the number of households in the US and any reasonable dollar value of time, we see that the US allocated considerable resources to passenger vehicle travel. That Americans rank commuting among their least enjoyable...
activities (Alan B. Krueger et al. 2009) buttresses our suspicion that the costs of congestion are large. To the extent that travel resources could have been better allocated, understanding congestion and the effect of potential policy interventions is an important economic problem.

Second, since the costs of congestion and of transportation infrastructure are both large, transportation policy should be based on the careful analysis of high quality data, not on the claims of advocacy groups. Unfortunately, there is currently little empirical basis for accepting or rejecting the claims by the American Road and Transportation Builders Association that “adding highway capacity is key to helping to reduce traffic congestion,” or of the American Public Transit Association that without new investment in public transit, highways will become so congested that they “will no longer work.”

Our results do not support either of these claims.

Third, with the increasing certainty of global warming comes the need to manage carbon emissions. According to the US Bureau of Transportation Statistics (2007, ch. 4) the road transportation sector accounts for about a third of US carbon emissions from energy use. Understanding the implications for VKT of changes to transportation infrastructure is immediately relevant to this policy problem.

Ours is not the first attempt to measure the effect of the supply of roads on traffic. Following Roy E. Jorgensen (1947), a large literature estimates new traffic for particular facilities after their opening or after a capacity expansion (see Phil B. Goodwin 1996 and Robert Cervero 2002 for reviews). Studies of a particular road provide little basis, however, for assessing the impact that changes in infrastructure have on traffic in the city at large, a question that is probably more relevant to transportation policy. As Cervero’s (2002) review shows, few studies take an approach similar to ours and assess the effect of road provision on traffic over entire areas. These studies generally find a positive elasticity of VKT to the supply of roads, although their estimates of this elasticity vary widely. We improve on this literature in three respects.

First, we use more, and more comprehensive, data. To begin, we take average annual daily traffic (AADT) and a description of the road network from the US Highway Performance and Monitoring System (HPMS) for 1983, 1993, and 2003. We add a description of individual and household travel behavior taken from the 1995 Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey and 2001 National Household Travel Survey (which we jointly refer to as NPTS). These data track several measures of traffic and infrastructure for all metropolitan areas in the continental US. Together with data describing truck traffic, public transit, sectoral employment, population, and physical geography, these data are a powerful tool with which to investigate the way that VKT responds to changes in the stock of roads and transit in US metropolitan areas. Extant research, on the other hand, examines one specific state (usually California) or a small subgroup of adjacent states (usually on the East Coast) taking counties or smaller administrative units as the unit of observation.
The resulting estimates of the relationship between infrastructure and traffic in small administrative districts from highly urbanized parts of the US are not obviously relevant to national transportation policy.

Second, we are more careful to establish a causal relationship between roads and traffic. Existing literature either does not recognize that roads and traffic may be simultaneously determined, or fails to solve this identification problem. To identify the causal effect of roads on traffic, we examine both time series and cross-sectional variation in our data and exploit three instrumental variables to predict the incidence of roads in metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs). These instruments are based on the routes of major expeditions of exploration between 1835 and 1850, major rail routes in 1898, and the proposed routes of interstate highways in a preliminary plan of the network. Our results strongly support the hypothesis that roads cause traffic.

Third, beyond data and methodological improvements, we extend the conclusions of the existing literature in three ways. Within US MSAs, we distinguish between interstate highways in their “urbanized” parts and outside. We also use data for a broad class of major urban roads. While we cannot implement our preferred identification strategy for this last class of roads, our OLS results suggest that increases in an MSA’s stock of major urban roads also lead to large increases in VKT. We deduce two further implications of the law of road congestion and confirm that these implications are consistent with observation. First, we find no evidence that the provision of public transportation affects VKT. Second, metropolitan areas with less traffic experience a larger increase in travel. Finally, we describe the foundations underlying the fundamental law of highway congestion: people drive more when the stock of roads increases; commercial driving and trucking increase with a city’s stock of roads; and people migrate to cities that are relatively well provided with roads. Surprisingly, our data also suggest that a new lane kilometer of roadway diverts little traffic from other roads.

\[ P(Q^*) = \frac{C(R, Q^*)}{Q^*}. \]

I. Roads and Traffic: A Simple Framework

To motivate our econometric strategy, consider a simple model of equilibrium VKT. To begin, let \( R \) denote lane kilometers of roads in a city, let \( Q \) denote VKT, and let \( P(Q) \) be the inverse demand for VKT. The downward sloping line in Figure 1 represents an inverse VKT demand curve for a particular city.

Let \( C(R, Q) \) be the total variable cost of VKT, \( Q \), given roads, \( R \). In equilibrium all drivers face the same average cost of travel. Holding lane kilometers constant at \( R \), the average cost of driving increases with VKT. Hence, the average cost curve for VKT is upward sloping. This feature is well documented in the transportation literature (Kenneth A. Small and Erik T. Verhoef 2007). The left-most upward sloping curve in Figure 1 represents the supply curve \( AC(R) \) associated with roads \( R \).

Equilibrium VKT, \( Q^*(R) \) is characterized by

\[ P(Q^*) = \frac{C(R, Q^*)}{Q^*}. \]
That is, willingness to pay equals average cost.

Increasing the supply of road lane kilometers from $R$ to $R'$ reduces the average cost of driving for any level of VKT. It thus shifts the average cost curve to the right. With $R$ lane kilometers of roads in the city, the demand curve intersects with the supply curve at $Q^*$, the equilibrium VKT. With $R'$ lane kilometers of road, the corresponding equilibrium implies a VKT of $Q'^*$.

We would like to learn the effect of an increase in the stock of roads on driving in cities. That is, we would like to learn about the function $Q^*(R)$ defined implicitly by equation (1). Indexing cities by $i$ and years by $t$, our problem may be stated as one of estimating,

$$\ln(Q_{it}) = A_0 + \rho_R R \ln(R_{it}) + A_1 X_{it} + \epsilon_{it},$$

where $X$ denotes a vector of observed city characteristics and $\epsilon$ describes unobserved contributors to driving. We are interested in the coefficient of $R$, the road elasticity of VKT, $\rho_R \equiv \partial \ln Q / \partial \ln R$.

With data describing driving and the stock of roads in a set of cities, we can estimate equation (2) with OLS to obtain consistent estimates of $\rho_R$, provided that $\text{cov}(R, \epsilon | X) = 0$. In practice, we hope that roads will be assigned to growing cities and fear that they are assigned to prop-up declining cities. In either case, the required orthogonality condition fails. Thus, we are concerned that estimating equation (2) will not lead to the true value of $\rho_R$.

$^4$There are pathological examples where increases in the extent of a road network can reduce its capacity, in particular the “Braess paradox” described in Small and Verhoef (2007). We ignore such pathological examples here.
As a next step, we partition $\epsilon$ into permanent and time-varying components, and write

$$\ln(Q_{it}) = A_0 + \rho_R^Q \ln(R_{it}) + A_1 X_{it} + \delta_i + \eta_{it}. \tag{3}$$

With data describing a panel of cities, we can estimate this equation using city fixed effects to remove all time-invariant city effects. This leads to consistent estimates of $\rho_R^Q$, provided that $\text{cov}(R, \eta | X, \delta) = 0$. We also estimate the first difference equation,

$$\Delta \ln(Q_{it}) = \rho_R^Q \Delta \ln(R_{it}) + A_1 \Delta X_{it} + \Delta \eta_{it}, \tag{4}$$

where $\Delta$ is the first difference operator. Since all time-invariant factors drop out of the first difference equation, we are left with essentially the same orthogonality requirement as for equation (3). If, in equation (4), we include city characteristics in level and initial VKT as control variables, then we account for the possibility that these initial conditions may determine traffic growth and be correlated with changes in roadway.

To our knowledge, there is no study of a comprehensive set of metropolitan areas in the literature. The extant literature, however, has estimated variants of equations (2), (3), and (4) on a small samples of counties or metropolitan areas. While the early literature on induced demand at the area level (e.g., Frank S. Koppelman 1972) ran only simple OLS regressions in the spirit of equation (2), second generation work on the issue typically explored a variety of specifications with fixed effects and, sometimes, a complex lag structure. For instance, Mark Hansen et al. (1993) and Hansen and Yuanlin Huang (1997) use panels of urban counties and MSAs in California, while Noland (2001) uses a panel of US states. All find a positive association between VKT and lane kilometers of roadway, with estimated elasticities generally ranging between 0.3 and 0.7.

While equations (3) and (4) improve upon equation (2), we are concerned that roads will be assigned to cities in response to a contemporaneous shock to the city’s traffic. To deal with this identification issue, we model the assignment of roads to cities explicitly. This leads to a two-equation model, one to predict the assignment of roads to cities, the other to predict the effect of roads on traffic:

$$\ln(R_{it}) = B_0 + B_1 X_{it} + B_2 Z_{it} + \mu_{it} \tag{5}$$

$$\ln(Q_{it}) = A_0 + \rho_R^Q \ln(R_{it}) + A_1 X_{it} + \epsilon_{it},$$

where $\ln(R_{it})$ is predicted lane kilometers of roadway as estimated in the first stage. We can obtain consistent estimates of $\rho_R^Q$ provided that we are able to find instruments to satisfy $\text{cov}(Z, R | X) \neq 0$ and $\text{cov}(Z, \epsilon | X) = 0$.

The possible simultaneous determination of VKT and lane kilometers is recognized by several authors. To instrument for lane kilometers of highways, Cervero and Hansen (2002) use about 20 instruments describing politics and physical geog-

---

5 In fact, the two estimates have subtly different properties; see Jeffrey M. Wooldridge (2001, ch. 10).
raphy. This approach is subject to the problems associated with the use of a large number of instruments. Moreover, we expect the physical geography of cities, climate in particular, to affect the demand for travel directly, in addition to affecting the supply of roads. This violates the condition $\text{cov}(Z, \epsilon | X) = 0$ and invalidates the instruments. Noland and William A. Cowart (2000) use land area and population density as instruments for lane kilometers of roads. Again, we expect population density to be a determinant of the demand for travel as much as a determinant of the supply of roads. Lewis M. Fulton et al. (2000) instrument growth in lane kilometers of highways by short lags of the same variables in a first difference specification. The exclusion restriction then requires that past changes in road supply be uncorrelated with contemporaneous changes in demand. Since changes in road supply are serially correlated (and they need to be so for the instrument to have any predictive power), the exclusion restriction is unlikely to hold when new roads are supplied as a result of VKT demand shocks. We postpone a discussion of our own choice of instruments.

