

## **Anomalies**

# **Seasonal Movements in Security Prices II: Weekend, Holiday, Turn of the Month, and Intraday Effects**

Richard Thaler

*“Discovery commences with the awareness of anomaly, i.e., with the recognition that nature has somehow violated the paradigm-induced expectations that govern normal science.”*

Thomas Kuhn

This feature will report successful searches for disconfirming evidence—economic anomalies. As suggested by Thomas Kuhn, an economic anomaly is a result inconsistent with the economics paradigm. Economics is distinguished from other social sciences by the belief that most (all?) behavior can be explained by assuming that agents have stable, well-defined preferences and make rational choices consistent with those preferences in markets that (eventually) clear. An empirical result is anomalous if it is difficult to “rationalize,” or if implausible assumptions are necessary to explain it within the paradigm. Of course, “difficult” and “implausible” are judgements, and others might disagree with my assessment. Therefore, I invite readers to submit *brief* explanations (within the paradigm or otherwise) for any of the anomalies I report. To be considered for publication, however, proposed explanations must be falsifiable, at least in principle. A reader who claims that an alleged anomaly is actually the rational response to taxes should be willing to make some prediction based on that

■ *Richard Thaler is Professor of Economics, Johnson Graduate School of Management, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.*

hypothesis; for example, the anomaly will not be observed in a country with no taxes, or for non-taxed agents, or in time periods before the relevant tax existed. Someone offering an explanation based on transactions costs might suggest an experimental test in which the transactions costs could be eliminated and should be willing to predict that the effect will disappear in that environment.

The future topics for this feature will come from as many fields of empirical economics as possible. Readers are invited to suggest topics by sending a note with some references to (or better yet copies of) the relevant research. My address is: Richard Thaler, c/o *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Johnson Graduate School of Management, Malott Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853.

In the previous issue, I reviewed the peculiar behavior of security prices in January. Stock prices tend to rise in January, particularly the prices of small firms and firms whose stock price has declined substantially over the past few years. Also, risky stocks earn most of their risk premiums in January. This issue will conclude our survey of seasonal anomalies in security prices with a review of four additional effects: the behavior of prices over weekends, before holidays, at the turn of each month, and within the day.<sup>1</sup>

## The Weekend Effect

Define the daily return (that is, price change plus dividends) for a particular day of the week as the return from the close of the previous trading day to the close of trading on that day. Using this definition, how should we expect Monday returns to compare to the returns for other weekdays? The most logical hypothesis—dubbed the “calendar time hypothesis” by French (1980)—is that prices should rise somewhat more on Mondays than on other days because the time between the close of trading on Friday and the close of trading on Monday is three days, rather than the normal one day between other trading days. Accordingly, Monday returns should be three times higher than other weekday returns. French offers an alternative, the “trading time hypothesis,” which states that returns are generated only during active trading and implies that returns should be the same for every trading day. This hypothesis strikes me as unreasonable. Suppose, for example, trading were restricted to one day per week during the summer. Wouldn't we expect the return on those days to be equal to the normal *weekly* return? In any case, neither hypothesis is consistent with the data.

The first study of weekend effects in security markets appeared in the *Journal of Business* in 1931, written by a graduate student at Harvard named M. J. Fields. He was investigating the conventional Wall Street wisdom at the time that “the unwill-

<sup>1</sup>Timothy Taylor, our managing editor, has pointed out to me that calling these effects “seasonal” is a bit of a misnomer (especially the intraday effects), since they have little to do with seasons. However, I have been unable to come up with a better word. Any suggestions?

lingness of traders to carry their holdings over the uncertainties of a week-end leads to a liquidation of long accounts and a consequent decline of security prices on Saturday" (Fields, 1931, p. 415). Fields examined the pattern of the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) for the period 1915–1930 to see if the conventional wisdom was true. He compared the closing price of the DJIA for Saturday with the mean of the closing prices on the adjacent Friday and Monday. He found, in fact, that prices tended to rise on Saturdays. For the 717 weekends he studied, the Saturday price was more than \$.10 higher than the Friday–Monday mean 52 percent of the time, while it was lower only 36 percent of the time.

The next study of daily return patterns did not appear in the academic literature for four decades. Frank Cross (1973) studied the returns on the Standard and Poors index of 500 stocks (the S&P 500) over the period 1953 to 1970. He found that the index rose on 62 percent of the Fridays, but on only 39.5 percent of the Mondays. The mean return on Fridays was 0.12 percent, while the mean return on Mondays was  $-0.18$  percent. As Cross says, "the probability that such a large difference would occur by chance is less than one in a million."

Kenneth French (1980) also used the S&P 500 index to study daily returns and obtained similar results. He studied the period 1953–1977 and found that the mean Monday return was negative for the full period (mean =  $-0.168$  percent,  $t = -6.8$ ) and also for every five year sub-period. The mean return was positive (as would be expected) for all other days of the week, with Wednesdays and Fridays having the highest returns. French then asked whether the negative returns on Mondays might be due to some unidentified "closed-market effect." If so, the expected return should be lower following holidays, as well as weekends. He found instead that average returns were higher than normal for Mondays, Wednesdays, Thursdays and Fridays after holidays. On Tuesdays following Monday holidays, returns were negative, perhaps a belated showing of the usual negative weekend returns. He interpreted these results as suggesting that there is something special about weekends, as opposed to general market closings.

