

Human Capital vs. Signalling Explanations of Wages

Andrew Weiss

Workers with higher levels of education and more work experience tend to have higher wages. For some years, the most common explanation for these correlations has been that time spent in school or on the job increases wages by directly increasing the worker's productivity. This learning explanation is usually associated with human capital theory.

However, it seems unlikely that learning explains all the wage differences associated with schooling and work history. Better-educated workers are not a random sample of workers: they have lower propensities to quit or to be absent, are less likely to smoke, drink or use illicit drugs, and are generally healthier. It is unlikely that employers make full use of differences in propensities to quit or to be absent or sick when hiring workers. These characteristics are often not directly observed, and the Americans with Disabilities Act precludes firms from using either poor health or the likelihood of future sickness as a hiring criterion unless it is directly related to job performance.¹ However, if low levels of education are associated with these unfavorable employee characteristics, and employers are allowed to take education into account when hiring workers, we would expect employers to favor better-educated workers as a means of reducing their costs of sickness and job turnover. In turn, students will take these hiring criteria into account when deciding how long to stay in school. Students will choose a length of schooling to "signal" their ability to employers, and employers will demand a minimum level of schooling

¹ The American Lung Association has found that a smoker costs his employer up to \$5000 more in annual health insurance costs. However, in 29 states it is illegal to refuse to hire smokers (*New York Times*, May 8, 1994, p. E5).

from applicants in order to “screen” their workers. Both signalling and screening serve to “sort” workers according to their unobserved abilities. This paper will use the term “sorting” to refer to both signalling and screening of workers.² We shall focus on the way in which individuals are sorted according to a measure of ability that improves productivity across all jobs.

“Sorting models” of education can best be viewed as extensions of human capital models. However, while human capital theory is concerned with the role of learning in determining the return to schooling, sorting models, while allowing for learning, focus on the ways in which schooling serves as either a signal or filter for productivity differences that firms cannot reward directly. Sorting models extend human capital theory models by allowing for some productivity differences that firms do not observe to be correlated with the costs or benefits of schooling.³ (Sorting models can also include ability differences that are observed by firms but which the firms cannot use in making employment decisions. Both these extensions of human capital theory have the same qualitative implications.) In sorting models, schooling is correlated with differences among workers that were present before the schooling choices were made; firms make inferences about these productivity differences from schooling choices, and students respond to this inference process by going to school longer.

If the sorting extensions of human capital theory are important, the marginal benefits from schooling or work experience for an individual could greatly exceed the expected value of the effects of those activities on productivity, even ignoring taxes, subsidies and externalities. An accurate measure of the change in wages for a person who goes to school for 12 years instead of 11 would not measure the effect of that year of education on his productivity, but rather the combined effect of one additional year of learning and the effect of being identified as the type of person who has 12 rather than 11 years of schooling. Because individuals will react to their private wage gains, rather than to the social gains from greater productivity, schooling and employment decisions will be distorted.

The remainder of this paper discusses whether various empirical regularities are better explained by learning or sorting considerations. It first examines the positive correlation between wages and education and then turns to the relationship between wages and job tenure. The bottom line is that labor economists should take into account the distortions induced by signalling or screening when estimating social rates of return to schooling and job experience. Meanwhile, policymakers

² In signalling models the informed (students) move first. In screening models the uninformed (firms) move first. Signalling models often have multiple equilibria; screening models suffer from the opposite problem of nonexistence of equilibrium. Realistic dynamic models combining signalling and screening have not been well studied.

³ The relationship between wages and education could be the outcome of either students choosing an education program to signal their ability, or students choosing education levels in response to the relative wage offers of firms, in which case wages would serve to screen workers.

should be cautious about using “consensus” estimates of rates of return to schooling in considering policies designed to reduce dropout rates or when allocating resources across primary, secondary, and postsecondary schools.

Explaining the Connection Between Education and Wages

Interpreting the Coefficient on Education in a Wage Equation

There have been literally thousands of published estimates of rates of return to education. The estimates are generally derived using least squares regression, where the dependent variable is the logarithm of wages or earnings, and the independent explanatory variables include a constant, observed demographic characteristics such as schooling, race, sex, and experience, and other observed variables that are deemed relevant by the researcher. This method of explaining wages is often known as a Mincerian earnings equation, after Jacob Mincer. Since the coefficient that appears in front of the schooling term describes the percentage change in wages associated with each additional year of schooling, it has been interpreted as the private rate of return to schooling. This interpretation is accurate as long as there are no ability differences that are not included in the wage equation, but that are observed by the firm. If such ability differences did exist, an individual who graduated from high school but who did not look like a typical high school graduate would not get the wages of a high school graduate, and so that individual's return to schooling would differ from the estimated regression coefficient.

With less justification, the coefficient on education in the log wage regression has also been interpreted as an estimate of the productivity-enhancing effects of education. The assumptions needed for that interpretation are far stronger. Not only must wages be proportionate to productivity, but also all attributes that are not observed by firms and that affect productivity must be uncorrelated with schooling, or else firms must be irrational.

One frequent test of the extent to which education is directly affecting individual productivity is to measure the effect on the education coefficient when additional right-hand variables intended to capture ability differences—such as IQ—are included in the wage equation. The usual finding is that the coefficient on education is not strongly affected by the inclusion of additional right-hand variables. These results have been (mis)interpreted as suggesting that unobserved ability differences do not have important effects on productivity.

However, in sorting models, firms do not directly observe the attributes that are omitted from a standard wage equation and that affect worker productivity. Rather, firms use education choices to draw inferences about unobserved attributes. The coefficient on education is fully capturing the effects of that inference process and would not be affected by the inclusion of additional explanatory variables that are not observed by the firm. Even if the researcher knows the results of accurate tests of attributes like intelligence, perseverance or a taste for additional learning, if the firm does not have that direct information available, then the sorting model

predicts that including these variables in the wage equation will not affect the coefficient on schooling.

Because there has been some misunderstanding of the differences between human capital and sorting interpretations, it is worth reemphasizing the point that sorting models subsume all the features of human capital models. In particular, both approaches allow for learning in school (and human capital models require it). In both approaches, profit-maximizing firms compete for utility-maximizing workers, and the expected lifetime compensation of a worker with a given set of observed characteristics is equal to the expected lifetime productivity of a randomly selected worker with those characteristics. Both human capital and sorting models assume that individuals choose a length of schooling that equates their marginal return from schooling to their cost of schooling. The models differ in that sorting models allow for attributes that are not observed by the firm to be correlated with schooling.

Much policy-oriented research has been devoted to calculating returns to education, by adjusting for all traits that are observed by firms. This is often done by expanding the set of attributes that are observed by the researcher and assuming that everything the researcher observes is observed by the firm. When policy is being formulated, these estimates of private returns are frequently used as estimates of the social return. From a policy viewpoint, the key difference between human capital and sorting is that in sorting models, even after correcting for all traits that are observed by the firm, the coefficient on education may be a very biased measure of the effects of either schooling or experience on productivity. If sorting considerations matter, the coefficient on education offers a good estimate only of the private return to education, not the social return to education.

If the sorting approach is correct, the outcome of the market is inefficient, since the private and social returns differ. However, it is not clear whether there will be too much or too little education. On one side, individuals may pursue too much education as a means of signalling their love of learning, or firms may demand more education than is required for a job to deter applications from people who have difficulty succeeding in school. In these cases, the private return to education would exceed the social return. On the other side, as Stiglitz (1975) pointed out, an indirect effect of sorting may be to improve the match between workers and jobs, in which case the social return from schooling may exceed the private return. For instance, suppose people for whom schooling is unpleasant have an absolute advantage in unskilled jobs, and people for whom schooling is relatively pleasant have an absolute advantage in skilled jobs, and employers cannot directly observe the productivity of workers nor identify the different types. Then, when workers for whom education is relatively pleasant choose more education to separate themselves, average productivity and wages at the low-skill job rises. The resulting increase in wages of people with low levels of education leads to an underestimate of the social return to education. (Note that the Stiglitz argument departs from the usual assumption made in sorting and human capital models: that abilities that are correlated with schooling positively affect productivity on all jobs.)

Ability Differences and Educational Choices

People choose different levels of schooling. One reason for their different choices might be that some receive a higher benefit from a given amount of schooling, perhaps because they learn more readily than others, or because they value future earnings more highly, or because they enjoy learning.

Human capital models do not naturally generate a positive correlation between ability differences and education (Weiss, 1971). If higher-ability people are more productive at every schooling level, they will have a higher opportunity cost of schooling, which would lead them to leave school sooner. They would, however, stay in school longer if they cared more about the future, or enjoyed school more than the average student, or learned more rapidly. These preferences make workers desirable employees: they would be less likely to quit or be absent (both quits and absenteeism involve short-term benefits and long-term costs) and more likely to participate successfully in training programs. Of course, if people with desirable preferences go to school longer, firms would use education in their hiring criteria as a means of selecting workers with these desirable traits.

Leaving aside differences in preferences, more able people may go to school longer because they derive greater benefits from schooling. The positive correlation between the ability to learn and the length of schooling chosen is central to sorting models such as Weiss (1983) and Cho and Kreps (1987).

The available evidence suggests that the benefit to schooling is greater for more able individuals, so that we would expect education to sort by ability. In the Netherlands and Singapore, for example, outcomes on a certification exam have large effects on the earnings of school-leavers (Liu and Wong, 1982; Hartog, 1983). Altonji (1995) has shown that in the United States, a composite measure of aptitude—based on grades in secondary school, scores on standardized tests, and the student's own self-appraisal of ability—is correlated with returns to postsecondary education. High-ability men have more than twice the return to postsecondary education as do low-ability men.⁴ The effect of this composite measure on rates of return to postsecondary education appears to be due to high-ability people being more likely to complete a course of study. The aspects of ability included by Altonji are not usually directly observed by firms (or researchers) and are likely to be correlated with other unobserved attributes such as perseverance. Altonji (1995) also finds that students who take more courses in high school have more years of postsecondary schooling. This relationship holds both within and across high schools. However, when the high school a student attended is used as an instrument to predict courses taken then this instrument is uncorrelated with postsecondary schooling. These instrumental variable results suggest that the relationship between high school courses and postsecondary schooling is not due to learning in school, but is rather a consequence of the same unobserved attributes that lead some people to take more courses, also leading them to stay in school longer.

⁴ High-ability students have scores that are one standard deviation above the mean for this composite measure, while low-ability students have scores that are one standard deviation below the mean.

One indirect implication of sorting models is that the ratio of the wage paid to people who succeed in school to the wage paid to workers who failed in school will increase with schooling (Weiss, 1983). In the Netherlands this effect is quite pronounced at high education levels (Hartog, 1984) and could be causing the positive correlation between years of schooling and the variance of earnings (Mincer, 1974).

Direct Evidence of the Effects of Learning on Earnings

If the positive relationship between wages and years of secondary schooling is due to learning in secondary school, then the higher wages of better-educated people should be due to skills that were learned during those years of additional education. Altonji (1995) examines the effect of course work in school on earnings using data from the National Longitudinal Survey of the High School Class of 1972 and various follow-ups, including earnings in 1986. Everyone in the sample graduated from high school. However, not everyone took the same number of courses, making it possible to estimate the effects on future earnings of taking an additional course. Altonji estimates the increase in earnings associated with particular courses, holding years of education fixed.⁵

If the return to education is due to learning in secondary school, and learning in one course does not decrease learning in other courses, and course selection is uncorrelated with the error term of the wage equation, then the sum of the individual effects on earnings of each course in the standard curriculum should equal the usual estimates of the effect of a year of schooling on earnings—that is, 7 to 10 percent. Altonji addresses the following question: if an individual was to take seven periods of lunch and recess instead of a standard curriculum, what would be the effect on his earnings? He finds that taking the average high school course load, rather than registering and getting a “social promotion,” either has no effect on wages or actually decreases wages. Course work fails to have a significantly positive effect on wages even 13 years after individuals have graduated from high school. By that time employers would have had considerable opportunity to observe the productivity of workers. On the other hand, course work (and ability) does affect returns to postsecondary education. Thus while secondary schoolwork may not directly affect productivity, it may be complementary to learning in postsecondary school.

In an earlier paper, using a different data set, different estimate techniques, and only sampling high school graduates who did not continue their education, Kang and Bishop (1986) also found that academic courses had insignificant effects on wages. Their estimates imply that a year of a full academic curriculum of mathematics, English, foreign language, social sciences and science is, holding all other courses fixed, associated with \$.11 lower hourly wages. The only academic courses

⁵ Altonji's (1995) results are robust to the inclusion of controls for measures of family background and scores on aptitude and achievement tests.

that had a statistically significant effect on hourly wages were social science courses, which were associated with \$.12 lower hourly wages.

Altonji's (1995) results (and to a lesser extent the results in Kang and Bishop, 1986) provide a serious challenge to explanations of the relationship between secondary schooling and wages that are based on learning in secondary school. The small or negative returns to course work are especially striking, since they include both the learning and signalling effects of those courses. These results are a strong refutation of the huge literature that interprets the correlation between wages and secondary schooling as due to learning in secondary school.

Of course, there are ad hoc explanations of these results that are consistent with learning in secondary school generating the relationship between wages and schooling, although these explanations are far from compelling.

First, perhaps adding courses subtracts from the effort in other courses. If the courses from which effort is being drained are the ones with the high returns for the individual, then adding courses could decrease earnings. Under this scenario, the value of what is learned in a year of schooling could rise or fall with the number of courses. For instance, taking a foreign language could decrease the amount of time a student spent on vocational subjects and thus might decrease wages. We don't know if students who are induced to take additional courses spend significantly less time on courses in which the marginal units of effort are having large effects on personal productivity, but such behavior would surely seem irrational if productivity was observed by firms. In addition, students report spending on average less than one hour per day on homework, suggesting that for most students crowding out effects are not very important—most of their learning is taking place in school.

A second objection to the Altonji-Kang-Bishop results is that students who took different courses in school, even if they had the same number of total years of schooling, are likely to differ in other ways. Since returns to postsecondary schooling are higher for people who took more courses in high school, the cost of quitting school is higher for those students. Thus, we might expect students who took more courses in high school to have higher reservation wages and thus higher wages conditional on leaving school. This effect would cause an upward bias in the measured effect of secondary school courses on wages. On the other hand, a student who is guaranteed a highly paid job upon completion of high school (perhaps with a parent's union?) may take few courses, introducing a downward bias in estimates of returns to courses. These biases offset one another, and I would expect their net effect to be small.

A third possibility is that the curriculum results are due to omitting high school dropouts from the samples. However, this sample bias would only explain the results if people who complete high school derived less benefit from their course work than do high school dropouts. This seems unlikely.

A fourth possibility is that less able students take more courses because they must repeat those courses. However, Altonji (1995) found a positive correlation

between hours of science, foreign language, English, and math and both grades and achievement test scores.

While the previous four explanations seem implausible, if one is willing to give up on the estimated coefficient on secondary schooling as a reasonable estimate of returns to that schooling, there is a reasonable way to resurrect learning as the cause of the relationship between secondary schooling and wages. It is implausible that learning in *secondary* school is generating the relationship—since courses are not affecting wages even 13 years after graduation, by which time the learned skills will presumably have been observed by employers. However, learning in *primary* school may be generating the positive correlation between secondary schooling and wages. In primary school children are taught to cooperate, to persevere, to delay gratification. Children who learn these affective skills are likely to stay in school longer. So the positive correlation between schooling and wages could be due to the correlation between schooling and the earlier acquisition of affective skills in primary school. If employers do not observe what workers have learned in primary grades, schooling decisions later on will be distorted in the ways implied by sorting models.⁶

Consider the types of jobs taken by people with no more than 12 years of schooling. Few of those jobs require a knowledge of chemistry, algebra or trigonometry, or history or geography. On the other hand, punctuality, perseverance, self-discipline, good manners, literacy, and the ability to perform simple calculations accurately are all valuable for a wide range of jobs—and all are skills that are primarily taught in the early years of school. Schooling also affects preferences, including the preference for present versus future rewards.

To sum up: the most plausible explanation for the Altonji-Kang-Bishop results is that employers do not observe transcripts and thus do not use course work as a signal. They do observe years of secondary schooling, which is an unbiased predictor of productivity. Courses taken in school do not significantly improve the predictive value of merely persevering through four years of high school.

Grades, Test Scores and Earnings

If the focus shifts from curriculum to measures of schooling like test scores, there still seems to be little connection between these measures of education and the earnings of high school graduates. There is a long history of researchers failing to find an economically significant relationship between scores on achievement tests and wages.

Altonji (1995, Table A-2) finds that while vocabulary, reading ability, and basic competence in math are positively correlated with log wages, the correlations are neither economically nor statistically significant. Kang and Bishop (1986) found

⁶ If, on the other hand, the productivity differences associated with different levels of secondary schooling are due to learning in primary school that is directly observed by employers, standard estimates of rates of return to secondary education would bear little relationship to the productivity-enhancing effects of learning in secondary school.

that a one standard deviation increase in math, reading, and vocabulary test scores was associated with a \$.003 fall in hourly wages, a \$.001 rise in hourly wages, and a \$.002 increase in hourly wages, respectively—and none of these changes was statistically significant. (A one standard deviation differences in achievement test scores is usually associated with three years of schooling, so these effects are trivial compared with the usual estimates of the effects of three years of schooling on wages.) Meyer (1982) reports that a shift of one standard deviation in a variable he labels as “test” (a composite of various test scores) increases earnings by up to 4 percent—again this finding is not statistically significant. Bishop (1990b) finds similar results. All of these studies reject the hypothesis that cognitive learning in secondary school classrooms is making a substantial contribution to the positive relationship between schooling and earnings at the individual level.