Each of the approaches described above relies on different variation in the data to estimate $\rho_R^Q$. Equation (2) relies on cross-sectional variation, while equations (3) and (4) use only time series variation. Equation (5) exploits the instrumental variables we describe later. Should all three methods arrive at the same estimate of $\rho_R^Q$, then all are correct, or all are incorrect, and an improbable relationship exists between the various errors and instrumental variables.

We now turn to a description of our data and estimates of $\rho_R^Q$ based on the estimating equations presented in this section.

II. Data and Estimation

We take the (consolidated) MSA drawn to 1999 boundaries as our unit of observation. Since each MSA aggregates one or more counties, MSA boundaries often encompass much land that is not “urban” in the common sense of the word. MSAs are generally organized around one or more “urbanized areas,” however, which make up the core(s) of the MSA and typically occupy only a fraction of an MSA’s land area. By using data collected at the level of “urbanized areas” we can distinguish more from less densely developed parts of each metropolitan area.

To measure each MSA’s stock of interstate highways and traffic, we use the US HPMS “universe” and “sample” data for 1983, 1993, and 2003. The Data Appendix provides a more detailed description of the HPMS. The Federal Highway Administration in the US Department of Transportation (DOT) collects these data, which are used by the federal government for planning purposes and to apportion federal highway money. For each year, for the entire universe of the interstate highway system within their boundaries, states must report the length, number of lanes, and the number of vehicles per lane per day passing any point. This last quantity is referred to as the average annual daily traffic (AADT). We use a county identifier.

---

6 The HPMS is available annually. We focus on 1983, 1993, and 2003 because these dates are close to census years and to the years for which we have data on public transportation. In addition, we sometimes make use of the 1995 and 2001 HPMS.
to match every segment of interstate highway to an MSA. We then calculate lane kilometers, VKT, and AADT per lane km for interstate highways within each MSA.

In the sample data states report the same information (and more) for every segment of interstate highway within urbanized areas. By merging the sample with the universe data we distinguish urban from non-urban interstates within MSAs.

The sample data also report information about a sample of other roads within urbanized areas. This sample is intended to represent all major roads in urbanized areas within the state. From the sample data we calculate road length, location, AADT, and share of truck traffic for all major roads in the urbanized area. The HPMS sample data also assign each segment to one of six functional classes, described in DOT (1989). One of these classes is “interstate highway.” We group four of the remaining five classes; “collector,” “minor arterial,” “principal arterial,” and “other highway” into a measure of major urban roads, omitting the last class, “local roads.” Our definition of “major urban road” thus includes all nonlocal roads that are not interstate highways. Within urbanized areas, interstates represent about 1.5 percent of all road kilometers and 24 percent of VKT, while major urban roads represent 27 percent of road kilometers and another 62 percent of VKT (DOT 2005a). The Data Appendix provides more detail.

Table 1 presents MSA averages of AADT for the 228 MSAs with nonzero interstate mileage in 1983, 1993, and 2003. These data show that AADT, the number of vehicles passing any point on an average lane of interstate highway, increased from 4,832 in 1983 to 9,361 in 2003. Thus, at the end of our study period, an average lane of interstate highway carries almost twice as much traffic as at the beginning. We also find that lane kilometers of interstate highways increase by about 6 percent between 1983 and 1993 and between 1993 and 2003. Together, the increase in lane kilometers and the increase in AADT imply that interstate VKT in an average MSA more than doubled over our 20-year study period.

Table 2 reports estimates of the elasticity of MSA VKT to lane kilometers from univariate OLS regressions. Each panel considers a different type of road: MSA interstates in panel A, urbanized MSA interstates in panel B, major urban roads in

---

7 Loosely, a “local road” is one that primarily provides access to land adjacent to the road, and every other class of road serves to connect local roads. The HPMS does not require states to report data on local roads, although some local roads appear in the data.
Table 1—Summary Statistics for Our Main HPMS and Public Transportation Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean daily VKT (IH, '000 km)</td>
<td>7,777</td>
<td>11,905</td>
<td>15,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16,624)</td>
<td>(24,251)</td>
<td>(31,579)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AADT (IH)</td>
<td>4,832</td>
<td>7,174</td>
<td>9,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,726)</td>
<td>(3,413)</td>
<td>(4,092)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lane km (IH)</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>1,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,650)</td>
<td>(1,729)</td>
<td>(1,858)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lane km (IH, per 10,000 population)</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(26.9)</td>
<td>(20.9)</td>
<td>(16.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean daily VKT (MRU, '000 km)</td>
<td>14,553</td>
<td>22,450</td>
<td>31,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(36,303)</td>
<td>(49,132)</td>
<td>(70,692)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AADT (MRU)</td>
<td>3,146</td>
<td>3,646</td>
<td>3,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(847)</td>
<td>(947)</td>
<td>(1,059)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lane km (MRU)</td>
<td>3,885</td>
<td>5,071</td>
<td>6,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7,926)</td>
<td>(9,119)</td>
<td>(12,426)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean VKT share urbanized (IHU/IH)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lane km share urbanized (IHU/IH)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean share truck AADT (IH)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak service large buses per 10,000 population</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.02)</td>
<td>(0.98)</td>
<td>(0.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak service large buses</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(563)</td>
<td>(562)</td>
<td>(742)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number MSAs</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MSA population</td>
<td>753,726</td>
<td>834,290</td>
<td>950,054</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Cross MSA means and standard deviations in parentheses. IH denotes interstate highways for the entire MSA. IHU denotes interstate highways for the urbanized areas within an MSA. MRU denotes major roads for the urbanized areas within an MSA.

Table 2—VKT as a Function of Lane Kilometers, Univariate OLS by Decade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1983</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panel A. Dep. var.: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.24***</td>
<td>1.25***</td>
<td>1.23***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel B. Dep. var.: ln VKT for interstate highways, urbanized areas within MSAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (IHU lane km)</td>
<td>1.26***</td>
<td>1.23***</td>
<td>1.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel C. Dep. var.: ln VKT for major roads, urbanized areas within MSAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (MRU lane km)</td>
<td>1.08***</td>
<td>1.13***</td>
<td>1.14***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel D. Dep. var.: ln VKT for interstate highways, outside urbanized areas within MSAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (IHNU lane km)</td>
<td>1.06***</td>
<td>1.03***</td>
<td>1.00***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The same regressions for different types of roads are performed in all four panels. All regressions include a constant. Robust standard errors in parentheses; 228 observations for each regression in panel A and 192 in panels B–D.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.
**Significant at the 5 percent level.
*Significant at the 10 percent level.
### Panel A. Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>0.92***</td>
<td>0.94***</td>
<td>0.92***</td>
<td>0.73***</td>
<td>0.76***</td>
<td>0.77***</td>
<td>0.71***</td>
<td>0.75***</td>
<td>0.76***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (population)</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.42***</td>
<td>1.01***</td>
<td>0.54***</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.46*</td>
<td>0.53***</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation range</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruggedness</td>
<td>6.81*</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.86*</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5.72*</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>5.72*</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>5.72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating degree days</td>
<td>-0.014***</td>
<td>-0.015***</td>
<td>-0.012***</td>
<td>-0.013***</td>
<td>-0.011***</td>
<td>-0.013***</td>
<td>-0.011***</td>
<td>-0.013***</td>
<td>-0.011***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling degree days</td>
<td>-0.019*</td>
<td>-0.027**</td>
<td>-0.019***</td>
<td>-0.022**</td>
<td>-0.019**</td>
<td>-0.020**</td>
<td>-0.019**</td>
<td>-0.020**</td>
<td>-0.019**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprawl</td>
<td>0.0059*</td>
<td>0.0061*</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** The same regressions for different types of roads are performed in all four panels. All regressions include a constant. Robust standard errors in parentheses; 228 observations for each regression in panel A and 192 in panels B–D.

*** Significant at the 1 percent level.
** Significant at the 5 percent level.
* Significant at the 10 percent level.

### Panel B. Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, urbanized areas within MSAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IHU lane km)</td>
<td>1.04***</td>
<td>1.05***</td>
<td>1.06***</td>
<td>0.95***</td>
<td>0.97***</td>
<td>1.00***</td>
<td>0.92***</td>
<td>0.94***</td>
<td>0.97***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Panel C. Dependent variable: ln VKT for major roads, urbanized areas within MSAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (MRU lane km)</td>
<td>0.90***</td>
<td>0.89***</td>
<td>0.88***</td>
<td>0.72***</td>
<td>0.78***</td>
<td>0.80***</td>
<td>0.66***</td>
<td>0.67***</td>
<td>0.70***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Panel D. Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, outside urbanized areas within MSAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IHNU lane km)</td>
<td>0.83***</td>
<td>0.85***</td>
<td>0.84***</td>
<td>0.81***</td>
<td>0.83***</td>
<td>0.82***</td>
<td>0.82***</td>
<td>0.84***</td>
<td>0.83***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

- The same regressions for different types of roads are performed in all four panels. All regressions include a constant. Robust standard errors in parentheses; 228 observations for each regression in panel A and 192 in panels B–D.
- **Significant at the 1 percent level.
- **Significant at the 5 percent level.
- *Significant at the 10 percent level.

Depending on the decade, the elasticity of MSA interstate highway VKT with respect to lane kilometers is between 1.23 and 1.25. Focusing only on interstate highways in the urbanized part of MSAs yields similar results. For major urban roads and nonurban MSA interstates, we obtain slightly lower estimates between 1.00 and 1.14.

In Table 3, we consider richer specifications. In panel A of this table, the dependent variable is again MSA interstate VKT. Columns 1 to 3 consider the 1983 cross section. In the first column we include our variable of interest, the log of lane kilometers of road, MSA population, and a constant. In the second we add nine census division dummy variables along with five measures of physical geography: elevation range within the MSA, the ruggedness of terrain in the MSA, two measures of climate, and a measure of how dispersed is development in the MSA. Details about these variables...
are available in the Data Appendix. In column 3 we also add socioeconomic controls: share of population with at least some college education, log mean income, share poor, share of manufacturing employment, and an index of segregation. We also add decennial population variables from 1920 to 1980 to control for the long-run growth of MSAs. Because past populations and socioeconomic variables are likely to correlate with unobserved attributes of MSAs that determine the demand for driving, regressions including these variables are useful robustness checks. Columns 4 to 6 replicate these regressions for 1993, while columns 7–9 are for 2003.

Depending on the decade, the elasticity of MSA interstate highway VKT with respect to lane kilometers ranges between 0.71 and 0.94 and is estimated precisely in each specification. While some estimates are statistically different from one, all are positive and greater than 0.71.