The Cross and French studies both measured Monday returns as the difference between the closing price on Friday and the closing price on Monday. This leaves open whether prices fall during the day on Mondays or between Friday's close and Monday's opening. This issue was investigated by Richard Rogalski (1984). Rogalski obtained opening and closing prices for the DJIA for the period from October 1, 1974 to April 30, 1984 and for the S&P 500 for the period January 2, 1979 to April 30, 1984. He found that prices rose on Mondays from the opening to the close. The negative returns were all between the close of trading on Friday and the opening on Monday. Thus the Monday effect became the weekend effect.<sup>2</sup> He also found that weekends in January are different from other months. During January, weekend and

<sup>2</sup>Smirlock and Starks (1986) studied weekend effects for the DJIA over the period 1963–83. They found that the negative returns have shifted backward in time. In the 1963–68 period the negative returns occurred during Monday's trading. From 1968–74 the negative returns were concentrated in the opening hours of Monday trading. Since 1974 the losses have occurred between Friday close and Monday opening.

Monday returns are positive. Not surprisingly, in light of the results reported in the previous column, the January returns are also related to firm size. The smallest firms have the highest Monday returns (and the highest returns on all other days, for that matter).

If weekends are bad for stocks, what about other securities? Gibbons and Hess (1981) looked at the daily pattern of returns for treasury bills and found that Monday's return is significantly lower than other days. They also investigated several possible explanations of the weekend effect for stocks, the most plausible of which involves "settlement periods." Stocks purchased on one day need not be paid for until several business days later. The length of the settlement period has gradually increased over time. Apparently, the more computerized the process becomes, the longer it takes! From March 4, 1962 to February 10, 1968, the settlement period was four business days; since that time it has been five business days. For the former period, investors who sold stocks on Monday would receive payment in four days while those who sold on other days would not receive payment for six days. Since the negative Monday returns persist after 1968, the settlement effect cannot be a complete explanation, and Gibbons and Hess show that even before 1968 the differing settlement periods cannot explain the weekend effect.<sup>3</sup>

Odd empirical results such as the weekend effect generate legitimate worries about "data mining." After all, there are many ways to look at the data; if enough people spin the same tapes long enough, some significant results are bound to be found. Researchers have used two methods to see whether these anomalies may in fact be artifacts. One method is to study different time periods. In the case of the weekend effect, all the recent research can be thought of as replications of Fields's original study which covered 1915–1930. Cross and French used data starting in 1953 (a date chosen because that is when the New York Stock Exchange stopped trading on Saturdays). Since then, Keim and Stambaugh (1984) have confirmed that the weekend effect held for the S&P Composite Index for the period 1928–1982, and Lakonishok and Smidt (1987) studied the seasonal movements of the DJIA for the period 1897–1986 and again found consistent negative Monday returns, even for the previously unstudied 1897–1910 period.

Coursey and Dyl (1986) use a completely different approach to investigate the weekend effect. Using the methods of laboratory market experiments, they introduced trading interruptions and observed the resulting pattern of prices. In their experiments, subjects traded assets with uncertain values. For the first two trading "days" of each three-day "week," the assets had a lifetime of one day. For the third day, which was followed by a one-period non-trading "weekend," assets had two-day lifetimes. The results were consistent with the evidence in actual security markets. The prices on the days before trading interruptions were significantly higher (per unit of return) than on other days.

<sup>3</sup>Lakonishok and Levi (1982) also investigate the settlement effect issue, taking into consideration the time it takes checks to clear. They too find that settlement periods cannot explain the observed pattern of prices.

## Holiday Effects

In French's investigation of weekend effects he looked at the price behavior after holidays and found nothing special happening. However, in another early study, Fields (1934) found that the DJIA showed a high proportion of advances the day *before* holidays. In this case it took over 50 years for Fields to be resurrected from obscurity by Robert Ariel (1985). Ariel looked at the returns on the 160 days that preceded holidays during the period 1963–1982. For an equal-weighted index of stocks he found that the mean return on the preholidays was .529 percent, compared to .056 percent on other days, a ratio of greater than 9 to 1. For a value-weighted index the preholiday returns average .365 percent compared to .026 percent on other days, a ratio of greater than 14 to 1. The differences are both statistically and economically significant. Again, these results were replicated for the 90-year DJIA series by Lakonishok and Smidt (1987). They obtained an average preholiday return of .219 percent, compared to the normal daily rate of return of .0094 percent, a ratio of greater than 23 to 1. The size of these numbers is highlighted by the following amazing fact: over the last 90 years, 51 percent of the capital gains in the DJIA have occurred on the approximately ten preholidays per year.

## Turn of the Month Effects

Ariel (1987) has also examined the pattern of returns within months. For the period 1963–1981 he divided months into two parts, the first part starting with the last day of the prior month. He then compared the cumulative returns for the two periods using both equal-weighted and value-weighted indexes. Again the results are quite startling. The return for the latter half of the month is *negative*. All the returns for the period occur in the first part of the month! This result has been replicated and sharpened by Lakonishok and Smidt. Using their 90-year series for the Dow, they find that the returns for the four days around the turn of the month, starting with the last day of the prior month, is .473 percent. (The average return for a four-day period is .0612 percent.) Also, the turn-of-the-month four-day return is greater than the average total monthly return which is .35 percent. In other words, aside from the four days around the turn of every month, the DJIA falls!

## Intraday Effects

The most recent contribution to the analysis of seasonal price movements was made possible by the existence of the Francis Emory Fitch tape, which provides a time-ordered record of every common stock transaction (all 15 million!) made on the NYSE for the fourteen months between December 1, 1981, and January 31, 1983.