Researchers have also looked at possible effects of secondary school grades and class rank on wages (Kang and Bishop, 1986; Meyer, 1982). In some regressions, small results are found some of the time. But the main message from studies on course work, test scores, and grades is that learning in high school does not seem to be a significant factor in explaining the correlation between secondary schooling and wages.

These small or statistically insignificant results are especially striking since these estimates are almost certainly upwardly biased estimates of the effects of test scores on wages. Individuals with high test scores and good grades are likely to have some other traits that are observed by employers and are directly rewarded in the labor market.

Taken together, these estimates suggest that courses, test scores, and measurable learning in secondary school can explain at most one-quarter of the increased earning associated with completion of high school, and probably substantially less.

A Sorting Explanation

If less than one-quarter of the higher earnings of high school graduates is due to learning in secondary school, what accounts for the other three-quarters of their higher earnings?

One possible explanation is that some individuals have (unobserved) traits that make schooling less costly to them. We can call these traits various aspects of perseverance. Individuals with more perseverance are likely to have lower quit rates and rates of absenteeism after leaving high school, as well as being more likely to graduate high school. Along these lines, Klein et al. (1991) found that individuals who had more education than would be expected from their observed demographic characteristics were likely to have unexpectedly low propensities to quit a job. Along similar lines, Weiss (1988) presents evidence and a simulation study suggesting that substantially all of the relationship between high school graduation and earnings can be explained by the lower quit propensities and lower rates of absenteeism of high school graduates. If perseverance is not directly observable by firms, then high

school graduation will be rewarded because of the lower rates of absenteeism and lower quit behavior of high school graduates. Students need not be consciously “signalling” these traits. The sorting explanation only requires that some students have unobserved traits that lower their cost of schooling and that these traits are valued by firms.

An important empirical study that bears on the relative usefulness of the sorting and learning approaches for understanding wage determination is Card and Krueger (1992). That study finds that individuals who were born in states with small class sizes and high relative teacher salaries had higher rates of rate of return to education as well as more schooling. They also found that increases in those school inputs were associated with lower wages for students who fail to complete high school and higher wages for students with more than 12 years of schooling. The increase in schooling associated with small class sizes and high relative teacher wages generated higher wages at every percentile of the population.

Card and Krueger (1992) present their findings as evidence that learning in school is generating the correlation between schooling and wages, but there are several problems with this interpretation. First, it is hard to see why better instruction in primary and secondary school would cause a *fall* in wages for people with no postsecondary schooling. (The schooling inputs they measure are inputs into primary and secondary education.) At the education levels chosen by most of their sample, increased school inputs either had no effect or a negative effect on wages.

Second, if increased learning is causing the wage-education locus to become steeper (pivoting roughly around its midpoint), it is surprising that length of school term has no effect on this slope when they control for other characteristics of the state-cohort.

Third, relative teacher wage is likely to be highly correlated with the ratio of college wages to the wages of high school graduates—and thus with returns to education in the state of birth. Card and Krueger (1992) attempt to control for that problem by using differences in the returns to education of a state’s emigrants and the residents of the state in which they reside to estimate returns to school inputs. However, since the change of wages conditional on emigrating affects the probability of migration, we would expect that the rates of return to education for migrants to be highly correlated with the return in the state from which they migrated.⁷ Thus, the Card and Krueger focus on migrants does not avoid the problem that relative teacher salaries are highly correlated with returns to education in the state of birth.

Fourth, the teacher/pupil ratio may also be correlated with the relative demand for skilled workers in the state, which may in turn be correlated with per capita income. To test this hypothesis I added the natural log of per capita income in the worker’s state of birth when the average worker in a cohort was 23, as an additional explanatory variable in the Card and Krueger regressions estimating

⁷ Speakman and Welsh (1994) treat this issue at some length.

effects of school inputs on rates of return. With that specification, the coefficient on the teacher/pupil ratio becomes insignificant while the coefficient on per capita income is both economically and statistically significant.⁸

A sorting explanation for the Card and Krueger (1992) results is that states with high per capita income will tend to spend more on instruction and also build more schools and provide better transportation, which would reduce differences in access to schools. Those expenditures would reduce the noise in the relationship between unobserved ability and schooling. In addition, in more prosperous states fewer students are likely to leave school because of family financial pressures—further reducing the noise in the relationship between ability and schooling. To the extent that schooling decisions are governed by random factors rather than observed ability differences, the coefficient on schooling in a wage equation will be biased toward zero—reflecting the zero correlation between those random factors and productivity. This is the usual problem of measurement error of a right-hand variable. As the noise in the relationship between unobserved ability and schooling is decreased, this bias will also decrease, and the estimated coefficient on schooling in the wage equation will rise, reflecting the true relationship between unobserved ability differences and wages. Thus the sorting model predicts that controlling for per capita income reduces the effect of school expenditures on rates of return to schooling.⁹ The actual results are quite striking. The effects of every schooling input is eliminated once we control for per capita income at the time the students were in school. The *t*-statistics for pupil/teacher ratio and term length are both near zero. The *t*-statistic for relative teacher wage is 1.40, and thus the borderline significance; but, as we've argued, that variable is capturing the relative demand for educated workers. (These calculations are available from the author.)¹⁰

Many other studies purport to measure the relative importance of sorting and learning for explaining returns to education. Typically these studies can only do so if they make strong auxiliary assumptions. Space limitations preclude a discussion of all these studies. Instead, we shall discuss three of the most influential approaches—the Angrist and Krueger (1990) study of the effect of birthdate on returns to schooling, studies of the relationship between wages and schooling for identical twins, and studies of returns to schooling in different occupations. Angrist and Krueger find that those people who are forced to continue in school because of the interaction between their date of birth and the school attendance law in their state get the same increase in wages from that education as do people who voluntarily continue their education. It might seem that this result supports a learning

⁸ The coefficient on \ln (per capita income) is 1.94, with a *t*-statistic of 4.78.

⁹ Prediction of novel facts is perhaps the most widely accepted criterion for evaluating competing scientific research programs. This criterion has been used, for example, to explain the success of Copernican astronomy, of the Newtonian theory of gravity and its replacement by Einstein's theory, and the supplanting of classical physics by quantum mechanics. See Lakatos (1978).

¹⁰ The per capita income measure may, however, be measuring the effects of school inputs, rather than differences in demand conditions; that is, if the model is misspecified the income measure could be a better measure of school inputs than are the inputs themselves.

explanation for returns to schooling. However, sorting also generates that result if employers are paying workers according to their observed characteristics and level of schooling, without adjusting for the effects of school attendance laws on individual education choices.¹¹ The human capital model, on the other hand, can only generate that result if there are no aspects of individual productivity that are omitted from the wage equation and that are correlated with schooling. However, as we've discussed, postsecondary schooling is correlated with courses taken in high school, with grades on those courses, and with scores on both achievement and intelligence tests, thus it seems unlikely that schooling would be uncorrelated with all other unobserved variables. In addition, in the absence of unobserved ability differences, it is difficult to explain the discontinuous changes in earnings associated with completion of high school and college.¹²

Twin studies use the relationship between wages and education levels across identical twins to isolate the effect of education on earnings, holding ability differences constant. The most recent and sophisticated study of twins, by Ashenfelter and Krueger (1992), finds that returns to education across twins may be as large as that across the population as a whole. However, even leaving aside the issue of why identical twins would choose different levels of schooling, it is clear that a sorting model would generate that result, as long as employers did not observe the education choices of both twins. The reasoning is the same as for the birthdate problem. In a sorting model, if employers don't know the education level of the worker's twin, they infer a worker's unobserved ability from the education choices of the individual worker: recall that in the sorting model employers are using schooling as a proxy for unobserved characteristics, so that the twin with more schooling would receive the wage associated with his level of schooling—not with his unobserved abilities. Thus for the Ashenfelter and Krueger results to confirm sorting models, it is only necessary that employers do not know the education choices of a worker's twin. One check of whether the twin studies are confirming the sorting models is to see if wage differences of twins with different education levels decline over time. If the sorting model is correct we would expect the return to schooling across twins would decline over time compared to the return for the population as a whole. Using the Ashenfelter and Krueger data we found some evidence of this decline, but the data were not sufficient to measure it accurately.

Finally, if screening is important, then workers who look the same to the researcher should look the same to the firm, and hence get the wages predicted by a correctly specified model in which the right-hand variables only include observed

¹¹ This lack of adjustment is perhaps not surprising given that labor economists studied rates of return to education for almost half a century before Angrist and Krueger (1990) thought of using school attendance laws as an exogenous instrument.

¹² Hungerford and Solon (1987) found the following percentage changes in wages were associated with completion of different grades: 11th grade +0.7%, 12th grade +8.6%, 15th grade -4.9%, 16th grade +17.6%. Heckman et al. (1994) also found discontinuous changes in wages associated with completion of grades 12 and 16. Jaeger and Page (1994) find that returns to the 12th and 16th years of schooling more than double when those years are associated with graduation from high school or college.

characteristics such as education. Testing this implication, Riley (1979) finds that a standard wage equation fits much better for people who are in occupations in which screening seems to be important. Riley also finds that rates of return to education are higher in the unscreened occupations (see his Figure 3). This second result is also a consequence of the distortion of schooling decisions in sorting equilibria. Since almost every type of worker in the screened occupations is increasing schooling to separate itself from lower-ability types, ability will increase more slowly with schooling in occupations in which screening is important. Given some reasonable assumptions about the production technology and preferences, wages will also increase more slowly with schooling in those occupations.

Answering Objections to the Sorting Approach

The evidence presented thus far seems to support the idea that sorting considerations are playing an important role in school choices. However, there are several objections to this point of view.

Why Not Other Sorting Mechanisms?

The most strongly voiced objection to the sorting approach is: "There must be cheaper ways to learn about workers!" The implicit complaint is that if unobserved differences were important, firms would test for them directly, or workers would test themselves.

Such a solution poses several difficulties. For starters, it is hard to think of cost-effective tests of affective traits. For instance, a high score on a test of perseverance, given to those who have dropped out of school to take the test, might suggest a particularly low level of perseverance, since this individual performs well on tests and yet wishes to quit school. Moreover, if those tests have disparate impact by race or sex, the firms would have to prove that the tests are valid and that there is no other equally valid test which would have less of an adverse impact on minority groups or women.

It is also difficult to see how such a test would be introduced. The first firm that substituted tests for education as a hiring criterion would have monopsony power over its workers; that is, workers who quit school to take the test would be bearing the risk that their employer would fire them because of a fall in demand, and no other firm would recognize the test score. In addition, firms might well have unfavorable expectations about the first worker who appears at its door with high test scores and a low level of education and even doubt the integrity of that particular test score. In general, testing by either a worker or a firm is an out-of-equilibrium move, and assumptions of rationality are not sufficient to predict responses to such moves.

The Cross-Country Correlation Between Education and Productivity

A second general objection to the sorting explanation of the relationship between wages and education is that countries with high levels of education tend to

have both high levels of per capita GDP and high growth rates. The magnitude of these cross-country relationships suggest that education is directly affecting productivity.

However, such correlations can be interpreted in many ways. For example, wealth may be affecting schooling, and the same factors that affect growth may affect schooling. Wealthier countries tend to supply better access to schooling and to enforce child labor laws more strictly. Thus, the cost of schooling would tend to be lower in those countries, leading to more schooling. In addition, if education is a normal good, richer people will consume more of it. Finally, in more highly developed societies, a greater proportion of jobs seems to be in occupations in which productivity is not directly observed. As we noted, the sorting model predicts that those occupations will be associated with higher levels of education.

Turning to the relationship between schooling and future growth in productivity, people with low rates of time preference will have more schooling. Low time discount rates will also lead to more savings and investment, and thus greater future growth. Even in agrarian societies, many components of private investment, such as whether to plant crops that often do not generate positive cash flow for many years (like citrus or olives), are strongly affected by rates of time preference. Thus, looking across countries, the choice of high-return, long-term investments may be highly correlated with schooling choices. Despite these caveats, I find the relationship between literacy and growth to be the strongest evidence of a close relationship between learning (or at least primary schooling) and productivity.

Finally, even if school learning is the cause of the wealth of nations, sorting models may still provide the best explanations of the relationship between wages and education within countries. If the ability to learn is correlated with the non-pecuniary costs of education, the length of schooling could serve as a signal of how much was learned per year of school, and schooling choices would be distorted in the ways predicted by sorting models.

Job Tenure and Wages

Labor market economists distinguish between experience, which represents overall time in the labor market, and tenure, which represents the time on a particular job. Along with the relationship between education and wages, the second major set of facts that human capital theory and sorting models have attempted to explain is why wages rise with tenure at a particular job, holding the effect of experience on wages fixed.

Many explanations of the wage-tenure relationship have been proposed, including the idea that longer tenures represent better “job matching,” agency models in which rising wage-tenure profiles are intended to induce more effort or reduce the probability of an individual worker quitting, and psychological models in which people prefer steep wage-tenure profiles. All of these approaches have considerable validity. However, the discussion here will focus on human capital theory

and sorting models, because the human capital model is most commonly used, and because one purpose of this paper is to show how sorting models can be extended to situations other than education choices. In the particular sorting models discussed here, firms offer wages that are conditional on observed performance or test results. These conditional wages are designed to deter applications from workers who have bad private information about their own ability.

The Human Capital Explanation for Wages and Tenure

In standard human capital models, wages rise with the length of time spent on a job because workers learn on the job. Learning occurs either through on-the-job training or learning-by-doing. These models commonly divide human capital into two categories: general and firm-specific. General human capital includes skills that are valued by many firms. In an economy with perfect information, it has the same effect on a worker's wage whether or not that worker continues to be employed at the firm where those skills were acquired. Thus, general human capital affects returns to experience, regardless of whether that experience happens at one job or many. However, human capital that is applicable only at a worker's current employer—that is, firm-specific human capital—affects the correlation between tenure and wages. The usual assumption is that the difference between a worker's productivity at the firm in which that worker acquired tenure and that worker's productivity elsewhere is shared between the worker and the firm. The worker's share generates the correlation between tenure and wages.

Human capital models are also used to explain the frequency of job turnover. In such models, turnover declines with tenure as workers acquire firm-specific human capital. Quits decline with experience (and the amount of general capital) if there are lump sum costs of changing jobs, and the expected duration of subsequent jobs is a decreasing function of experience. Layoffs also decline with firm-specific human capital. At low levels of tenure, firm-specific human capital will be positively correlated with tenure. At high tenure levels, depreciation of firm-specific human capital could reverse that relationship.

Sorting by the Private Information of Workers

Firms can use their wage contracts to discourage applications from workers with unfavorable private information about themselves, while attracting workers with favorable private information.

Firms with high costs for hiring and training new workers have an incentive to hire workers who are less likely to quit. Because workers have information about their own quit propensities that is readily available, firms for whom quits are especially costly would like to offer pay schedules that discourage applications from people who have high quit propensities.

The first rigorous asymmetric information model to explain why wages might rise with tenure in this context was Salop and Salop (1976). In their model, workers are perfectly informed about their own quit rates, and the firms are completely ignorant of the quit rates of particular workers. All firms, except those for whom

quits are least costly, then offer rising wage-tenure profiles to deter workers with high quit propensities from applying to them.

In another class of sorting models, workers have private information about their own productivity, which is correlated with other direct measures of productivity—which means that both the workers' private information and the direct measures of performance contain information that is not otherwise available to the firm. However, by a judicious choice of the relationship between measured performance and wages, the firm is able to deter workers from applying who have bad private information about their own productivities.¹³ Workers are paid low wages during the testing period (or are charged an application fee). Then, workers who pass the test are paid more than their best alternative wage, while workers who fail are either dismissed or paid a wage that makes them indifferent between staying with the firm and quitting. Thus, firms screen workers both directly through tests and indirectly by overpaying for success and penalizing failure.

Probably the most common form of such a "test" is a probationary period during which the worker's performance is evaluated. The interpretation of the test as a probationary period is supported by Loh (1994), which finds that workers in jobs with probationary periods had significantly higher wage growth, and holding tenure and other observable characteristics fixed, wage growth was a good predictor of whether a job had a probationary period.¹⁴

Using Empirical Evidence to Differentiate

These sorting models of the relationship between tenure and wages have several implications. For example, one implication is that workers with the worst private information will gravitate to those firms that don't do any testing. Since it is difficult to measure the private information of workers, this implication is difficult to test. However, other inferences have been tested.

When firms suffer financial distress, or when there is a change in control of the firm, sorting models predict that senior workers would be more likely to be fired or to be pushed into early retirement. When Lumsdaine, Stock and Wise (1990) investigated a bonus plan to induce early retirement at a Fortune 500 firm, they found that to justify the amounts paid to induce workers to retire (all of whom were over 55), it must be true that those workers were being paid more than 2.7 times the value of their output. Along similar lines, Shleifer and Summers (1988) found that senior workers suffered most from hostile takeovers. This is a direct implication of the sorting model: since new owners are not bound by the implicit contracts of previous owners, they are more likely to renege on contracts that are generating current losses—in the sorting context these are workers with more tenure. In a human capital framework, the wages of senior workers are adversely af-

¹³ Examples of such sorting/testing models include Guasch and Weiss (1982), Nalebuff and Scharfstein (1987), and Wang and Weiss (1993).

¹⁴ Over 70 percent of the jobs surveyed by the National Center for Research in Vocational Education had probationary periods. Because low-wage jobs are oversampled this ratio may be atypical.

ected by changes in control only if the relative bargaining power of the new owners is stronger than that of the previous owners, and changes in control should not be accompanied by dismissals of senior workers.