Turning to the other explanatory variables, we also note that the elasticity of MSA interstate highway VKT with respect to population is much less than one in all specifications. This will persist in nearly all of our estimations and suggests that people in larger cities drive much less per capita than they do in smaller cities. We consider the possible endogeneity of this variable below. We also note that VKT is higher in MSAs with mild weather, neither cold nor hot. For the other measures of geography, including the extent to which development is scattered or compact, as measured by the variable “sprawl,” we do not find a robust association with MSA interstate highway VKT.

Panel B of Table 3 is similar to panel A, but the dependent variable and the measure of roads are based on urban interstates. The estimations in panel B suggest that the urban interstate VKT elasticity of urban interstate lane kilometers is closer to one and larger than for all interstates. Panels C and D of Table 3 are also similar to panel A, but investigate major urban roads and nonurban interstates. These results are close to those presented in panel A.

Columns 1–4 of Table 4 replicate the sole specification of Table 2 and the three specifications of Table 3 for all interstate highways, but pool the three cross sections. Unsurprisingly the estimates for the roadway elasticity of VKT are in between the estimates of Table 3 and Table 2 for the different decades. Column 3, which controls for population and geography but not for (possibly endogenous) socioeconomic characteristics of MSAs, is our preferred specification. Hence, we take the value of 0.86 as our preferred OLS estimate of the elasticity of MSA interstate highway VKT with respect to lane kilometers (but note that OLS is not our preferred estimation method).

Appendix Table 1 (in the online Appendix) reports further regressions pooling all three cross sections for different types of roads in urbanized areas and outside. The results of this table generally confirm those of Tables 2 and 3, with the caveat that some changes in roads and traffic may reflect changes in urbanized area boundaries.

B. Fixed Effects and Time-Series Estimates of the Roadway Elasticity of VKT

Thus far we have reported estimates of $\rho_R^O$ that exploit cross-sectional variation. We now turn to estimates of $\rho_R^O$ based on time-series variation. Because the data are fully comparable over time only for all interstate highways within MSAs, we focus on this type of road.
Columns 5–10 of Table 4 estimate equation (3) by including MSA fixed effects in our cross-sectional regression. Because they condition out permanent determinants of VKT for each city that are potentially correlated with roadway, we prefer the specifications with MSA fixed effects to those without. In column 5 we replicate column 1 of the same table but include MSA fixed effects. In column 6, we augment the specification of column 2 with MSA fixed effects. In column 7, we repeat this for column 4. In column 8 we replicate column 6 using only the 192 MSAs that have urban interstate highways in all years instead of the 228 MSAs that report interstate highways in all three of our sample years. Columns 9 and 10 run the same regression again on MSAs with below- and above-median 1990 population size, respectively.

All the fixed-effect estimates of the interstate VKT elasticity of interstate lane kilometers are slightly above one, except for column 8 where the estimate is slightly below one. This is obtained for the more restricted sample of MSAs with interstate highways in their urbanized area. Given the similarity between the results, however, we do not concern ourselves further with sample selection. While it is estimated precisely in all specifications, \( \rho_R \) is not statistically different from one at standard levels of confidence in columns 5 through 10. Overall, we note that including MSA fixed effects leads to slightly higher estimates of \( \rho_R \).

We now estimate the interstate VKT elasticity of interstate lane kilometers using our first difference estimating equation (4). Unlike the fixed-effects estimations of Table 4, in the first difference regressions of Table 5, we allow the levels of MSA initial characteristics to affect the growth of traffic. Using our three cross sections we compute two cross sections of first differences. In panel A of Table 5 we pool these two cross sections of first differences to estimate equation (4). Our dependent variable is the ten-year change in interstate VKT. In column 1, we include only a constant and year dummies as controls. In column 2, we add changes in MSA population.
In column 3, we also control for initial VKT. In column 4, we add physical geography and census division dummies. Column 5 adds decennial MSA population levels from 1920 to 1980 and initial socioeconomic characteristics of cities. In each case, our point estimate of $\rho_R$ is very close to one and is precisely estimated.

Columns 6–8 consider more restricted samples of observations. Column 6 replicates column 2 using only observations with increases in lane kilometers greater than 5 percent. Column 7 uses the same selection rule to replicate column 5. Column 8 replicates column 5 again but this time using only observations with declines in lane kilometers greater than 5 percent. The results for large increases in lane kilometers are the same as for the whole sample of MSAs. The elasticity we estimate in column 8 is 0.8. These estimations do not allow us to determine whether the response of traffic to roads is nonlinear in the amount of change to the road network, or if metropolitan areas experiencing large changes are different from those experiencing small changes.8

Finally, column 9 of Table 5 estimates equation (4) including MSA fixed effects and year fixed effects as controls, while column 10 adds MSA population. These

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA sample</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Lane↑</th>
<th>Lane↑</th>
<th>Lane↓</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panel A. Dependent variable: $\Delta \ln \text{VKT}$ for interstate highways, entire MSAs, OLS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \ln (\text{IH lane km})$</td>
<td>1.04***</td>
<td>1.05***</td>
<td>1.02***</td>
<td>1.00***</td>
<td>0.93***</td>
<td>1.09***</td>
<td>0.90***</td>
<td>0.82***</td>
<td>1.03***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
<td>(0.09)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \ln (\text{population})$</td>
<td>0.34***</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>0.45**</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.51**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td>(0.17)</td>
<td>(0.21)</td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ln (\text{initial VKT})$</td>
<td>$-0.047***$</td>
<td>$-0.057***$</td>
<td>$-0.12***$</td>
<td>$-0.15***$</td>
<td>$-0.13***$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census divisions</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic characteristics</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past populations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA fixed effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Panel B. Dependent variable: $\Delta \ln \text{VKT}$ for interstate highways, entire MSAs, TSLS | | | | | | | | | |
| $\Delta \ln (\text{IH lane km})$ | 1.05*** | 1.02*** | 1.00*** | 0.92*** | 1.07*** | 0.90*** | 0.82*** | 1.03*** |
| (0.05) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.09) | (0.03) |
| $\Delta \ln (\text{population})$ | 0.093 | 0.34** | 0.45 | 1.02** | $-0.16$ | 1.14 | 1.50 | 0.62* |
| (0.18) | (0.16) | (0.32) | (0.45) | (0.29) | (0.72) | (1.45) | (0.37) |
| First stage statistic | 63.3 | 54.3 | 29.2 | 23.9 | 45.7 | 12.3 | 4.05 | 20.1 |

Notes: All regressions include a constant and decade effects. Robust standard errors clustered by MSA in parentheses. 456 observations for each regression in columns 1–5 and 9–10, 205 in columns 6–7 which consider only increases in lane kilometers of more than 5 percent, and 115 in column 8 which considers declines in lane kilometers greater than 5 percent. Instrument for $\Delta \ln (\text{population})$ is expected population growth based on initial composition of economic activity.

*** Significant at the 1 percent level.
** Significant at the 5 percent level.
* Significant at the 10 percent level.

---

8 Apart from measurement error, decreases in lane kilometers are likely to reflect temporary closures while increases reflect new and permanent construction.
estimates are second difference estimates that exploit changes in the rate of change of roads and traffic. Strikingly, these regressions also estimate the interstate VKT elasticity of interstate highways to be very close to one.

In panel B of Table 5 we repeat the first difference regressions of panel A, except that we instrument for the change in population. Following Timothy Bartik (1991) and others after him, we construct our instrument for MSA level population growth from the initial shares of sectoral employment in the MSA and the national growth rate of each sector during the study period. Interacting these quantities yields the MSA population growth that would occur if all MSA sectors grew at the national average rate with sectoral shares constant. To construct our population growth instrument we use employment data for each MSA and the entire US for two-digit sectors from the County Business Patterns.

Despite the strength of the instrument, when running these regressions on a complete sample of MSAs, the standard errors for the coefficient on population change are much larger than in OLS. The OLS range for this coefficient is between 0.3 and 0.5. When instrumenting, the range is broader, from close to zero to above unity. We draw two conclusions from this second panel. First, there is a suggestion that the TSLS coefficient on population changes is above its OLS value when more controls are introduced. This is consistent with population migrating to MSAs where VKT increases more slowly, all else equal. Second, the coefficient on changes in lane kilometers of roads is unaffected by this change in estimation strategy. This strongly suggests that even if population is endogenous, our estimate for the elasticity of interstate highway VKT is unaffected. Our preferred estimate for the roadway elasticity of VKT in Table 5 is 1.00 from column 3 in panel B. This is the first-difference estimate for our preferred specification that takes into account the endogeneity of population.

In the online Appendix, we perform a number of further checks on our first difference results. Appendix Table 2 presents regressions conducted on each of our two cross sections of first differences separately. They confirm results of Table 5 but, like Table 3, indicate a slight decrease of $\rho_{R}$ over time. In Appendix Tables 3 and 4 we perform two simple falsification tests. In Appendix Table 3 we focus on changes in VKT between 1993 and 2003 as dependent variable. We show that the coefficient on contemporaneous changes in lane kilometers of interstate highways (i.e., between 1993 and 2003) is unaffected by the inclusion in the regression of earlier changes in lane kilometers of interstate highways (i.e., between 1983 and 1993). The coefficient on earlier changes is always insignificant. In Appendix Table 4, we focus on changes in VKT between 1983 and 1993 as dependent variable. We show that the coefficient on contemporaneous changes in lane kilometers of interstate highways (i.e., between 1983 and 1993) is unaffected by the inclusion in the regression of later changes in lane kilometers of interstate highways (i.e., between 1993 and 2003). The coefficient of the later changes variable is small, positive, and significant when we include contemporaneous changes in the regression.9

---

9 This may reflect either by serial correlation in roadway changes or a lagged response in the supply of roadway to increases in VKT.
C. IV Estimates of the Roadway Elasticity of VKT

In order for estimates of equations (2), (3), and (4) to result in consistent estimates, we require that the unobserved error be uncorrelated with the stock of roads (or changes in this stock). If the demand for VKT helps to determine an MSA’s road network, then our measure of roads is endogenous, and this assumption does not hold. To address this possibility, we estimate the instrumental variables system described in equation (5).

We rely on three instruments: planned interstate highway kilometers from the 1947 highway plan; 1898 railroad route kilometers; and the incidence of major expeditions of exploration between 1835 and 1850. Nathaniel Baum-Snow (2007), Guy Michaels (2008), and Duranton and Turner (2008) also use planned interstates as an instrument for features of the interstate system. Duranton and Turner (2008) use the 1898 railroad system for the same purpose. The exploration routes variable is new to the literature.