Lawrence Harris (1986a) used this tape to investigate intraday price movements. He computed rates of return for every fifteen minute period the market was open. He found that the weekend effect spills over into the first 45 minutes of trading on Monday, with prices falling during this period. On all other days, prices rise sharply during the first 45 minutes. Also, returns are high near the very end of the day, particularly on the last trade of the day. Furthermore, the day-end price changes are greatest when the final transaction is within the last five minutes of trading. Harris (1986b) investigated and rejected the possibility that this odd result can be attributed to errors in the data or price manipulations by specialists. One fact which argues against these hypotheses is that opening price changes tend to be positive, whereas if the price increases at the end of the day were artifacts, one would expect the subsequent opening changes to be negative. One of the most intriguing aspects of the end-of-the-day results is that similar patterns have been observed in experimental markets. For example, Forsythe, Palfrey, and Plott (1982, 1984) and Plott and Sunder (1982) found positive price blips just before trading closed in their experimental asset markets. This was originally thought to be an experimental markets anomaly, but it appears to be present on the NYSE as well.

## Commentary

There is a striking pattern to the results described in this and the previous column. Abnormal price returns occur around the turn of the year, the turn of the month, the turn of the week, the turn of the day, and before holidays. Why? Most of the reasonable, or even not so reasonable, explanations have been tested and rejected. Certainly it is safe to say that no one would have predicted any of these results in 1975, when the efficient market hypothesis was thought by most financial economists to be a well-established fact. While the effects are not large enough for traders with any significant transactions costs to exploit, they remain a genuine puzzle. Investors who plan to trade anyway could alter the timing of their trading to take advantage of the predictable price changes. What new explanations are promising? It is hard to imagine any single factor that can explain all of these effects. However, several kinds of factors seem worth investigating.

1. Price movements may be related to customs that influence the flow of funds in and out of the market. For example, pension funds and mutual funds may receive payments (and make corresponding changes in their portfolios) at dates that coincide with calendar changes because firms and individuals customarily make such payments at regular intervals. At the individual level, Ritter (1987) found that the price movements of small firms near the turn of the year seem to be related to buying and selling by private individuals (who, compared to institutions, own a greater share of small firms than large firms). Specifically, the ratio of buy orders to sell orders for the noninstitutional customers of Merrill Lynch are high in early January and low in late December. In other words, individuals as a group are selling in December and buying

in January. Also, the variation in the buy-sell ratio explains 46 percent of the annual variation in the abnormal small firm January returns (defined as the returns to the smallest decile of NYSE stocks minus the returns on the largest decile). Similar studies of the habits of institutional investors would be very worthwhile.

2. Another reason why institutional investors may make seasonally related changes in their portfolios is the practice quaintly referred to as "window dressing." The claim on Wall Street is that investment managers clean up their portfolios before reporting dates, to get rid of embarrassing holdings. Since the reporting dates presumably coincide with natural calendar dates, such actions may be related to some of the seasonal price movements, particularly the year-end and month-end effects.

3. A different type of explanation of seasonal price movements is that they are related to the systematic timing of the arrival of good and bad news. This hypothesis seems most plausible for the weekend effect, if the announcement of bad news is systematically postponed until after the close of trading on Friday. Several of the authors cited above mention this hypothesis, though it has not been seriously investigated.

These hypotheses all can explain why there might be patterns of buying and selling that coincide with calendar time. Of course, they are not consistent with the efficient market hypothesis since that hypothesis assumes that there is an infinitely elastic supply of arbitragers and traders ready to buy or sell whenever prices vary from their intrinsic values. However, there is reason to believe that the supply and demand elasticities of arbitragers is finite. For example, articles published nearly simultaneously by Shleifer (1986) and by Harris and Gurel (1986) found that in recent years when stocks are added to the S&P 500 index, their prices rise immediately by almost 3 percent. The authors argue convincingly that there is no information about quality embedded in the announcement that a stock has been added to the index. Rather, they attribute the price appreciation to the increased demand for the stocks by index funds, mutual funds that attempt to mimic the S&P index. Consistent with this explanation, the effect is more pronounced in the last few years as index funds have become an important segment of the institutional investment community. Also, Harris and Gurel found that the price increase is temporary; the price increases are dissipated within three weeks. Once the possibility of downward sloping demand curves for stocks is conceded, then many possible explanations of anomalous price behavior can no longer be dismissed out of hand.

The three explanations described above are based on institutional considerations. One argument against these hypotheses is that some of the effects have been observed in experimental markets in which the relevant institutional features are missing. There are no cash inflows, no portfolios to be window dressed, and no news announcements in the experimental markets studied. Thus, Coursey and Dyl (1986) suggest that the weekend effect might be explained by psychological factors, such as a preference for compound gambles over simple gambles. Other behavioral explanations might incorporate variations in the mood of the market participants (good moods on Fridays and before holidays, bad moods on Mondays, and so on). It is well known, for example, that suicides occur more frequently on Monday than on any other day.

What conclusions can be drawn from the seasonal anomaly literature at this time? Marc Reinganum (1984, p. 839), one of the participants in this field, interprets the results as a challenge to theorists: "What then do the anomalies mean? They mean that the theories of capital asset pricing (at least as they pertain to equity markets) have been toppled. They mean that the most interesting insights into the pricing behavior of stocks are being discovered by tedious and painstakingly thorough examination of data. They mean that, in the constant ebb and flow between theory and empirics, empirics currently holds the upper hand." I don't agree. The ball is still in the empiricists' court. The clues that will allow us to understand these puzzles must come from additional econometric and experimental investigations. Only then can the formal modelers try to put the pieces together conceptually. The challenge, then, is really to all economists to try to understand why the seasonal price movements occur, and how they can persist for at least 90 years, and for at least 50 years after their existence has been published.