The implications of sorting and human capital models can also be tested by examining the effects of plant closings and layoffs on the wages of people with different amounts of seniority. Sorting models predict that people with long tenure who are displaced because of plant closings or other factors beyond their control are more likely to have passed the firm's appraisal and consequently to be relatively able. This favorable evaluation is likely to be valued by other firms, especially firms in the same industry, leading displaced workers with longer tenure to receive higher wages. However, some of the return to tenure is lost after plant closings because workers with long seniority will tend to be paid more than the value of their output. These predictions are confirmed by Gibbons and Katz (1991). They find that people with long tenure tend to suffer relatively large wage falls when they are laid off or when their plant closes, and the effect of plant closings is much smaller than the effect of layoffs for senior workers.¹⁵ It is difficult to explain the differential impact of layoffs and plant closings in a human capital context.

Another class of studies seeks a link between wage increases and when training actually occurs to confirm the importance of on-the-job training for wages. In perhaps the most influential of these studies, Brown (1989) found that the rise of wages with tenure can be explained largely by the increase in wages during periods in which workers report that a typical worker would be learning his job. Brown assumes that those training periods occur when the worker is first employed on the job. However, since the initial months on a job are likely to coincide with probationary testing periods, testing/self-selection models would also predict sizable wage increases during those periods, and Brown's results would not help in distinguishing between the sorting and human capital approaches. In a recent study using the survey data of employers from the National Center for Research in Vocational Education, Loh (1994) finds that on-the-job training has no statistically significant effect on wage growth for jobs that do not have probationary periods—and thus where sorting is less likely to be occurring. Loh also finds that the length of reported on-the-job training is a good predictor of whether the job has a probationary period, and length of tenure is negatively correlated with whether the job had a probationary period. Thus it appears that the Brown results are being generated by low-wage probationary periods.

Other researchers have attempted to distinguish sorting from learning effects of on-the-job training programs by using different data sets: the National Longitudinal Survey youth cohort (Lynch, 1992); the January 1983 Current Population Survey (Lillard and Tan, 1986; Pergamit and Shack-Marquez, 1986); an EEOP survey (Baron, Black, and Loewenstein, 1989); or the Columbia Business School Human Resources Survey (Bartel, 1994). Without attempting to summarize all of these

¹⁵ See also Podgursky and Swaim (1987), Kletzer (1989) and Ruhm (1987).

papers, and others that have attempted to measure the effects of on-the-job training on productivity, it is fair to say that the evidence is inconclusive.¹⁶

The effect of training in a previous job on current wages can also help distinguish between learning and sorting effects of probationary training periods. If the rise in wages in those periods is due to acquisition of human capital, it should affect subsequent wages either by directly affecting productivity or by indirectly affecting the workers reservation wage for a new job. However, Lynch (1992), Blanchflower and Lynch (1994) and Bishop (1994) all find that on-the-job training on a previous job has no effect on current wages.

Why the Resistance to Sorting Models?

The sorting approach has gained broad acceptance among microeconomic theorists, but many labor economists remain skeptical. Considering the inherent plausibility of the sorting models, and their ability to explain empirical regularities that are not explained by other models, this hesitancy is surprising. As discussed in this paper, sorting models do an especially good job of explaining why rates of return to education far exceed returns to any of the cognitive skills taught in school or even returns to courses taken in secondary school; why the variance of wages increases with education; why wage changes after involuntarily dismissals are strongly related both to previous tenure and to whether the dismissal was due to a plant closing or a layoff; why wage gains associated with reported on-the-job training are absent from jobs for which there are no probationary periods; and why training on previous jobs has no effect on current wages.

So why the resistance to sorting models? I believe there are several sources. First, sorting models are mistakenly grouped with credentialism, in which wage differences are independent of productivity differences, or with models in which education has no effect on productivity.

A second obstacle is that sorting models are Pareto inefficient. Among some researchers it is an article of faith that markets would arise to remove those inefficiencies. The main candidate for such a market is direct testing to reveal previously unobserved employee characteristics. However, such testing presents serious practical difficulties, as this paper has pointed out.

A third source of resistance comes from the desire to “know” the social rate of return to education. In a sorting context, even the use of extraordinarily clever instrumental variables does not reduce the effects of unobserved characteristics on estimates of returns to education, since those characteristics are not observed by firms.

¹⁶ Bartel (1994) finds an increase in labor productivity associated with changes in formal training, but she also finds that firms with training programs do not have higher levels of labor productivity than do firms without those programs. Since she was not able to control for changes in labor force composition, it is not possible to know if the training programs improved productivity by improving the output of existing workers or by improving the firm's labor force.

Fourth, it often seems to be assumed that sorting models generally imply that the impact of education is overstated, and thus weaken the case for increased spending on education. However, while sorting models suggest that schooling decisions are being distorted, they are mute on whether expenditures on schooling are too high or too low.

For example, to the extent that unobserved ability differences are generated in early childhood, the importance of sorting considerations might be heavily determined by the breadth of high-quality primary schooling. Data from less-developed countries suggests that the slope of the wage-education locus is extremely steep when estimated over the primary grades. While those results could be generated by unobserved ability differences, it seems plausible that primary education affects productivity. Similarly, while many factors contribute to the correlation between education and national wealth, the productivity-enhancing effect of literacy is surely a powerful influence. Thus, even while human capital estimates of social returns to an additional year of secondary schooling may be overstated, there may still be large returns from increased expenditures on improved primary education.

In addition, education surely improves productivity at certain technical and managerial jobs. If increases in productivity at highly skilled jobs increases the demand for less-skilled labor, or if skilled and unskilled labor are complements, then the coefficient on schooling in a wage regression could leave out the effect of schooling on the less skilled and underestimate the effects of schooling on labor productivity. Another part of the productivity-enhancing effects of secondary schooling may accrue to entrepreneurs or to consumers, or simply to all other workers. If better-educated people have an absolute disadvantage on the jobs taken by the less well educated, there will be additional downward biases in estimated returns to schooling. These general equilibrium effects and technological externalities would depress the private return to education relative to the social return, and could outweigh the sorting effects that cause private returns to overestimate social returns to schooling. The positive correlation between national literacy rates or levels of education on the one hand and economic growth on the other also suggests the presence of important spillover effects from schooling.

Finally, education does not have to be justified solely on the basis of its effect on labor productivity. This was certainly not the argument given by Plato or de Tocqueville and need not be ours. Students are not taught civics, or art, or music solely in order to improve their labor productivity, but rather to enrich their lives and make them better citizens. According to those criteria, investment in secondary education may well be too low. I leave it to the reader to consider other social benefits of education.

■ *Many, if not most, of the ideas in this paper stem from conversations with Kevin Lang. I have also benefited from comments and suggestions by Joseph Altonji, Paul Beaudry, David Card, Robert Costrell, Bruce Greenwald, Alan Krueger, Jacob Mincer, Carl Shapiro, Joseph Stiglitz and Yoram Weiss. I am especially grateful to Timothy Taylor for invaluable editorial assistance. Any remaining errors are, of course, my fault.*

References

- Abraham, Katharine, and Henry Farber**, "Job Duration, Seniority and Earnings," *American Economic Review*, June 1987, 77, 278-97.
- Addison, John, and Pedro Portugal**, "Job Displacement, Relative Wage Changes, and Duration of Unemployment," *Journal of Labor Economics*, July 1989, 7, 281-302.
- Akerlof, George, and Lawrence Katz**, "Workers' Trust Funds and the Logic of Wage Profiles," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, August 1989, 104, 525-37.
- Altonji, Joseph**, "Accounting for Uncertain Educational Outcomes in Estimating the Return to Education," *Journal of Labor Economics*, January 1993, 11, 48-83.
- Altonji, Joseph**, "The Effects of High School Curriculum on Education and Labor Market Outcomes," *Journal of Human Resources*, forthcoming, 1995.
- Altonji, Joseph, and Robert Shakotko**, "Do Wages Rise with Job Seniority?," *Review of Economic Studies*, June 1987, 54, 437-60.
- Angrist, Joshua, and Alan Krueger**, "Does Compulsory School Attendance Affect Schooling and Earnings?," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November 1991, 106, 979-1014.
- Ashenfelter, Orley, and Alan Krueger**, "Estimate of the Economic Return to Schooling from a New Sample of Twins," working paper, Princeton University, 1992.
- Barron, John, Dan Black, and Mark Loewenstein**, "Job Matching and On-The-Job Training," *Journal of Labor Economics*, January 1989, 7, 1-19.
- Barron, John, and Mark Loewenstein**, "On Employer-Specific Information and Internal Labor Markets," *Southern Economic Journal*, October 1985, 52, 431-44.
- Bartel, Ann**, "Productivity Gains from the Implementation of Employee Training Programs," *Industrial Relations*, October 1994, 33, 411-25.
- Bartel, Ann, and George Borjas**, "Wage Growth and Job Turnover: An Empirical Analysis." In Rosen, S., ed., *Studies in Labor Markets*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1981, pp. 65-90.
- Becker, Gary**, *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. 3rd edition. New York: Columbia University Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research, 1994.
- Behrman, Jere, Zdenek Hrubec, Paul Taubman, and Terence Wales**, *Socioeconomic Success: A Study of the Effects of Genetic Endowments, Family Environment, and Schooling*. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1980.
- Bishop, John**, "Incentives for Learning: Why American High School Students Compare so Poorly to their Counterparts Overseas," *Research in Labor Economics*, 1990a, 11, 17-53.
- Bishop, John**, "The Productivity Consequences of What is Learned in High School," *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 1990b, 22:2, 101-12.
- Bishop, John**, "The Impact of Previous Training on Productivity and Wages." In Lynch, Lisa, ed., *Training and the Private Sector*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994, pp. 161-200.
- Bishop, John, and Shin Kang**, "Why Do Employers Underinvest in On-The-Job Training?" In Bishop, J., ed., *Hiring and Training Workers*. Columbus, Ohio: National Center for Research in Vocational Education, 1984, pp. 59-106.
- Blanchflower, David, and Lisa Lynch**, "Training at Work: A Comparison of U.S. and British Youths." In Lynch, Lisa, ed., *Training and the Private Sector*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994, pp. 233-60.
- Brown, James**, "Why Do Wages Increase with Tenure? On-The-Job Training and Life-Cycle Wage Growth Observed within Firms," *American Economic Review*, December 1989, 79, 971-91.
- Card, David, and Alan Krueger**, "Does School Quality Matter Returns to Education and the Characteristics of Public Schools in the United States," *Journal of Political Economy*, February 1992, 100, 1-40.
- Cho, In-Koo, and David Kreps**, "Signaling Games and Stable Equilibria," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, May 1987, 102, 179-221.
- Farber, Henry, and Robert Gibbons**, "Learning and Wage Determination," working paper, MIT, 1994.
- Garen, John**, "The Return to Schooling: a Selectivity Bias Approach with a Continuous Choice Variable." *Econometrica*, September 1984, 52, 1199-218.
- Gibbons, Robert, and Lawrence Katz**, "Layoffs and Lemons," *Journal of Political Economy*, October 1991, 9, 351-80.
- Griliches, Zvi**, "Estimating the Returns to Schooling: Some Econometric Problems," *Econometrica*, January 1977, 45, 1-22.
- Griliches, Zvi**, "Sibling Models and Data in Economics: Beginnings of a Survey," *Journal of Political Economy*, October 1979, 87, S37-S64.
- Guasch, Jose L., and Andrew Weiss**, "An Equilibrium Analysis of Wage Productivity Gaps," *Review of Economic Studies*, October 1982, 49, 485-97.

- Hanushek, Eric**, "The Economics of Schooling," *Journal of Economic Literature*, 1986, 24:3, 1141-77.
- Hartog, Joop**, "To Graduate or Not," *Economic Letters*, 1983, 12:2, 193-99.
- Hartog, Joop**, "Weiss' Theory of Sorting: A Simple Test," mimeo, April 1984.
- Hause, John**, "Ability and Schooling as Determinants of Lifetime Earnings, or If You're So Smart, Why Aren't You Rich?" In Juster, F. T., ed., *Education, Income and Human Behavior*. New York: Columbia University Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research, 1975, pp. 123-49.
- Hause, John**, "The Fine Structure of Earnings and On-The-Job Training Hypothesis," *Econometrica*, May 1980, 48, 1013-30.
- Hausman, Jerry, and William Taylor**, "Panel Data and Unobservable Individual Effects," *Econometrica*, November 1981, 49, 1377-98.
- Heckman, James, Anne Layne-Farrar, and Petra Todd**, "Does Measured School Quality Matter," working paper, May 1994.
- Hungerford, Thomas, and Gary Solon**, "Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education," *Review of Economic Studies*, February 1987, 69, 176-8.
- Jaeger, David L., and Marianne Page**, "Degrees Matter: New Evidence on Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education." University of Michigan Population Studies Center Research Report No. 94-307, 1994.
- Kang, Shin, and John Bishop**, "Effects of Curriculum on Labor Market Success Immediately After High School," *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 1986, 23, 14-29.
- Klein, Roger, Richard Spady, and Andrew Weiss**, "Factors Affecting the Productivity and Quit Propensities of Production Workers," *Review of Economic Studies*, October 1991, 58, 929-54.
- Kletzer, Lori**, "Returns to Seniority after Permanent Job Loss," *American Economic Review*, June 1989, 79, 536-43.
- Kotlikoff, Laurence, and David Wise**, *The Wage Carrot and the Pension Stick*. Kalamazoo, Mich.: W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 1989.
- Lakatos, Imre**, "The Methodology of Scientific Research Programmes." In Worrall, J., and G. Currie, eds., *Philosophical Papers*. Vol. 1. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1978.
- Lang, Kevin**, "Does the Human Capital/Educational Sorting Debate Matter for Development Policy?" NBER Working Paper No. 4052, April 1992.
- Lang, Kevin**, "Estimation Bias, School Quality and the Return to Education," mimeo, July 1992.
- Lang, Kevin**, "Explaining Variation in Estimated Returns to Education Across Time and Place," working paper, Boston University, 1993.
- Lang, Kevin, and David Kroop**, "Human Capital vs. Sorting: The Effect of Compulsory Attendance Laws," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, August 1986, 101, 609-24.
- Lazear, Edward**, "Why is There Mandatory Retirement?," *Journal of Political Economy*, December 1979, 87, 1261-84.
- Lazear, Edward**, "Agency, Earnings Profiles, Productivity and Hours Restrictions," *American Economic Review*, September 1981, 71, 606-20.
- Lilliard, L., and Hwa Tan**, "Private Sector Training: Who Gets It and What are Its Effects?" Rand Monograph R-3331-DOL/RC, 1986.
- Liu, Pak-Wai, and Yue-Chim Wong**, "Educational Screening by Certificates: An Empirical Test," *Economic Inquiry*, January 1982, 20, 72-83.
- Loh, Eng Seng**, "Employment Probation as a Sorting Mechanism," *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, April 1994, 47, 471-86.
- Lumsdaine, Robin, James Stock, and David Wise**, "Efficient Windows and Labor Market Reduction." NBER Working paper No. 3369, 1990.
- Lynch, Lisa**, "Private Sector Training and its Impact on the Earnings of Young Workers," *American Economic Review*, March 1992, 82, 299-312.
- Lynch, Lisa**, "Introduction." In Lynch, Lisa, ed., *Training and the Private Sector*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994, pp. 1-24.
- Marshall, Robert, and Gary Zarkin**, "The Effect of Job Tenure on Wage Offers," *Journal of Labor Economics*, July 1987, 5, 301-24.
- Meyer, Robert**, "Job Training in the Schools." In Taylor, R., H. Rosen, and F. Pratzner, eds., *Job Training for Youth, the Contribution of the United States Employment Development System*. Columbus, Ohio: The National Center for Research in Vocational Education, Ohio State University, 1982, pp. 307-44.
- Mincer, Jacob**, *Schooling, Experience and Earnings*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1974.
- Mincer, Jacob, and Boyan Jovanovic**, "Labor Mobility and Wages." In Rosen, S., ed., *Studies in Labor Markets*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1981, pp. 21-64.
- Nalebuff, Barry, and David Scharfstein**, "Testing in Models of Asymmetric Information," *Review of Economic Studies*, April 1987, 54, 265-77.
- O'Neill, June**, "The Role of Human Capital in Earnings Differences Between Black and White Men," *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Fall 1990, 4, 25-46.
- Pergamit, Michael, and Janice Shack-Marquez**, "Earnings and Different Types of Training,"

mimeo, BLS and Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, 1986.

Podgursky, Michael, and Paul Swaim, "Job Displacement and Earnings Loss: Evidence from the Displaced Worker Survey," *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, October 1987, 41, 17-29.

Psacharopoulos, George, "Returns to Education: an Updated International Comparison," *Comparative Education*, 1981, 17:3, 321-41.

Riley, John, "Testing the Educational Screening Hypothesis," *Journal of Political Economy*, October 1979, 87, S227-52.

Rosen, Sherwin, "Human Capital: Relations Between Education and Earnings." In Intriligator, M., ed., *Frontiers of Quantitative Economics*, Volume 11B. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1977, pp. 731-54.

Ruhm, Christopher, "The Economic Consequences of Labor Mobility," *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, October 1987, 41, 30-42.

Rumberger, Russell, and Thomas Daymont, "The Economic Value of Academic and Vocational Training Acquired in High School." In Borus, Michael E., ed., *Youth and the Labor Market*. Kalamazoo, Mich.: W. E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research, 1984, pp. 157-92.

Salop, Joanne, and Steven Salop, "Self-Selection and Turnover in the Labor Market," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November 1976, 90, 619-27.

Salop, Steven, "A Model of the Natural Rate of Unemployment," *American Economic Review*, March 1979, 69, 117-25.

Shleifer, Andrei, and Lawrence Summers, "Breach of Trust in Hostile Takeovers." In Auerbach, A., ed., *Corporate Takeovers: Causes and Consequences*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1988, pp. 33-56.