Our measure of MSA kilometers of 1947 planned interstate highways is based on a digital image of the 1947 highway plan created from its paper record (US House of Representatives 1947) and converted to a digital map as in Duranton and Turner (2008). Kilometers of 1947 planned interstate highway in each MSA are calculated directly from this map. Figure 2 shows an image of the original plan. Our measure of MSA kilometers of 1898 railroads is based on a digital image of a map of major railroad lines in 1898 (Charles P. Gray c. 1898). This image was converted to a digital map as in Duranton and Turner (2008). Kilometers of 1898 railroad contained in each MSA are calculated directly from this map. Figure 3 shows an image of the original railroad map. Our measure of early exploration routes is based on a map of routes of major expeditions of exploration of the US between 1835 and 1850 (US Geological Survey 1970). An image based on this map is reproduced in Figure 4. Note that, in addition to exploration routes, this map shows the routes of major roads established prior to 1835 in the more settled eastern part of the country. The Data Appendix provides more detail about these variables.

Common sense suggests that all three instruments should be relevant. The 1947 plan describes many interstate highways that were subsequently built. Many 1898 railroads were abandoned and turned into roads. Many current interstate highways follow the same routes taken by early explorers. Estimates of the reduced-form equation predicting roads as a function of our instruments confirm this intuition. In almost all specifications predicting interstate lane kilometers, the first-stage statistic for the instrumental variables is large enough to pass the weak instrument tests proposed in James H. Stock and Motohiro Yogo (2005). We generally report the results of conventional TSLS estimations, but in the few cases where our instruments are weak, we also report the corresponding LIML estimates.

A qualifier is important here. Our instruments are good predictors of MSA-level stocks of interstate highways and urban interstate highways. They are not good predictors of MSA level stocks of major roads or of nonurban interstate highways.

---

10 The discussion of the 1947 highway plan and 1898 railroad routes is derived from, and abbreviates more extensive discussions of, these variables by these earlier authors, particularly Duranton and Turner (2008).

11 Limited information maximum likelihood (LIML) is a one-stage IV estimator. Compared to TSLS, it provides more reliable point estimates and test statistics with weak instruments.
For this reason, we conduct IV estimations only for interstate highways and urban interstate highways.

We now turn to the conditional exogeneity of our two instruments. The 1947 highway plan was first drawn to “connect by routes as direct as practicable the principal metropolitan areas, cities and industrial centers, to serve the national

Figure 2. 1947 US Interstate Highway Plan


Figure 3. 1898 US Railroads

Source: Image based on Gray (c. 1898).
defense and to connect suitable border points with routes of continental importance in the Dominion of Canada and the Republic of Mexico” (US Federal Works Agency, Public Roads Administration 1947, cited in Michaels 2008). That the 1947 highway plan was, in fact, drawn to this mandate is confirmed by both econometric and historical evidence reviewed in Duranton and Turner (2008). In particular, in a regression of log 1947 kilometers of planned interstate highways on log 1950 population, the coefficient on log 1950 population is almost exactly one, a result that is robust to the addition of various controls. On the other hand, population growth around 1947 is uncorrelated with planned highway kilometers. Thus, the 1947 plan was drawn to fulfill its mandate and connect major population centers of the mid-1940s, not to anticipate future population or traffic demand.

Note that the exclusion restriction associated with equation (5) requires the orthogonality of the dependent variable and the instruments conditional on control variables. This observation is important. Cities that receive more roads in the 1947 plan tend to be larger than cities that receive fewer. Since we observe that large cities have higher levels of VKT, 1947 planned interstate highway kilometers predicts VKT by directly predicting population and indirectly by predicting 1980 road kilometers. Thus the exogeneity of this instrument hinges on having an appropriate set of controls, population in particular.

Next consider the case for the exogeneity of the 1898 railroad network. This network was built, for the most part, during and immediately after the civil war, and during the industrial revolution. At this time, the US economy was much smaller and more agricultural than during our study period. In addition, the rail network was developed by private companies with the intention to make a profit from railroad operations in the not too distant future. See Robert Fogel (1964) and Albert Fishlow
(1965) for two classic accounts of the development of US railroads. As for the highway plan, the same qualifying comment applies: instrument validity requires that, conditional on control variables, rail routes be correlated with the dependent variable only through contemporaneous interstate highways. With this said, after controlling for historical populations and physical geography, it is difficult to imagine how a rail network built for profit could anticipate the demand for vehicle travel in cities 100 years later, save through its effect on roads.

Finally, consider the case for the exogeneity of routes of expeditions of exploration between 1835 and 1850. Among these routes are: a Mexican boundary survey, the Whiting-Smith 1849 search for a commercial route between San Antonio and El Paso, the 1849 Warner-Williamson expedition in search of a route from Sacramento to the Great Basin, the 1839 Farnham-Smith expedition from Peoria to Portland, and the Smith scientific expedition to the Badlands of South Dakota. Some of these expeditions were explicitly charged with finding an easy way from one place to another, and it is hard to imagine that this objective was not also important to the others. While we expect that these early explorers were drawn to attractive places, after controlling for historical populations and physical geography it is difficult to imagine how these explorers could select routes that anticipate the demand for vehicle travel in cities 150 years later, save through their effect on roads.

Table 6 presents instrumental variables estimations where our dependent variable is all MSA interstate VKT. In panel A we use all three of our instruments, and we pool our three decennial cross sections. Column 1 includes only interstate lane kilometers and decade effects as controls. Column 2 adds population as a control, column 3 adds our physical geography variables and census division indicators, column 4 adds our other city-level demographic variables, and column 5 adds decennial population levels from 1920 to 1980. We pass standard overidentification tests in all specifications and the values of our first-stage statistics suggest that our instruments are not weak, or are near the critical values suggested by Stock and Yogo (2005). In columns 2 through 5 we see that our estimates of $\rho_R$ are within one standard error of one. In column 1, the coefficient of interstate highways is larger because of the correlation between interstate highway lane kilometers and population levels.

We note that the IV estimates of the roadway elasticity of VKT are slightly higher than their OLS counterparts (in Tables 3 and 4) by 0.1 to 0.2. While the differences between IV and OLS are not all significant, they are suggestive of a negative feedback between VKT and the allocation of roadway. More precisely, lane kilometers of interstate highways appear to be allocated to MSAs with a lower demand for travel. This would be consistent with the finding of Duranton and Turner (2008) that there is more road construction in cities that experience negative shocks to employment.

In columns 3, 4, and 5 of panel A our instruments are near the critical values suggested in Stock and Yogo (2005), so in panel B we present the corresponding LIML estimates. These estimates are essentially identical to the TSLS estimates of panel A.

In panels C, D, and E, we repeat the TSLS estimates of panel A using each of our instruments alone. We find that using the 1947 highway instrument alone results in slightly higher estimates, that using 1898 railroads alone results in essentially identical estimates, and that using 1835 exploration routes alone results in slightly lower estimates. In all, the IV estimates presented in panels A–E of Table 6 strongly suggest that the interstate VKT elasticity of interstate highways is close to one.
Table 6—VKT as a Function of Lane Kilometers, IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel A (TSLS).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1835 exploration routes, ln 1898 railroads, and ln 1947 planned interstates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.32*** 0.92*** 1.03*** 1.01*** 1.04***</td>
<td>(0.04) (0.10) (0.11) (0.12) (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (population)</td>
<td>0.40*** 0.30*** 0.34*** 0.23*</td>
<td>(0.07) (0.09) (0.10) (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census divisions</td>
<td>Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic characteristics</td>
<td>Y Y Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past populations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overidentification p-value</td>
<td>0.60 0.11 0.26 0.24 0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>42.8 16.5 11.8 11.5 8.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel B (LIML).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1835 exploration routes, ln 1898 railroads, and ln 1947 planned interstates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.32*** 0.94*** 1.05*** 1.02*** 1.06***</td>
<td>(0.04) (0.11) (0.12) (0.13) (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overidentification p-value</td>
<td>0.60 0.11 0.26 0.25 0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel C (TSLS).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1947 planned interstates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.33*** 1.00*** 1.10*** 1.08*** 1.12***</td>
<td>(0.05) (0.11) (0.13) (0.13) (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>99.7 41.5 29.8 29.5 26.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel D (TSLS).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1898 railroads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.31*** 0.83*** 1.03*** 1.00*** 1.02***</td>
<td>(0.06) (0.15) (0.18) (0.18) (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>23.7 25.8 19.0 21.1 11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel E (TSLS).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1835 exploration routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.25*** 0.63*** 0.75*** 0.68*** 0.72***</td>
<td>(0.08) (0.17) (0.18) (0.21) (0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>53.6 13.8 9.91 7.15 6.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel F (LIML).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1898 railroads, and ln 1947 planned interstates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.39*** 1.09*** 1.18*** 1.15*** 1.20***</td>
<td>(0.04) (0.10) (0.11) (0.13) (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overidentification p-value</td>
<td>0.69 0.10 0.31 0.25 0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>37.9 17.7 12.1 14.4 9.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel G (LIML).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1898 railroads, and ln 1947 planned interstates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.33*** 0.98*** 1.13*** 1.08*** 1.13***</td>
<td>(0.05) (0.13) (0.16) (0.15) (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overidentification p-value</td>
<td>0.91 0.53 0.97 0.88 0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>53.1 22.7 14.4 15.8 11.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel H (LIML).</th>
<th>Dependent variable: ln VKT for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>Instruments: ln 1898 railroads, and ln 1947 planned interstates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.26*** 0.82*** 0.93*** 0.92*** 0.97***</td>
<td>(0.05) (0.11) (0.13) (0.13) (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overidentification p-value</td>
<td>0.77 0.55 0.96 0.98 0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>52.2 21.0 14.2 14.4 9.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All regressions include a constant (and year effects for panels A–E). Robust standard errors in parentheses (clustered by MSA in panels A–E); 684 observations corresponding to 228 MSAs for each regression for panels A–E and 228 observations for panels F–H.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.
**Significant at the 5 percent level.
*Significant at the 10 percent level.
In panels A–E of Table 6 we pool our three cross sections. This may conceal cross-decade variation in our parameters. To address this issue, in panels F–H we report IV estimates of $\rho R Q$ using each of our cross sections separately. We see that the roadway elasticity of VKT decreases from slightly above one in 1983 to slightly below one in 2003. This decline is not statistically significant, however, when including geographic and other controls. This (admittedly weak) trend downward suggests the conjecture that more roadway can lead to a more than proportional increase in traffic when roads are not congested. Alternatively, it may be that the most useful highway segments are developed earlier and receive more traffic. This second conjecture is consistent with John G. Fernald’s (1999) conclusion that the productivity effects of the US interstate system show a marked decline over time. We hope future research will more completely investigate these issues.