■ *This column was prepared with the expert assistance of Charles Lee, and with the helpful advice of Josef Lakonishok and Seymour Smidt.*

## References

- Ariel, Robert A., "High Stock Returns Before Holidays," MIT working paper, 1985.
- Ariel, Robert A., "A Monthly Effect in Stock Returns," *Journal of Financial Economics*, forthcoming.
- Coursey, Donald, L., and Edward A. Dyl, "Price Effects of Trading Interruptions in an Experimental Market," University of Wyoming working paper, March 1986.
- Cross, Frank, "The Behavior of Stock Prices on Fridays and Mondays," *Financial Analysts Journal*, November-December 1973, 67-69.
- Fields, Morris J., "Security Prices and Stock Exchange Holidays in Relation to Short Selling," *Journal of Business*, Oct. 1934, 7, 328-338.
- Fields, Morris J., "Stock Prices: A Problem in Verification," *Journal of Business*, Oct. 1931, 4, 415-418.
- Forsythe, Robert, Thomas R. Palfrey, and Charles R. Plott, "Asset Valuation in an Experimental Market," *Econometrica*, May 1982, 50, 537-567.
- Forsythe, Robert, Thomas R. Palfrey, and Charles R. Plott, "Futures Markets and Informational Efficiency: A Laboratory Examination," *Journal of Finance*, September 1984, 39, 55-69.
- French, Kenneth, "Stock Returns and The Weekend Effect," *Journal of Financial Economics*, March 1980, 8, 55-69.
- Gibbons, Michael, and Patrick Hess, "Day of the Week Effects and Asset Returns," *Journal of Business*, October 1981, 54, 579-596.
- Harris, Lawrence, "A Transaction Data Study of Weekly and Intradaily Patterns in Stock Returns," *Journal of Financial Economics*, 1986a, 16, 99-117.
- Harris, Lawrence, "A Day-End Transaction Price Anomaly," University of Southern California working paper, March 1986b.
- Harris, Lawrence, and Eitan Gurel, "Price and Volume Effects Associated with Changes in the S&P 500 List: New Evidence for the Existence of Price Pressures," *Journal of Finance*, September 1986, 41, 815-829.
- Keim, Donald B., and Robert F. Stambaugh, "A Further Investigation of the Weekend Effect in Stock Returns," *Journal of Finance*, July 1984, 39, 819-840.

**Lakonishok, Josef, and Seymour Smidt**, "Are Seasonal Anomalies Real? A Ninety-Year Perspective," Cornell University working paper, 1987.

**Lakonishok, Josef, and Maurice Levi**, "Weekend Effects on Stock Returns," *Journal of Finance*, 1982, 37, 883-889.

**Plott, Charles R., and Shyam Sunder**, "Efficiency of Experimental Security Markets with Insider Information: An Application of Rational Expectations Models," *Journal of Political Economy*, August 1982, 90, 663-698.

**Reinganum, Marc R.**, "Discussion," *Journal of Finance*, July 1984, 39, 837-840.

**Ritter, Jay R.**, "An Explanation of the Turn of the Year Effect," University of Michigan, Graduate School of Business Administration working paper, 1987.

**Shleifer, Andrei**, "Do Demand Curves for Stocks Slope Down?" *Journal of Finance*, July 1986, 41, 579-589.

**Rogalski, Richard**, "New Findings Regarding Day-of-the-Week Returns Over Trading and Non-Trading Periods: A Note," *Journal of Finance*, December 1984, 34, No. 5, 1603-1614.

**Smirlock, Michael, and Laura Starks**, "Day of the Week and Intraday Effects in Stock Returns," *Journal of Financial Economics*, 1986, 17, 197-210.