Speakman, Robert, and Finis Welch, "Micro and Macro Estimates of the Value of School Resources," working paper, 1994.

Spence, A. Michael, "Job Market Signaling," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, August 1973, 87, 355-74.

Spence, A. Michael, *Market Signalling: Informa-*

tional Transfer in Hiring and Related Processes. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1974.

Stiglitz, Joseph, "The Theory of Screening Education and the Distribution of Income," *American Economic Review*, June 1975, 65, 283-300.

Stiglitz, Joseph, and Andrew Weiss, "Sorting Out the Differences between Signaling and Screening Theories." In Dempster, Michael, et al., eds., *Papers in Commemoration of the Mathematical Economics Seminar at Oxford University*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, forthcoming 1995.

Topel, Robert, "Specific Capital, Mobility and Wages: Wage Rise with Job Seniority." NBER Working Paper No. 3294, March 1990.

Wang, Ruqu, and Andrew Weiss, "Adverse Selection, Testing and Firing," working paper, Boston University, 1993.

Weiss, Andrew, "A Sorting-Cum-Learning Model of Education," *Journal of Political Economy*, June 1983, 91, 420-42.

Weiss, Andrew, "Determinants of Quit Behavior," *Journal of Labor Economics*, July 1984a, 2, 371-87.

Weiss, Andrew, "Testing the Sorting Model." NBER Working Paper No. 1420, August 1984b.

Weiss, Andrew, "High School Graduation, Wage and Performance," *Journal of Political Economy*, August 1988, 96:4, 785-820.

Weiss, Yoram, "Ability and the Investment in Schooling," *Journal of Economic Literature*, June 1971, 9, 459-61.

Willis, Robert, "Wage Determinants: A Survey and Reinterpretation of Human Capital Earning Functions." In Ashenfelter, O., and R. Layard, eds., *Handbook of Labor Economics*. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1986, pp. 525-602.

Wolpin, Kenneth, "Education and Screening," *American Economic Review*, December 1977, 67, 949-58.

Wood, Robert, Mary Corcoran, and Paul Courant, "Pay Differences among the Highly Paid: The Male-Female Earnings Gap in Lawyers' Salaries," *Journal of Labor Economics*, July 1993, 11, 417-41.

This article has been cited by:

1. Ariusni, Elfindri, Nasri Bachtiar, Fery Andrianus. 2024. An empirical study on training and decent work for nonwage workers in Indonesia. *Cogent Social Sciences* 10:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. Elena Shakina, Natalia V. Volkova, Sofia Paklina. 2024. Perceived worth of human capital across IT jobseekers in the digital era. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 209, 123819. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Yanying Wang, Qingyang Wu. 2024. Robots, firm relocation, and air pollution: unveiling the unintended spatial spillover effects of emerging technology. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* 11:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
4. Nazire Begen, Hilal Atasoy. 2024. Technological literacy and employment: An inquiry into the adoption of learning technologies. *Telecommunications Policy* 48:10, 102864. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Lu Ye, Helen Wei Hu. 2024. Mitigating Investor Reactions to Financial Misconduct: The Moderating Roles of Firm Commitment Cues. *Journal of Business Ethics* 61. . [[Crossref](#)]
6. Dante Contreras, Jorge Rodríguez, Sergio Urzúa. 2024. Is private education worth it? Evidence from school-to-work transitions in Chile. *Labour Economics* 90, 102598. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Amrit Thapa, Moe Izawa. 2024. Returns to education in Nepal: an analysis of educational attainment, employability and social mobility. *Education Economics* 32:5, 649-664. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Salvatore Ciucci. 2024. Tax evasion, education and shadow economy. *Economic Change and Restructuring* 57:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
9. Stefan Winter, Melissa Kistner, Deborah Maffia. 2024. A theory of degrees in education. *Heliyon* 10:16, e36008. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Rolf van der Velden, Ineke Bijlsma, Marie-Christine Fregin, Mark Levels. 2024. Are general skills important for vocationally educated?. *Acta Sociologica* 67:3, 387-405. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Donald R. Bacon. 2024. The Importance of Brand Equity in the Business of Marketing Education. *Journal of Marketing Education* 17. . [[Crossref](#)]
12. Huayu Xu, Achyuta Adhvaryu. 2024. The Human Capital Effects of Access to Elite Jobs. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 16:3, 516-548. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
13. Wei Shi, Jiangyan Li, Gerry McNamara. 2024. Non-executive Employee Ownership and Target Selection in High-Tech Mergers and Acquisitions. *Journal of Management Studies* 61:5, 2033-2071. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Louis Henderson. 2024. The expansion of basic education during ‘deskilling’ technological change in England and Wales, c . 1780–1830. *The Economic History Review* 4. . [[Crossref](#)]
15. Salim Atay, Gunes A. Asik, Semih Tumen. 2024. Impact of Graduating with Honours on Entry Wages of Economics Majors*. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 86:3, 606-640. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Alicia Araújo Amaral de Oliveira, Sandro Eduardo Monsueto. 2024. The sorting effect on wages in the formal labor market: An analysis of administration, accounting and economics graduates from a public university in Brazil. *International Journal of Educational Development* 107, 103033. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Anne Boring, Jennifer Brown. 2024. Gender and choices in higher education. *Economics of Education Review* 99, 102521. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Ilse Tobback, Dieter Verhaest, Stijn Baert. 2024. Internships, Hiring Outcomes and Underlying Mechanisms: A Stated Preferences Experiment. *De Economist* 172:1, 25-48. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Niket Jindal, Rebecca J. Slotegraaf. 2024. Effects of advertising and R&D on spillovers from a rival’s bankruptcy. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 52:2, 349-369. [[Crossref](#)]
20. Jacob A. Waddingham, Miles A. Zachary, H. Jack Walker. 2024. Burning up on Reentry: The Effect of Entrepreneurial Exit in the Hiring Context. *Journal of Management* 50:2, 455-489. [[Crossref](#)]

21. Sun Youn Lee. 2024. Theoretical framework for the empirical analysis of English medium instruction competence outcomes. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* 9, 100893. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Ran Abramitzky, Victor Lavy, Maayan Segev. 2024. The Effect of Changes in the Skill Premium on College Degree Attainment and the Choice of Major. *Journal of Labor Economics* 42:1, 245-288. [[Crossref](#)]
23. Xianchi Dai, Kao Si. 2024. The Fundamental Recruitment Error: Candidate-Recruiter Discrepancy in Their Relative Valuation of Innate Talent vs. Hard Work. *Organization Science* 35:1, 215-231. [[Crossref](#)]
24. Maria Antonella Mancino, Leonardo Fabio Morales, Diego F. Salazar. 2024. Signaling Worker Quality in a Developing Country: Lessons from a Certification Program. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 88. . [[Crossref](#)]
25. Nathan A. Bragaw, Vilmos F. Misangyi, Michael K. Bednar. 2024. Director compensation as an inducement for director capital. *Corporate Ownership and Control* 21:3, 103-117. [[Crossref](#)]
26. Nandana Sengupta, Neaketa Chawla, Anna Agarwal, James Evans. 2023. Do online certifications improve job market outcomes? Evidence from an IT skills certification platform in India. *Information Economics and Policy* 65, 101067. [[Crossref](#)]
27. Tristan J. Nighswander, Ariel L. Roddy. 2023. Mixed Signals: The Effect of Employment Training on Employment Outcomes for Previously Incarcerated Individuals. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* 64. . [[Crossref](#)]
28. Claudio E. Montenegro, Harry Anthony Patrinos. 2023. A data set of comparable estimates of the private rate of return to schooling in the world, 1970-2014. *International Journal of Manpower* 44:6, 1248-1268. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Eugene Kang, Nongnapat Thosuwanchot, David Gomulya. 2023. Mitigating industry contagion effects from financial reporting fraud: A competitive dynamics perspective of non-errant rival firms exploiting product-market opportunities. *Strategic Organization* 21:4, 797-826. [[Crossref](#)]
30. John P Haupt, Jessica Amarilla, Elham Chelabi, Agustian Sutrisno. Students' perceptions of value of transnational higher education for career, educational, and personal advancement in the Peruvian and Indonesian contexts 2, . [[Crossref](#)]
31. Christian Hofer, Laura D'Oria, David E. Cantor, Xinyi Ren. 2023. Competitive actions and supply chain relationships: How suppliers' value-diminishing actions affect buyers' procurement decisions. *Journal of Business Logistics* 44:4, 719-740. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Yanying Chen, Liang Ping, Feng Helen Liang. 2023. Industry Reputation Crisis and Firm Certification: A Co-evolution Perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics* 186:4, 761-780. [[Crossref](#)]
33. Irene Brunetti, Davide Fiaschi. 2023. Occupational mobility: theory and estimation for Italy. *The Journal of Economic Inequality* 21:3, 641-661. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Steffen Mau. 2023. Digitale Scorings als Statusmarker. Eine ungleichheitssoziologische Annäherung. *Berliner Journal für Soziologie* 33:3, 255-287. [[Crossref](#)]
35. Donald R. Bacon, Kim A. Stewart, Steven W. Hartley, Pallab Paul. 2023. Exploring the Value of Educational Options in Marketing. *Journal of Marketing Education* 45:2, 109-122. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Vatana Chea, Saokeo Khantey You, Sopheak Song. 2023. Are higher ability garment workers more likely to participate in industrial skills training in Cambodia?. *International Journal of Training Research* 21:2, 71-87. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Philip Tin Yun Lee, Richard Wing Cheung Lui, Michael Chau, Bosco Hing Yan Tsin. 2023. Exploring the effects of different achievement goals on contributor participation in crowdsourcing. *Information Technology & People* 36:3, 1179-1199. [[Crossref](#)]

38. Philippe Sterkens, Ralf Caers, Marijke De Couck, Victor Van Driessche, Michael Geamanu, Stijn Baert. 2023. Costly mistakes: Why and when spelling errors in resumes jeopardise interview chances. *PLOS ONE* 18:4, e0283280. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Anika Ihmels, S. Alexander Haslam, Meir Shemla, Jürgen Wegge. 2023. Through a Glass Cliff Darkly. *Zeitschrift für Arbeits- und Organisationspsychologie A&O* 67:2, 107-115. [[Crossref](#)]
40. Bernd Frick, Fabian Lensing, Lisa Beck-Werz. 2023. When an Exchange Semester Is No Longer Enough: Why and How the Bologna-Reforms Changed the Behavior of High-Ability Students?. *Economies* 11:4, 103. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Talieh Sadeghi, Jannecke Wiers-Jenssen, Mikkel Magnus Thørrisen. 2023. International student mobility and labour market outcomes: The role of personality dimensions. *Research in Comparative and International Education* 18:1, 8-31. [[Crossref](#)]
42. Sebastian Lang, Ulrike Schwabe. 2023. Graduates' early wages in Germany: Does a university's status of excellence make the difference?. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 83, 100765. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Xiang Zhou, Guanghui Pan. 2023. Higher Education and the Black-White Earnings Gap. *American Sociological Review* 88:1, 154-188. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Davina Potts, Jeongeun Kim. 2023. Boosting Career and Employability Outcomes Through Multiple Learning Abroad Experiences. *Journal of Studies in International Education* 27:1, 119-140. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Gerbrand Tholen. 2023. The meaning of higher education credentials in graduate occupations: the view of recruitment consultants. *Journal of Education and Work* 36:1, 9-21. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Daniela-Mihaela Neamțu. Theories and Models on the Relationship Between Education and Economic Development 49-70. [[Crossref](#)]
47. Ibrahim Cutcu, Yildiz Ozkok, Filiz Golpek. 2023. Environment, education, and economy nexus: evidence from selected EU countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30:3, 7474-7497. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Natalia Emanuel, Emma Harrington. 2023. Working Remotely? Selection, Treatment, and the Market for Remote Work. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 130. . [[Crossref](#)]
49. Michal Jirásek. 2023. See What We Did: CSR Disclosure After Performance Shortfalls. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 38. . [[Crossref](#)]
50. Ahmet Alper EGE, Erkan ERDİL. Why does mere field of study mismatch yield wage premium in the Turkish labor market? 53, . [[Crossref](#)]
51. Fariza Tolesh. 2022. International human capital in the local labour market: experiences of the foreign-educated Kazakhstani graduates. *Journal of Education and Work* 35:6-7, 700-718. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Seonho Shin. 2022. To work or not? Wages or subsidies?: Copula-based evidence of subsidized refugees' negative selection into employment. *Empirical Economics* 63:4, 2209-2252. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Shota HATAKEYAMA. 2022. Comparative Analysis of Japanese Girls' Education Problems and Their Solutions. *TRENDS IN THE SCIENCES* 27:10, 10_57-10_67. [[Crossref](#)]
54. Carolyn J. Heinrich, Huiping Cheng. 2022. Does Online Credit Recovery in High School Support or Stymie Later Labor Market Success?. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 41:4, 984-1011. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Marco Barrenechea-Méndez, Pedro Ortín-Ángel, Eduardo C. Rodes. 2022. Employee selection, education, and firm-provided training. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly* 25:3, 224-242. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Jane Power, Bernadette Power, Geraldine Ryan. 2022. Determinants of equity financing: a demand-side analysis of Irish indigenous technology-based firms. *The Irish Journal of Management* 41:1, 52-68. [[Crossref](#)]

57. Gazi Mahabubul Alam, Md. Abdur Rahman Forhad. 2022. What makes a difference for further advancement of engineers: socioeconomic background or education programs?. *Higher Education* **83**:6, 1259-1278. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Jing Wang, Gen Li, Kai-Lung Hui. 2022. Monetary Incentives and Knowledge Spillover: Evidence from a Natural Experiment. *Management Science* **68**:5, 3549-3572. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Dongliang Yang, Ge Zheng, Haoran Wang, Mingna Li. 2022. Education, Income, and Happiness: Evidence From China. *Frontiers in Public Health* **10**. . [[Crossref](#)]
60. Ramzi Fatfouta, Amir Ghoniem. 2022. Old, but gold? A dual-pathway model of age bias in personnel selection decisions. *Applied Psychology* **71**:2, 514-538. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Lauren Schudde, Meghan Shea. 2022. Heterogeneity in the Returns to Credits for Public Two-Year College Entrants. *Research in Higher Education* **63**:2, 337-367. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Alexander C. Gardner, Heather N. Maietta, Philip D. Gardner, Niki Perkins. 2022. Postsecondary Adult Learner Motivation: An Analysis of Credentialing Patterns and Decision Making Within Higher Education Programs. *Adult Learning* **33**:1, 15-31. [[Crossref](#)]
63. Javier Núñez. Game Theory 5129-5137. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Victoria Sevchenko, Lynn Wu, Aleksandra (Olenka) Kacperczyk, Sendil Ethiraj. 2022. Surplus Division between Labor and Capital: A Review and Research Agenda. *Academy of Management Annals* **16**:1, 334-390. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Lucrezia Fanti, Dario Guarascio, Matteo Tubiana. 2021. Skill mismatch and the dynamics of Italian companies' productivity. *Applied Economics* **53**:59, 6790-6803. [[Crossref](#)]
66. Knut Petzold. 2021. Heterogeneous effects of graduates' international mobility on employers' hiring intentions—experimental evidence from Germany. *Higher Education* **82**:6, 1093-1118. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Somdeep Chatterjee, Jai Kamal. 2021. Long-Term Labor Market Consequences of Costly Signaling: Evidence from a Natural Experiment. *Journal of Human Capital* **15**:4, 596-628. [[Crossref](#)]
68. Maaïke Hornstra, Ineke Maas. 2021. Does the impact of the family increase or decrease over the life course? Sibling similarities in occupational status across different career points. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* **75**, 100643. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Fátima Suleman. 2021. Revisiting the concept of employability through economic theories: Contributions, limitations and policy implications. *Higher Education Quarterly* **75**:4, 548-561. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Rodney Thomas, Jessica L. Darby, David Dobrzykowski, Remko van Hoek. 2021. Decomposing Social Sustainability: Signaling Theory Insights into Supplier Selection Decisions. *Journal of Supply Chain Management* **57**:4, 117-136. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Veronika J. Knize, Markus Wolf, Cordula Zabel. 2021. Do Disadvantaged Young Adults Benefit Less from Social Investment? Studying Matthew Effects in Activation Measures. *Youth and Globalization* **3**:1, 13-55. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Jason Hung, Mark Ramsden. 2021. The Application of Human Capital Theory and Educational Signalling Theory to Explain Parental Influences on the Chinese Population's Social Mobility Opportunities. *Social Sciences* **10**:10, 362. [[Crossref](#)]
73. John P. Haupt, Agustian Sutrisno, Marko S. Hermawan. 2021. Motivations and outcomes in dual-degree programs: insights from graduate scholarships for Indonesian civil servants. *Asia Pacific Education Review* **22**:3, 535-548. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Huayu Xu. 2021. The long-term health and economic consequences of improved property rights. *Journal of Public Economics* **201**, 104492. [[Crossref](#)]
75. Mariya Neycheva. 2021. Qualification (Mis)Match for Upper Secondary and Higher Education. *Social Sciences* **10**:9, 327. [[Crossref](#)]