In Table 6, our preferred estimate for the elasticity of interstate highway VKT with respect to lane kilometers is from panel A and column 3 at 1.03. This estimate also constitutes our preferred estimate overall since it is obtained using our preferred estimation method, which controls for the endogeneity of roads, and our preferred specification, which includes geographical controls but not the socioeconomic characteristics of MSAs.

III. Implications of the Fundamental Law of Road Congestion

We now note two logical implications of the fundamental law of road congestion. By confirming that these implications are consistent with observation, we provide further indirect evidence of the law.12

A. Traffic and Transit

The fundamental law of road congestion requires that new road capacity be met with a proportional increase in driving. A corollary is that if we were to somehow remove a subset of a city’s drivers from a city’s roads, then others would take their place. We can think of public transit in this way. Public transit serves to free up road capacity by taking drivers off the roads and putting them in buses or trains. Thus, the fundamental law implies that the provision of public transit should not affect the overall level of VKT in a city. We now investigate this proposition.

To measure an MSA’s stock of public transit, we use MSA-level data on public transit. These data are based on the Section 15 annual reports, and measure public transportation as the daily average peak service of large buses in 1984, 1994, and 2004. We note that these data do not allow us to investigate other forms of public transportation, such as light rail, independently of buses.13

12 In the working paper version of this article (Duranton and Turner 2009), we also show that if the long-run variable cost of producing VKT is approximately constant returns to scale, the fundamental law of road congestion then implies that the demand for travel should be flat. We provide evidence to this effect and use this result in a welfare calculation.

13 There are too few MSAs with light rail to permit informative cross-sectional analysis. Our data indicate that there were only 11 MSAs with any light rail at all in 1984, and of these only 6 had more than 100 rail cars. The situation is only marginally better in 1994 when 21 MSAs had light rail or commuter rail service and 7 had more than 100 cars. We have experimented with an index that sums large buses and rail cars.
Since we expect that the stock of public transit in an MSA may depend in part on how congested is the road network, we are concerned that our measure of public transit will be endogenous in a regression to explain MSA interstate VKT. To deal with this issue, we again resort to instrumental variables estimation. In addition to the 1947 highway plan and 1898 railroad kilometers, we use the MSA share of democratic vote in the 1972 presidential election as an instrument in this estimation.

The 1972 US presidential election between Richard Nixon and George McGovern was fought on the Vietnam War and McGovern’s very progressive social agenda. It ended with Nixon’s landslide victory. Places where McGovern did well are also arguably places that elected local officials with a strong social agenda. Importantly, this election also took place shortly after the 1970 Urban Mass Transportation Act and it only briefly predates the first oil shock and the 1974 National Mass Transportation Act that followed. While total federal support for public transportation was less than $5 billion (in 2003 dollars) for the entire decade starting in 1960, the 1970 act appropriated nearly $15 billion and the 1974 act appropriated $44 billion. Similar levels of funding persist to the time of this writing (for a history of US public transportation, see Edward Weiner 1997; Daniel Baldwin Hess and Peter A. Lombardi 2005). More generally, during the 1970s public transit expanded and evolved from a private fare-based industry to a quasi-public sector activity sustained by significant subsidies.

In order for a 1972 election to predict 1984 levels of public transit infrastructure, public transit funding must be persistent. In fact, the “stickiness” of public transit provision is widely observed (Jose A. Gomez-Ibanez 1996) and is confirmed in our data. The Spearman rank correlation of bus counts between 1984 and 2004 is 0.90. Our data also suggest that MSAs that voted heavily for McGovern in 1972 made a greater effort to develop public transit in the 1970s, and these high levels of public transit persisted throughout our study period. Furthermore, the raw data confirm the relevance of our instrument. The pairwise correlation between log 1984 buses and 1972 democratic vote is 0.34. This partial correlation is robust to adding controls for geography and past population. In a nutshell, the 1972 share of democratic vote is a good predictor of the 1984 MSA provision of buses, which then grew proportionately to population.

The argument for the exogeneity of the 1972 democratic vote is less strong than that for the road instruments. Nonetheless, a good argument can be made that funding for public transportation in American cities in the early 1970s was a response to contemporaneous social needs. More specifically, the provision of buses at this time did not seek to accommodate traffic congestion during the 1983–2003 period.

Two facts strengthen the case for our empirical strategy. First, as we show below, the results for public transportation are robust and stable as we change specifications. Second, when it is possible to conduct overidentification tests, our results always pass these tests.

In particular, it is possible that a high-share democratic vote in 1972 was associated with a variety of other policies and local characteristics that affected subsequent VKT. Since we control for 1980 population (and thus implicitly for growth between 1970 and 1980), we would need these policies to have long-lasting effects and not be reflected in population growth. In this respect, Edward L. Glaeser, José A Scheinkman, and Adrei Shleifer (1995) find very weak or no association between a number of urban policies (though not public transport) and urban growth between 1960 and 1990. In addition, recent work by Fernando Ferreira and Joseph Gyourko (2009) found no evidence of any partisan effect with respect to the allocation of municipal expenditure.
Regressions in Table 7 are similar to regressions in Tables 4 and 6, except that we also include the log count of large buses in an MSA as an explanatory variable. In columns 1 through 6 we present OLS regressions, while in columns 7 through 10 we report LIML regressions (rather than TSLS since our set of instruments is sometimes marginally weak). Our dependent variable is log VKT for all interstates. As in results reported earlier, the lane kilometer elasticity of VKT is close to one in all specifications. The second row gives our estimates of the bus elasticity of VKT. These estimates are consistently small, are in general precisely estimated, do not have a consistent sign, and are often statistically indistinguishable from zero.

To check the robustness of our results, Appendix Table 5 (in the online Appendix) repeats some of the regressions of Table 7 for each of our three cross sections. The resulting estimates of the bus elasticity of VKT are qualitatively unchanged. As a further check, Appendix Table 6 repeats the regressions of Table 7 using a broader measure of transit adding all train cars to our count of buses. The resulting elasticity estimates of this table are virtually identical to those of Table 7.

Consistent with the fundamental law, these results fail to support the hypothesis that increased provision of public transit affects VKT. This finding also should be of independent interest to policymakers.

Table 7—VKT as a Function of Lane Kilometers and Buses, Pooled Regressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OLS (1)</th>
<th>OLS (2)</th>
<th>OLS (3)</th>
<th>OLS (4)</th>
<th>OLS (5)</th>
<th>OLS (6)</th>
<th>LIML (7)</th>
<th>LIML (8)</th>
<th>LIML (9)</th>
<th>LIML (10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln(IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.07***</td>
<td>0.82***</td>
<td>0.86***</td>
<td>1.06***</td>
<td>1.06***</td>
<td>1.38***</td>
<td>0.96***</td>
<td>1.09***</td>
<td>1.18***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.04)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.08)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td>(0.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(bus)</td>
<td>0.14***</td>
<td>−0.023</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.039**</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>−0.035</td>
<td>−0.081*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.049)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(population)</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>0.50***</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.12)</td>
<td>(0.207)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census divisions</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic characteristics</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past populations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA fixed effects</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-value</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All regressions include a constant and year effects. Robust standard errors clustered by MSA in parentheses; 684 observations corresponding to 228 MSAs for each regression. Instruments for buses and lane kilometers are ln 1898 railroads, ln 1947 planned interstates, and 1972 presidential election share of democratic vote.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.
**Significant at the 5 percent level.
*Significant at the 10 percent level.

Regressions in Table 7 are similar to regressions in Tables 4 and 6, except that we also include the log count of large buses in an MSA as an explanatory variable. In columns 1 through 6 we present OLS regressions, while in columns 7 through 10 we report LIML regressions (rather than TSLS since our set of instruments is sometimes marginally weak). Our dependent variable is log VKT for all interstates. As in results reported earlier, the lane kilometer elasticity of VKT is close to one in all specifications. The second row gives our estimates of the bus elasticity of VKT. These estimates are consistently small, are in general precisely estimated, do not have a consistent sign, and are often statistically indistinguishable from zero.

To check the robustness of our results, Appendix Table 5 (in the online Appendix) repeats some of the regressions of Table 7 for each of our three cross sections. The resulting estimates of the bus elasticity of VKT are qualitatively unchanged. As a further check, Appendix Table 6 repeats the regressions of Table 7 using a broader measure of transit adding all train cars to our count of buses. The resulting elasticity estimates of this table are virtually identical to those of Table 7.

Consistent with the fundamental law, these results fail to support the hypothesis that increased provision of public transit affects VKT. This finding also should be of independent interest to policymakers.

B. Convergence of AADT Levels

The fundamental law of road congestion requires that each MSA have an intrinsic natural level of traffic conditional on lane kilometers of roadway. An implication of this is that a deviation from this natural level ought to be followed by a
Traffic flows should exhibit convergence to this natural level. In this subsection we thus examine the evolution of AADT rather than vehicle kilometers traveled VKT.

The raw data suggest that such convergence may occur. From 1980 to 2000 the cross-MSA standard deviation of all interstate AADT decreases from 1.40 to 1.28. To investigate the possibility of convergence more carefully, Table 8 presents the results of “AADT growth regressions” in which we pool first differences in interstate AADT for 1990 and 2000 and regress them on initial interstate AADT levels.

In the first four columns of Table 8 we see that for interstate AADT the relationship between initial levels and changes is negative in the cross section, even as we add an exhaustive set of controls. In column 5 we see that mean reversion persists if we include MSA fixed effects and consider only time-series variation. In column 6 we account for the possibility of an endogenous relationship between changes in AADT and changes in population by instrumenting for the latter using our population change instrument described above. This IV estimate shows mean reversion similar to what we see in the OLS regressions.

In Appendix Table 7 (in the online Appendix), we replicate these regressions for corresponding measures of AADT for interstate highways in urbanized areas, non-urban interstates, and major urban roads, and find evidence of convergence for these roads as well.

---

**Table 8—Convergence in Daily Traffic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable: Change in ln daily traffic (AADT) for interstate highways, entire MSAs</th>
<th>OLS (1)</th>
<th>OLS (2)</th>
<th>OLS (3)</th>
<th>OLS (4)</th>
<th>OLS, FE (5)</th>
<th>TSLS (6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial ln IH AADT level</td>
<td>$-0.11^{***}$</td>
<td>$-0.12^{***}$</td>
<td>$-0.17^{***}$</td>
<td>$-0.22^{***}$</td>
<td>$-0.98^{***}$</td>
<td>$-0.17^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(0.05)</td>
<td>(0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\ln$(population)</td>
<td>$0.38^{***}$</td>
<td>$0.48^{***}$</td>
<td>$0.29^{**}$</td>
<td>$0.69^{**}$</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census divisions</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial share manufacturing</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past populations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic characteristics</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All regressions include decade effects. Robust standard errors in parentheses (clustered by MSA); 456 observations corresponding to 228 MSAs for each regression. Instruments for $\Delta\ln$(population) is expected population growth based on initial composition of economic activity, interacted with the national growth of sectors.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

*Significant at the 10 percent level.