**This article has been cited by:**

1. Tse-Chun Lin, Yican Liu, Fangzhou Lu. 2024. Happy App Happy Tip: The Return Predictability from Amusement Apps Downloads Around the World. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **122**. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. I. Marta Miranda García, María-Jesús Segovia-Vargas, Usue Mori, José A. Lozano. 2023. Early prediction of Ibex 35 movements. *Journal of Forecasting* **42:5**, 1150-1166. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Avinash Ghalke, Satish Kumar, Ram Kumar Kakani, Kameshwar Rao V.S. Modekurti. 2023. New moon day anomalies of Amavasya and Muhurat trading: Gestalting the role of culture and institutions. *IIMB Management Review* **35:2**, 137-148. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Aliaa Bassiouny, Mariam Kiryakos, Eskandar Tooma. 2023. Examining the adaptive market hypothesis with calendar effects: International evidence and the impact of COVID-19. *Global Finance Journal* **56**, 100777. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Dezhong Xu, Bin Li, Tarlok Singh. 2023. Does gold–platinum price ratio predict stock returns? International evidence. *International Journal of Managerial Finance* **19:2**, 308-330. [[Crossref](#)]
6. John Gould, Joey W. Yang, Ranjodh Singh, Ben Yeo. 2023. The seasonality of lottery-like stock returns. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **83**, 383-400. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Ramona Dumitriu, Razvan Stefanescu. 2023. Stock Returns on Bucharest Stock Exchange During the Second Half of December. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **13**. . [[Crossref](#)]
8. Qing Liu, Xinyuan Wang, Yamin Du. 2022. The weekly cycle of investor sentiment and the holiday effect-- An empirical study of Chinese stock market based on natural language processing. *Heliyon* **8:12**, e12646. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Ryumi Kim. 2022. The turn-of-the-month effect and investor trading activities in the KOSDAQ stock market. *Journal of Derivatives and Quantitative Studies: #####* **30:4**, 260-277. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Yu Kyung Lee, Ryumi Kim. 2022. The turn-of-the-month effect and trading of types of investors. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* **75**, 101826. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Tirthank Shah, Narayan Baser. 2022. Global mutual fund market: the turn of the month effect and investment strategy. *Journal of Asset Management* **23:6**, 466-476. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Xianhua Mi, Ru Xiao, Chaoqun Ma. 2022. The inefficiency of information transmission between stock index futures and the underlying index: Measurements and characteristics. *Expert Systems with Applications* **201**, 117085. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Alex Edmans, Adrian Fernandez-Perez, Alexandre Garel, Ivan Indriawan. 2022. Music sentiment and stock returns around the world. *Journal of Financial Economics* **145:2**, 234-254. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Savva Shanaev, Arina Shuraeva, Svetlana Fedorova. 2022. The Groundhog Day stock market anomaly. *Finance Research Letters* **47**, 102641. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Alex Imas. Richard H. Thaler (1945–) 979-1004. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Julia Puaschunder. Market Communication 121-129. [[Crossref](#)]
17. yu lee, Ryumi Kim. 2022. The Turn-of-The-Month Effect and Trading of Types of Investors. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **19**. . [[Crossref](#)]
18. Razvan Stefanescu, Ramona Dumitriu. 2022. Statistica Afacerilor: Aplicații, partea a șasea (Business Statistics: Exercises, Part 6). *SSRN Electronic Journal* **13**. . [[Crossref](#)]
19. Meher Shiva Tadepalli, Ravi Kumar Jain, and Bhimaraya A. Metri. 2022. An Enquiry into the Persistence of Turn-of-the-Month Effect on Stock Markets in India: Insights and Perspectives on a Seasonal Anomaly. *Business Perspectives and Research* **10:1**, 9-26. [[Crossref](#)]

20. Ahmet Tuğberk ÇİTİLÇİ. 2021. S&P 500 ENDEKSİNDE TAKVİM ANOMALİSİ: MARK TWAIN (EKİM) ETKİSİ ÜZERİNE BİR İNCELEME. *Trakya Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 23:2, 987-1002. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Alya Al-Nasseri, Faek Menla Ali, Allan Tucker. 2021. Investor sentiment and the dispersion of stock returns: Evidence based on the social network of investors. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 78, 101910. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Daniel Carnahan, Sebastian Saiegh. 2021. Political shocks and asset prices. *Political Science Research and Methods* 120, 1-18. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Meher Shiva Tadepalli, Ravi Kumar Jain, Bhimaraya Metri. 2021. An Inquiry into the Persistence of Holiday Effect on Stock Markets in India: Insights and Perspectives on a Seasonal Anomaly. *FIIIB Business Review* 8, 231971452110168. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Evanthia Chatzitzisi, Stilianos Fountas, Theodore Panagiotidis. 2021. Another look at calendar anomalies. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 80, 823-840. [[Crossref](#)]
25. Taqadus Bashir, Misbah Wadood, Ammara Mujtaba, Hira Idrees, Ammara Toqeer, Ayesha Furrukh. 2021. SEMI-STRONG FORM EFFICIENCY OF GOLD MARKET: COLLECTIVE RATIONALISATION AND ISLAMIC CALENDAR ANOMALY. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews* 9:2, 293-313. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Savva Shanaev, Binam Ghimire. 2021. Efficient scholars: academic attention and the disappearance of anomalies. *The European Journal of Finance* 27:3, 278-304. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Ashish Kumar. 2021. An empirical examination of the effects of design elements of email newsletters on consumers' email responses and their purchase. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 58, 102349. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Yourong Li, Weike Liu. Spatial-Temporal Pattern Analysis on Journal Paper Submissions 74-78. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Kevin Luo, Shuairu Tian. 2020. The "Black Thursday" effect in Chinese stock market. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* 27, 100367. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Julia Puaschunder. Market Communication 89-97. [[Crossref](#)]
31. İhsan Erdem KAYRAL, Nisa Şansel TANDOĞAN. 2019. BİST Şehir Endekslerinde Ay İçi ve Ay Dönümü Anomalilerinin İncelenmesi. *İnsan ve Toplum Bilimleri Araştırmaları Dergisi* 8:4, 3114-3133. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Hakan Altın. Efficient Market Hypothesis for Islamic Capital Markets 489-523. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Thomas A. Loughran. 2019. Behavioral criminology and public policy. *Criminology & Public Policy* 18:4, 737-758. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Alex Plastun, Inna Makarenko, Lyudmila Khomutenko, Svitlana Shcherbak, Olha Tryfonova. 2019. Exploring price gap anomaly in the Ukrainian stock market. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 16:2, 150-158. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Viviana Amati, Alessandro Lomi, Daniele Mascia. 2019. Some days are better than others: Examining time-specific variation in the structuring of interorganizational relations. *Social Networks* 57, 18-33. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Eduard Krkoska, Klaus Reiner Schenk-Hoppé. 2019. Herding in Smart-Beta Investment Products. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 12:1, 47. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Kun Li, Joseph D. Cursio, Mengfei Jiang, Xi Liang. 2019. The significance of calendar effects in the electricity market. *Applied Energy* 235, 487-494. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Eduard Krkoska, Klaus Reiner Schenk-Hoppé. 2019. Herding in Smart-Beta Investment Products. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 26. . [[Crossref](#)]