76. Patrick Reichert, Marek Hudon, Ariane Szafarz, Robert K Christensen. 2021. Crowding-in or Crowding-out? How Subsidies Signal the Path to Financial Independence of Social Enterprises. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance* 4:3, 291-308. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Alena Bičáková, Guido Matias Cortes, Jacopo Mazza. 2021. Caught in the Cycle: Economic Conditions at Enrolment and Labour Market Outcomes of College Graduates. *The Economic Journal* 131:638, 2383-2412. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Fiona Carmichael, Christian Darko, Shireen Kanji. 2021. Wage effects of educational mismatch and job search in Ghana and Kenya. *Education Economics* 29:4, 359-378. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Nick Huntington-Klein. 2021. Human capital versus signaling is empirically unresolvable. *Empirical Economics* 60:5, 2499-2531. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Cuili Qian, Donal Crilly, Ke Wang, Zheng Wang. 2021. Why Do Banks Favor Employee-Friendly Firms? A Stakeholder-Screening Perspective. *Organization Science* 32:3, 605-624. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Rüdiger Hahn, Daniel Reimsbach, Peter Kotzian, Madeleine Feder, Barbara E. Weißenberger. 2021. Legitimation Strategies as Valuable Signals in Nonfinancial Reporting? Effects on Investor Decision-Making. *Business & Society* 60:4, 943-978. [[Crossref](#)]
82. William Humphrey, Debbie Laverie, Alison Shields. 2021. Exploring the Effects of Encouraging Student Performance With Text Assignment Reminders. *Journal of Marketing Education* 43:1, 91-102. [[Crossref](#)]
83. WINSTON WEI DOU, YAN JI, DAVID REIBSTEIN, WEI WU. 2021. Inalienable Customer Capital, Corporate Liquidity, and Stock Returns. *The Journal of Finance* 76:1, 211-265. [[Crossref](#)]
84. Manuel Romero-Hernández, Patricia Barber, Coraima Clavijo-Sánchez, Luis López-Rivero. 2021. Training New Doctors in Mozambique. A Sustainable International Aid Health Program. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18:3, 1355. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Elżbieta Izabela Szczepankiewicz, Jan Fazlagić, Windham Loopesko. 2021. A Conceptual Model for Developing Climate Education in Sustainability Management Education System. *Sustainability* 13:3, 1241. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Yaojun Li. 2021. Entrenched Inequalities? Class, Gender and Ethnic Differences in Educational and Occupational Attainment in England. *Frontiers in Sociology* 5. . [[Crossref](#)]
87. Caroline Krafft, Zea Branson, Taylor Flak. 2021. What's the value of a degree? Evidence from Egypt, Jordan and Tunisia. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 51:1, 61-80. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Javier Núñez. Game Theory 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Suborna Barua. Human Capital, Economic Growth, and Sustainable Development Goals: An Evaluation of Emerging Economies 129-148. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Sungmin Park. 2021. Finding Out Who You Are: A Self-exploration View of Education. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 106. . [[Crossref](#)]
91. Alastair Berg. 2021. An Institutional Analysis of the Economics of Identity. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 21. . [[Crossref](#)]
92. Tomáš Doseděl. Proměna role vzdělání v době čtvrté průmyslové revoluce . [[Crossref](#)]
93. Céline Desmoulins. 2020. La mesure de la réputation : un outil au service des territoires de ski appliqué aux stations iséroises. *Via Tourism Review* :18. . [[Crossref](#)]
94. Céline Desmoulins. 2020. Reputation measurement: a tool for ski station applied to Isère Mountain. *Via Tourism Review* :18. . [[Crossref](#)]
95. Céline Desmoulins. 2020. La medición de la reputación: una herramienta al servicio de los territorios de esquí, aplicada a las estaciones de Isère. *Via Tourism Review* :18. . [[Crossref](#)]

96. Donald D Bergh, Enzo Peruffo, Wan-Ting Chiu, Brian Connelly, Michael A Hitt. 2020. Market response to divestiture announcements: A screening theory perspective. *Strategic Organization* 18:4, 547-572. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Michael Becker, Julia Tetzner, Jürgen Baumert. 2020. Schulformen und sozioökonomischer Erfolg im jungen Erwachsenenalter: Werden unterschiedliche Ausbildungswege auf dem Arbeitsmarkt gleich honoriert?. *Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft* 23:5, 979-1017. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Andrea N. Smith. 2020. The Great Black Hope: An Examination of African American Parent Perspectives at Bass Charter Academy. *Journal of Education* 200:3, 175-184. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Sanne J.L. van Wetten, Ruud Gerards, Andries de Grip. 2020. Are graduates' intrapreneurial skills optimally used for innovation?. *Technovation* 96-97, 102131. [[Crossref](#)]
100. Colin B. Gabler, V. Myles Landers, Adam Rapp. 2020. How perceptions of firm environmental and social values influence frontline employee outcomes. *Journal of Services Marketing* 34:7, 999-1011. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Pooya Almasi, Aboozar Hadavand, Sarah Thomas, Orkideh Gharehgozli. 2020. Relevance of education to occupation: a new empirical approach based on college courses. *Education Economics* 28:4, 370-383. [[Crossref](#)]
102. Lucille Mattijssen, Dimitris Pavlopoulos, Wendy Smits. 2020. Occupations and the Non-Standard Employment Career: How the Occupational Skill Level and Task Types Influence the Career Outcomes of Non-Standard Employment. *Work, Employment and Society* 34:3, 495-513. [[Crossref](#)]
103. Jean-Luc Cerdin, Yuan Liao, Kushal Sharma. 2020. The role of temporal focus, dispositional employability, and training on the perceived internal career prospects of talents. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management* 31:9, 1106-1133. [[Crossref](#)]
104. Navdeep S Sahni, Hariakesh S Nair. 2020. Does Advertising Serve as a Signal? Evidence from a Field Experiment in Mobile Search. *The Review of Economic Studies* 87:3, 1529-1564. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Zhang Qiwang, Wang Xiaorui. 2020. Factors Influencing Employment Rate and Mobility of Science and Engineering and Economics and Management Graduates in Northeast China: An Examination. *Sage Open* 10:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
106. Nadine Raaphorst, Steven Van de Walle. Trust, Fairness, and Signaling 59-70. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Zhimin Liu, Aftab Ahmed Memon, Woubshet Negussie, Haile Ketema. 2020. Interpreting the Sustainable Development of Human Capital and the Sheepskin Effects in Returns to Higher Education: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan. *Sustainability* 12:6, 2393. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Voraprapa Nakavachara. 2020. CEOs and graduate business education. *Journal of Education for Business* 95:2, 73-80. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Pawel Korzynski, Grzegorz Mazurek, Michael Haenlein. 2020. Leveraging employees as spokespeople in your HR strategy: How company-related employee posts on social media can help firms to attract new talent. *European Management Journal* 38:1, 204-212. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Pedro Nuno Teixeira. Human Capital: Historical and Conceptual Developments 1680-1687. [[Crossref](#)]
111. Adela García-Aracil, Cecilia Albert. Signalling and Credentialism, Higher Education 2534-2540. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Morley Gunderson, Philip Oreopolous. Returns to education in developed countries 39-51. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Harry Anthony Patrinos, George Psacharopoulos. Returns to education in developing countries 53-64. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Huayu Xu, Achyuta Adhvaryu. 2020. Education and the Meritocratic Recruitment of Bureaucrats. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 111. . [[Crossref](#)]
115. Bernard Owens Imarhiagbe. Exploring the Spheres of Crowdfunding 1547-1566. [[Crossref](#)]

116. Sara Möser, David Glauser, Rolf Becker. 2019. Valuation of labour market entrance positions among (future) apprentices - Results from two discrete choice experiments. *Journal of Choice Modelling* **33**, 100180. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Ardita Muja, Lieselotte Blommaert, Maurice Gesthuizen, Maarten H. J. Wolbers. 2019. The role of different types of skills and signals in youth labor market integration. *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training* **11**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
118. Tomáš Katrňák, Tomáš Doseděl. 2019. Is Education Becoming a Weaker Determinant of Occupation? Educational Expansion and Occupational Returns to Education in 30 European Countries. *Czech Sociological Review* **55**:6, 821-851. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Marc Cowling, José María Millán, Wei Yue. 2019. Two decades of European self-employment: Is the answer to who becomes self-employed different over time and countries?. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights* **12**, e00138. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Jason W. Ridge, Amy Ingram, Mirzokhidjon Abdurakhmonov, Dinesh Hasija. 2019. Market reactions to non-market strategy: Congressional testimony as an indicator of firm political influence. *Strategic Management Journal* **40**:10, 1644-1667. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Andrea Gallice, Edoardo Grillo. 2019. A Model of Educational Investment, Social Concerns, and Inequality. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* **121**:4, 1620-1646. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Hans Dietrich, Alexander Patzina, Sara Kretschmer. 2019. Soziale Herkunft, Lebensverlaufsereignisse und die verspätete Aufnahme einer beruflichen Ausbildung formal Geringqualifizierter. *KZfJSS Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie* **71**:3, 357-383. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Jan Paul Heisig, Maurice Gesthuizen, Heike Solga. 2019. Lack of skills or formal qualifications? New evidence on cross-country differences in the labor market disadvantage of less-educated adults. *Social Science Research* **83**, 102314. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Fru Awah Wanka, Ravinder Rena. 2019. The impact of educational attainment on household poverty in South Africa: A case study of Limpopo province. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development* **11**:5, 597-609. [[Crossref](#)]
125. Lauren Schudde, Kaitlin Bernell. 2019. Educational Attainment and Nonwage Labor Market Returns in the United States. *AERA Open* **5**:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
126. Felipe Barrera-Osorio, Hernando Bayona-Rodríguez. 2019. Signaling or better human capital: Evidence from Colombia. *Economics of Education Review* **70**, 20-34. [[Crossref](#)]
127. Arthur S. Jago, Kristin Laurin. 2019. Inferring Commitment from Rates of Organizational Transition. *Management Science* **65**:6, 2842-2857. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Jorge Hernán Franco Gallego. 2019. Educación superior en Colombia: relación entre valor agregado estudiantil y remuneraciones. *Educación y Educadores* **22**:1, 25-50. [[Crossref](#)]
129. William Wales, Kevin C. Cox, Jason Lortie, Curtis R. Sproul. 2019. Blowing Smoke? How Early-Stage Investors Interpret Hopeful Discourse within Entrepreneurially Oriented Business Plans. *Entrepreneurship Research Journal* **9**:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
130. Ofer Tchernichovski, Lucas C. Parra, Daniel Fimiarz, Arnon Lotem, Dalton Conley. 2019. Crowd wisdom enhanced by costly signaling in a virtual rating system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**:15, 7256-7265. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Anishya Obhrai Madan, Srishti Madan. 2019. Attracting millennial talent: a signal theory perspective. *Evidence-based HRM: a Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship* **7**:1, 8-23. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Peter Riley Bahr. 2019. The Labor Market Returns to a Community College Education for Noncompleting Students. *The Journal of Higher Education* **90**:2, 210-243. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Lin Liu, Krishna P. Paudel, Guanghao Li, Ming Lei. 2019. Income inequality among minority farmers in China: Does social capital have a role?. *Review of Development Economics* **23**:1, 528-551. [[Crossref](#)]

134. Phyllis A. Cummins, J. Scott Brown, Peter Riley Bahr, Nader Mehri. 2019. Heterogeneity of Older Learners in Higher Education. *Adult Learning* 30:1, 23-33. [[Crossref](#)]
135. Michał Pietrzak. 2019. Information Asymmetry, Signalling and Screening vs. Audit Culture – Selected Challenges for Academic Governance. *Problemy Zarzadzania* 4/2018:77, 134-152. [[Crossref](#)]
136. James A. Densley, David C. Pyrooz. 2019. A Signaling Perspective on Disengagement from Gangs. *Justice Quarterly* 36:1, 31-58. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Pedro Teixeira. Human Capital: Historical and Conceptual Developments 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
138. Inmaculada Garcia-Mainar, Victor M. Montuenga. 2019. The signalling role of over-education and qualifications mismatch. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 41:1, 99-119. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Lucille Mattijssen, Dimitris Pavlopoulos. 2019. A multichannel typology of temporary employment careers in the Netherlands: Identifying traps and stepping stones in terms of employment and income security. *Social Science Research* 77, 101-114. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Dan Qu, Saisai Guo, Lafang Wang. 2019. Experience, tenure and gender wage difference: evidence from China. *Economic Research-Ekonomiska Istraživanja* 32:1, 1169-1184. [[Crossref](#)]
141. Hyeain Lee, Rosemary Ahn, Tae Hyun Kim, Euna Han. 2019. Impact of Obesity on Employment and Wages among Young Adults: Observational Study with Panel Data. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16:1, 139. [[Crossref](#)]
142. Nicolai T Borgen, Arne Mastekaasa. 2018. Horizontal Stratification of Higher Education: The Relative Importance of Field of Study, Institution, and Department for Candidates' Wages. *Social Forces* 97:2, 531-558. [[Crossref](#)]
143. Jan Paul Heisig. 2018. Measuring the signaling value of educational degrees: secondary education systems and the internal homogeneity of educational groups. *Large-scale Assessments in Education* 6:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
144. Anja Perry, Débora B. Maehler, Beatrice Rammstedt. 2018. Introduction to the special issue on Results, Methodological Aspects, and Advancements of the Programme for the International Assessment of Adult Competencies (PIAAC). *Large-scale Assessments in Education* 6:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
145. Kristina Nyström. 2018. When students are allowed to choose: grading scale choices for degree projects. *Studies in Higher Education* 43:11, 1993-2002. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Oz Shy, Rune Stenbacka. 2018. Dynamic labor market competition and wage seniority. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 61, 130-154. [[Crossref](#)]
147. Fang-Chun Liu, Hsiao-Tang Hsu, David C. Yen. 2018. Technology executives in the changing accounting information environment: Impact of IFRS adoption on CIO compensation. *Information & Management* 55:7, 877-889. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Huei-Wen Pao, Cheng-Yu Lee, Pi-Hui Chung, Hsueh-Liang Wu. 2018. Hiring decisions on certified manpower. *Journal of Advances in Management Research* 15:4, 514-535. [[Crossref](#)]
149. Stine Waibel, Knut Petzold, Heiko Rüger. 2018. Occupational status benefits of studying abroad and the role of occupational specificity – A propensity score matching approach. *Social Science Research* 74, 45-61. [[Crossref](#)]
150. Yaojun Li. 2018. Against the odds? – A study of educational attainment and labour market position of the second-generation ethnic minority members in the UK. *Ethnicities* 18:4, 471-495. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Ajantha Velayutham, Asheq Razaur Rahman. 2018. The value of human capital within Canadian business schools. *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 19:4, 836-855. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Caroline Krafft. 2018. Is School the Best Route to Skills? Returns to Vocational School and Vocational Skills in Egypt. *The Journal of Development Studies* 54:7, 1100-1120. [[Crossref](#)]

153. Ángeles Montalvo Chaves. 2018. Racialización de actores latinoamericanos y africanos en la industria cultural de Madrid y el ilusorio blanqueamiento de lo español. *Revista de Dialectología y Tradiciones Populares* 73:1, 153. [[Crossref](#)]
154. Gervas Huxley, Jennifer Mayo, Mike W. Peacey, Maddy Richardson. 2018. Class Size at University. *Fiscal Studies* 39:2, 241-264. [[Crossref](#)]
155. Joakim Caspersen, Jens-Christian Smeby. 2018. The relationship among learning outcome measures used in higher education. *Quality in Higher Education* 24:2, 117-135. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Sonja C Kassenboehmer, Felix Leung, Stefanie Schurer. 2018. University education and non-cognitive skill development. *Oxford Economic Papers* 70:2, 538-562. [[Crossref](#)]
157. Ya'arit Bokek-Cohen. 2018. Conceptualizing employees' digital skills as signals delivered to employers. *International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior* 21:1, 17-27. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Eunmi Chang, Hyun Chin. 2018. Signaling or experiencing: Commitment HRM effects on recruitment and employees' online ratings. *Journal of Business Research* 84, 175-185. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Bento J. Lobo, Lisa A. Burke-Smalley. 2018. An empirical investigation of the financial value of a college degree. *Education Economics* 26:1, 78-92. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Suqin Ge, Hans Haller. 2018. Job Market Signaling and Returns to Education. *Southern Economic Journal* 84:3, 734-741. [[Crossref](#)]
161. William Carbonaro, Jonathan Schwarz. Opportunities and Challenges in Designing and Conducting a Labor Market Resume Study 143-158. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Andrew Seltzer. Human Resources and Incentive Contracts 185-192. [[Crossref](#)]
163. Rolf Becker. Berufliche Weiterbildung im Arbeitsmarkt 311-353. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Adela García-Aracil, Cecilia Albert. Signalling and Credentialism, Higher Education 1-6. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Ulrik William Nash. Lens Model 880-884. [[Crossref](#)]
166. Daniele Checchi, Herman G van de Werfhorst. 2018. Policies, skills and earnings: how educational inequality affects earnings inequality. *Socio-Economic Review* 16:1, 137-160. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Olof Ejermo, Torben Schubert. 2018. Do Higher Wages Reduce Knowledge Worker's Job Mobility? Evidence for Swedish Inventors. *Journal of Management Studies* 55:1, 108-145. [[Crossref](#)]
168. Richard Paulsen. 2018. Race, Ethnicity, and the College Double Major. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 121. . [[Crossref](#)]
169. Winston Dou, Yan Ji, David Reibstein, Wei Wu. 2018. Customer Capital, Financial Constraints, and Stock Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 26. . [[Crossref](#)]
170. Alena Bicakova, Guido Matias Cortes, Jacopo Mazza. 2018. Caught in the Cycle: Economic Conditions at Enrollment and Labor Market Outcomes of College Graduates. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 5. . [[Crossref](#)]
171. María Elena Oliveri, Ross Markle. 2017. Continuing a Culture of Evidence: Expanding Skills in Higher Education. *ETS Research Report Series* 2017:1, 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Bram Lancee, Thijs Bol. 2017. The Transferability of Skills and Degrees: Why the Place of Education Affects Immigrant Earnings. *Social Forces* 96:2, 691-716. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Knut Petzold. 2017. The role of international student mobility in hiring decisions. A vignette experiment among German employers. *Journal of Education and Work* 30:8, 893-911. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Sabina Lissitsa, Svetlana Chachashvili-Bolotin, Ya'arit Bokek-Cohen. 2017. Digital skills and extrinsic rewards in late career. *Technology in Society* 51, 46-55. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Knut Petzold. 2017. Studying Abroad as a Sorting Criterion in the Recruitment Process: A Field Experiment Among German Employers. *Journal of Studies in International Education* 21:5, 412-430. [[Crossref](#)]