---

15The much higher coefficient obtained in this regression is reminiscent of results in GDP growth regressions and might be explained by the greater importance of measurement error for differences than for levels. Our results in the other columns do not, however, appear to be driven by measurement error. Traffic convergence during the 1990s is the same in OLS or TSLS when instrumenting initial AADT with its ten-year lagged value.
IV. Where Does All the VKT Come From?

Our data show that building roads elicits a large increase in VKT on those roads. We now turn our attention to understanding where all the extra VKT comes from. In particular, we consider four possible sources of demand for VKT: changes in individual behavior; the migration of people and economic activity; increases in commercial transportation; and diversion of traffic from other roads.

A. Commercial VKT

To investigate the relationship between changes in the road network and changes in truck VKT, we first use the HPMS sample data’s report of the daily share of single unit and combination trucks using each road segment on an average day. With our other data, this allows us to calculate truck VKT for all roads in our sample. With these measures of truck VKT in hand, we replicate our earlier analysis of all VKT for truck VKT.

Table 9 reports these results. Our dependent variable is all interstate highway truck VKT, and the explanatory variable of interest is lane kilometers of interstate highways. In columns 1 through 5, we report OLS estimates. In columns 6, 7, and 8 we include MSA fixed effects and identify the effect of interstate highways on truck VKT using only time-series variation. In columns 9 and 10 we report TSLS where we use our three historical variables to instrument for contemporaneous lane kilometers. In every case, our estimate of the highway elasticity of truck VKT is above one and is estimated precisely. While the OLS and fixed-effect estimates are generally within two standard deviations of one, the IV estimates in columns 9 and 10 are above two and are more than two standard deviations above one.

Table 9—Truck VKT as a Function of Lane Kilometers, Pooled Regressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>OLS</th>
<th>TSLS</th>
<th>TSLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(IH lane km)</td>
<td>1.30***</td>
<td>1.16***</td>
<td>1.20***</td>
<td>1.25***</td>
<td>1.19***</td>
<td>1.46***</td>
<td>1.48***</td>
<td>1.52***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
<td>(0.14)</td>
<td>(0.26)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(0.27)</td>
<td>(0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(population)</td>
<td>0.16*</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>1.79**</td>
<td>2.14**</td>
<td>2.02**</td>
<td>−0.48</td>
<td>−0.77**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.08)</td>
<td>(0.11)</td>
<td>(0.10)</td>
<td>(0.79)</td>
<td>(0.94)</td>
<td>(0.91)</td>
<td>(0.31)</td>
<td>(0.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census divisions</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic characteristics</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past populations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA fixed effects</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overidentification $p$-value</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-stage statistic</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All regressions include a constant and year effects. Robust standard errors clustered by MSA in parentheses. Instruments are ln 1835 exploration routes, ln 1898 railroads, and ln 1947 planned interstates; 684 observations corresponding to 228 MSAs for each regression.  
***Significant at the 1 percent level.  
**Significant at the 5 percent level.  
*Significant at the 10 percent level.
In all, we find that a 10 percent increase in interstate highways causes about a 10–20 percent increase in truck VKT, so that commercial traffic is at least as responsive to road supply as other traffic.

We confirm these results for all interstate highways in Appendix Table 8 which runs separate regressions for each decade. We also replicate these regressions for urbanized roads. Interestingly, truck VKT in cities responds less to changes in major roads than does interstate truck traffic to changes in interstates.

In the online Appendix, we also examine the relationship between roads and employment in traffic-intensive activities. We use County Business Patterns data for 1983, 1993, and 2003. These data provide county-level information on employment in “motor freight transportation and warehousing” (SIC 42). Appendix Tables 9 and 10 present results of regressions predicting log MSA employment in trucking and warehousing. These regressions show that employment in this sector increases with interstate lane kilometers, that it is more responsive to the supply of nonurbanized area interstate than to the supply of urbanized area interstate, and that it has become more sensitive to changes in the supply of interstate highways over the course of our study period.

An interesting explanation for our findings is that improvements to highways cause large increases in the use of these routes by long-haul truckers, while improvements to the local road network cause smaller increases in local commercial traffic.

B. Individual Driving Behavior and Highways

We now investigate the extent to which individual or household driving behavior changes in response to changes in the extent of an MSA’s interstate network. To accomplish this, we look at the relationship between lane kilometers of interstate highway and three different measures of individual and household driving taken from the 1995 and 2001 NPTS.

The NPTS actually consists of four parts. The “household survey” provides categorical variables describing the age, race, education, and income of the household head or the principal respondent. Confidential geocode information allows us to assign all households to MSAs. The “vehicle survey” provides a detailed description of each household motor vehicle including the survey respondents’ report of how many kilometers it was driven in the past 12 months. We use the vehicle survey to construct an estimate of total VKT for the household during the survey year. The “person survey” describes travel behavior for household members over the past week, commuting behavior in particular. We use the person survey to measure commuting behavior for the average commuter in a respondent household. Finally, the

---

16 It is worth noting that the NPTS survey protocol requires a phone call, a house visit, and that respondents keep a travel diary. Thus, it should be regarded as accurate relative to other sources of self-reported travel data. The 2000 US census provides an alternative source of information regarding commute times. This information is reported for a sample of the population using 12 time-bands. A comparison between 2000 census and 2001 NPTS data of mean commute times across 227 MSAs yields a raw correlation of 0.63. This correlation is 0.85 when considering only MSAs with population above 1 million. Means computed from the NPTS appear more noisy. Regressing log census mean commute times for all commuters (including those using public transportation) against mean NPTS car commute times yields a coefficient of 1.05 in a regression without constant.

17 The public use data reveal only respondents’ MSAs for respondents residing in large MSAs. We do not use earlier waves of the NPTS because they cannot be geocoded.
“trip survey” describes all household travel on a given randomly selected day. We use this survey to measure all household daily VKT.

While we provide more detailed discussion of the NPTS and some descriptive statistics in the Data Appendix, it is useful to discuss the relationship between the NPTS and HPMS based measures of VKT. The NPTS reports a per household measure of VKT on all roads, while the HPMS reports aggregate VKT on interstates and major urban roads within MSAs. Thus, the HPMS looks at all traffic on a subset of roads, while the NPTS looks at all household driving on any roads, but ignores commercial or through traffic and changes in population.

To investigate the extent to which individual or household driving behavior changes in response to changes in the extent of an MSA’s interstate network, we look at the relationship between lane kilometers of interstate highway and our three NPTS-derived measures of individual and household driving.

We perform two series of estimations using our two pooled cross sections of the NPTS. The first uses our city level cross-section estimating equation (2), adjusted to reflect the fact that our unit of observation is now a person or household in a particular city and year. In particular, we estimate

\[
\ln(Q_{jAR}) = A_0 + \rho R_{ij} \ln(R_{ij}) + A_1 X_{ij} + \epsilon_j,
\]

where \( Q_{jAR} \) denotes VKT on all roads for household (or individual) \( j \), and \( i \) indexes MSAs. Because of the log specification, the coefficient on lane kilometers is the elasticity of household VKT on all roads with respect to interstate highway lane kilometers. We include as control variables both MSA-level characteristics and individual demographic characteristics, and allow for clustering of errors at the MSA level.

Our second set of estimations is the individual- or household-level analog of our instrumental variables estimating equation (5). Here, except for the presence of controls for individual characteristics, our first-stage equation predicts interstate kilometers and is identical to the first-stage in equation (5); the second stage corresponds to equation (6).

Table 10 reports the results of regressions to explain three measures of individual driving using pooled cross sections from the 1995 and 2001 NPTS. Panel A of the table presents OLS estimates and panel B presents TSLS estimates. In the first three columns our dependent variable is commute kilometers on a typical day for all NPTS individuals who commute. In columns 4 through 6 our dependent variable is total household vehicle kilometers on a particular travel day. In columns 7 through 9, our dependent variable is total VKT by all household vehicles in the survey year.

With the exception of the regressions in columns 4 and 7, which do not control for population, our estimates suggest a positive and statistically significant relationship between the extent of the highway network and individual travel. Our preferred estimates are the TSLS estimates in panel B. These estimates suggest that a 10 percent increase in the extent of the interstate network causes about a 1 percent increase in individual driving on all roads. While the NPTS data do not reveal which classes of roads accommodate this increase in driving, below we use the HPMS to explore the diversion of traffic between classes of roads.
By reducing the cost of transportation within a city, all else equal, improvements to a city’s road network make a city more attractive relative to other cities. Given the high mobility of the US population, this suggests that changes to a city’s road network should be met with changes to a city’s population. In fact, this conjecture appears to be true, and the extant literature estimates the size of this effect.

Michaels (2008) and Amitabh Chandra and Eric Thompson (2000) provide suggestive evidence. Both papers consider the effect of improvements in access to the interstate system on rural counties in the US. Michaels (2008) finds that an interstate highway in a rural county leads to large increases in retail earnings. Chandra and Thompson (2000) find that improved access to the interstate system causes an overall increase in firm earnings. Together, these results show that interstate highways cause increases in the level of local economic activity. To the extent that population levels and overall economic activity are linked, this suggests that improvements to the interstate network lead to population increases.

Duranton and Turner (2008) provide more direct evidence. They consider US MSAs between 1980 and 2000 and investigate the way that population growth responds to changes in the road network. Like the current paper, they rely on an early plan of the interstate highway network and 1898 railroad routes as instruments

C. Population Growth

By reducing the cost of transportation within a city, all else equal, improvements to a city’s road network make a city more attractive relative to other cities. Given the high mobility of the US population, this suggests that changes to a city’s road network should be met with changes to a city’s population. In fact, this conjecture appears to be true, and the extant literature estimates the size of this effect.

Michaels (2008) and Amitabh Chandra and Eric Thompson (2000) provide suggestive evidence. Both papers consider the effect of improvements in access to the interstate system on rural counties in the US. Michaels (2008) finds that an interstate highway in a rural county leads to large increases in retail earnings. Chandra and Thompson (2000) find that improved access to the interstate system causes an overall increase in firm earnings. Together, these results show that interstate highways cause increases in the level of local economic activity. To the extent that population levels and overall economic activity are linked, this suggests that improvements to the interstate network lead to population increases.