39. Ramona Dumitriu, Razvan Stefanescu. 2019. Changes in the Behavior of Stocks Returns during the Turn-of-the-Quarter. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 13. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
40. Chia-Chen Teng, J. Jimmy Yang. 2018. Chinese Lunar New Year effect, investor sentiment, and market deregulation. *Finance Research Letters* 27, 175-184. [\[Crossref\]](#)
41. Yuk Ying Chang, Wei-Huei Hsu. 2018. The Best of Times, the Worst of Times: Testing which Behavioral Biases Affect Analyst Forecasts. *International Review of Finance* 18:4, 637-688. [\[Crossref\]](#)
42. Diego Winkelried, Luis A. Iberico. 2018. Calendar effects in Latin American stock markets. *Empirical Economics* 54:3, 1215-1235. [\[Crossref\]](#)
43. Alya Al-Nasseri, Faek Menla Ali. 2018. What does investors' online divergence of opinion tell us about stock returns and trading volume?. *Journal of Business Research* 86, 166-178. [\[Crossref\]](#)
44. Kavous Ardalan. 2018. Neurofinance versus the efficient markets hypothesis. *Global Finance Journal* 35, 170-176. [\[Crossref\]](#)
45. Tariq Aziz, Valeed Ahmad Ansari. 2018. The Turn of the Month Effect in Asia-Pacific Markets: New Evidence. *Global Business Review* 19:1, 214-226. [\[Crossref\]](#)
46. Blair Hull, Petra Bakosova, Alexander Kment. 2018. Seasonal Effects and Other Anomalies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 18. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
47. Eduard Krkoska, Klaus Reiner Schenk-Hoppé. 2018. Smart-Beta Herding and Its Economic Risks: Riding the Dragon?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 40. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
48. Razvan Stefanescu, Ramona Dumitriu. 2018. Introducere în analiza anomaliilor calendaristice, Partea întâi (An Introduction to the Analysis of the Calendar Anomalies, Part 1). *SSRN Electronic Journal* 13. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
49. Jungshik Hur, Vivek Singh. 2017. Cross-Section of Expected Returns and Extreme Returns: The Role of Investor Attention and Risk Preferences. *Financial Management* 46:2, 409-431. [\[Crossref\]](#)
50. Julia M. Ptaschunder. 2017. Nudgitize Me! A Behavioral Finance Approach to Minimize Losses and Maximize Profits from Heuristics and Biases. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 27. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
51. Eyal Lahav, Tal Shavit, Uri Benzion. 2016. Can't wait to celebrate: Holiday euphoria, impulsive behavior and time preference. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 65, 128-134. [\[Crossref\]](#)
52. Meziyet Sema ERDEM. 2016. AVRUPA VE ASYA-PASİFİK HİSSE SENEDİ PAZARLARINDA ZAYIF FORMDA PAZAR ETKİNLİĞİ VE TAKVİM ANOMALİLERİ. *Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi* 149-166. [\[Crossref\]](#)
53. Ann Shawing Yang. 2016. Calendar trading of Taiwan stock market: A study of holidays on trading detachment and interruptions. *Emerging Markets Review* 28, 140-154. [\[Crossref\]](#)
54. Volkan Kayacetin, Senad Lekpek. 2016. Turn-of-the-month effect: New evidence from an emerging stock market. *Finance Research Letters* 18, 142-157. [\[Crossref\]](#)
55. Markku Kaustia, Elias Rantapuska. 2016. Does mood affect trading behavior?. *Journal of Financial Markets* 29, 1-26. [\[Crossref\]](#)
56. Foong Cheong. 2016. Debunking Two Myths of the Weekend Effect. *International Journal of Financial Studies* 4:2, 7. [\[Crossref\]](#)
57. Guglielmo Maria Caporale, Luis Gil-Alana, Alex Plastun, Inna Makarenko. 2016. Intraday Anomalies and Market Efficiency: A Trading Robot Analysis. *Computational Economics* 47:2, 275-295. [\[Crossref\]](#)
58. Foong Soon Cheong. 2016. Debunking Two Myths of the Weekend Effect. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 29. . [\[Crossref\]](#)