176. Nemanja Vuksanović, Dragan Aleksić. 2017. Investment in Education as a Way of Overcoming the Problem of Information Asymmetry in the Labor Market. *Economic Themes* 55:3, 377-397. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Donald R. Bacon. 2017. Revisiting the Relationship Between Marketing Education and Marketing Career Success. *Journal of Marketing Education* 39:2, 109-123. [[Crossref](#)]
178. Susan Hilal, James A. Densley, David Squier Jones. 2017. A signalling theory of law enforcement hiring. *Policing and Society* 27:5, 508-524. [[Crossref](#)]
179. Ramlee Ismail, Marinah Awang. 2017. Quality of Malaysian teachers based on education and training. *Quality Assurance in Education* 25:3, 303-316. [[Crossref](#)]
180. Benoit Cart, Valérie Henguelle, Marie-Hélène Toutin. 2017. Quitter l'entreprise qui a financé une formation : une pratique paradoxale ?. *Formation emploi* :137, 119-139. [[Crossref](#)]
181. ###, ##, Choi JiEun. 2017. The Effects of Selection of College and Major on Entry into the Labor Market. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training* 20:1, 57-94. [[Crossref](#)]
182. David Gomulya, Yuri Mishina. 2017. Signaler Credibility, Signal Susceptibility, and Relative Reliance on Signals: How Stakeholders Change their Evaluative Processes After Violation of Expectations and Rehabilitative Efforts. *Academy of Management Journal* 60:2, 554-583. [[Crossref](#)]
183. Jürgen Bitzer, Ingo Geishecker, Philipp J. H. Schröder. 2017. Is there a wage premium for volunteer OSS engagement? – signalling, learning and noise. *Applied Economics* 49:14, 1379-1394. [[Crossref](#)]
184. Philipp Grunau, Marco Pecoraro. 2017. Educational mismatch and promotions to managerial positions: a test of the career mobility theory. *Applied Economics* 49:12, 1226-1240. [[Crossref](#)]
185. . Bibliographie 123-130. [[Crossref](#)]
186. Stine Waibel, Heiko Rüger, Andreas Ette, Lenore Sauer. 2017. Career consequences of transnational educational mobility: A systematic literature review. *Educational Research Review* 20, 81-98. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Choon-ho Park, Chun-Woo Shin. 2017. Effects of Employment Qualifications Acquired Related Accounting Practices Certification. *Korea International Accounting Review* null:71, 121-150. [[Crossref](#)]
188. Fabienne Liechti, Flavia Fossati, Giuliano Bonoli, Daniel Auer. 2017. The Signalling Value of Labour Market Programmes. *European Sociological Review* 1717, jcw061. [[Crossref](#)]
189. Kirill Gerasimov, Boris Gerasimov. 2017. Formation of professionalism of executives. *International Journal of Educational Management* 31:1, 45-55. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Rolf Becker. Bildungssoziologie – Was sie ist, was sie will, was sie kann 1-32. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Rolf Becker. Berufliche Weiterbildung – theoretische Perspektiven und empirische Befunde 393-442. [[Crossref](#)]
192. Heike Solga. Bildungsarmut und Ausbildungslosigkeit in der Bildungs- und Wissensgesellschaft 443-485. [[Crossref](#)]
193. Rolf Becker, Andreas Hadjar. Meritokratie – Zur gesellschaftlichen Legitimation ungleicher Bildungs-, Erwerbs- und Einkommenschancen in modernen Gesellschaften 33-62. [[Crossref](#)]
194. Di Xu, Jeffrey Fletcher. Understanding the Relative Value of Alternative Pathways in Postsecondary Education 227-257. [[Crossref](#)]
195. Oz Shy, Rune Stenbacka. 2017. Dynamic Labor Market Competition and Wage Seniority. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 58. . [[Crossref](#)]
196. Titus J. Galama, Adriana Lleras-Muney, Hans van Kippersluis. 2017. The Effect of Education on Health and Mortality: A Review of Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 28. . [[Crossref](#)]
197. Roy Y. Chan. The Future of Accessibility in Higher Education 1-45. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Szu-Yin Lin, Hsien-Chun Chen, I-Heng Chen. 2016. When perceived welfare practices leads to organizational citizenship behavior. *Asia Pacific Management Review* 21:4, 204-212. [[Crossref](#)]

199. Philipp Sadowski. 2016. Overeagerness. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **131**, 114-125. [[Crossref](#)]
200. Andrew Noyes, Michael Adkins. 2016. The Impact of Research on Policy: A Case of Qualifications Reform. *British Journal of Educational Studies* **64**:4, 449-465. [[Crossref](#)]
201. Noémi Berlin, Jean-Louis Tavani, Maud Beasçon. 2016. An exploratory study of creativity, personality and schooling achievement. *Education Economics* **24**:5, 536-556. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Valentina Di Stasio, Herman G. van de Werfhorst. 2016. Why Does Education Matter to Employers in Different Institutional Contexts? A Vignette Study in England and the Netherlands. *Social Forces* **95**:1, 77-106. [[Crossref](#)]
203. David C. Pyrooz, James A. Densley. 2016. Selection into Street Gangs. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* **53**:4, 447-481. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Michelle Hodara, Di Xu. 2016. Does Developmental Education Improve Labor Market Outcomes? Evidence From Two States. *American Educational Research Journal* **53**:3, 781-813. [[Crossref](#)]
205. Trond Beldo Klausen. 2016. Earnings among young and mature Danish university graduates. *Journal of Education and Work* **29**:4, 494-518. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Laura Chioda. Determinants of Historical Trends: Hints of a “Quiet Revolution” 107-140. [[Crossref](#)]
207. Michael M. Atkinson, Dustin Rogers, Sara Olfert. 2016. Better Politicians: If We Pay, Will They Come?. *Legislative Studies Quarterly* **41**:2, 361-391. [[Crossref](#)]
208. Elisa Borghi, Chiara Del Bo, Massimo Florio. 2016. Institutions and Firms' Productivity: Evidence from Electricity Distribution in the EU. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **78**:2, 170-196. [[Crossref](#)]
209. Manoj Chandra Bayon, Yancy Vaillant. 2016. International Variations in the Impact of Perceived Entrepreneurial Ability and Actual Ability on Entrepreneurial Activities. *Strategic Change* **25**:2, 131-150. [[Crossref](#)]
210. James J. Heckman, Lakshmi K. Raut. 2016. Intergenerational long-term effects of preschool-structural estimates from a discrete dynamic programming model. *Journal of Econometrics* **191**:1, 164-175. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Russayani Ismail, Gareth D. Myles. 2016. The graduate tax when education is a signal. *Research in Economics* **70**:1, 24-37. [[Crossref](#)]
212. J U Kalu, G U Aliagha, A Buang. 2016. A Review of Economic Factors Influencing Voluntary Carbon Disclosure in the Property Sector of Developing Economies. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* **30**, 012010. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Michael Adkins, Andrew Noyes. 2016. Reassessing the economic value of advanced level mathematics. *British Educational Research Journal* **42**:1, 93-116. [[Crossref](#)]
214. Damian Oliver. 2016. Wage determination in Australia: The impact of qualifications, awards and enterprise agreements. *Journal of Industrial Relations* **58**:1, 69-92. [[Crossref](#)]
215. Ludger Woessmann. 2016. The economic case for education. *Education Economics* **24**:1, 3-32. [[Crossref](#)]
216. Sébastien Lévesque, Gérard Duhaime. 2016. Inequality and social processes in Inuit Nunangat. *The Polar Journal* **6**:1, 69-86. [[Crossref](#)]
217. Ulrik William Nash. Lens Model 1-5. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Cristiano Perugini, Jens Hölscher, Simon Collie. 2016. Inequality, credit and financial crises. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* **40**:1, 227-257. [[Crossref](#)]
219. Huayu Xu. 2016. The Long-Run Consequences of Institutional Reform on Individuals: Evidence from China's Implementation of Household Responsibility System. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **113**. . [[Crossref](#)]
220. Bernard Owens Imarhiagbe. Exploring the Spheres of Crowdfunding 190-209. [[Crossref](#)]

221. Soohyung Lee, Muriel Niederle. 2015. Propose with a rose? Signaling in internet dating markets. *Experimental Economics* 18:4, 731-755. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Christina Neeß. 2015. Worauf achten Arbeitgeber im Auswahlprozess von Absolventen wirtschaftswissenschaftlicher Studiengänge? Ergebnisse eines faktoriellen Surveys. *Journal for Labour Market Research* 48:4, 305-323. [[Crossref](#)]
223. T. Schlesinger, F. Studer, S. Nagel. 2015. Conditions for the career entry of Swiss sports science graduates. *Sport in Society* 18:10, 1222-1244. [[Crossref](#)]
224. Sabina Lissitsa. 2015. Digital use as a mechanism to accrue economic capital: a Bourdieusian perspective. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research* 28:4, 464-482. [[Crossref](#)]
225. Christopher J. Matheny, Hsun-yu Chan, Xueli Wang. 2015. Assembling a Career. *Community College Review* 43:4, 380-406. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Anning Hu, Nicholas Vargas. 2015. Economic consequences of horizontal stratification in postsecondary education: evidence from urban China. *Higher Education* 70:3, 337-358. [[Crossref](#)]
227. Anning Hu, Jacob Hibel. 2015. Where do STEM majors lose their advantage? Contextualizing horizontal stratification of higher education in urban China. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 41, 66-78. [[Crossref](#)]
228. Benoît Mahy, François Rycx, Guillaume Vermeyleen. 2015. Educational Mismatch and Firm Productivity: Do Skills, Technology and Uncertainty Matter?. *De Economist* 163:2, 233-262. [[Crossref](#)]
229. Nick Drydakis. 2015. Economics applicants in the UK labour market. *International Journal of Manpower* 36:3, 296-333. [[Crossref](#)]
230. Ting Ren, Darla J. Hamann. 2015. Employee value congruence and job attitudes: the role of occupational status. *Personnel Review* 44:4, 550-566. [[Crossref](#)]
231. Mustafa C. Karakus, David S. Salkever, Eric P. Slade, Nicholas Ialongo, Elizabeth Stuart. 2015. Implications of middle-school behavior problems for high school graduation and employment outcomes of young adults: estimation of a recursive model. *Education Economics* 3, 1-20. [[Crossref](#)]
232. Bjorn Gunnar Hansen, Arent Greve. 2015. The role of human and social capital in dairy farming. *Rural Society* 24:2, 154-176. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Seongsoo Choi. 2015. When everyone goes to college: The causal effect of college expansion on earnings. *Social Science Research* 50, 229-245. [[Crossref](#)]
234. Paul Dalziel. 2015. Regional skill ecosystems to assist young people making education employment linkages in transition from school to work. *Local Economy: The Journal of the Local Economy Policy Unit* 30:1, 53-66. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Motomu Shimaoka. 2015. Strategic career planning for physician-scientists. *Journal of Orthopaedic Science* 20:3, 452-456. [[Crossref](#)]
236. Cristiano Perugini, Fabrizio Pompei. Income Distribution During and After Transition: A Conceptual Framework 12-37. [[Crossref](#)]
237. Miroslav Beblavy, Mariya Teteryatnikova, Anna Elisabeth Thum. 2015. Does the Growth in Higher Education Mean a Decline in the Quality of Degrees? The Role of Economic Incentives to Increase College Enrolment Rates. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 12. . [[Crossref](#)]
238. Michael Kostmann. 2015. Arbeitsmarktintegration: Spielt Der Geburtsort Eine Rolle? Eine Empirische Untersuchung Mit Daten Des SOEP Zum Zusammenhang Zwischen Geburtsort Und Arbeitsmarkterfolg Von Migranten In Deutschland (Labor Market Integration: Does Birthplace Matter? An Empirical Analysis with Data of the SOEP on the Role of Birthplace and Labor Market Performance of Migrants in Germany). *SSRN Electronic Journal* 84. . [[Crossref](#)]
239. Nader T. Tavassoli, Alina Sorescu, Rajesh Chandy. 2014. Employee-Based Brand Equity: Why Firms with Strong Brands Pay Their Executives Less. *Journal of Marketing Research* 51:6, 676-690. [[Crossref](#)]

240. Chris Bidner. 2014. A spillover-based theory of credentialism. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économie* 47:4, 1387-1425. [[Crossref](#)]
241. Mark Levels, Rolf van der Velden, Valentina Di Stasio. 2014. From school to fitting work. *Acta Sociologica* 57:4, 341-361. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Kimin Kim, Sung-Byung Yang. 2014. A Comprehensive Theoretical Framework for a Better Understanding of Motivations of Participants in OSS Development Projects: A Meta-Research Approach. *International Journal of Contents* 10:3, 73-83. [[Crossref](#)]
243. Maurice Gesthuizen, Heike Solga. 2014. Is the labor market vulnerability of less-educated men really about job competition? New insights from the United States. *Journal for Labour Market Research* 47:3, 205-221. [[Crossref](#)]
244. Carlo Jaeger. 2014. Choice for China: What Role for Vocational Education in Green Growth?. *China & World Economy* 22:5, 55-75. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Marco Pecoraro. 2014. Is There Still a Wage Penalty for Being Overeducated But Well-matched in Skills? A Panel Data Analysis of a Swiss Graduate Cohort. *LABOUR* 28:3, 309-337. [[Crossref](#)]
246. Emrullah Tan. 2014. Human Capital Theory. *Review of Educational Research* 84:3, 411-445. [[Crossref](#)]
247. Wolfgang Sofka, Miguel Torres Preto, Pedro de Faria. 2014. MNC subsidiary closures: What is the value of employees' human capital in new jobs?. *Journal of International Business Studies* 45:6, 723-750. [[Crossref](#)]
248. Mikaela Backman. 2014. Human capital in firms and regions: Impact on firm productivity. *Papers in Regional Science* 93:3, 557-576. [[Crossref](#)]
249. John H Goldthorpe. 2014. The role of education in intergenerational social mobility: Problems from empirical research in sociology and some theoretical pointers from economics. *Rationality and Society* 26:3, 265-289. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Sophie Renault. 2014. Travailler pour des fèves de cacao. Crowdsourcing ou pourquoi les organisations jouissent de la contribution des « Oompa-Loompas » ?. *Gestion 2000 Volume* 30:4, 67-85. [[Crossref](#)]
251. Graeme Martin, Jean-luc Cerdin. Employer branding and career theory: new directions for research 151-176. [[Crossref](#)]
252. Clifford Tan Kuan Lu. 2014. University rankings game and its relation to GDP per capita and GDP growth. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research* 2:4, 1-33. [[Crossref](#)]
253. Dror Etzion. 2014. Diffusion as Classification. *Organization Science* 25:2, 420-437. [[Crossref](#)]
254. Phillip H. Kim, Mingxiang Li. 2014. Injecting demand through spillovers: Foreign direct investment, domestic socio-political conditions, and host-country entrepreneurial activity. *Journal of Business Venturing* 29:2, 210-231. [[Crossref](#)]
255. Poonam Khanna, Carla D. Jones, Steven Boivie. 2014. Director Human Capital, Information Processing Demands, and Board Effectiveness. *Journal of Management* 40:2, 557-585. [[Crossref](#)]
256. Anton Havnes, Jens-Christian Smeby. Professional Development and the Profession 915-954. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Meir Russ. Introduction—What Kind of an Asset Is Human Capital, How Should It Be Measured, and in What Markets? 1-33. [[Crossref](#)]
258. Meir Russ. Homo Sustainability and the “New Gold” 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
259. Shane Sanders, Bhavneet Walia. 2014. Instructor-Examiners, External-Examiners, and Student Learning Under the Shadow of Examination: A Game-Theoretic Analysis of Two Educational Institutions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 18. . [[Crossref](#)]
260. Jeong-Bon Bon Kim, Ke Wang. 2014. Do Lenders Value Borrowers Stakeholder Relations? Employee Treatment and the Cost of Bank Loans. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 17. . [[Crossref](#)]