Duranton and Turner (2008) provide more direct evidence. They consider US MSAs between 1980 and 2000 and investigate the way that population growth responds to changes in the road network. Like the current paper, they rely on an early plan of the interstate highway network and 1898 railroad routes as instruments

### Table 10—Individual Travel as a Function of Interstate Lane Kilometers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>In commute distance</th>
<th>In household daily VKT</th>
<th>In household annual VKT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel A. OLS on interstate highways, entire MSAs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (IH lane km)</td>
<td>0.094***</td>
<td>0.074***</td>
<td>0.074***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln (population)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−0.091***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.17)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geography</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Census divisions</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past populations</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>51,447</td>
<td>51,447</td>
<td>51,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Panel B. TSLS on interstate highways, entire MSAs**

|                      | (1)     | (2)     | (3)    | (4)    | (5)    | (6)    | (7)    | (8)    | (9)    |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| ln (IH lane km)      | 0.097*** | 0.10*** | 0.10*** | −0.017 | 0.11** | 0.089*  | −0.040** | 0.056** | 0.049*  |
|                      | (0.014) | (0.04)  | (0.04)  | (0.021) | (0.05) | (0.053) | (0.016) | (0.027) | (0.028) |
| ln (population)      | −0.00072 | 0.22    | −0.11** | 0.11   | −0.076*** | −0.040  |
|                      | (0.0331) | (0.17)  | (0.04)  | (0.21) | (0.022) | (0.119) |
| Observations         | 51,447  | 51,447  | 51,447  | 65,318  | 65,318  | 65,318  | 60,320  | 60,320  | 60,320  |
| Overidentification   | 0.063   | 0.36    | 0.52    | 0.28    | 0.14    | 0.45    | 0.12    | 0.63    | 0.94    |
| p-value              | 0.063   | 0.18    | 0.16    | 0.05    | 0.15    | 0.15    | 0.04    | 0.32    | 0.46    |
| First-stage Statistic| 51.7    | 18.6    | 16.8    | 50.1    | 17.5    | 15.5    | 49.1    | 16.9    | 14.6    |

**Notes:** All regressions include a constant and control for individual characteristics (income, education, gender, age, and race). Robust standard errors in parentheses (clustered by MSA); 228 MSAs represented in all regressions.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.
**Significant at the 5 percent level.
*Significant at the 10 percent level.
for the modern road network. They find that a 10 percent increase in the extent of the road network causes a 1.3 percent increase in MSA population over 10 years, and a 2 percent increase over 20 years.

D. Diversion from Other Roads

We measure traffic and lane kilometers for three exclusive classes of roads in each MSA: urbanized area interstates, nonurbanized area interstates, and major urbanized area roads. These data allow direct tests of whether changes to one class of roads affects VKT on the others. In particular, we estimate each of the three following variants of equation (2):

\[
\text{(7)} \quad \ln (Q_{it}^{IHU}) = A_0 + \rho_{R_{IHU}}^{IHU} \ln (R_{it}^{IHU}) + \rho_{R_{IHU}^{HNU}}^{IHU} \ln (R_{it}^{HNU}) + \rho_{R_{IHU}^{MRU}}^{IHU} \ln (R_{it}^{MRU}) + A_1 X_{it} + \epsilon_{it},
\]

\[
\text{(8)} \quad \ln (Q_{it}^{HNU}) = B_0 + \rho_{R_{IHU}}^{HNU} \ln (R_{it}^{IHU}) + \rho_{R_{IHU}^{IHU}}^{HNU} \ln (R_{it}^{IHU}) + \rho_{R_{IHU}^{MRU}}^{HNU} \ln (R_{it}^{MRU}) + B_1 X_{it} + \gamma_{it},
\]

\[
\text{(9)} \quad \ln (Q_{it}^{MRU}) = C_0 + \rho_{R_{IHU}}^{MRU} \ln (R_{it}^{IHU}) + \rho_{R_{IHU}^{IHU}}^{MRU} \ln (R_{it}^{IHU}) + \rho_{R_{IHU}^{MRU}}^{MRU} \ln (R_{it}^{MRU}) + C_1 X_{it} + \nu_{it}.
\]

In equation (7), \(\rho_{R_{IHU}}^{IHU}\) is the urbanized area interstate VKT elasticity of nonurbanized area interstate lane kilometers. If, for example, this parameter is \(-0.1\), then a 10 percent increase in nonurbanized-area interstate lane kilometers results in a 1 percent decrease in urbanized-area interstate VKT. Interpretation of other coefficients is similar. Table 11 reports estimates of equations (7)–(9). In all regressions we pool our three cross sections of HPMS data and use OLS. Panel A presents estimates of equation (7). In these regressions our dependent variable is urbanized area interstate VKT and the dependent variables of interest are the three measures of lane kilometers. We exploit cross-sectional variation and, from left to right, use progressively more exhaustive lists of controls. Panels B and C are similar to panel A, but use nonurbanized interstate VKT and major urbanized area road VKT as dependent variables.

Consistent with our earlier results, we see that VKT elasticity of own lane kilometers is close to one for all specifications in panel A and above 0.8 for all specifications in panels B and C. The largest estimated cross elasticity is 0.22 for the nonurbanized-area interstate VKT elasticity of urbanized-area major road lane kilometers, in column 1, row 3, of panel B. This estimate is not robust to the addition of controls, and is negative or indistinguishable from zero in other specifications. The estimate of the urbanized area interstate VKT elasticity of urbanized-area major road lane kilometers in column 1, row 3, of panel A is similar. Other cross elasticities are generally quite small. Our preferred regressions are reported in column 5. In this specification, all cross elasticities are negative, with magnitudes no larger than 0.1. In sum, Table 11 suggests that, while traffic diversion does occur in response to changes in the road network, the fundamental law of road congestion mainly reflects traffic creation rather than traffic diversion.
In the online Appendix, we confirm these results in Appendix Tables 11 and 12, where we replicate the results of Table 11 in decade-by-decade OLS regressions and in first-difference regressions.

E. An Accounting Exercise

The fundamental law of road congestion requires that changes in the extent of the road network are met with proportional changes in traffic. We have suggested four possible sources for this increase in traffic: changes in trucking and commercial driving; changes in individual or household driving behavior; changes in population; and diversion of traffic. We now consider whether these four sources are sufficient to explain the fundamental law and assess their relative importance.
To begin, consider a 10 percent increase in the interstate network of an average MSA around 2000. Using our preferred estimate from column 3 of Table 6, this increase causes a 10.3 percent increase in VKT on the interstates of our hypothetical city.

In Table 1, we see that in 2003, trucks accounted for 13 percent of VKT on interstate highways in an average MSA. In Table 9, our preferred specification is column 10, where the truck VKT elasticity of interstate highways is about 2.3. This means that a 10 percent increase in the stock of roads causes about a 23 percent increase in truck VKT and a 3.0 percent increase in overall interstate VKT, about 29 percent of the total increase in VKT caused by our 10 percent increase in roads. While our preferred elasticity of 2.3 may seem high, the average of all estimates in panel A of Table 9 is 1.5. This lower value would imply that trucks represent 18 percent of the total increase in VKT. Therefore, we estimate that trucks account for between 19 and 29 percent of the total increase in interstate VKT that results from our hypothetical 10 percent increase in interstate lane kilometers.

For migration, taking the preferred estimate from Duranton and Turner (2008), our 10 percent increase in the interstate network causes about a 2.1 percent increase in population. From column 3 of Table 6, the MSA population elasticity of interstate VKT is 0.30. Together, these two elasticities suggest that a 10 percent increase in population results in about a 0.6 percent increase interstate VKT, about 6 percent of the total increase. This elasticity of 0.30 is estimated in a regression that also controls for decennial population levels between 1920 and 1970. Because decennial population levels are highly correlated, this may understate the effect of population on VKT. Panel B of Table 5, which controls for the endogeneity of population in first-difference estimates, reports higher estimates. The estimate in column 5 is 1.02. This alternative value implies that population growth represents 21 percent the total effect of an extension in interstate lane kilometers. Therefore, we estimate that migration accounts for between 5 and 21 percent of the total increase in interstate VKT that results from our hypothetical 10 percent increase in interstate lane kilometers.

Turning to substitution across roads, we suppose that the 10 percent increase in our MSA’s interstate lane kilometers network is accomplished by increasing both urbanized and nonurbanized interstates by 10 percent. Since we are considering increases to both classes of interstate highways, we need only be concerned with diversion of traffic from major urbanized-area roads. This is estimated in panel C of Table 11. In rows 1 and 2 of column 5, we see that a 10 percent increase in urbanized and nonurbanized interstate causes a decrease in major urban road VKT of 0.48 percent and 0.04 percent, respectively (and basing our calculation on column 3 or 4 would yield similar results). That is, our 10 percent increase in interstate lane kilometers diverts 0.52 percent of traffic from major urban roads. Using the levels of VKT for major urban and all interstates given in Table 1 allows us to calculate that this diversion amounts to about a 1 percent increase in interstate VKT, or about 10 percent of the total effect of our hypothetical 10 percent extension. Because many estimates in Table 11 (or in Appendix Tables 11 and 12) indicate no substitution from major urban roads toward interstates, we cannot rule out the absence of a substitution effect. Therefore, we estimate that the diversion of traffic from other classes of roads accounts for between 0 and 10 percent of the total increase in interstate VKT that results from our hypothetical 10 percent increase in interstate lane kilometers.
Calculating the contribution of changes to household behavior is more difficult. Table 10 estimates the effect of interstate lane kilometers on individual driving behavior. We take the estimate of 0.11 given by column 5 of panel B (which is very close to the corresponding estimate for alternative measures of VKT in columns 2, 3, and 6 of both panels). A 10 percent increase in interstate lane kilometers causes a 1.1 percent increase in household annual VKT. Unfortunately, our data do not allow us to apportion household driving to different road networks. A first possibility is to assume that this 1.1 percent increase in driving is proportional to current driving across all road networks. Since households represent 87 percent of interstate VKT, this 1.1 percent increase represents an increase in interstate VKT of 0.9 percent, or 9 percent of the total increase in interstate VKT caused by a 10 percent increase in lane kilometers. This is arguably an unrealistic lower bound. Alternately, suppose that the 1.1 percent increase in household driving takes place only on interstates (recall that we earlier reported that about 24 percent of VKT takes place on interstates). In this case, the increase in interstate VKT would account for 4.1 percent of the total change in VKT, or 39 percent of the effect of our expansion in lane miles. This constitutes an upper bound. Therefore, we estimate that increases in household driving account for between 9 and 39 percent of the total increase in interstate VKT that results from our hypothetical 10 percent increase in interstate lane kilometers.