59. Krzysztof Borowski. 2015. Analiza wybranych efektów sezonowości stóp zwrotu na rynku srebra w okresie 30.10.2003-31.12.2013. *Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie* :1(937), 5-26. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Pengjie Gao, Jia Hao, Ivalina Kalcheva, Tongshu Ma. 2015. Short sales and the weekend effect—Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Financial Markets* **26**, 85-102. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Ying-Fang Kao, K. Vela Velupillai. 2015. Behavioural economics: Classical and modern. *The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought* **22**:2, 236-271. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Mohamad Al-Ississ. 2015. The holy day effect. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* **5**, 60-80. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Elena Valentina Țilică. 2015. Intramonthly Anomalies on the Bucharest Stock Exchange. *Procedia Economics and Finance* **32**, 271-277. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Isaac Justin Faber, Wesley J. Matthews. 2015. Cashing in on the Holidays Searching for the Holiday Effect Among Some of Americas Top Retail Companies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **9**. . [[Crossref](#)]
65. Preet Deep Singh. 2015. Square It Off: Trading Strategy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **16**. . [[Crossref](#)]
66. Susan Sunila Sharma, Paresh Kumar Narayan. 2014. New evidence on turn-of-the-month effects. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* **29**, 92-108. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Guglielmo Maria Caporale, Luis A. Gil-Alana, Alex Plastun, Inna Makarenko. 2014. Intraday Anomalies and Market Efficiency: A Trading Robot Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **24**. . [[Crossref](#)]
68. Oleg Deev, Dagmar Linnertová. 2013. Intraday and intraweek trade anomalies on the Czech stock market. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis* **60**:4, 79-88. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Rayenda Khresna Brahmana, Chee-Wooi Hooy, Zamri Ahmad. 2012. Psychological factors on irrational financial decision making. *Humanomics* **28**:4, 236-257. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Shao-Chi Chang, Sheng-Syan Chen, Robin K. Chou, Yueh-Hsiang Lin. 2012. Local sports sentiment and returns of locally headquartered stocks: A firm-level analysis. *Journal of Empirical Finance* **19**:3, 309-318. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Yang Chun-xia, Zhang Ying-chao, Wu Hong-fa. Empirical Study on the Long-Memory Components in Asset Returns 689-696. [[Crossref](#)]
72. David Stifel, Marcel Fafchamps, Bart Minten. 2011. Taboos, Agriculture and Poverty. *Journal of Development Studies* **47**:10, 1455-1481. [[Crossref](#)]
73. CHUN-XIA YANG, HONG-FA WU, YING-CHAO ZHANG, BING-YING XIA, MASARU ITOH. 2011. PHASE SYNCHRONIZATION DETECTION OF FINANCIAL MARKET CRISES. *Modern Physics Letters B* **25**:04, 243-254. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Christopher L. Gilbert. 2011. Anomalies in Economics and Finance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **25**. . [[Crossref](#)]
75. Markku Kaustia, Elias Henrikki Rantapuska. 2011. Does Mood Affect Trading Behavior?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **18**. . [[Crossref](#)]
76. Andrew C. Worthington. 2010. The decline of calendar seasonality in the Australian stock exchange, 1958–2005. *Annals of Finance* **6**:3, 421-433. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Einari Jalonen, Sami Vähämaa, Janne Äijö. 2010. Turn-of-the-month and intramonth effects in government bond markets: Is there a role for macroeconomic news?. *Research in International Business and Finance* **24**:1, 75-81. [[Crossref](#)]
78. PM Silva. 2010. Calendar “anomalies” in the Portuguese stock market. *Investment Analysts Journal* **39**:71, 37-50. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Shehan Fernando, Prabhath Jayasinghe. 2010. Evidence for Weak Form Efficiency in Stock Markets: The Case of Colombo Stock Exchange. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **28**. . [[Crossref](#)]

80. John R. Doyle, Catherine Huirong Chen. 2009. The wandering weekday effect in major stock markets. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 33:8, 1388-1399. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Doron Kliger, Ori Levy. 2009. Theories of choice under risk: Insights from financial markets. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 71:2, 330-346. [[Crossref](#)]
82. S.R. Vishwanath. Market Efficiency: Theory, Tests and Applications 497-515. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Virgilijus Sakalauskas, Dalia Kriksciuniene. Research of the Calendar Effects in Stock Returns 69-78. [[Crossref](#)]
84. CHUNXIA YANG, HONGFA WU, YINGCHAO ZHANG. 2008. PERIODIC COMPONENTS AND CHARACTERISTIC TIME SCALES IN THE FINANCIAL MARKET. *Modern Physics Letters B* 22:26, 2571-2578. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Shao-Chi Chang, Sheng-Syan Chen, Robin K. Chou, Yueh-Hsiang Lin. 2008. Weather and intraday patterns in stock returns and trading activity. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 32:9, 1754-1766. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Christos Floros. 2008. The monthly and trading month effects in Greek stock market returns: 1996-2002. *Managerial Finance* 34:7, 453-464. [[Crossref](#)]
87. Manfred Gartner. 2008. Predicting the Presidential Election Cycle in US Stock Prices: Guinea Pigs versus the Pros. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 97. . [[Crossref](#)]
88. Andrew C. Worthington. 2008. The Decline of Calendar Seasonality in the Australian Stock Exchange, 1958-2005. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 29. . [[Crossref](#)]
89. Brian J. Jacobsen. 2007. Stock price patterns. *Applied Financial Economics Letters* 3:5, 301-306. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Alison Mackey, Tyson B. Mackey, Jay B. Barney. 2007. Corporate social responsibility and firm performance: Investor preferences and corporate strategies. *Academy of Management Review* 32:3, 817-835. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Edward Hope, Brian M. Lucey. 2007. Daily seasonality in 19th century stocks -- some evidence from the Dublin stock exchange. *Applied Economics Letters* 14:4, 277-282. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Patric Andersson, Tim Rakow. 2007. Now you see it now you don't: The effectiveness of the recognition heuristic for selecting stocks. *Judgment and Decision Making* 2:1, 29-39. [[Crossref](#)]
93. CATHERINE KYRTSOU, ALEXANDROS LEONTITSIS, COSTAS SIRIOPOULOS. 2006. EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF CALENDAR EFFECTS ON THE DYNAMIC STRUCTURE AND FORECASTS OF FINANCIAL TIME SERIES. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance* 09:01, 1-22. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Ben S. Branch, Aixin Ma. 2006. The Overnight Return, One More Anomaly. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 13. . [[Crossref](#)]
95. Dimitris Kenourgios, Aristeidis Samitas, Spyros Papathanasiou. 2005. The Day of the Week Effect Patterns on Stock Market Return and Volatility: Evidence for the Athens Stock Exchange. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 5. . [[Crossref](#)]
96. Joseph E. Stiglitz. 2004. Information and the Change in the Paradigm in Economics, Part 2. *The American Economist* 48:1, 17-49. [[Crossref](#)]
97. A. Gregoriou, A. Kontonikas, N. Tsitsianis. 2004. Does the day of the week effect exist once transaction costs have been accounted for? Evidence from the UK. *Applied Financial Economics* 14:3, 215-220. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Brian M. Lucey, Shane Whelan. 2004. Monthly and semi-annual seasonality in the Irish equity market 1934-2000. *Applied Financial Economics* 14:3, 203-208. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Terence C. Mills. Forecasting Financial Variables 510-538. [[Crossref](#)]