261. William F. Blankenau, Gabriele Camera. 2014. A Simple Economic Theory of Skill Accumulation and Schooling Decisions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]
262. Núria Rodríguez-Planas. Playing Hard to Get: Theory and Evidence on Layoffs, Recalls, and Unemployment 211-258. [[Crossref](#)]
263. Robert Gary-Bobo, Jean-Marc Robin. 2013. La question des redoublements. *Revue économique* Vol. 65:1, 5-45. [[Crossref](#)]
264. Dawn Iacobucci. 2013. A Psychometric Assessment of the Businessweek, U.S. News & World Report , and Financial Times Rankings of Business Schools' MBA Programs. *Journal of Marketing Education* 35:3, 204-219. [[Crossref](#)]
265. Mingxiang Li. 2013. Social network and social capital in leadership and management research: A review of causal methods. *The Leadership Quarterly* 24:5, 638-665. [[Crossref](#)]
266. Susanne Strauss, Kathrin Leuze. 2013. Further Education of Higher Education Graduates — the More, the Better?. *European Journal of Education* 48:3, 436-453. [[Crossref](#)]
267. Il-Horn Hann, Jeffrey A. Roberts, Sandra A. Slaughter. 2013. All Are Not Equal: An Examination of the Economic Returns to Different Forms of Participation in Open Source Software Communities. *Information Systems Research* 24:3, 520-538. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Märt Masso. 2013. Determinants of employee work schedule and method control. *Economic and Industrial Democracy* 34:3, 451-469. [[Crossref](#)]
269. Hans Dietrich. 2013. Youth unemployment in the period 2001–2010 and the European crisis – looking at the empirical evidence. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research* 19:3, 305-324. [[Crossref](#)]
270. Lin Xiu, Morley Gunderson. 2013. Credential Effects and the Returns to Education in China. *LABOUR* 27:2, 225-248. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Violet Ho, Jonathan Whitaker, Sunil Mithas, Prasanto K. Roy. Success is more than a resumé 125-134. [[Crossref](#)]
272. S. M. Melzer. 2013. Reconsidering the Effect of Education on East-West Migration in Germany. *European Sociological Review* 29:2, 210-228. [[Crossref](#)]
273. Markus Grottko, Christoph Pelger, Claudia Schmiedeberg. 2013. Firm or Faculty? Evidence on Characteristics of German-speaking Accounting PhD Students and their Career Preferences. *Accounting Education* 22:1, 66-84. [[Crossref](#)]
274. Sonia Gatchair. 2013. Race/Ethnicity and Education Effects on Employment in High Technology Industries and Occupations in the US, 1992–2002. *The Review of Black Political Economy* 40:4, 357-370. [[Crossref](#)]
275. Mingfeng Lin, Nagpurnanand R. Prabhala, Siva Viswanathan. 2013. Judging Borrowers by the Company They Keep: Friendship Networks and Information Asymmetry in Online Peer-to-Peer Lending. *Management Science* 59:1, 17-35. [[Crossref](#)]
276. Peng Huang, John Zhang. 2013. Participation in Open Knowledge Communities and Career Development: Evidence from Enterprise Software. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 58. . [[Crossref](#)]
277. Daiji Kawaguchi, Hiroshi Ono. 2013. Educational Credentialism and Elite Formation in Japan: A Long-Term Perspective. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 29. . [[Crossref](#)]
278. Clifford Tan Kuan Lu. 2013. Do University Rankings Matter for Growth?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]
279. Miroslav Beblavy, Mariya Teteryatnikova, Anna Elisabeth Thum. 2013. Expansion of Higher Education and Declining Quality of Degrees. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 12. . [[Crossref](#)]
280. Robert Gary-Bobo, Jean-Marc Robin. 2013. 6. Le redoublement est-il inefficace et nuisible ?. *Regards croisés sur l'économie* n° 12:2, 98-113. [[Crossref](#)]

281. Eric A. Hanushek, Ludger Woessmann. 2012. Do better schools lead to more growth? Cognitive skills, economic outcomes, and causation. *Journal of Economic Growth* 17:4, 267-321. [[Crossref](#)]
282. Bettina Kohlrausch, Heike Solga. 2012. Übergänge in die Ausbildung: Welche Rolle spielt die Ausbildungsreife?. *Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft* 15:4, 753-773. [[Crossref](#)]
283. Munshi Sulaiman. 2012. Does the Labor Market Reward Easy Certificates? Evidence from Bangladesh. *Journal of Human Capital* 6:4, 336-367. [[Crossref](#)]
284. Andrew Hussey. 2012. Human capital augmentation versus the signaling value of MBA education. *Economics of Education Review* 31:4, 442-451. [[Crossref](#)]
285. Mauricio Armellini. 2012. The Democratic Factor in the Education-Growth Relationship. *Kyklos* 65:3, 285-312. [[Crossref](#)]
286. Aslan Zorlu. 2012. Ethnic Disparities in the Graduate Labour Market. *Economics Research International* 2012, 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
287. Luisa Rosti, Francesco Chelli. 2012. Higher education in non-standard wage contracts. *Education + Training* 54:2/3, 142-151. [[Crossref](#)]
288. Anders Stenberg, Xavier de Luna, Olle Westerlund. 2012. Can adult education delay retirement from the labour market?. *Journal of Population Economics* 25:2, 677-696. [[Crossref](#)]
289. Mustafa C. Karakus, David S. Salkever, Eric P. Slade, Nicholas Ialongo, Elizabeth Stuart. 2012. Implications of middle school behavior problems for high school graduation and employment outcomes of young adults: estimation of a recursive model. *Education Economics* 20:1, 33-52. [[Crossref](#)]
290. Justin L. Bruner. Is Poverty Reduction Enough? 13-40. [[Crossref](#)]
291. Jens-Christian Smeby. The significance of professional education 49-67. [[Crossref](#)]
292. Arthur Sakamoto, ChangHwan Kim, Hyeyoung Woo. 2012. An Empirical Test of Alternative Theories of Educational Inequality. *Education Research International* 2012, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
293. Adam Maier. 2012. Doing Good and Doing Well. *Journal of Teacher Education* 63:1, 10-22. [[Crossref](#)]
294. Robert Wagner, Thomas Zwick. 2012. How Acid are Lemons? Adverse Selection and Signalling for Skilled Labour Market Entrants. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 113. . [[Crossref](#)]
295. Caroline Tillou, Sébastien Liarte. 2012. L'impact de l'expérience sur la performance du groupe. Le cas de l'équipe nationale de football du Brésil de 1954 à 2010. *Management international* 16:2, 113-128. [[Crossref](#)]
296. Michael Siegenthaler. 2011. Can a standardised aptitude test predict the training success of apprentices? Evidence from a case study in Switzerland. *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training* 3:2, 105-128. [[Crossref](#)]
297. Steve McDonald. 2011. What You Know or Who You Know? Occupation-specific work experience and job matching through social networks. *Social Science Research* 40:6, 1664-1675. [[Crossref](#)]
298. Herman G. van de Werfhorst. 2011. Skills, positional good or social closure? The role of education across structural-institutional labour market settings. *Journal of Education and Work* 24:5, 521-548. [[Crossref](#)]
299. Javier J. Pérez, A. Jesús Sánchez. 2011. Is there a signalling role for public wages? Evidence for the euro area based on macro data. *Empirical Economics* 41:2, 421-445. [[Crossref](#)]
300. M. Rege, K. Telle, M. Votruba. 2011. Parental Job Loss and Children's School Performance. *The Review of Economic Studies* 78:4, 1462-1489. [[Crossref](#)]
301. Herman G. van de Werfhorst. 2011. Skill and education effects on earnings in 18 Countries: The role of national educational institutions. *Social Science Research* 40:4, 1078-1090. [[Crossref](#)]
302. Liqun Liu, William S. Neilson. 2011. High scores but low skills. *Economics of Education Review* 30:3, 507-516. [[Crossref](#)]

303. Amita Chudgar. 2011. Female Headship and Schooling Outcomes in Rural India. *World Development* 39:4, 550-560. [[Crossref](#)]
304. M. Gesthuizen, H. Solga, R. Kunster. 2011. Context Matters: Economic Marginalization of Low-Educated Workers in Cross-National Perspective. *European Sociological Review* 27:2, 264-280. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Partha Deb, William T. Gallo, Padmaja Ayyagari, Jason M. Fletcher, Jody L. Sindelar. 2011. The effect of job loss on overweight and drinking. *Journal of Health Economics* 30:2, 317-327. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Amit Mehra, Rajiv Dewan, Marshall Freimer. 2011. Firms as Incubators of Open-Source Software. *Information Systems Research* 22:1, 22-38. [[Crossref](#)]
307. Søren Serritzlew, Gert Tinggaard Svendsen. 2011. Does Education Produce Tough Lovers? Trust and Bureaucrats. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice* 13:1, 91-104. [[Crossref](#)]
308. Rolf Becker. Bildungssoziologie – Was sie ist, was sie will, was sie kann 9-36. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Rolf Becker, Anna Etta Hecken. Berufliche Weiterbildung – theoretische Perspektiven und empirische Befunde 367-410. [[Crossref](#)]
310. Heike Solga. Bildungsarmut und Ausbildungslosigkeit in der Bildungs- und Wissensgesellschaft 411-448. [[Crossref](#)]
311. Rolf Becker, Andreas Hadjar. Meritokratie – Zur gesellschaftlichen Legitimation ungleicher Bildungs-, Erwerbs- und Einkommenschancen in modernen Gesellschaften 37-62. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Rolf Becker. Integration von Migranten durch Bildung und Ausbildung – theoretische Erklärungen und empirische Befunde 11-36. [[Crossref](#)]
313. Thijs Bol, Herman G. van de Werfhorst. 2011. Signals and closure by degrees: The education effect across 15 European countries. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 29:1, 119-132. [[Crossref](#)]
314. Peter H. van der Meer. 2011. Educational credentials and external effects: A test for the Netherlands. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 29:1, 107-118. [[Crossref](#)]
315. Axel Franzen, Sonja Pointner. 2011. Calling social capital: An analysis of the determinants of success on the TV quiz show “Who Wants to Be a Millionaire?”. *Social Networks* 33:1, 79-87. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Brian L. Connelly, S. Trevis Certo, R. Duane Ireland, Christopher R. Reutzel. 2011. Signaling Theory: A Review and Assessment. *Journal of Management* 37:1, 39-67. [[Crossref](#)]
317. Mingfeng Lin, Nagpurnanand R. Prabhala, Siva Viswanathan. 2011. Judging Borrowers by the Company They Keep: Friendship Networks and Information Asymmetry in Online Peer-to-Peer Lending. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 94. . [[Crossref](#)]
318. Michael Siegenthaler. 2011. Can a Standardized Aptitude Test Predict Training Success of Apprentices? Evidence from a Case Study in Switzerland. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2. . [[Crossref](#)]
319. Rajiv D. Banker, Dmitri Byzalov, Chunwei Xian. 2011. Executive Compensation and Research & Development Intensity. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 13. . [[Crossref](#)]
320. Wei Wei. 2011. The Theories and Critical Factors in Founding Team Studies - A Brief Review. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 47. . [[Crossref](#)]
321. Donald Gillies. 2011. State Education as High-Yield Investment: Human Capital Theory in European Policy Discourse. *Journal of Pedagogy / Pedagogický časopis* 2:2, 224-245. [[Crossref](#)]
322. Arnaud Dupray, Stéphanie Moullet. 2011. Offre éducative, valorisation des diplômes et effets de composition : deux générations de sortants de l'université au tournant des années 2000. *Revue d'économie politique* Vol. 120:5, 845-880. [[Crossref](#)]
323. Zaharie Monica, Osoian Codruta, Opre Adrian. 2011. Graduate Recruitment and Selection – A Linkage Between Labor Market and Higher Education. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity* 5, 229-234. [[Crossref](#)]

324. Joseph J. Doyle, Steven M. Ewer, Todd H. Wagner. 2010. Returns to physician human capital: Evidence from patients randomized to physician teams. *Journal of Health Economics* 29:6, 866-882. [[Crossref](#)]
325. Dimitris Pavlopoulos, Didier Fouarge. 2010. Escaping low pay: do male labour market entrants stand a chance?. *International Journal of Manpower* 31:8, 908-927. [[Crossref](#)]
326. Zhu Ningjie. Notice of Retraction: Vocational credentials: The linkage between vocational education and labor market 353-357. [[Crossref](#)]
327. Peter Arcidiacono,, Patrick Bayer,, Aurel Hizmo. 2010. Beyond Signaling and Human Capital: Education and the Revelation of Ability. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 2:4, 76-104. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
328. Christer Thrane. 2010. Education and Earnings in the Tourism Industry – the Role of Sheepskin Effects. *Tourism Economics* 16:3, 549-563. [[Crossref](#)]
329. Andy Lung Jan Chan. 2010. Education, Experience and Translator Certification as Signaling Mechanisms. *FORUM. Revue internationale d'interprétation et de traduction / International Journal of Interpretation and Translation* 8:1, 37-54. [[Crossref](#)]
330. Arent Greve, Mario Benassi, Arne Dag Sti. 2010. Exploring the contributions of human and social capital to productivity. *International Review of Sociology* 20:1, 35-58. [[Crossref](#)]
331. Boris Hirsch. Introduction 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
332. M.E. Page. Signaling in the Labor Market 321-324. [[Crossref](#)]
333. M. Gunderson, P. Oreopoulos. Returns to Education in Developed Countries 298-304. [[Crossref](#)]
334. H.A. Patrinos, G. Psacharopoulos. Returns to Education in Developing Countries 305-312. [[Crossref](#)]
335. Helene Bie Lilleør, Jonas Helth Lønborg. 2010. Does Personality Influence Project Participation? Evidence from Rural Malawi. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 117. . [[Crossref](#)]
336. Javier J. Perez, Antonio Jesus Sanchez Fuentes. 2010. Is There a Signalling Role for Public Wages? Evidence for the Euro Area Based on Macro Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 92. . [[Crossref](#)]
337. Herman G. Van de Werfhorst. 2009. Credential inflation and educational strategies: A comparison of the United States and the Netherlands. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 27:4, 269-284. [[Crossref](#)]
338. Nikos Vafeas. 2009. Is Accounting Education Valued by the Stock Market? Evidence from Corporate Controller Appointments*. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 26:4, 1143-1174. [[Crossref](#)]
339. Sonja Engelage, Frank Schubert. 2009. Promotion und Karriere – Wie adäquat sind promovierte Akademikerinnen und Akademiker in der Schweiz beschäftigt?. *Zeitschrift für ArbeitsmarktForschung* 42:3, 213-233. [[Crossref](#)]
340. Alex Lord. 2009. The Community Infrastructure Levy: An Information Economics Approach to Understanding Infrastructure Provision under England's Reformed Spatial Planning System. *Planning Theory & Practice* 10:3, 333-349. [[Crossref](#)]
341. Li FengLiang, Ding Xiaohao, W. John Morgan. 2009. Higher Education and the starting wages of graduates in China. *International Journal of Educational Development* 29:4, 374-381. [[Crossref](#)]
342. Carolina Castagnetti, Luisa Rosti. 2009. Effort allocation in tournaments: The effect of gender on academic performance in Italian universities. *Economics of Education Review* 28:3, 357-369. [[Crossref](#)]
343. David Kirsch, Brent Goldfarb, Azi Gera. 2009. Form or substance: the role of business plans in venture capital decision making. *Strategic Management Journal* 30:5, 487-515. [[Crossref](#)]
344. Andreas Bergh, Günther Fink. 2009. Higher education, elite institutions and inequality. *European Economic Review* 53:3, 376-384. [[Crossref](#)]
345. Gus De Franco, Yibin Zhou. 2009. The Performance of Analysts with a CFA® Designation: The Role of Human-Capital and Signaling Theories. *The Accounting Review* 84:2, 383-404. [[Crossref](#)]

346. Rolf Becker. Bildungssoziologie – Was sie ist, was sie will, was sie kann 9-34. [[Crossref](#)]
347. Rolf Becker, Anna E. Hecken. Berufliche Weiterbildung – theoretische Perspektiven und empirische Befunde 357-394. [[Crossref](#)]
348. Heike Solga. Bildungsarmut und Ausbildungslosigkeit in der Bildungs- und Wissensgesellschaft 395-432. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Rolf Becker, Andreas Hadjar. Meritokratie – Zur gesellschaftlichen Legitimation ungleicher Bildungs-, Erwerbs- und Einkommenschancen in modernen Gesellschaften 35-59. [[Crossref](#)]
350. Getinet Haile. 2009. The Nature and Extent of Job Separations in Germany: Some New Evidence from SOEP. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 70. . [[Crossref](#)]
351. David Kirsch, Brent D. Goldfarb, Azi Gera. 2009. Form or Substance: The Role of Business Plans in Venture Capital Decision Making. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 50. . [[Crossref](#)]
352. Robert J. Gary-Bobo, Alain Trannoy. 2008. Efficient Tuition Fees and Examinations. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 6:6, 1211-1243. [[Crossref](#)]
353. Rita L. Dobbs, Judy Y. Sun, Paul B. Roberts. 2008. Human Capital and Screening Theories: Implications for Human Resource Development. *Advances in Developing Human Resources* 10:6, 788-801. [[Crossref](#)]
354. ###. 2008. An Analysis of the Effect of Burden of Childcare and Education on Childbirth. *Health and Social Welfare Review* 28:2, 103-134. [[Crossref](#)]
355. Benjamin L. Hallen. 2008. The Causes and Consequences of the Initial Network Positions of New Organizations: From Whom Do Entrepreneurs Receive Investments?. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 53:4, 685-718. [[Crossref](#)]
356. Hiroshi Ono. 2008. Training the nation's elites. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 26:4, 341-356. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Jennie E. Brand, Becca R. Levy, William T. Gallo. 2008. Effects of Layoffs and Plant Closings on Subsequent Depression Among Older Workers. *Research on Aging* 30:6, 701-721. [[Crossref](#)]
358. Denis Maguain. 2008. Les rendements de l'éducation en comparaison internationale. *Économie & prévision* n° 180-181:4, 87-106. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Eugene Kang. 2008. Director Interlocks and Spillover Effects of Reputational Penalties From Financial Reporting Fraud. *Academy of Management Journal* 51:3, 537-555. [[Crossref](#)]
360. Peter J. Dolton, Mary A. Silles. 2008. The effects of over-education on earnings in the graduate labour market. *Economics of Education Review* 27:2, 125-139. [[Crossref](#)]
361. Paul DiMaggio, Bart Bonikowski. 2008. Make Money Surfing the Web? The Impact of Internet Use on the Earnings of U.S. Workers. *American Sociological Review* 73:2, 227-250. [[Crossref](#)]
362. Angela K Dills, Rey Hernández-Julián. 2008. Transfer College Quality and Student Performance. *Eastern Economic Journal* 34:2, 172-189. [[Crossref](#)]
363. Sunil Mithas, M. S. Krishnan. 2008. Human Capital and Institutional Effects in the Compensation of Information Technology Professionals in the United States. *Management Science* 54:3, 415-428. [[Crossref](#)]
364. Brahim Boudarbat. 2008. Field of study choice by community college students in Canada. *Economics of Education Review* 27:1, 79-93. [[Crossref](#)]
365. Mary Silles. 2008. Sheepskin effects in the returns to education. *Applied Economics Letters* 15:3, 217-219. [[Crossref](#)]
366. Rolf Becker, Anna Hecken. Berufliche Weiterbildung — arbeitsmarktsoziologische Perspektiven und empirische Befunde 133-168. [[Crossref](#)]