To sum up, of four possible sources for the new traffic following an increase in lane kilometers of interstates, changes to individual behavior and changes in commercial driving are the most important. Migration and traffic diversion are significantly less important. We also note that if we take the upper bounds for the shares of all four sources, we account for just about the entire increase in VKT.

V. Conclusion

This paper analyzes new data describing city-level traffic in the continental US between 1983 and 2003. Our estimates of the elasticity of MSA interstate highway VKT with respect to lane kilometers are 0.86 in OLS, 1.00 in first difference, and 1.03 with IV. Because our instruments provide a plausible source of exogenous variation, we regard 1.03 as the most defensible estimate. We take this as a confirmation of the “fundamental law of highway congestion” suggested by Downs (1962), where the extension of interstate highways is met with a proportional increase in traffic for US MSAs.

We also provide suggestive evidence that this law extends beyond urban highways, a “fundamental law of road congestion.” For a broad class of major roads within the “urbanized” part of MSAs, we estimate a roadway elasticity of VKT between 0.67 and 0.89, depending on the decade in OLS. Changes in the boundaries of urban areas over time and the weakness of our instruments for this class of roads preclude reliable first-difference and IV estimates.

Beyond direct evidence, we confirm two implications of the fundamental law of road congestion: we find no evidence that public transit affects VKT, and there is convergence of traffic levels. Our results also suggest that roads are assigned to MSAs with little or no regard for the prevailing level of traffic.

We also consider the sources of new traffic elicited by extensions to the interstate network. We find that changes to individual driving behavior and increases in
truckering are most important. Migration is somewhat less important. Surprisingly, diversion of traffic from other road networks does not appear to play a large role.

These findings suggest that both road capacity expansions and extensions to public transit are not appropriate policies with which to combat traffic congestion. This leaves congestion pricing as the main candidate tool to curb traffic congestion.

DATA APPENDIX

A. Consistent MSA Definitions

MSAs are defined as aggregations of counties. We use the 1999 MSA definitions. In order to insure that our definitions are constant over time, we track changes in county boundaries back to 1920 and make adjustments to MSA definitions as required in each decade.

B. HPMS Data


The HPMS consists of two parts. The universe data are supplied for most road segments in the interstate highway system and some other major roads, and provide a description of each segment. The sample data provide additional information about all segments in the universe data, including an urbanized area code for segments falling in urbanized areas. For a sample of smaller urbanized area roads, the sample data also provide all data fields that occur in the universe and sample data.

In general, each segment reported in the HPMS represents a larger set of similar segments (typically of the same road), called a sample. Thus, each reported segment is associated with an expansion factor that relates the length of the segment described in the data to the length of the sample it represents. Since states are required to report information on every interstate highway segment, all interstate highway segments should have an expansion factor of one. In fact, the average expansion factor for these segments is about 1.5, so that states seem not to be in compliance with reporting requirements. For noninterstate segments, principally smaller classes of roads, reporting requirements permit expansion factors of up to 100. In fact, a small number of larger expansion factors occur, but we exclude these segments from our sample. For urbanized-area roads in the relevant classes, reporting rules require that the union of all samples be the set of all urbanized-area roads. Loosely, urbanized-area road segments are partitioned into sets of similar segments, and one segment from each set is reported in the HPMS sample data. In this sense, sample data represents all urbanized road segments subject to reporting requirements.

For the interstate highway system, the HPMS records number of lanes, length, AADT, and county. By construction, road segments do not cross county borders. For segments in urbanized areas, the HPMS also provides an urbanized area code.
Since MSAs are county-based units, these data allow us to calculate VKT for the urbanized and nonurbanized area interstate systems by MSA.

Within urbanized areas, the HPMS describes not only the interstate highway system, but also all roads in the following functional classes: principal arterial—other freeways and expressways; principal arterial—other; minor arterial, collector, local. There is no mandated reporting of local roads, so they make up only a small share of the HPMS data and are excluded from our analysis. Our “major roads” are defined as the union of the remaining classes. The definitions of these road classes are given in DOT (1989) and span about 20 pages. Loosely, a local road is one that is predominantly used to access addresses on that road, e.g., a residential street. Any road used principally to connect local roads (but not an interstate) falls in one of the larger classes that we consolidate into major roads.

C. NPTS data

In Table 12, we report some descriptive statistics about our two waves of the NPTS. Surprisingly, these data show that driving distances per person, household, and vehicle all declined between 1995 and 2001.

The “vehicle survey” provides a detailed description of each household motor vehicle, including the survey respondents’ report of how many kilometers it was
driven in the past 12 months. We use this information to construct an estimate of total VKT for the household during the survey year. This information is reported in the top section of Table 12. The “person survey” describes travel behavior for each household member on a typical travel day. From this, we construct household mean commute distance, time, and speed for household members who drive to work. Table 12 shows that mean commute distance decreased from 20.4 km in 1995 to 19.4 in 2001. This decrease in distance resulted in a small decrease in mean commute times despite a decline in speed. Finally, the “travel day” survey collects detailed information about each trip taken by each household member on a randomly selected travel day. These data allow the calculation of household person-kilometers of vehicle travel, along with the person-minutes required to accomplish this travel, and the average speed of this travel. Table 12 shows that total daily household person-kilometers of travel was approximately constant over the study period, but that the time required to accomplish this travel increased from 147.7 minutes to 160.9 minutes, and speed decreased from 48.4 to 43.9 km/h.

The descriptive statistics in Table 12 point at stability or a small decline in VKT per household between 1995 and 2001. For the same period, the HPMS indicates increases of around 20 percent for VKT, as reported at the bottom of Table 12. It is natural to wonder whether these two findings are contradictory. To see that they are not, note that the NPTS and the HPMS report different measures of VKT. The NPTS reports a per household measure of VKT on all roads. On the other hand, the HPMS reports aggregate VKT on interstates and major urban roads within MSAs. Thus, the HPMS looks at a different set of roads than the NPTS does, and the 2001–1995 difference reflects changes in commercial traffic and number of households, in addition to changes in VKT per household.

D. Instruments

Our measures of the 1947 interstate highway plan and the 1898 railroad network are taken from Duranton and Turner (2008) and are documented there. Further discussion of the 1947 highway plan is available in Michaels (2008) and Baum-Snow (2007).

While our exploration routes variable is new, Duranton and Turner (2008) experimented with a different formulation and found that it did not have much predictive ability. In this initial formulation of the exploration route data, we treated the exploration route map in exactly the same way as we did the 1947 highway plan and the 1898 railroad map. That is, all routes are treated in exactly the same way and receive exactly the same weight. In particular, this means that well-used and important routes, such as the Oregon or Santa Fe Trails, are given the same weight as less successful routes. With this said, since the exploration routes map provides a line for each expedition it describes, even if this line is very close to the line for another expedition on the same route, the map does permit us to distinguish more intensively used routes from less. In particular, if we digitize the map and count

---

18 We rule out sampling errors. NPTS data sample a large number of households, are broadly acknowledged to be of high quality, and their correlation with census data is also high, as mentioned above. Mark Schipper and Vicki Moorhead (2000) also provide evidence that reported VKT in the NPTS is highly consistent with odometer VKT from the 1994 Residential Transportation Energy Consumption Survey. As for the HPMS, it is carefully scrutinized by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, which uses it as the basis of its Transportation Statistics Annual Report.
all pixels assigned to any route, we have a measure of the intensity with which a region was used by explorers between 1835 and 1850. This is precisely what we did. Figure 5 illustrates.

The share of the democratic vote in the 1972 presidential election is calculated from the General Election Data for the US, 1950–1990, from the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR).

E. Geography

Our data include five measures describing the physical geography of an MSA taken from the data used by Marcy Burchfield et al. (2006). The particular measures of physical geography that we use are: elevation range within the MSA, the ruggedness of terrain in the MSA, heating degree days, and cooling degree days and “sprawl” in 1992. Elevation range is the difference in meters between the elevation of the highest and lowest point in the MSA. Ruggedness is calculated by imposing a regular 90-meter grid on each MSA and calculating the mean difference in elevation between each cell and adjacent cells. Heating and cooling degree days are engineering measures used to assess the demand for heating and cooling. Sprawl is the measure of sprawl calculated in Burchfield et al. (2006) and measures the share of undeveloped land in the square kilometer surrounding an average structure. More detail about these variables is available in Burchfield et al. (2006) and at http://diegopuga.org/data/sprawl/.

F. Employment

To measure employment we use the County Business Patterns data from the US Census Bureau. These data are available annually from 1983 to 2003. We construct disaggregated employment data at the two digit-level (with 81 sectors) to investigate whether the supply of interstate highways and other major roads affects the composition of economic activity and, in particular, employment in transportation-intensive
sectors. Between 1983 and 2003, three different industrial classifications have been used in the US: the standard industrial classification (SIC) which remained unchanged at the two-digit level until 1997; the 1997 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) from 1998 to 2002; and the 2002 NAICS for 2003. Using the same cross walk as in Duranton and Turner (2008), we perform our employment regressions using SIC categories.

G. Public Transit Infrastructure

To comply with Section 15 of the Urban Mass Transportation Act, all public transit districts in the US submit annual reports to the federal government detailing their assets and activities over the course of the year. Our data for 1984 bus service come from Table 3.6, p3–308, of DOT Urban Mass Transit Administration (1986). The Section 15 reports are available in electronic form starting in 1984. While these reports do not assign transit districts to an MSA, they contain enough geographic information, e.g., zip code, so that about 700 of the 740 transit districts that operate during 1984, 1994, or 2004 can be assigned to a non-MSA county or to an MSA.

With this correspondence constructed, we count all “large buses” in each MSA at peak service for 1984. We use this daily average number of large buses operating at peak service in 1984 to measure an MSA’s stock of public transit infrastructure. In our definition of large buses we include buses in the following Section 15 reporting classes: articulated bus; bus A (> 35 seats); bus B (25–35 seats); bus C (< 25 seats); double-deck bus; motor bus; motor bus (private); street car; trolley bus.

H. Socioeconomic Characteristics

To measure MSA socioeconomic characteristics, we use three data sources. The share of manufacturing employment is computed from the County Business Patterns for 1983, 1993, and 2003 to match the years of data for VKT and roadway. The 1980 segregation index is calculated from 1980 census tract–level data and is based on the measure of housing segregation described in equation (3), p. 836, of David M. Cutler and Glaeser (1997). Finally, the share of college educated workers, share of poor, and average earnings are computed using data from the 1980, 1990, and 2000 decennial censuses. From the education questions in these three censuses, we are able to build a consistent variable capturing the share of residents with some college education (or more) by MSA. The three censuses also contain a question about poverty, which can be aggregated in the same way. Individual earnings are also aggregated in a similar fashion with the caveat that the bands and the top code differ across censuses.
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