100. Allan Timmermann, Clive W.J. Granger. 2004. Efficient market hypothesis and forecasting. *International Journal of Forecasting* **20**:1, 15-27. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Vijay B. Gondhalekar. 2004. The Blue Monday Hypothesis: Evidence About and Based on Fama-French Factors. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
102. Recep Bildik. 2004. Are Calendar Anomalies Still Alive?: Evidence from Istanbul Stock Exchange. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **6** . [[Crossref](#)]
103. Ed Hope, Brian M. Lucey. 2004. Daily Seasonality in 19th Century Stocks - Some Evidence from the Dublin Stock Exchange. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **7** . [[Crossref](#)]
104. . Equilibrium Implying Efficiency: The Neoclassical Fantasy 107-144. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Ryan Sullivan, Allan Timmermann, Halbert White. 2001. Dangers of data mining: The case of calendar effects in stock returns. *Journal of Econometrics* **105**:1, 249-286. [[Crossref](#)]
106. Leonardo L. Madureira, Ricardo P.C. Leal. 2001. Elusive anomalies in the Brazilian stock market. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **10**:2, 123-134. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Kathryn Wilkens. 2000. Evidence on Risk/Return Patterns in Cash and Futures Markets. *The Journal of Alternative Investments* **3**:2, 45-67. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Mark J. Kamstra,, Lisa A. Kramer,, Maurice D. Levi. 2000. Losing Sleep at the Market: The Daylight Saving Anomaly. *American Economic Review* **90**:4, 1005-1011. [[Citation](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
109. T. C. Mills, C. Siriopoulos, R. N. Markellos, D. Harizanis. 2000. Seasonality in the Athens stock exchange. *Applied Financial Economics* **10**:2, 137-142. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Mou-Hsiung Chang, Roger K. Youree. 1999. The European option with hereditary price structures: Basic theory. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **102**:2-3, 279-296. [[Crossref](#)]
111. KWONG C. CHEUNG, J. ANDREW COUTTS. 1999. The January effect and monthly seasonality in the Hang Seng index: 1985-97. *Applied Economics Letters* **6**:2, 121-123. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Aigbe Akhigbe, Thomas Gosnell, T. Harikumar. 1998. WINNERS AND LOSERS ON NYSE: A RE-EXAMINATION USING DAILY CLOSING BID-ASK SPREADS. *Journal of Financial Research* **21**:1, 53-64. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Zainudin Arsad, J. Andrew Coutts. 1997. The trading month anomaly in the Financial Times Industrial Ordinary Shares Index: 1935-1994. *Applied Economics Letters* **4**:5, 297-299. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Werner Güth, Jan P. Krahen, Christian Rieck. 1997. Financial markets with asymmetric information: A pilot study focusing on insider advantages. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **18**:2-3, 235-257. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Raj Aggarwal, John D. Schatzberg. 1997. Day of the week effects, information seasonality, and higher moments of security returns. *Journal of Economics and Business* **49**:1, 1-20. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Terence C. Mills, J. Andrew Coutts. 1995. Calendar effects in the London Stock Exchange FT-SE indices. *The European Journal of Finance* **1**:1, 79-93. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Anup Agrawal, Kishore Tandon. 1994. Anomalies or illusions? Evidence from stock markets in eighteen countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **13**:1, 83-106. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Raymond P.H. Fische, Thomas F. Gosnell, Dennis J. Lasser. 1993. GOOD NEWS, BAD NEWS, VOLUME, AND THE MONDAY EFFECT. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting* **20**:6, 881-892. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Murray Frank, Thanasis Stengos. Nearest Neighbor Forecasts of Precious Metal Rates of Return 238-251. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Charles Bram Cadsby, Mitchell Ratner. 1992. Turn-of-month and pre-holiday effects on stock returns: Some international evidence. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **16**:3, 497-509. [[Crossref](#)]

121. Pradeep K. Yadav, Peter F. Pope. 1992. Intra-week and intraday seasonalities in stock market risk premia: Cash and futures. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 16:1, 233-270. [[Crossref](#)]
122. BRUNO S. FREY, REINER EICHENBERGER. 1989. Should Social Scientists Care about Choice Anomalies?. *Rationality and Society* 1:1, 101-122. [[Crossref](#)]
123. David M. Cutler, James M. Poterba, Lawrence H. Summers. 1989. What moves stock prices?. *The Journal of Portfolio Management* 15:3, 4-12. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Charles Bram Cadsby. Canadian Calendar Anomalies and the Capital Asset Pricing Model 199-226. [[Crossref](#)]
125. William A. Brock, David S. Evans. 1989. Small business economics. *Small Business Economics* 1:1, 7-20. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Bruno S. Frey. 1988. Political economy and institutional choice. *European Journal of Political Economy* 4:3, 349-366. [[Crossref](#)]