367. Rajiv M. Dewan, Marshall Freimer, Amit Mehra. 2008. Firms as Incubators of Open Source Software. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 29. . [[Crossref](#)]
368. Alfonso Flores-Lagunes, Audrey Light. 2008. Interpreting Degree Effects in the Returns to Education. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 113. . [[Crossref](#)]
369. Philipp Sadowski. 2008. Overeagerness. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 38. . [[Crossref](#)]
370. M. De Paola, V. Scoppa. 2007. Returns to skills, incentives to study and optimal educational standards. *Journal of Economics* 92:3, 229-262. [[Crossref](#)]
371. Mary A. Silles. 2007. The Returns to Education for the United Kingdom. *Journal of Applied Economics* 10:2, 391-413. [[Crossref](#)]
372. F.E. García muiña, J.E. Navas López. 2007. Las capacidades tecnológicas y los resultados empresariales. Un estudio empírico en el sector biotecnológico español. *Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de la Empresa* 10:32, 177-210. [[Crossref](#)]
373. Pedro N. Teixeira. 2007. Great expectations, mixed results and resilient beliefs: the troubles of empirical research in economic controversies. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 14:3, 291-309. [[Crossref](#)]
374. Juan Florin, Zeki Simsek. 2007. The effects of moral hazard and adverse selection on the pricing and underpricing of initial public offerings. *Venture Capital* 9:2, 127-143. [[Crossref](#)]
375. Christiana E. Hilmer, Michael J. Hilmer. 2007. On the Relationship between the Student-Advisor Match and Early Career Research Productivity for Agricultural and Resource Economics Ph.D.s. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 89:1, 162-175. [[Crossref](#)]
376. Fernando E. García-Muiña, José E. Navas-López. 2007. Explaining and measuring success in new business: The effect of technological capabilities on firm results. *Technovation* 27:1-2, 30-46. [[Crossref](#)]
377. Arent Greve, Janet W. Salaff, Elic Chan. Immigrants and the Job Search: Comparing the Internet to Other Paths to Jobs 12-12. [[Crossref](#)]
378. Gus De Franco, Yibin Zhou. 2007. The Performance of Analysts with a CFA Designation: The Role of Human-Capital and Signaling Theories. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 30. . [[Crossref](#)]
379. Adewale Adeoye. 2007. Macro-Economic Level Corporate Governance and FDI in Emerging Markets: Is There a Close Relationship?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 50. . [[Crossref](#)]
380. Mary O'Mahony, Philip Stevens. International comparisons of output and productivity in public service provision: A review 233-253. [[Crossref](#)]
381. Hanming Fang. 2006. DISENTANGLING THE COLLEGE WAGE PREMIUM: ESTIMATING A MODEL WITH ENDOGENOUS EDUCATION CHOICES*. *International Economic Review* 47:4, 1151-1185. [[Crossref](#)]
382. Ansgar Richter, Sascha L. Schmidt. 2006. Antecedents of the Performance of Management Consultants. *Schmalenbach Business Review* 58:4, 365-391. [[Crossref](#)]
383. Sarah Brown, John G. Sessions. 2006. Evidence on the relationship between firm-based screening and the returns to education. *Economics of Education Review* 25:5, 498-509. [[Crossref](#)]
384. Erich J. Schwarz, Malgorzata A. Wdowiak. New Venture Performance in the Transition Economies: A Conceptual Model 89-111. [[Crossref](#)]
385. Ruth Towse. Chapter 24 Human Capital and Artists' Labour Markets 865-894. [[Crossref](#)]
386. Franco Peracchi. Chapter 5 Educational Wage Premia and the Distribution of Earnings: An International Perspective 189-254. [[Crossref](#)]
387. Thomas J. Kane. Chapter 23 Public Intervention in Post-Secondary Education 1369-1401. [[Crossref](#)]
388. William Blankenau, Gabriele Camera. 2006. A simple economic theory of skill accumulation and schooling decisions. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 9:1, 93-115. [[Crossref](#)]

389. Leyland M. Lucas, dt ogilvie. 2006. Things are not always what they seem. *The Learning Organization* 13:1, 7-24. [[Crossref](#)]
390. Angela K. Dills, Rey Hernandez-Julian. 2006. Transfer College Quality and Student Performance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 218. . [[Crossref](#)]
391. Stephen L. Cheung. 2006. A Test of Employer Learning in the Labour Market for Young Australians. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 15. . [[Crossref](#)]
392. Uschi Backes-Gellner, Stephan Veen. 2006. Incentives for Schools, Educational Signals and Labour Market Outcomes. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 87. . [[Crossref](#)]
393. Jean-Marc Falter. 2006. Employer Learning and Literacy Scores in Switzerland. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 116. . [[Crossref](#)]
394. Jorge M. Streb. 2006. Job Market Signals and Signs. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 84. . [[Crossref](#)]
395. Richard K. Caputo. 2005. The GED as a Predictor of Mid-Life Health and Economic Well-Being. *Journal of Poverty* 9:4, 73-97. [[Crossref](#)]
396. Judith Semeijn, Rolf van der Velden, Hans Heijke, Cees van der Vleuten, Henny Boshuizen. 2005. The Role of Education in Selection and Allocation in the Labour Market: An Empirical Study in the Medical Field. *Education Economics* 13:4, 449-477. [[Crossref](#)]
397. Wolfgang Lutz, Vegard Skirbekk. 2005. Policies Addressing the Tempo Effect in Low-Fertility Countries. *Population and Development Review* 31:4, 699-720. [[Crossref](#)]
398. Olivier Charlot. 2005. Éducation et chômage dans les modèles d'appariement : une revue de littérature. *Économie & prévision* n° 169-170-171:3, 73-103. [[Crossref](#)]
399. Yaakov Gilboa, Moshe Justman. 2005. Academic Admissions Standards: Implications for Output, Distribution, and Mobility. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 3:5, 1105-1133. [[Crossref](#)]
400. Xueguang Zhou. 2005. The Institutional Logic of Occupational Prestige Ranking: Reconceptualization and Reanalyses. *American Journal of Sociology* 111:1, 90-140. [[Crossref](#)]
401. Philip A. Trostel. 2005. Nonlinearity in the Return to Education. *Journal of Applied Economics* 8:1, 191-202. [[Crossref](#)]
402. Scott L. Thomas, Laura W. Perna. The Opportunity Agenda: A Reexamination of Postsecondary Reward and Opportunity 43-84. [[Crossref](#)]
403. Ben Jongbloed. Tuition Fees in Europe and Australasia: Theory, Trends and Policies 241-310. [[Crossref](#)]
404. Michelle Jackson, John H. Goldthorpe, Colin Mills. 2005. Education, Employers and Class Mobility. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 23, 3-33. [[Crossref](#)]
405. Ryo Horii, Akiomi Kitagawa, Koichi Futagami. 2005. Availability of Higher Education and Long-Term Economic Growth. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 105. . [[Crossref](#)]
406. Andreas Bergh, Guenther Fink. 2005. Escaping Mass Education - Why Harvard Pays. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 109. . [[Crossref](#)]
407. Amy L. Wax. 2004. Family-Friendly Workplace Reform: Prospects for Change. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 596:1, 36-61. [[Crossref](#)]
408. Paul W Miller, Charles Mulvey, Nick Martin. 2004. A test of the sorting model of education in Australia. *Economics of Education Review* 23:5, 473-482. [[Crossref](#)]
409. Hermann Achidi Ndofor, Edward Levitas. 2004. Signaling the Strategic Value of Knowledge. *Journal of Management* 30:5, 685-702. [[Crossref](#)]
410. Philip Trostel, Ian Walker *. 2004. Sheepskin effects in work behaviour. *Applied Economics* 36:17, 1959-1966. [[Crossref](#)]

411. CHONG JU CHOI, PHILIP CHENG, BRIAN HILTON. 2004. EUROPEAN UNION: ECONOMIC CONVERGENCEVERSUS SOCIAL MOBILITY. *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie* 95:4, 427-432. [[Crossref](#)]
412. CHONG JU CHOI, PHILIP CHENG, BRIAN HILTON. 2004. EUROPEAN UNION: ECONOMIC CONVERGENCEVERSUS SOCIAL MOBILITY. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie* 95:4, 427-432. [[Crossref](#)]
413. Yew Liang Lee, Paul W. Miller. 2004. Screening and Human Capital in the Australian Labour Market of the 1990s. *Australian Economic Papers* 43:2, 117-135. [[Crossref](#)]
414. Eric Strobl. 2004. Do employers use education as a signal for ability in developing countries? Evidence from Ghana. *Applied Economics Letters* 11:4, 259-261. [[Crossref](#)]
415. Felix Bu¨chel, Matthias Pollmann-Schult. 2004. Overeducation and human capital endowments. *International Journal of Manpower* 25:2, 150-166. [[Crossref](#)]
416. Joseph E. Stiglitz. 2004. Information and the Change in the Paradigm in Economics, Part 2. *The American Economist* 48:1, 17-49. [[Crossref](#)]
417. Wm. Gerard Sanders, Steven Boivie. 2004. Sorting things out: valuation of new firms in uncertain markets. *Strategic Management Journal* 25:2, 167-186. [[Crossref](#)]
418. Andrew Hunt, Ian Lincoln, Arthur Walker. 2004. Term-time employment and academic attainment: evidence from a large-scale survey of undergraduates at Northumbria University. *Journal of Further and Higher Education* 28:1, 3-18. [[Crossref](#)]
419. Heike Solga. Das Scheitern gering qualifizierter Jugendlicher an den Normalisierungspflichten moderner Bildungsgesellschaften 97-121. [[Crossref](#)]
420. David B. Bills. 2003. Credentials, Signals, and Screens: Explaining the Relationship Between Schooling and Job Assignment. *Review of Educational Research* 73:4, 441-469. [[Crossref](#)]
421. Hans K. Hvide. 2003. Education and the Allocation of Talent. *Journal of Labor Economics* 21:4, 945-976. [[Crossref](#)]
422. John H. Tyler. 2003. Economic Benefits of the GED: Lessons From Recent Research. *Review of Educational Research* 73:3, 369-403. [[Crossref](#)]
423. Ludger Wößmann. 2003. Specifying Human Capital. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 17:3, 239-270. [[Crossref](#)]
424. Barry R. Chiswick, Yew Liang Lee, Paul W. Miller. 2003. Schooling, Literacy, Numeracy and Labour Market Success. *Economic Record* 79:245, 165-181. [[Crossref](#)]
425. Bryan Caplan. 2003. Stigler-Becker versus Myers-Briggs: why preference-based explanations are scientifically meaningful and empirically important. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 50:4, 391-405. [[Crossref](#)]
426. Jan Saarela, Fjalar Finnäs. 2003. Unemployment and native language: the Finnish case. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 32:1, 59-80. [[Crossref](#)]
427. David D. Dill. An Institutional Perspective on Higher Education Policy: The Case of Academic Quality Assurance 669-699. [[Crossref](#)]
428. Friedhelm Pfeiffer. 2003. Training and Individual Performance in Europe: Evidence from Microeconomic Studies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 56. . [[Crossref](#)]
429. Donato Masciandaro. 2003. Knowledge, Firms and University: Labour Force, Human Capital and Ethics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 106. . [[Crossref](#)]
430. Michael J. Hilmer. 2002. Human Capital Attainment, University Quality, and Entry-Level Wages for College Transfer Students. *Southern Economic Journal* 69:2, 457-469. [[Crossref](#)]

431. Philippe Lemistre, Jean-Michel Plassard. 2002. Stratégie de mobilité et rendements de l'ancienneté en France. *Économie & prévision* n o 155:4, 45-60. [[Crossref](#)]
432. Michelle Haynes, Richard Upward, Peter Wright. 2002. Estimating the wage costs of inter- and intra-sectoral adjustment. *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 138:2, 229-253. [[Crossref](#)]
433. Mamoru Ishikawa, Daniel Ryan. 2002. Schooling, basic skills and economic outcomes. *Economics of Education Review* 21:3, 231-243. [[Crossref](#)]
434. George Sheldon. Sind die Löhne einkommensmaximierender Personen vergleichbar? Das Roy-Modell im Rückblick 103-129. [[Crossref](#)]
435. Viktor Steiner. Intertemporale Effekte der Bildungspolitik 561-596. [[Crossref](#)]
436. Michel Ferrary. 2002. Conflicts of interest and games of power concerning the standardization of the workforce. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 31:4, 391-403. [[Crossref](#)]
437. Eric A. Hanushek. Chapter 30 Publicly provided education 2045-2141. [[Crossref](#)]
438. David Greenaway, Richard Upward, Peter Wright. Sectoral Transformation and Labour Market Flows 93-114. [[Crossref](#)]
439. Felix Büchel. 2002. Successful Apprenticeship-to-Work Transitions: On the Long-Term Change in Significance of the German School-Leaving Certificate. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 18. . [[Crossref](#)]
440. Sarah Brown, John G. Sessions. 2001. Experience-earnings profiles, education and gender. *Journal of Economic Studies* 28:6, 408-422. [[Crossref](#)]
441. Young Sook Chung, Minja Kim Choe. 2001. Sources of family income and expenditure on children's private, after-school education in Korea. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 25:3, 193-199. [[Crossref](#)]
442. Thomas K Bauer, John P Haisken-DeNew. 2001. Employer learning and the returns to schooling. *Labour Economics* 8:2, 161-180. [[Crossref](#)]
443. Patricia Jones. 2001. Are educated workers really more productive?. *Journal of Development Economics* 64:1, 57-79. [[Crossref](#)]
444. Arnaud Dupray. 2001. The signalling power of education by size of firm and the long-term effects on workers' careers. *International Journal of Manpower* 22:1/2, 13-38. [[Crossref](#)]
445. J. G. Altonji, C. R. Pierret. 2001. Employer Learning and Statistical Discrimination. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 116:1, 313-350. [[Crossref](#)]
446. Hans K. Hvide. 2001. Education and the Allocation of Talent. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 116. . [[Crossref](#)]
447. John Gibson. 2000. Sheepskin effects and the returns to education in New Zealand: Do they differ by ethnic groups?. *New Zealand Economic Papers* 34:2, 201-220. [[Crossref](#)]
448. Pedro Nuno Teixeira. 2000. A Portrait of the Economics of Education, 1960-1997. *History of Political Economy* 32:Suppl_1, 257-288. [[Crossref](#)]
449. J. E. Stiglitz. 2000. The Contributions of the Economics of Information to Twentieth Century Economics. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 115:4, 1441-1478. [[Crossref](#)]
450. Joop Hartog. 2000. Over-education and earnings: where are we, where should we go?. *Economics of Education Review* 19:2, 131-147. [[Crossref](#)]
451. ANH T. LE, PAUL W. MILLER. 2000. Australia's Unemployment Problem. *Economic Record* 76:232, 74-104. [[Crossref](#)]
452. William Gissy. 2000. Race and wages in the metro Atlanta fast food industry. *International Advances in Economic Research* 6:1, 113-119. [[Crossref](#)]
453. Bruno Decreuse, Pierre Granier. 2000. Sur-éducation dans un modèle de chômage d'appariement. *Recherches économiques de Louvain* 66:4, 391-414. [[Crossref](#)]

454. Thomas K. Bauer, John P. Haisken-DeNew. 2000. Employer Learning and the Returns to Schooling. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 45. . [[Crossref](#)]
455. Ludger Woessmann. 2000. Specifying Human Capital: A Review, Some Extensions, and Development Effects. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 105. . [[Crossref](#)]
456. Sarah Brown, John G Sessions. 1999. Education and employment status: a test of the strong screening hypothesis in Italy. *Economics of Education Review* 18:4, 397-404. [[Crossref](#)]
457. William R Bowman, Stephen L Mehay. 1999. Graduate education and employee performance: evidence from military personnel. *Economics of Education Review* 18:4, 453-463. [[Crossref](#)]
458. Jeremy Arkes. 1999. What Do Educational Credentials Signal and Why Do Employers Value Credentials?. *Economics of Education Review* 18:1, 133-141. [[Crossref](#)]
459. David Card. The Causal Effect of Education on Earnings 1801-1863. [[Crossref](#)]
460. Eric J. Solberg. 1999. Using Occupational Preference in Estimating Market Wage Discrimination: the Case of the Gender Pay Gap. *The American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 58:1, 85-113. [[Crossref](#)]
461. Massimo Giannini. 1999. Education and Job Market Signalling: How Robust is the Nexus?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 55. . [[Crossref](#)]
462. Geraint Johnes. 1998. Human capital versus sorting: new data and a new test. *Applied Economics Letters* 5:10, 665-667. [[Crossref](#)]
463. Geraint Johnes. 1998. Human capital versus sorting: new data and a new test. *Applied Economics Letters* 5:2, 85-87. [[Crossref](#)]
464. Toshiaki Tachibanaki. Introduction to Wage Differentials: An International Comparison 1-34. [[Crossref](#)]
465. Joseph G. Altonji, Charles R. Pierret. Employer Learning and the Signalling Value of Education 159-195. [[Crossref](#)]
466. James E. Bessen. 1998. Productivity Adjustments and Learning-by-Doing as Human Capital. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 69. . [[Crossref](#)]
467. Dorothea Kübler. 1997. Auctioning off labor contracts: Legal restrictions reconsidered. *International Review of Law and Economics* 17:1, 63-74. [[Crossref](#)]